Inaccuracy of Insulin-Like Growth Factor (IGF) Binding Protein (IGFBP)-3 Assessment in the Diagnosis of Growth Hormone (GH) Deficiency from Childhood to Young Adulthood: Association to Low GH Dependency of IGF-II and Presence of Circulating IGFBP-3 18-Kilodalton Fragment
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Context: Poor sensitivity of IGF binding protein (IGFBP)-3 assessment in the work-up of GH deficiency (GHD) has been ascribed to the equal affinity of IGFBP-3 for IGF-I and IGF-II and to IGFBP-3 proteolysis.

Objective: The objective of this study was to determine the IGF-II GH dependency and IGFBP-3 proteolysis in patients with GHD from childhood to young adulthood.

Design: This study was cross-sectional.

Setting: This was a national multicenter study performed in university hospitals.

Patients: One hundred thirty-one subjects (chronological age, 1.3–25 yr), 72 patients with GHD and 59 subjects with idiopathic short stature, were studied.

Interventions: IGF-I, IGF-II, and IGFBP-3 serum concentrations were measured by immunoradiometric assay. IGFBP-3 circulating forms were assessed by Western immunoblot (WIB) analysis.

Main Outcome Measures: Main outcome measures were sensitivity and specificity of IGF-I, IGF-II, and IGFBP-3 measurements.

Results: Sensitivity and specificity of IGFBP-3 measurement were 27 and 100%, respectively. IGFBP-3 sensitivity was 46% in young adulthood. Sensitivity and specificity of IGF-I were 69 and 81%, respectively. Sensitivity and specificity of IGF-II assessment were 23 and 97%, respectively. IGFBP-3 WIB revealed the presence of the intact form and the major 29-kDa fragment in both GHD and subjects with idiopathic short stature. In patients with GHD, WIB showed the presence of an additional smaller IGFBP-3 fragment migrating at approximately 18 kDa.

Conclusions: Our results suggest that in children and young adults with GHD, the low GH dependency of IGF-II together with IGFBP-3 proteolytic activity yielding the 18-kDa fragment concur to reduce the sensitivity of IGFBP-3 assessment, ultimately making it too inaccurate as a screening test in the work-up of GHD.

The diagnosis of GH deficiency (GHD) is not straightforward in childhood and adolescence, requiring comprehensive clinical, anthropometric, biochemical, endocrine, and neuroradiological assessment (1, 2). Although pharmacological GH stimulation tests are still considered the gold standard for GHD diagnosis, they are burdened by both poor specificity and side effects (3). The threshold value used to define a normal GH response was chosen arbitrarily. Initially, it was set at 5 μg/liter, thereafter gradually moved up to the cutoff value of 10 μg/liter, after the increased availability of biosynthetic GH rather than a physiology based rationale (2, 4).

Although difficult, the diagnosis of GHD still has a number of important clinical implications. The child with GHD must be started on daily sc injections of GH that may be lifelong. Furthermore, appropriate replacement therapy enables the GHD child to achieve a normal adult height. Finally, once GHD is diagnosed, the child must be investigated for other pituitary deficiencies such as hypothyroidism and adrenal insufficiency, the latter being one of the major causes of death in patients with GHD (4, 5).
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The measurement of IGF-I and IGF binding protein (IGFBP)-3, due to the close GH dependency, was proposed for diagnosing GHD (6, 7). However, a number of factors such as technical problems, degree of sexual maturation, nutritional status, intestinal absorption, liver function, thyroid hormones, and genetic determinants, may affect the measurements (8). We previously reported that although specificity of IGFBP-3 assessments is high (above 90%), sensitivity is no more than 50% in diagnosing childhood-onset GHD (9, 10). To explain the low sensitivity of IGFBP-3 assessment, two major causes have been proposed. IGFBP-3 concentrations would reflect the total IGF concentrations, IGF-I + IGF-II, IGF-II being less GH dependent than IGF-I (11). In addition, the measurement of IGFBP-3 serum concentrations is complicated by the existence of a family of proteases that fragment IGFBP-3 (12). These proteases, which include plasmin, matrix metalloproteinases, and prostate-specific antigen, degrade IGFBP-3, thereby reducing its affinity for IGFs and eventually leading to increased IGF bioavailability. Proteolysis might affect IGFBP-3 measurement (8). Indeed, most of the available commercial kits employ anti-IGFBP-3 antibodies that recognize all the IGFBP-3 circulating forms, including IGFBP-3 fragments (13–16).

To date, no systematic study has been carried out to assess the IGF-II GH dependency and to evaluate IGFBP-3 proteolysis in a large cohort of patients with GHD ranging from childhood to young adulthood, defined as the time window encompassing the 6–7 yr after the achievement of adult height (17). The measurement of IGFBP-3 concentrations seems to be more accurate in the diagnosis of adult-onset GHD (18, 19). Our work hypothesis was that increased IGFBP-3 proteolysis in children with GHD might represent a compensatory mechanism to maximize the biological actions of the reduced IGF-1 concentrations during linear growth. After the achievement of adult height, proteolysis might progressively vanish.

We set up a national multicenter study to assess sensitivity and specificity of the measurements of IGFBP-3, IGF-I, and IGF-II in patients with GHD from childhood to young adulthood and children with idiopathic short stature (ISS). Furthermore, we investigated IGFBP-3 circulating forms in both groups of subjects.

Subjects and Methods

Seventy-two patients with GHD (47 boys and 25 girls) and 59 subjects (37 males and 22 females) with ISS were evaluated. Patients with GHD were enrolled into the national multicenter study, whereas all subjects with ISS were recruited from the Outpatient Growth Clinic of the Rina Balducci Center of Pediatric Endocrinology (Tor Vergata University, Rome, Italy). Anthropometric measurements and pubertal stage evaluations were performed according to standard procedures (20), and data were compared with the standards of Tanner and Whitehouse (21). Patients’ heights, growth rates, and bone ages were expressed as Z scores for chronological age and sex. Z scores were calculated with the following formula: 

\[ Z = \frac{x - \text{mean}}{\text{SD}} \]

where \( x \) is the observed measurement, \( \text{mean} \) is the mean of this measurement at the relevant age, and \( \text{SD} \) is the SD from the mean. Bone age was estimated by the method of Greulich and Pyle (22). The protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of all participating centers, and informed consent was obtained from the patients or their parents or guardians.

GHD diagnosis was based on fulfillment of all the following anthropometric, endocrine, and radiological criteria: stature less than –2 Z score, delayed bone age (at least 1 yr), growth rate less than 25th centile, peak GH response to two different provocative tests less than 10 µg/liter (<19 µg/liter for GHRH + arginine test), brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) positive for hypothalamic-pituitary abnormalities such as pituitary hypoplasia, stalk agenesis, and ectopic posterior lobe (23), and catch-up growth during the first year of GH replacement therapy (growth rate ≥ 75th centile). Patients with GHD who had achieved adult height were retested after 3 months wash-out and included only if peak GH response to insulin tolerance test was less than 3 µg/liter. Children with GHD were studied off-therapy, pretherapy, or after at least 2 months wash-out. Patients with GHD secondary to intracranial tumors were tested at least 6 months after completion of radiotherapy and/or chemotherapy. All patients with intracranial tumors had surgical pituitary and/or stalk resection. No patient had surgery for at least 3 months before the enrolment.

In young adult patients, severe GHD was defined by the presence of structural hypothalamic-pituitary abnormalities and peak GH response less than 3 µg/liter. Among 72 patients with GHD, 54 had isolated GHD, 11 had combined GH, TSH, ACTH, and gonadotrophin deficiency, three had GHD and TSH deficiency, three had GHD and gonadotrophin deficiency, and one had GHD and diabetes insipidus.

Children referred for rhGH therapy resembling that of GHD but with normal peak GH responses and normal brain MRI were diagnosed as having ISS. Brain MRI was performed in those subjects with ISS referred for reduced growth rate to rule out intracranial lesions. In all subjects, IgA-endothymal and tissue transglutaminase antibody testing and free thyroxine and thyroid-stimulating hormone assessment were performed to exclude celiac disease and hypothyroidism, respectively. Karyotype was normal in all girls, and no patient had dysmorphic features, malnutrition (percentage of ideal body weight was more than 85% in all patients), or chronic diseases.

Clonidine (100 µg/m² body surface area, orally), arginine (0.5 g/ kg body weight up to a maximum of 30 g iv), insulin (0.1 IU/kg body weight iv), glucagon (100 µg/kg body weight up to a maximum of 1 mg im), and, in patients with GHD only, GHRH plus arginine (GHRH, 1 µg/kg iv, stimulation tests were used to assess GH secretion in all subjects) were tested in fasting conditions in each participating center. Prepubertal boys older than 10 yr were primed with 100 mg depot testosterone 3 d before testing. Prepubertal girls older than 9 yr were primed with 50 µg/d ethinyl estradiol for 3 consecutive days before testing.

Hormone assays

In Rome and Messina, serum GH was measured by immunoradiometric assay (IRMA) (Diagnostic Systems Laboratories, Inc., Webster, TX). The intraassay coefficient of variation (CV) was 3.1–4.4%, the interassay CV was 5.9–11.5%, and the sensitivity limit was 0.01 µg/liter. In Pavia, serum GH was measured by fluoroimmunoassay DELFIA (Waller Oy, Turku, Finland). The intraassay CV was 1.6–8.4%, the interassay CV was 2.6–15.0%, and the sensitivity limit was 0.008 µg/liter. In Modena, serum GH was measured by chemiluminescence assay. (Nichols Institute Diagnostics, San Juan Capistrano, CA). The intraassay CV was 4.9–6.7%, the interassay CV was 7.2–9.0%, and the sensitivity limit was 0.1 µg/liter. In Parma and Bologna, serum GH was measured by RIA (Nichols Institute Diagnostics). The intraassay CV was 2.8–4.2%, the interassay CV was 3.5–7.2%, and the sensitivity limit was 0.02 µg/liter. In Turin, serum GH was measured by IRMA (Sorin, Saluggia, Italy). The intraassay CV was 1.5–2.9%, the interassay CV was 4.9–6.5%, and the sensitivity limit was 0.15 µg/liter.

IGF-I, IGF-II, and IGFBP-3 assessments were centralized in the laboratory of the Rina Balducci Centre of Pediatric Endocrinology (Rome, Italy). Blood samples were collected without anticoagulant between 0800 and 0900 h from fasting subjects, allowed to coagulate, and centrifuged at room temperature. Serum samples were subdivided in two aliquots (A, 0.8–1 ml; B, 0.1–0.2 ml) and stored at −20 C. Thereafter, frozen serum samples were shipped in dry ice and kept at −20 C. Samples thawed just before the assays that were performed within 6 months from blood collection. IGF-I, IGF-II, and IGFBP-3 measurements were made on serum aliquot A. IGFBP-3 Western immunoblot (WIB) analysis was performed on aliquot B. Serum IGFBP-3 was measured by IRMA (Diagnostic Systems Laboratories, Inc.). The intraassay CV was 1.8–3.9%, the interassay CV was 0.5–1.9%, and the sensitivity limit was 0.5 µg/liter (17.5 nmol/liter). Serum IGF-I was measured by IRMA (Nichols Institute Diagnostics).
**TABLE 1. Clinical and endocrine data of GHD and ISS subjects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GHD (n = 72)</th>
<th>ISS (n = 59)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>12.8 ± 6.3</td>
<td>9.2 ± 3.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (Z score)</td>
<td>−2.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>−2.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>19.9 ± 5.3</td>
<td>16.5 ± 3.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF-I (μg/liter)</td>
<td>104 ± 73</td>
<td>211 ± 133</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(nmol/liter)</td>
<td>(13.6 ± 9.5)</td>
<td>(27.6 ± 17.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF-I (Z score)</td>
<td>−2.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>−1.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(nmol/liter)</td>
<td>(82.8 ± 28.1)</td>
<td>(101 ± 30.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF-II (Z score)</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mg/liter)</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(nmol/liter)</td>
<td>(112 ± 42)</td>
<td>(129.5 ± 35)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGFBP-3 (Z score)</td>
<td>−1.0 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.3 ± 1.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Height Z scores were determined at the time of blood collection for IGF-I/IGF-II/IGFBP-3 measurements.
* According to reference standards in Z score reported in a different IGFBP-3 WIB analysis.

IGF-I was measured after extraction obtained by acidification followed by the addition of excess IGF-II to block the IGFBP binding sites. The intraassay CV was 3.3–4.6%, the interassay CV was 9.3–15.8%, and the sensitivity limit was 6 μg/liter (0.8 nmol/liter). Serum IGF-II was measured by IRMA (Diagnostic Systems Laboratories, Inc.) overnight at 4°C and with goat anti-IGF-I antibody (Diagnostic Systems Laboratories, Inc.) for 1 min at 20°C and exposed to hyperfilm ECL for 1 min to 1 h at 20°C. Densitometric analysis of bands was performed using a Bio-Rad GS 700 imaging densitometer (Bio-Rad, Richmond, CA). The relative amount of each IGFBP-3 circulating fragment was estimated by calculating the absorbance of the fragment band over the sum of the intact IGFBP-3 and IGFBP-3 fragments in the same lane.

**Statistical analysis**

Results are reported as mean ± sd. Differences between means were assessed using unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test. Significance was assigned for P < 0.05. Sensitivity (true positive ratio) was defined as the number of true positives divided by the sum of true positives plus false negatives. Specificity (true negative ratio) was defined as the number of true negatives divided by the sum of true negatives plus false positives.

**Results**

Clinical and endocrine data of patients with GHD and ISS are summarized in Table 1.

**IGFBP-3 assessment**

IGFBP-3 serum levels were evaluated in 52 patients with GHD (30 males and 22 females) and in 58 children with ISS (36 males and 22 females). The majority of patients with GHD had IGFBP-3 values within the normal range (Fig. 1). Only 10 of 30 males and four of 22 females had IGFBP-3 concentrations equal or below −2 Z score, with an overall sensitivity of 27%.

In young adult patients with GHD (from approximately 15–25 yr, 15 males and nine females), the sensitivity of IGFBP-3 assessment was 46%.

No of the children with ISS had IGFBP-3 levels equal or below −2 Z score. Therefore, the overall specificity of IGFBP-3 measurement was 100% (Fig. 1).

**IGFBP-3 in males and females**
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IGF-I assessment

IGF-I was measured in all 72 patients with GHD (47 boys and 25 girls) and 59 subjects with ISS (37 males and 22 females). The commercial kit we used provides reference values according to age in the form of centiles (5th to 95th centiles). Taking the fifth centile as threshold value, 50 of 72 patients with GHD showed IGF-I levels equal or below the cutoff value, with a sensitivity of 69% (Fig. 2, A and B). In children with ISS, IGF-I concentrations were equal or below the fifth centile in 11 of 59 subjects, with a specificity of 81% (Fig. 2, A and B). It is noteworthy that specificity of IGF-I measurement was 91% in children younger than 11, whereas in subjects older than 11, specificity was 53%.

IGF-II assessment

IGF-II serum levels were evaluated in 52 patients with GHD (30 males and 22 females) and 40 children with ISS (20 males and 20 females). Twelve of 52 subjects with GHD

\[ \text{IGF-I in males} \]

\[ \text{IGF-I in females} \]
showed concentrations equal or below −2 Z score, with a sensitivity of 23% (Fig. 3). In young adult patients with GHD (from approximately 15–25 yr, 12 males and seven females), the sensitivity of IGF-II assessment was 26%. All but one child with ISS had IGF-II levels above −2 Z score. The overall specificity of IGF-II measurement was 97.5% (Fig. 3).

**IGFBP-3 WIB analysis**

WIB analysis was performed in all 72 patients with GHD and in 40 children with ISS. In both groups, IGFBP-3 immunoblot analysis revealed the presence of two major forms: the intact form represented by a doublet migrating at approximately 42–39 kDa molecular mass and the major product of proteolysis migrating at approximately 29 kDa (Fig. 4, A and B).

Densitometry did not show any relationship between the relative amounts of the two IGFBP-3 forms and age, sex, anthropometry, peak GH response, and IGF-I and IGF-II concentrations. In all patients with GHD, WIB analysis revealed the presence of an additional smaller fragment migrating at approximately 18 kDa (Fig. 4B). Densitometric analysis did not show any relationship between the relative amount of the 18-kDa fragment and age, sex, anthropometry, peak GH response, and IGF-I and IGF-II levels. This 18-kDa fragment was absent in all children with ISS.

**Discussion**

IGFBP-3 is the major serum carrier of IGFs and circulates as part of a ternary complex consisting of the binding protein, an IGF peptide, and an acid-labile subunit (11). IGFBP-3 serum levels are constant throughout the day and are closely GH dependent (11). These characteristics led to propose IGFBP-3 assessment as a reliable and simple screening test in the work-up of children with short stature. Preliminary results were promising (25). Moreover, IGFBP-3 measurement seemed to offer several important advantages over IGF-I determination: 1) no extraction step is required before measurement, improving the precision and facilitating the procedure; 2) IGFBP-3 normally circulates in the serum at high concentrations, so that assay sensitivity is not problematic; 3) IGFBP-3 serum concentrations, like those of IGF-I, are age-dependent, but the normal range varies only modestly with age and pubertal status; 4) the impact of nutritional status is not as great as it is with IGF-I; and, finally, 5) the molar concentrations of IGFBP-3 approximate the sum of the molar concentrations of IGF-I + IGF-II, making it possible to estimate total IGF content. Several studies have addressed the issue of sensitivity and specificity of IGFBP-3 assessment in the diagnosis of GHD, yielding conflicting results (19, 26–34). We previously reported poor sensitivity and high specificity of IGFBP-3 evaluation, suggesting that proteolysis likely affects the IGFBP-3 assay results (9, 10). Only three studies reported IGFBP-3 sensitivity equal or greater than 90% (19, 25, 26), whereas most previous results showed a failure of IGFBP-3 measurement to diagnose GHD, the sensitivity ranging from 22–79% (27–34). To date, however, no systematic investigation has been carried out to elucidate the mechanisms underlying the poor accuracy of IGFBP-3 measurement.

The results of the present study performed in patients with GHD due to structural hypothalamic-pituitary abnormalities confirm our previous observations. IGFBP-3 assessment shows an overall sensitivity of 27% in childhood. In young adult patients with GHD, the measurement of IGFBP-3 provides slightly higher sensitivity (46%), suggesting that normal IGFBP-3 concentrations do not rule out GHD both in children and young adults. Because specificity was 100%, low IGFBP-3 levels are strongly suggestive of GHD.

One of the mechanisms proposed to explain the low sensitivity of IGFBP-3 measurement in the diagnosis of GHD is that IGFBP-3 concentrations reflect the total IGF circulating concentrations, IGF-I + IGF-II, but IGF-II is less GH dependent than IGF-I (11, 35). Consistent with this, our results show poor GH dependency of IGF-II circulating levels, the overall sensitivity of IGF-II assessment being 23 and 26% in young adulthood. Therefore, normal IGF-II values may be found in more than 70% of patients with GHD. The specificity of IGF-II assay was 97.5%, suggesting that low concentrations are strongly in favor of severe GHD.

The measurement of IGF-I had a sensitivity of approximately 70% and specificity of 80%. It is noteworthy that the specificity of IGF-I measurement was 91% in children.

*Fig. 3. Individual data for IGF-II, expressed as Z score, in GHD (n = 52, ●) and ISS patients (n = 40, △).*
younger than 11 and only 53% in the older ones, probably reflecting the lack of appropriate reference standards for bone age and pubertal stage. However, other intrinsic factors may affect the accuracy of IGF-I assessment in the diagnosis of GHD. The biological variability in IGF-I measurements is up to 32% in the same subject tested on different days (36). Furthermore, genetic determinants account for 40% of the variability in serum IGF-I (37).

Our results suggest that normal IGF-I concentrations do not exclude GHD in 30% of patients, whereas subnormal values strongly suggest GHD in children with age below 11 yr. During puberty and young adulthood, appropriate normative data are needed (38).

We investigated IGFBP-3 circulating molecular forms in patients with GHD and children with ISS at the different age ranges to study the potential impact of proteolysis on the accuracy of the IGFBP-3 assay. In human serum, two immunoreactive forms of IGFBP-3, a 42–39-kDa and a 29-kDa form, have been described, the former representing the intact form, the latter the major fragment of IGFBP-3 (39). Our results show that patients with GHD have IGFBP-3 proteolytic activity yielding an additional low-molecular mass fragment migrating at 18 kDa. This pattern of fragmentation was constant in all patients with GHD from childhood to young adulthood and was absent in all children with ISS. This finding strongly supports the hypothesis that IGFBP-3 proteolysis is increased in GHD, at least up to young adulthood, and might affect the results of IGFBP-3 measurements. However, the results of IGFBP-3 WIB analysis were not controlled for the interassay variability; hence, semiquantitative comparisons between GHD and ISS samples could not be made. A similar small IGFBP-3 fragment was described by Spagnoli et al. (14) in the urine and serum of normal children and patients with GHD on GH replacement therapy. The small number of subjects studied by Spagnoli et al. (14), the less restrictive diagnostic criteria for diagnosing GHD used in that study, and the use of different antibodies may account for the different results. Lassarre et al. (15) first reported that the results of IGFBP-3 measurement may depend on the relative affinities of antibodies for the intact and proteolyzed forms of the protein. However, they studied only 10 patients with GHD in whom they observed that IGFBP-3 proteolysis (yielding exclusively the major 29-kDa fragment) coincided with a decrease in immunoassayable IGFBP-3 levels (15).

Our finding of the 100% specificity for the 18-kDa IGFBP-3 fragment might suggest it to be a specific marker of GHD. However, this fragment was previously identified in serum from healthy children (14), healthy neonates (24), infants born small for gestational age (24), and children with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (40).

In conclusion, our results show that the low GH dependency of IGF-II secretion together with IGFBP-3 proteolytic activity yielding the 18-kDa fragment concur to reduce the sensitivity of IGFBP-3 assessment, ultimately making it too inaccurate as a screening test in the work-up of short stature both in childhood and young adulthood. Normal values of IGF-I and IGF-II concentrations do not rule out GHD. The high specificity of IGF-I, IGF-II, and IGFBP-3 measurements suggests that a subnormal value of at least two of the three variables, in addition to anthropometric criteria and brain imaging, might enable the diagnosis of GHD in prepubertal children without any further test.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Italian Society for Pediatric Endocrinology and Diabetology and the Italian Society for Pediatric Endocrinology and Diabetology study group on pathophysiology of growth processes for supporting this work.

Received April 5, 2005. Accepted August 3, 2005.
Address all correspondence and requests for reprints to: Stefano Cianfarani, M.D., Rina Balduzzi Center of Pediatric Endocrinology, Department of Public Health and Cell Biology, Room E-178, Tor Vergata University, Via Montipelleri 1, 00133 Rome, Italy. E-mail: stefano.cianfarani@uniroma2.it.

References


JCEM is published monthly by The Endocrine Society (http://www.endo-society.org), the foremost professional society serving the endocrine community.