Electromotive instillation of mitomycin immediately before transurethral resection for patients with primary urothelial non-muscle invasive bladder cancer: a randomised controlled trial

Savino M Di Stasi, Marco Valenti, Cristian Verri, Emanuele Liberati, Arrangelo Giurioli, Gioia Leprini, Francesco Masedu, Antonio R Ricci, Francesco Micali, Giuseppe Vespasiani

Summary

Background The clinical effect of intravesical instillation of chemotherapy immediately after transurethral resection of bladder tumours (TURBT) has recently been questioned, despite its recommendation in guidelines. Our aim was to compare TURBT alone with immediate post-TURBT intravesical passive diffusion (PD) of mitomycin and immediate pre-TURBT intravesical electromotive drug administration (EMDA) of mitomycin in non-muscle invasive bladder cancer.

Methods We did a multicentre, randomised, parallel-group study in patients with primary non-muscle invasive bladder cancer in three centres in Italy between Jan 1, 1994, and Dec 31, 2003. Patients were randomly assigned to receive treatment by means of stratified blocked randomisation across six strata. Patients and physicians giving the interventions were aware of assignment, but it was masked from outcome assessors and data analysts. Patients were randomly assigned to receive TURBT alone, immediate post-TURBT instillation of 40 mg PD mitomycin dissolved in 50 mL sterile water infused over 60 min, or immediate pre-TURBT instillation of 40 mg EMDA mitomycin dissolved in 100 mL sterile water with intravesical 20 mA pulsed electric current for 30 min. Our primary endpoints were recurrence rate and disease-free interval. Analyses were done by intention to treat. Follow-up for our trial is complete. This study is registered with ClinicalTrials.gov, number NCT01149174.

Findings 124 patients were randomly assigned to receive TURBT alone, 126 to receive immediate post-TURBT PD mitomycin, and 124 to receive immediate pre-TURBT EMDA mitomycin. 22 patients were excluded from our analyses because they did not meet our eligibility criteria after TURBT: 11 had stage pT2 disease and 11 had carcinoma in situ. Median follow-up was 86 months (IQR 57–125). Patients assigned to receive EMDA mitomycin before TURBT had a lower rate of recurrence (44 [38%] of 117) than those assigned to receive PD mitomycin after TURBT (70 [59%] of 119) and TURBT alone (74 [64%] of 116; log-rank p<0.0001). Patients assigned to receive EMDA mitomycin before TURBT also had a higher disease-free interval (52 months, IQR 32–184) than those assigned to receive PD mitomycin after TURBT (16 months, 12–168) and TURBT alone (12 months, 12–37; log-rank p<0.0001). We recorded persistent bladder symptoms after TURBT in 18 (16%) of 116 patients in the TURBT-alone group (duration 3–7 days), 37 (31%) of 119 in the PD mitomycin post-TURBT group (duration 20–30 days), and 24 (21%) of 117 in the EMDA mitomycin pre-TURBT group (duration 7–12 days); haematuria after TURBT in eight (7%) of 116 patients in the TURBT-alone group (duration 20–30 days), and 24 (21%) of 117 in the EMDA mitomycin pre-TURBT group.

Interpretation Intravesical EMDA mitomycin before TURBT is feasible and safe; moreover, it reduces recurrence rates and enhances the disease-free interval compared with intravesical PD mitomycin after TURBT and TURBT alone.

Funding None.

Introduction Bladder cancer is the seventh most common cancer in men.1 In 2008 an estimated 386 300 cases were diagnosed and 150 200 patients died of the disease worldwide.1 Of newly diagnosed bladder cancer cases, 75–85% present as non-muscle invasive disease, including papillary lesions confined to the urothelium (stage Ta) or invading the lamina propria (stage T1), and carcinoma in situ (stage Tis).3 Despite adjuvant intravesical therapy after transurethral resection of bladder tumour (TURBT), 31–78% of patients relapse and 1–45% progress to muscle-invasive disease within 5 years.3 Repeating TURBT and intravesical instillations of immunotherapeutic and chemotherapeutic drugs cause substantial inconvenience and morbidity, making cost per patient from diagnosis to death the highest of all cancers.”

One commonly accepted mechanism for tumour recurrence is seeding of circulating tumour cells that are...
released at resection sites during TURBT. To kill exfoliated tumour cells that could implant, and thus reduce recurrence, researchers have tested intravesical chemotherapy instillation immediately after TURBT. Meta-analyses by the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) and the American Urological Association reported that intravesical chemotherapy instillation immediately after TURBT reduced recurrence in patients with low-risk non-muscle invasive bladder cancer by 12% and intermediate risk by 17% (vs TURBT alone), with negligible side-effects. The European Association of Urology and, to a lesser extent, the American Urological Association guidelines consequently recommended that this strategy be included in treatment plans for all patients with non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. However, disagreement persists despite level 1 evidence supporting its use, and it is rarely given by urologists.

In laboratory and clinical studies, intravesical electromotive drug administration (EMDA) increased mitomycin bladder uptake, improving clinical efficacy in high-risk non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. Intravesical EMDA mitomycin is not recommended immediately after TURBT because catheter electrode rigidity might cause additional mechanical trauma and urothelial injury, leading to bladder spasm and leakage of drug solution. Furthermore, haematuria and bladder perforation are contraindications to intravesical EMDA mitomycin. Our aim was to compare the effects of intravesical EMDA mitomycin immediately before TURBT, intravesical passive diffusion (PD) mitomycin immediately after TURBT, and TURBT alone in patients with primary urothelial non-muscle invasive bladder cancer.

**Methods**

**Participants**

Between Jan 1, 1994, and Dec 31, 2003, we enrolled patients into our multicentre, randomised, parallel-group study at three centres in Italy: the Department of Surgery/Urology of Tor Vergata University of Rome, which coordinated and did the study; the Urology Oncology Unit, Policlinico Casilino, Rome, Italy and the Urology Unit, “A Perrino” Hospital, Brindisi, Italy, from which the participants were recruited. All patients underwent abdominal ultrasound, urinary cytology, and cystoscopy with cold-cup biopsy of the bladder tumours. We graded all tumour biopsy samples with the 1973 WHO classifications. We assessed risk categories for recurrence and progression in accordance with Guidelines of the European Association of Urology for non-muscle invasive bladder cancer.

Eligible participants had histologically proven primary pTa and pT1 urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. Our inclusion criteria were age 18 years or older, adequate bone-marrow reserve (ie, white-blood-cell count ≥4000×10⁶ cells per L; platelet count ≥120×10⁹/L), normal renal function (ie, serum creatinine ≤123·76 μmol/L), normal liver function (ie, serum glutamic-oxaloacetic aminotransferase ≤42 U/L, serum glutamic-pyruvic aminotransferase ≤48 U/L, and total bilirubin ≤22 μmol/L), and Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status between 0 and 2. Our exclusion criteria were non-urothelial carcinomas of the bladder; previous bladder cancer; previous intravesical treatment with chemotherapeutic and immunotherapeutic drugs; known allergy to mitomycin; previous or concomitant urinary-tract carcinoma in situ, urothelial carcinoma of the upper urinary tract and urethra, or both; bladder capacity less than 200 mL; untreated urinary-tract infection; severe systemic infection (ie, sepsis); treatment with immunosuppressive drugs; urethral strictures that would prevent endoscopic procedures and catheterisation; previous radiotherapy to the pelvis; other concurrent chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and treatment with biological response modifiers; other malignant diseases within 5 years of trial registration (except for adequately treated basal-cell or squamous-cell skin cancer, in situ cervical cancer); pregnancy; and any factors that would preclude study participation. All enrolled patients signed an informed consent form, approved by the institutional review boards, providing details of treatments. The institutional review boards of each participating centre approved the study design.

**Randomisation and masking**

We randomly assigned patients to our study groups within 7 days of cystoscopy, cold-cup bladder tumour biopsy, and urinary cytology. We used tumour number and histology for stratification. We randomly assigned patients to one of our three treatment groups by means of stratified–blocked randomisation across six (two by three) strata derived from two prognostic criteria: unifocal versus multifocal tumours, and grade 1 versus grade 2 versus grade 3 urothelial carcinoma. This method ensured prognostic parity among our three treatment groups.

In each stratum, given two possible block lengths of three and six respectively, with a random number generator giving numbers uniformly distributed between 0 and 1, we selected a block length of three for random numbers lower than 0·5 or a block length of six otherwise. We then did a random permutation procedure by choosing a random number to associate with each of the letters (“ABC” or “AABBCC”, where A, B, and C respectively are the three treatment groups) of a block and then assigning, in order, the letter ranked by the corresponding random number. The procedure was repeated for each of the six strata.

We concealed assignment by varying the blocking number. Variation of the block number prevented the clinician from guessing what the next treatment will be; therefore, varying the block sizes at random makes it difficult (although not impossible) to break the treatment code. The assignment sequence was generated by the
statistical unit of the study, which subsequently did the masked data analysis. Participants were enrolled by the clinical operative units. Patients were assigned each time to the trial group by the epidemiological assessor of the study. Patients and the physicians giving the interventions were aware of assignment; however, assignment was masked from the outcome assessors and data analysts.

Procedure
Patients received interventions about 2 weeks after randomisation. For TURBT alone, patients underwent TURBT of all bladder tumours visible on endoscopy, ensuring that muscle was included in resected samples, as specified by European Association of Urology guidelines. For intravesical PD mitomycin immediately after TURBT, patients received 40 mg mitomycin dissolved in 50 mL sterile water within 6 h of TURBT. After bladder draining, the mitomycin solution was infused intravesically through a Foley catheter, retained in the bladder for 60 min with catheter clamping, and then drained. After bladder draining the patient received continuous intravesical irrigation with saline for 8 h (day-surgery admission) to 18 h (elective admission). When persistent macroscopic haematuria was present, bladder perforation was suspected or noted during TURBT, or perforation was suspected or noted during TURBT, or a controlled electric current that passes between the active electrode (integrated into a specific transurethral catheter) and dispersive ground electrodes (on skin of the lower abdomen). Operators set active electrode polarity and current intensity on the generator. EMDA is given by a battery-powered generator delivering a controlled electric current that passes between the active intravesical electrode (integrated into a specific transurethral catheter) and dispersive ground electrodes (on skin of the lower abdomen). Operators set active electrode polarity and current intensity on the generator. No patient underwent cystogram assessment after TURBT. The ineligible patients were excluded because they did not fit our criteria after TURBT: 11 had stage pT2 disease (four TURBT alone, three PD mitomycin post-TURBT, four EMDA mitomycin pre-TURBT), and 11 had carcinoma in situ (four TURBT alone, four PD mitomycin post-TURBT, three EMDA mitomycin pre-TURBT). TURBT–transurethral resection of bladder tumours. PD=passive diffusion. EMDA=electromotive drug administration.

No adjuvant intravesical therapy was given to patients with low-risk non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. All patients with intermediate-risk and high-risk non-muscle invasive bladder cancer in our three groups were scheduled to receive adjuvant long-term intravesical therapy starting about 3 weeks after TURBT procedures. Patients with intermediate-risk disease received an initial intravesical treatment of 40 mg PD mitomycin dissolved in 50 mL sterile water and retained in the bladder for 60 min, once a week for 6 weeks. Patients with high-risk disease received an initial intravesical treatment of 81 mg BCG Connaught substrain (ImmuCyst, Alfa Wassermann SpA, Bologna, Italy) dissolved in 50 mL bacteriostatic-free solution of 0·9% sodium chloride and retained in the bladder for 120 min once a week for 6 weeks. Patients assigned to receive BCG and mitomycin were placed on fluid restriction and 2 g ingested sodium bicarbonate the night before treatment, the morning of treatment, and 2 h before treatment with mitomycin. Patients who were disease-free 3 months after induction treatment were scheduled to receive monthly intravesical instillation for 10 months, with the same dose and methods of infusion as initial assigned treatment. Thus, a total of 16 instillations were given over 12 months. In case of recurrence, TURBT was done and maintenance mitomycin was continued in accordance with the initial schedule in patients with intermediate-risk disease, whereas a second 6-week course of BCG was given to patients with high-risk disease. We suspended patients on adjuvant intravesical mitomycin or BCG from the trial on a second recurrence, development of carcinoma in situ, development of carcinoma in the upper urinary tract or prostatic urethra, progression to muscle-invasive disease (ie, stage pT2 or more advanced), or the development of metastases. Further treatment was left to the discretion of the local investigator.

![Figure 1: Trial profile](http://www.thelancet.com/oncology/Vol_12_Sep_2011/p0873 is www.thelancet.com/oncology Vol 12 September 2011 873 Articles)
Our primary endpoints were recurrence rate and disease-free interval for patients who were disease-free after treatment—ie, time from randomisation to the first cystoscopy noting recurrence. Our secondary endpoints were time to progression (ie, time from randomisation until onset of muscle-invasive disease [stage T2 or higher] as recorded by pathological assessment of TURBT or biopsy samples), overall survival (ie, time from randomisation until death from any cause), and disease-specific survival (ie, time from randomisation until death from bladder cancer). We censored patients without recurrence or progression at the last cystoscopy and drop-outs on the last known day of survival.

Response to treatment was assessed with abdominal ultrasonography, cystoscopy, and urinary cytology. Patients who were disease-free 3 months after treatment were assessed every 3 months for the first 3 years, twice during the third year, and yearly thereafter. At cystoscopy any tumour or abnormal looking urothelium was resected and tissue sent to the reference pathologist to confirm recurrence. All patients were assessed for safety. Symptoms and side-effects, classified as local, systemic, or allergic, were recorded during and after each perioperative (EMDA mitomycin pre-TURBT and PD mitomycin post-TURBT) and adjuvant (PD mitomycin in patients with intermediate-risk disease and BCG in patients with high-risk disease) intravesical treatment. Local side-effects were defined as culture-proven bacterial cystitis, drug-induced (ie, chemical) cystitis, visible haematuria, dysuria, prostatitis, epididymitis, obstruction of the ureter, and contracted bladder; systemic side-effects as fever of greater than 38°C, general malaise and fatigue, liver toxicity, BCG-induced lung infection, and BCG sepsis; and allergic side-effects as skin rash, arthralgia, and arthritis. The severity of symptoms and side-effects was classified by the attending physician as those that needed no delay, delay, or indefinite postponement of intravesical treatment.

### Statistical analysis

Given previous reports and our own experience, we assumed the 5-year recurrence-free probability to be about 60% in patients with non-muscle invasive bladder cancer treated with intravesical therapy; we assumed a 20% increase in time to recurrence was clinically relevant.

The sample size had to be about 297 to detect—with power 1–β=0.80 and type 1 error α=0.05—if the three

### Table 1: Baseline characteristics of eligible patients by treatment group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number in risk categories in accordance with EAU guidelines (%)</th>
<th>TURBT alone (n=116)</th>
<th>PD mitomycin after TURBT (n=119)</th>
<th>EMDA mitomycin before TURBT (n=117)</th>
<th>TURBT alone, HR* (95% CI)</th>
<th>PD mitomycin after TURBT vs TURBT alone, HR* (95% CI)</th>
<th>EMDA mitomycin before TURBT vs TURBT alone, HR* (95% CI)</th>
<th>Log-rank p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall (n=352)</td>
<td>74/116 (64%)</td>
<td>70/119 (59%)</td>
<td>44/117 (38%)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.61-1.17)</td>
<td>0.40 (0.28-0.59)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low risk (n=30)</td>
<td>0/9 (0%)</td>
<td>0/10 (0%)</td>
<td>1/11 (9%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate risk (n=225)</td>
<td>47/75 (63%)</td>
<td>46/77 (60%)</td>
<td>26/73 (36%)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.58-1.30)</td>
<td>0.40 (0.25-0.65)</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High risk (n=97)</td>
<td>27/22 (84%)</td>
<td>24/22 (75%)</td>
<td>17/33 (52%)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.47-1.41)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.16-0.55)</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TURBT=transurethral resection of bladder tumours. PD=passive diffusion. EMDA=electromotive drug administration. EAU=European Association of Urology.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Tumour recurrence by treatment group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TURBT alone (n=116)</th>
<th>PD mitomycin after TURBT (n=119)</th>
<th>EMDA mitomycin before TURBT (n=117)</th>
<th>TURBT alone, HR* (95% CI)</th>
<th>PD mitomycin after TURBT vs TURBT alone, HR* (95% CI)</th>
<th>EMDA mitomycin before TURBT vs TURBT alone, HR* (95% CI)</th>
<th>Log-rank p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall (n=352)</td>
<td>74/116 (64%)</td>
<td>70/119 (59%)</td>
<td>44/117 (38%)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.61-1.17)</td>
<td>0.40 (0.28-0.59)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low risk (n=30)</td>
<td>0/9 (0%)</td>
<td>0/10 (0%)</td>
<td>1/11 (9%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate risk (n=225)</td>
<td>47/75 (63%)</td>
<td>46/77 (60%)</td>
<td>26/73 (36%)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.58-1.30)</td>
<td>0.40 (0.25-0.65)</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High risk (n=97)</td>
<td>27/22 (84%)</td>
<td>24/22 (75%)</td>
<td>17/33 (52%)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.47-1.41)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.16-0.55)</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TURBT=transurethral resection of bladder tumours. PD=passive diffusion. EMDA=electromotive drug administration. HR=hazard ratio. *Reference risk of time-to-event for TURBT-alone group. With respect to overall data in the EMDA mitomycin pre-TURBT treatment group, median time was not estimated, but calculated from the dataset.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
treatments differed by the difference between the arcsin square-root transformations of both expected and known recurrence-free proportions. Allowance for 15% potential withdrawal increased the sample to about 342—ie, 114 patients per treatment group. More than 120 recurrences were needed to ensure at least 80% power to detect a hazard ratio (HR) between any two treatments that might exceed 2·0, with a log-rank test at α=0·05.

We did all analyses by intention to treat. We estimated times to first recurrence and progression, overall survival, and disease-specific survival with a generalised k-sample log-rank test. All tests were two-sided; we deemed p values below 0·05 significant. We calculated HRs with 95% CI by proportional-hazards regression. We did our statistical analyses with SAS/STAT software (version 9.2). This study is registered with ClinicalTrials.gov, number NCT01149174.

Role of the funding source
There was no funding source for this study. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Results
We screened 398 patients at our three centres. Before randomisation we excluded 24 patients because of concomitant transitional cell carcinoma of the upper urinary tract (five patients) and prostatic urethra (seven), histology other than pure urothelial carcinoma (eight), and refusal of consent (four). Thus, 374 patients were randomly assigned to receive treatment (figure 1). Restaging transurethral resection and random cold-cup bladder and prostatic urethra biopsies were necessary in 112 patients: 97 with high-risk disease and 15 with multifocal intermediate-risk disease. On restaging TURBT, histological findings showed that 12 patients with residual stage pTa or pT1 tumour were eligible, whereas 11 with concomitant pTis and 11 with muscle-invasive disease (stage pT2) were ineligible. In total, we included 352 patients in our analysis. Pertinent characteristics in our three treatment groups were similar (table 1). 225 patients with intermediate-risk disease received adjuvant long-term intravesical mitomycin (75 in the TURBT-alone group [compliance 73 of 75], 77 in the PD mitomycin post-TURBT group [72 of 77], and 73 in the EMDA mitomycin pre-TURBT group [74 of 75]) and 97 with high-risk disease received BCG (32 in the TURBT-alone group [compliance 30 of 32], 32 in the PD mitomycin post-TURBT group [31 of 32], and 33 in the EMDA mitomycin pre-TURBT group [30 of 33]).

After a median follow-up of 86 months (IQR 57–125), 42 (36%) of 116 patient treated with TURBT alone, 49 (41%) of 119 treated with PD mitomycin after TURBT, and 73 (62%) of 117 treated with EMDA mitomycin before TURBT were disease-free (p<0·0001); significantly fewer patients treated with EMDA mitomycin before TURBT had recurrences than did those who were treated with either TURBT alone or with PD mitomycin after TURBT (table 2).

Figure 2: Disease-free interval overall (A), in unifocal disease (B), and in multifocal disease (C)
TURBT=transurethral resection of bladder tumours. PD=passive diffusion. MMC=mitomycin. EMDA=electromotive drug administration.
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For TURBT alone, we detected overt bladder perforation in five (4%) of 116 patients and gross haematuria in eight (7%) of 116. Irritative bladder symptoms, lasting 3–7 days after resection, were reported in 18 (16%) of 116. For PD mitomycin after TURBT, intravesical instillation was stopped after 10–15 min in 28 (24%) of 119 patients because of pain, bladder spasm, and leakage of drug solution. After TURBT in this group, overt bladder perforation was detected in nine (8%) of 119 patients and gross haematuria in 16 (13%) of 119. Irritative bladder symptoms, lasting 20–30 days after resection, were reported in 37 (31%) of 119. For EMDA mitomycin before TURBT, 116 (99%) of 117 patients completed treatment. Intravesical instillation was not given to one patient because of urethral stricture; nine (8%) of 117 had transient mild (pink) haematuria after instillation. After TURBT in this group, we detected overt bladder perforation in seven (6%) of 117 patients and gross haematuria in 11 (9%) of 117. Irritative bladder symptoms, lasting 7–12 days after resection, were reported in 24 (21%) of 117. In both perioperative mitomycin groups there were no allergic reactions or haematological toxic effects.

There were fewer recurrences in patients with multifocal intermediate-risk and high-risk disease who received an intravesical EMDA mitomycin instillation before TURBT. Pairwise comparisons showed a significant difference between the mitomycin before TURBT and TURBT alone (HR 0·40, 95% CI 0·28–0·59), and no significant difference between TURBT alone and PD mitomycin after TURBT in the overall population (0·84, 0·61–1·17; table 2). Similar findings were noted in intermediate-risk (0·41, 0·24–0·72) and high-risk (0·30, 0·15–0·58) patients with multifocal tumours (table 2). No differences between groups were noted for patients with low-risk disease because of the low event rate in this subgroup (table 2).

The disease-free interval was longer after EMDA mitomycin before TURBT (52 months, IQR 32–184) than after PD mitomycin after TURBT (16 months, 12–168) or TURBT alone (12 months, 12–37; log-rank p<0·0001; figure 2 and table 3).

24 (21%) of 116 patients treated with TURBT alone, 23 (19%) of 119 treated with PD mitomycin after TURBT, and 19 (16%) of 117 treated with EMDA mitomycin before TURBT progressed to muscle-invasive disease (log-rank p=0·55). There was no disease progression in patients with low-risk disease, but 28 (12%) of 225 with intermediate-risk disease and 37 (38%) of 97 with high-risk disease progressed (log-rank p=0·66).

We did not identify significant differences in overall survival (50 [43%] of 116 patients treated with TURBT alone, 58 [49%] of 119 treated with PD mitomycin after TURBT, and 54 [46%] of 117 treated with EMDA mitomycin before TURBT had died; log-rank p=0·66), and disease-specific survival (15 [13%] of 116 treated with TURBT alone, 15 [13%] of 119 treated with PD mitomycin after TURBT, and 11 [9%] of 117 treated with EMDA mitomycin before TURBT had died of disease-specific causes; log-rank p=0·62).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: Median disease-free interval by treatment group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TURBT alone (n=116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall (n=352)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifocal (n=245)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate risk (n=225)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low risk (n=30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High risk (n=97)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are months (IQR). TURBT=transurethral resection of bladder tumours. PD=passive diffusion. EMDA=electromotive drug administration. NA=not available; median disease-free interval estimates time after which 50% of study group are expected to survive; for diseases with favourable prognosis, survival probability might not reach 0·5 during study period, preventing estimate of median time or upper 95% CI. *With respect to overall data in the EMDA mitomycin pre-TURBT treatment group, median time was not estimated, but calculated from the dataset.
Discussion

Our findings show that intravesical instillation of EMDA mitomycin given immediately before TURBT in patients with primary non-muscle invasive bladder cancer provided significant long-term benefits: the recurrence rate was lower and median disease-free interval longer with EMDA mitomycin before TURBT than after intravesical PD mitomycin before TURBT or TURBT alone. We noted improvements in all stratification groups in intermediate-risk and high-risk non-muscle invasive bladder cancer, and significantly in patients with multifocal disease. We noted no differences between groups for patients with low-risk disease, primarily because only one of 30 patients had disease recurrence.

EMDA mitomycin before TURBT gives suboptimal benefit in unifocal intermediate-risk and high-risk disease, for which no significant difference was shown between recurrence rates in the PD mitomycin post-TURBT group and the TURBT-alone group. Recurrence rates and disease-free intervals did not differ significantly after PD mitomycin after TURBT and TURBT alone.

Although we did not do cost analyses during the course of the study, we must highlight the potential economic gains of our treatment protocol. In our 5-year follow-up, EMDA mitomycin before TURBT provided a 21% absolute reduction in recurrence compared with PD mitomycin after TURBT. This change corresponds to a number needed to treat of 4–8 people per recurrence averted, which is lower than that calculated in previous meta-analyses of intravesical instillation immediately after TURBT. Since progression to muscle-invasive disease, death, or both, did not differ significantly between our three groups, our data suggest that perioperative intravesical instillation of chemotherapy plus intravesical standard regimens (eg, BCG or chemotherapy) are insufficient for patients at risk of disease progression. Even though attempts to develop drugs for BCG-refractory non-muscle invasive bladder cancer continue, none so far have shown high rates of long-term success.

Intravesical sequential BCG and EMDA mitomycin compared with BCG alone in high-risk non-muscle invasive bladder cancer was associated with significantly fewer disease recurrences, progressions, and deaths. If confirmed in controlled multicentre clinical trials, the improved outcomes, particularly compared with failed intravesical treatment in high-risk disease, would justify the cost of intravesical EMDA therapy. Costs will inevitably need to be scrutinised, even though the initial expense of the present generator (often supplied free by the manufacturer) and the running costs of disposables (electrode catheter and indifferent electrodes) seems slight—ie, about £180 on average throughout Europe.

As reported elsewhere, intravesical EMDA increases bladder uptake of mitomycin, improving efficacy in high-risk non-muscle invasive bladder cancer, compared with passive mitomycin transport. Our findings suggest that high mitomycin concentrations in bladder tissue destroyed or inhibited implantation of viable cancer cells that could have been released during TURBT and thus reduced the recurrence rate of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer, with no long-term loss of treatment efficacy. An alternative but less probable hypothesis is that intravesical EMDA mitomycin before TURBT is able to treat residual (unrecognised and hence unresected or incompletely resected) tumour and the deeper tissue, which is exposed after TURBT. Intravesical perioperative chemotherapy cannot compensate for an incomplete TURBT and this emphasises the need for careful endoscopic examination of the bladder and attention to detail during endoscopic tumour resection.

Panel: Research in context

Systematic review

One commonly accepted mechanism for tumour recurrence in bladder cancer is re-implantation of floating tumour cells that are released during transurethral resection. Meta-analyses by the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer and the American Urological Association reported that a single dose of intravesical chemotherapy a few hours after transurethral resection reduced recurrence in patients with low-risk and intermediate-risk non-muscle invasive bladder cancer, with negligible side-effects. Despite level 1 evidence supporting its use, however, disagreement persists, and it is rarely given by urologists. Moreover, it has suboptimum efficacy in intermediate-risk patients and no benefit in high-risk patients, and there are other difficulties: the optimum schedule and duration of treatment are not yet standardised. Sometimes patients do not tolerate the procedure for the full course of treatment, and spasms, leakage, and haematuria cause premature evacuation of the drug, which probably decreases its potential benefits; if there is a bladder perforation during transurethral resection, it can result in severe complications because of extravasal extravasation of the drugs.

Interpretation

So far, all clinical trials designed to address the efficacy of intravesical passive diffusion (PD) mitomycin after transurethral resection have shown sufficient evidence of an advantage versus transurethral resection alone. We show that intravesical electromotive drug administration (EMDA) mitomycin before transurethral resection can be introduced in clinical practice as an alternative, safe treatment for patients with urothelial non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. Intravesical EMDA mitomycin before transurethral resection ensures a higher and deeper bladder target tissue concentration than intravesical PD mitomycin after transurethral resection. Intravesical EMDA mitomycin is an easily manageable procedure that is safe and well tolerated by patients. We show that intravesical EMDA mitomycin reduces recurrence and enhances the disease-free interval in intermediate-risk and high-risk non-muscle invasive bladder cancer; it has also proven to be efficacious in patients with multifocal disease, unlike either transurethral resection alone or PD mitomycin after transurethral resection. Clearly, intravesical EMDA mitomycin before transurethral resection or intravesical PD mitomycin after transurethral resection might be redundant in low-risk patients: a well done transurethral resection alone should ensure no recurrence and avoid the need for adjuvant treatments in general.

We recommend further research to confirm intravesical EMDA mitomycin before transurethral resection as a standard treatment in non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. In our opinion, intravesical EMDA mitomycin is the most effective and rational way to give intravesical mitomycin and our findings show that EMDA mitomycin before transurethral resection is the safest perioperative treatment for non-muscle invasive bladder cancer.
At present, the European Association of Urology has included intravesical instillation of PD chemotherapy immediately after TURBT as standard of care for all patients with non-muscle invasive bladder cancer, whereas the American Urological Association recommends it as a treatment option (panel). Underlying these recommendations is a meta-analysis of seven randomised trials with 1476 patients, showing recurrences dropped from 48.4% after TURBT alone to 36.7% after intravesical instillation of chemotherapy was added immediately after TURBT. Evidence was high-level for primary, unifocal, low-grade disease, and borderline for multifocal disease.

Several papers have recently suggested that chemotherapy instillation immediately after TURBT should be abandoned in non-muscle invasive bladder cancer because it prevented only small tumour recurrences; was of limited benefit in patients with multifocal, rapidly recurrent, high-grade disease, and did not seem to increase the efficacy of subsequent protracted intravesical regimens; was efficacious mainly during the first 2 years of follow-up; and had no effect on disease progression. In a randomised study, intravesical instillation of gemcitabine immediately after TURBT was no better than placebo in terms of recurrence-free survival. Continuous bladder irrigation with saline solution and improved TURBT techniques were postulated to underlie the low recurrence rate. Our findings reject the continuous bladder irrigation hypothesis, because all patients received continuous bladder irrigation with saline solution after TURBT for 8–18 h. The role of early intravesical instillation of chemotherapy after TURBT with fluorescence cystoscopy has not been established. However, even if disease detection and resection completeness are improved, the risk of tumour-cell reimplantation during TURBT.

Our study, of course, has limitations. A major concern about the design of our study is not having a group in receipt of intravesical PD mitomycin before TURBT. However, laboratory and clinical studies showed that mitomycin concentrations in the bladder-wall tissues were significantly increased by applying pulsed electric current (EMDA). Higher drug concentrations might have a clinical effect since intravesical EMDA mitomycin was associated with a lower recurrence rate than PD mitomycin. Further, in our study, cystoscopy, biopsy, and urinary cytology before randomisation constituted an additional step (with related costs and harms) with respect to standard protocols for immediate post-TURBT chemotherapy. However, it ensured preliminary patient stratification and homogeneous groups for assessment. Additionally, the endoscopic impression is often inaccurate, and erroneous tumour status assessment inevitably leads to opting for treatments with no potential value. As to the broader use of the techniques we propose, a potential weakness is that, despite evidence, urologists might be reluctant to practise additional manoeuvres for mitomycin administration. We hope that this position will change rapidly as evidence on cost-effectiveness emerges.

We showed that intravesical EMDA mitomycin before TURBT is feasible and safe. In other studies, the safety profile of intravesical chemotherapy instillation immediately after TURBT was usually not presented or associated with insignificant morbidity; rare but severe toxic effects were reported after deep resection or overt bladder perforation. Our findings show that intravesical PD mitomycin immediately after TURBT was associated with persistent lower urinary-tract symptoms exceeding those recorded after TURBT alone and those reported in randomised trials and meta-analyses. Some degree of drug extravasion in patients undergoing complete, correct TURBT remains unrecognised because cystography is not routine. This might have contributed to the high rate of persistent lower urinary-tract symptoms in our study.

For intravesical non-muscle invasive bladder cancer therapy, understanding the pharmacology of chemotherapeutic drugs and strategies to maximise drug delivery might aid in the design of rational clinical trials to provide patients with the best treatment for long-term cancer control. New frameworks for treatment of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer—eg, sequential intravesical BCG and EMDA mitomycin, as well as intravesical EMAD mitomycin immediately before TURBT—have provided promising preliminary results with higher remission rates and longer remission times, and they are a priority to minimise the costs of disease management. In conclusion, when managing non-muscle invasive bladder cancer, the role of EMDA needs to be assessed in depth and the advantages of intravesical EMDA mitomycin should not be ignored. We hope that future developments will enable intravesical EMDA mitomycin to be added in standardised treatment protocols.
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