ABSTRACT: This paper presents a series of direct shear tests performed on a silty sand at three different gravimetric water contents. The soil was sampled from test pits south of Ruedlingen in North East Switzerland, where a landslide triggering experiment was carried out on a 37°–40° steep forested slope through infiltration of extreme artificial rainfall. The aim of this work was mainly to establish a correlation between the degree of saturation and slope stability. Direct shear tests were performed on reconstituted samples with a direct shear apparatus under undrained conditions for the water phase. Three of the samples were saturated after the shear phase in order to analyse the effect of wetting on shear strength. The results were interpreted with the assistance of a soil water retention curve (WRC) and an analytical slope stability analysis was performed to apply the laboratory results to the field experiment.

1 INTRODUCTION

Landslides are a common natural hazard in steep mountainous terrain, such as that of Switzerland (Springman et al. 2003; Lateltin et al. 2005; Springman et al. 2009). Experience in Hong Kong shows that they occur when short-duration rainfall is of high intensity (Brand et al. 1984; Finlay et al. 1997; Fannin et al. 2005). The location of the failure is strongly influenced by spatial and temporal variations in seepage induced pore water pressure (Fannin et al. 2000). A clear understanding of the likely failure mechanisms is required for a reliable stability analysis, and characterization of the soil strength is essential over an appropriate stress range (Fannin et al. 2005). A slip can be caused by: a transient increase in pore water pressure generated by subsurface seepage (Johnson & Sitar 1990); static liquefaction induced by small perturbation that leads to flow failure (Anderson & Sitar, 1995); reduction in negative pore pressures that requires the suction history of the soil to be taken into account (Springman et al. 2003).

A landslide triggering experiment was carried out near Ruedlingen in North East Switzerland along the river Rhine in autumn 2008 and spring 2009 to replicate the effects of a heavy rainfall event from May 2002, in which 100 mm rain fell in 40 minutes (Fischer et al. 2003), causing 42 superficial landslides.

The slope was subjected to extreme rainfall by artificial means in October 2008 over a period of 4 days, with a rainfall intensity of 15 mm/h for the first two days and an intensity of 30 mm/h for the last two days. The sprinklers were distributed at constant spacing along the central line of the slope. Some surface movements were detected during this extreme event, although failure did not occur. Subsequently, a range of measures was implemented, such as relocating the distribution of the sprinklers to provide more rainfall to the upper part of the slope, so that a failure mechanism was triggered in March 2009, incorporating about 150 m³ of debris (Springman et al. 2009; Casini et al. 2010; Askarinejad et al. 2010).

It is essential to establish a suitable model for the shear resistance of the soil in order to conduct stability analysis. shear tests performed by Minder (2008) on reconstituted samples are presented in this paper. They are interpreted based on a WRC by considering the influence of vertical load and water content. A simple slope stability analysis is also performed that takes the hysteresis of the WRC into account in respect to the suction acting on the shear plane.

2 MATERIAL TESTED

2.1 Soil characterisation

A series of sieve analyses of the soil from Ruedlingen has been carried out by Casini et al. (2008), paying special attention to its spatial variability (Springman et al. 2009; Casini et al. 2010). The soil can be classified as medium to low plasticity silty sand (ML) according to USCS. The activity, \( I_a \), is higher than 1.25 in the upper part of the soil profile and decreases from \( I_a = 1.25 \) to \( I_a = 0.75 \) with increasing depth. Activity of the soil comes from the chloritic-smectic clay fraction (Colombo 2009). The mean physical properties are summarised in Table 1.
The soil was reconstituted based on a representative grain size distribution (Figure 1), by mixing the fractions of the natural soil and omitting the fractions larger than 2 mm.

2.2 Sample preparation

The hand-mixed soil was passed through a 4 mm sieve before it was stored for 24 hours in a sealed bag in order to avoid large clods for being placed in the direct shear apparatus. After this homogenisation phase, the wet soil was statically compacted in one 2 cm thick layer to a void ratio $e = 0.9$ by means of a hydraulic press. Figure 2 illustrates the steps of sample preparation.

The samples were statically compacted at three different target water contents of $w = 15\%, 20\%$ and $25\%$. The compaction stress required to reach the same void ratio of $e = 0.9$ varied with water content. It ranged from 10 kPa ($w = 25\%$) to 100 kPa ($w = 15\%$) but was similar for samples with the same water content.

Depending on the remoulding water content, different degrees of sample homogeneity were obtained. Figure 3 shows a comparison between a homogeneous sample ($w = 20\%$) with a wetter, macroporous sample ($w = 25\%$).

The shear tests were performed with a standard direct shear apparatus at three vertical stresses $\sigma_v = 20 - 40 - 80$ kPa, respectively. Three of the samples were saturated after 6 mm horizontal displacement in order to analyse the effect of the wetting on the mobilised shear strength.

The samples were sheared with a velocity $v = 0.033$ mm/min. This shear rate is fast enough to represent undrained conditions for the water phase.

3 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

3.1 Shear tests

The results of the shear phase are shown in Figures 4, 5 and 6 in terms of the relation between normalised shear stress ($\tau/\sigma_v$), vertical displacement $\delta_v$, and shear displacement $\delta_h$. A negative vertical displacement indicates an increase in specimen height.

Highest stress ratios are exhibited by two samples at $\sigma_v = 20$ kPa and $w = 15$ and $20\%$ (Figure 4a). Both specimens show an increase in height (dilatancy) during shearing (Figure 4b). The soil reduces in volume during the saturation of specimens at $w = 20$ and $25\%$, indicating wetting collapse (Figure 4b). In the subsequent shearing stage, the stress ratios are in good agreement with the saturated sample.
The sample under \( \sigma_v = 80 \) kPa also reduces in volume during the saturation phase (Figure 6).

The stress ratio for the equal water content decreases as the vertical stress increases. This is due to the combination of two effects. Firstly, increasing vertical stress leads to a lower void ratio resulting in a higher degree of saturation and therefore less suction. Secondly the overconsolidation ratio (OCR) is decreasing as the vertical stress increases.

### 3.2 Soil water retention curve

The Water Retention Curve (WRC) in terms of water ratio \( e_w = V_w/V_s \), where \( V_w \) is the volume of water and \( V_s \) is the volume of solids, and suction \( s = u_a - u_w \); was obtained using a Fredlund Oedometer (Figure 7) under suction controlled conditions for a reconstituted sample with an initial void ratio \( e_0 = 0.83 \) and \( w_0 = 17\% \).

The volumetric state variable \( e_w = S e \) tends towards the void ratio with increasing the degree of saturation \( S \). The water ratio \( e_w \) is obtained directly in laboratory measuring the inflow or outflow of water into a soil with a known volume of solids. In addition, \( e_w \) remains constant in water undrained tests in an equivalent concept to isochoric tests, where \( e \) remains constant (Romero & Vaunat 2000).

The results are reported in Figure 8. A suction \( s = 70 \) kPa was applied as first step. Water was pressed out of the sample initially, which means that the compacted soil had a suction less than 70 kPa. The following three points seem follow a straight line in the plane \( e_w-s \) (which could be interpreted as a scanning curve). The other points in wetting and in drying follow the respective main curves.
4 DATA INTERPRETATION

4.1 Water retention curve

The data reported in Figure 8 was modelled with a multimodal Durner model (1994) that describes the retention characteristics of soils with heterogeneous pore systems. The multimodal retention function is constructed by a linear superposition of subcurves of the Van Genuchten type:

\[ \frac{e^{\text{w}} - e^{\text{w,res}}}{e^{\text{w,stat}} - e^{\text{w,res}}} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i \left( \frac{1}{1 + (\alpha \xi)^m} \right) \]

where \( k \) is the number of “subsystems” that form the total pore-size distribution, and \( w_i \) are weighting factors for the subcurves, subject to \( 0 < w_i < 1 \) and \( \sum w_i = 1 \). As for the unimodal curve, the parameters of the subcurves \( (\alpha_i, n_i, m_i) \) are subject to the conditions \( \alpha_i > 0, m_i > 0, n_i > 1 \). The parameter \( m_i \) is assumed to be \( m_i = 1 - 1/m_i \).

A bimodal retention function was chosen, because the soil was compacted on the “dry part” of the Proctor curve, which usually leads to a bimodal distribution of voids. This assumption is also supported by Mercury Intrusion Porosimetry (MIP) tests performed by Colombo (2009).

The comparisons between the model for drying and wetting curves and the laboratory results are reported in Figure 9 and the resulting parameters are given in Table 2.

4.2 Shear strength envelope

The shear strength of an unsaturated soil can be expressed using many different approaches (e.g. Miao et al. 2001; Vaunat et al. 2002). A prediction based on the WRC was chosen here (Öberg & Sällfors 1997; Springman et al. 2003):

\[ \tau = (\sigma_n - u_a)\tan \phi' + S_r (u_a - u_w)\tan \phi' \]

where \( \sigma_n \) is the total normal stress, \( u_a \) is the air pressure, \( u_w \) the pore water pressure, \( S_r \) is the degree of saturation and \( \phi' \) is the friction angle of the material. The quantity \( S_r (u_a - u_w) \) was obtained using the WRC. For each test known \( e_w \) and \( e_i \), it was obtained the suction and the degree of saturation \( S_r = e_w/e_i \).

The comparison between the prediction and the laboratory data are shown in Figure 10. The meas-
ured points are reasonably well fitted with a linear envelope using Equation 2 with a $\varphi' = 31^\circ$.

4.3 Slope stability analysis

In order to apply the laboratory results to the test side in Ruedlingen a simplified slope stability analysis is carried out with shear strength determined under unsaturated conditions for homogeneous ground. Extending the approach of Springman et al. (2003) to a laterally limited slide, the factor of safety $\text{FoS}$ becomes:

$$\text{FoS} = \frac{c^*}{\cos\alpha} \left( 1 + 2K \frac{z}{d \cos\alpha} \right) + \gamma z \tan \varphi \left( 1 + K \frac{z}{d \cos\alpha} \right)$$

(3)

where $c^* = S_r (u_a - u_w) \tan \varphi'$, $\alpha$ is the inclination of the slope, $z$ the depth of the sliding surface, $d$ the width of the slope, $K$ the earth pressure coefficient. The groundwater table is assumed to lie below the sliding surface and the lateral sides can fully mobilise the shear resistance according to Equation 2.

In the following parametric evaluation of Equation 3 a slope inclination of $\alpha = 38^\circ$, a width of $d = 8$ m, a void ratio $e = 0.9$ and an earth pressure of $K = 1 - \sin(\varphi' = 31^\circ)$ were chosen as representative values for the field conditions.

The depth, for which the factor of safety reaches unity, is plotted in Figure 11 versus the. All points to the left of the curves represent stress states where the shear stress exceeds the shear resistance. Shallow slides are stable due to the apparent cohesion provided by suction, whereas very deep slides are unlikely to happen due to the friction mobilised on the planes of the failure mechanism. The difference between drying and wetting conditions originate from different degrees of saturation and unit-weight at same suction.

When plotting the critical depth $z$ ($\text{FoS} = 1$) versus the degree of saturation (Figure 12), the difference between the drying and the wetting branch of the WRC becomes more evident. During a rainfall event, the soil is saturated gradually. When a suction $s = 2.9$ kPa and $S_r = 0.55$ are exceeded, a sliding surface is formed at a depth of about $1.12$ m.

5 CONCLUSION

A triggering landslide experiment was conducted in north-east Switzerland. In order to characterise the strength of the soil, a series of direct shear tests were conducted at three constant water content and vertical stresses. Three samples were also saturated for a better understanding of the effect of saturation.
The results were interpreted with the help of a water retention curve obtained under suction controlled conditions. They are well fitted with a Mohr Coulomb criteria with the use of Bishop stress with parameter $\gamma = S$, and a critical state friction angle of $\phi' = 31^\circ$. During the saturation phase, all three samples showed a decrease in height indicating a wetting collapse that decreases as the water content increases. The normalised shear stress ($\tau/\sigma_v$) decreases, for the same gravimetric water content; as the vertical stress increases due to a lower void ratio and hence a higher degree of saturation and lower suction.

A slope stability analysis was performed using the same approach as Springman et al. (2003) but extended to a laterally limited slide. A critical depth $z = 1.12$ m is obtained for a suction of 2.9 kPa. All the other depths investigated needed less suction for a safety of factor $\text{FoS} = 1$. The approach used is quite simple with all the limitations of limit equilibrium analyses. But it can be applied to predict the zone of potential failure, which in the field experiment was located at a depth $z = 1.25$ m. The suction mobilised at failure was lower than the critical value determined here. This is due to a more complex response of the soil in the field in comparison to the constraints of the laboratory sample and its reconstitution.
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