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LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Multiple ectopic leiomyomas of the abdominal rectus muscles after gasless laparoscopic uterine myomectomy
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Abstract

Purpose To describe and analyze the first case of multiple ectopic leiomyomas of the abdominal rectus muscles in a patient who had undergone gasless laparoscopic uterine myomectomy (GLM) 10 years before.

Methods A 41-year-old woman, who had undergone GLM 10 years before, having presented multiple palpable masses of the abdominal wall, underwent minilaparotomic excision of six abdominal masses.

Results Six round well-circumscribed masses of the abdominal rectus muscles, measuring, respectively, 3.8 × 1.7, 2.9 × 0.9, 0.8 × 0.5, 0.7 × 0.3, 10 × 0.8 and 0.5 × 0.4 cm, were excised. The major lesion was situated close to the right trocar site of the previous GLM, the other smaller tumors were located in the umbilical area and left abdominal region. On histopathologic examination, the abdominal lumps were categorized as leiomyoma.

Conclusions Ectopic leiomyomatosis is an uncommon complication after GLM, and does not justify follow-up in all asymptomatic cases. However, the gynecologists should bear this unusual condition in mind, and inform the patients that leiomyoma fragments can grow in ectopic sites.
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Gasless laparoscopic myomectomy (GLM) was proposed as alternative to CO2 laparoscopic myomectomy (LM) and classic laparotomy in the management of uterine leiomyomas [1]. GLM offers several advantages over LM. As the peritoneal cavity does not need to be sealed airtight, conventional laparotomy instruments can be used facilitating several steps of the procedure. The operating times are shorter, and closure of the uterine defect is more rapid [2].

Concerns have been expressed about the risk of incomplete extraction of myoma fragments during LM or laparoscopically assisted myomectomy with subsequent iatrogenic growth of myomas at the ectopic site [3–5]. Others reported cases of disseminated peritoneal leiomyomatosis [6] after LM [7], or laparoscopic supracervical hysterectomy [8].

To our knowledge, no case of aberrant leiomyoma following GLM was described in the literature. A 41-year-old woman presented multiple palpable nonpainful abdominal masses. Transabdominal ultrasound revealed six round well-circumscribed nodules of the abdominal rectus muscles, with diameter measuring between 3.8 and 0.5 cm. Ten years before, the patient had undergone multiple GLM. At that time, five uterine myomas were entirely removed and extracted from the abdominal cavity through the ancillary right port by conversion into thin strips of tissue using conventional scissors and scalpel. In 2006, the patient had a baby by elective cesarean section. The patient underwent, using a suprapubic transverse minilaparotomic access, excision of the six abdominal masses that appeared as solid, round, encapsulated, whitish lesions (Fig. 1). On histopathologic examination, the abdominal lumps were categorized as leiomyoma.

The present case is the first report that multiple fragments of myoma can implant and grow at more ectopic sites many years after GLM. Even though the
fragmentation of the myoma nodules had moderate compared with power morcellation that is utilized in LM, where it produces smaller fragments so to be extracted through a small abdominal incision, a disseminated ectopic growth of leiomyomas is occurred. Because we did not use any cannula into the accessory ports, this could have facilitated the dissemination and implant of myoma fragments into subfascial tissue. Like residual particles of endometriotic lesions after their surgical removal can grow and cause iatrogenic localizations at various sites, retained myoma fragments can implant and produce ectopic myomas also after many years since the uterine myomectomy. It is not clear why the ectopic growth of myomas occurs in a low number of patients considering that the surgical technique was always the same in all cases. We have registered only one such case out of our all GLMs. Because there are reports on myomas that have spontaneously lost their connections to the uterus and parasitized other blood supplies [9], also this possible cause for ectopic myomas cannot be excluded, even if our case is plausibly iatrogenic.

When enucleated myomas are extracted, it is important to avoid touching any healthy tissues using wound retractors for removing the myoma fragments. In addition, after intraperitoneal washing in a reverse Trendelenburg position, it is necessary to scrupulously examine the surgical field, and any remaining tissue removed.

In conclusion, ectopic leiomyomatosis is an uncommon complication after GLM, and does not justify follow-up in all asymptomatic cases. Gynecologists should bear this unusual condition in mind, and inform the patients that leiomyoma fragments can grow in ectopic sites.
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