CORRESPONDENCE Open Access

Experimental Hematology & Oncology

Targeting hepcidin in colorectal cancer triggers a TNF-dependent-gasdermin E-driven immunogenic cell death response

Antonio Di Grazia¹, Eleonora Franzè¹, Rachele Frascatani¹, Federica Laudisi¹, Teresa Pacifico¹, Lorenzo Tomassini¹, Davide Di Fusco¹, Vincenzo Formica³, Giuseppe Sica⁴, Carmine Stolfi¹, Ivan Monteleone⁵ and Giovanni Monteleone^{1,2*}

Abstract

Interactions between colorectal cancer (CRC) cells and the noncancerous cells in the tumor microenvironment (TME) induce mechanisms for the escape of tumor cells from immune attack. Hepcidin, a peptide that controls immune cell functions, is overproduced by CRC cells. This study aimed to evaluate whether hepcidin acts as a regulator of antitumor immunity in CRC. Hepcidin silencing in CRC cells was followed by enhanced TNF-driven caspase-dependent cleavage of GSDM E and death. Mice engrafted with hepcidin-defcient CT26 cells developed fewer and smaller tumors than control mice as a result of the action of tumor-infltrating CD8+T lymphocytes and were protected from the development of tumors in a vaccination model and exhibited long-lasting tumor protection. Additionally, hepcidin defciency enhanced the response of mice bearing CT26-derived tumors to anti-PD-1 therapy. These results suggest that targeting hepcidin in CRC cells enhances the production of TNF thereby triggering a caspase/GSDM E-driven lytic cell death with the downstream efect of boosting a robust immune response against tumor antigens.

Keywords Anti-cancer immunity, Lytic cell death, Caspases, PD-1

To the editor:

Interactions between colorectal cancer (CRC) cells and the noncancerous cells in the tumor microenvironment (TME) induce mechanisms for the escape of tumor cells from immune attack $[1]$. We and others have recently shown that CRC cells produce high levels of hepcidin, a peptide hormone that acts as an anti-microbial factor and regulator of iron homeostasis through the hepcidinferroportin (FPN1) axis $[2-4]$ $[2-4]$ $[2-4]$. Hepcidin induces regulatory molecules in monocytes and suppresses the function of infammatory macrophages [[5,](#page-4-3) [6](#page-4-4)], raising the possibility that CRC cell-derived hepcidin can contribute to generating a TME that promotes the escape of neoplastic cells from immune attack. This study aimed to assess whether hepcidin is a negative regulator of anti-cancer immunity in CRC.

Hepcidin silencing triggered HCT116 cell death and this was prevented by exogenous hepcidin (Fig. S1A, Fig. [1](#page-1-0)A). Hepcidin-defcient cells showed enhanced SYTOX green uptake, secretion of HMGB[1](#page-1-0) (Fig. $1B$, [C](#page-1-0)), and cleavage of gasdermin (GSDM) E (Fig. [1D](#page-1-0), Fig. S1B). GSDM E silencing in HCT116 cells reduced the rate of hepcidin-defcient cell death (Fig. [1E](#page-1-0); Fig. S1C, D). GSDM E is silenced

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver ([http://creativeco](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) [mmons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/)) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*}Correspondence:

Giovanni Monteleone

Gi.Monteleone@Med.uniroma2.it

¹ Department of Systems Medicine, University of Rome "Tor Vergata", Via Montpellier, 1, 00133 Rome, Italy

² Gastroenterology Unit, Fondazione Policlinico "Tor Vergata", Rome, Italy

³ Medical Oncology Unit, Fondazione Policlinico "Tor Vergata", Rome, Italy ⁴ Department of Surgery, University Rome of "Tor Vergata", Rome, Italy

⁵ Department of Biomedicine and Prevention, University of Rome "Tor

Vergata", Rome, Italy

in various tumor cell types due to high methylation of the GSDM E promoter region [\[7](#page-4-5)]. Hepcidin silencing did not

kill the GSDM E-deficient SW480 and AGS cells unless these cells were treated with decitabine, a cytosine analog that acts as a DNA methyltransferase inhibitor to enhance GSDM E expression (Fig. S1E-H).

GSDM-mediated cell death is triggered by caspases [\[8](#page-4-6), [9\]](#page-4-7). Hepcidin silencing increased caspase 8 and caspase 3 activation (Fig. S1I, J). Qvad, a pan-caspase inhibitor, blocked hepcidin silencing-driven GSDM E cleavage (Fig. S1K).

A gene array showed that hepcidin silencing changed TNF-α signaling-related gene expression. Specifcally, hepcidin-silenced cells had enhanced TNF-α levels (Fig. [1F](#page-1-0)), a fnding that was confrmed by ELISA of extracts of HCT116 cells and primary CRC tissues (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)G; Fig. S2A). Neutralization of endogenous TNF-α in hepcidin-silenced HCT116 cells reduced caspase 8 activation (Fig. S2B), GSDM E cleavage (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)H), and the rate of cell death (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)I). Consistently, TNF-α enhanced caspase 8 activation (Fig. S2C), GSDM E cleavage (Fig. S2D), and induction of death in HCT116 cells (Fig. S2E).

Since hepcidin activates Stat3 [\[2](#page-4-1)] and Stat3 represses TNF- α transcription in immune cells [\[10](#page-4-8)], we evaluated whether $TNF-\alpha$ induction in hepcidin-deficient CRC cells relies on Stat3 inactivation. Hepcidin silencing in HCT116 cells reduced Stat3 phosphorylation (Fig. S2F). The gene array showed that hepcidin-silenced HCT116

cells had reduced levels of several Stat3 signaling-related genes (Fig. S2G). Knockdown of Stat3 in HCT116 cells prevented the hepcidin-mediated inhibition of TNF-α expression (Fig. S2H, I), confrming the involvement of STAT3 in the hepcidin-induced TNF-α reduction. Stimulation of STAT3-deficient cells with hepcidin increased TNF- α expression, suggesting that, in the absence of STAT3, hepcidin can activate further pathways regulating TNF-α expression.

In line with human data, hepcidin silencing in mouse CT26 cells enhanced cleavage of GSDM E and death (Fig. S3A, B). Then, we examined whether cancer cellderived hepcidin controls the in vivo anti-cancer immune response. Tumors induced by grafting BALB/c mice with CT26 cells transfected with hepcidin siRNA grew signif-cantly less than tumors expressing hepcidin (Fig. [1J](#page-1-0)). The microenvironment of hepcidin-silenced CT26-derived tumors had higher percentages of CD8+T cells and of granzyme B- or perforin-positive CD8+T lymphocytes, while the fractions of both CD3+CD8− T cells and NK cells were unchanged (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)K and Fig. S3C, D). Depletion of CD8+T cells (Fig. S3E) abrogated the inhibitory efect of hepcidin silencing on the volume of CT26 derived tumors (Fig. [1L](#page-1-0)).

Next, we assessed whether hepcidin deficiency promotes an activated immunogenic cell death. For this purpose, mice were injected subcutaneously in the left fank with control or hepcidin-silenced CT26 cells and

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 1 A Representative dot-plots showing the percentages of AV- and/or PI-positive HCT116 cells either left untreated or transfected with a control or hepcidin siRNA for 48 h in the presence or absence of exogenous hepcidin (HAMP) (1000 ng/mL). One of 5 separate experiments in which similar results were obtained is shown. **B**, **C** SYTOX green uptake and levels of HMGB1 in the culture supernatants of HCT116 cells either left untreated or transfected with a control or hepcidin siRNA (25 nmol/L) for 48 h. Data are expressed as mean±SD of three experiments. ****p<0,0001. **D** Representative Western blots showing the full length (F-L) and cleaved (N-T) GSDM E and β-actin in HCT116 cells either left untreated or transfected with a control or hepcidin siRNA (25 nmol/L) for 48 h. **E** Histograms showing the percentages of AV- and/or PI-positive HCT116 cells transfected with either a hepcidin siRNA (25 nmol/L) or co-transfected with control siRNA/hepcidin siRNA (25 nmol/L) plus GSDM-E siRNA (5 nmol/L) for 48 h. Data are expressed as mean ±SD of all experiments. Hepcidin siRNA vs hepcidin siRNA plus GASDM-E siRNA, **p < 0.01; ****p < 0,0001. **F** Heat map showing microarray-based diferential expression, log2 (fold change) of TNF-related genes in HCT116 transfected with a control or hepcidin siRNA (25 nmol/L) for 48 h. **G** Histograms showing the levels of TNF protein in HCT116 either left untreated or transfected with a control or hepcidin siRNA (25 nmol/L) for 48 h; ****p<0,0001. **H** Representative Western blots showing F-L GSDM E, N-T GSDM E, and β-actin. One of 4 separate experiments in which similar results were obtained is shown. **I** Histograms showing the percentages of AV- and/or PI- positive HCT116; data are expressed as mean±SD of all experiments. *p<0,05. **J** Representative images and relative graphs showing the volume of CT26-derived tumors in BALB/c mice. CT26 cells were transfected with either a control siRNA or hepcidin siRNA (25 nmol/L) for 36 h and subcutaneously injected into the left fank of mice (1 × 10⁶ per mouse) (day 0). Tumor growth was monitored until sacrifice (day 13). Each point in the graph represents the value of the tumor volume in each mouse. **p<0,01. **K** Representative dot-plots showing the percentages of CD3+CD8+and CD3+CD8− cells from CT26-derived tumors. One of 2 experiments in which 8 mice per group were analyzed is shown. **L** Representative images and the relative graph showing the volume of CT26-derived tumors. CT26 were transfected with either a control siRNA or hepcidin siRNA (25 nmol/L) for 36 h and subcutaneously injected into the left flank of BALB/c mice (1 × 10⁶ per mouse) (day 0). CD8+cell depletion was made with intraperitoneal injection of α-CD8 (100 µg per mouse). Tumor growth was monitored until sacrifce (day 13). Each point in the graph represents the value of tumor volume in each mouse. **p<0,01. **M** Tumor incidence following injection of CT26 or TS/A cells in mice that were previously vaccinated with hepcidin siRNA-transfected CT26 cells. Mitomycin-treated cells were injured at the same of vaccination as a positive control. **N** The percentage of mice free of tumors at day 26 after the vaccination protocol as described in L and injected again into the right fank with CT26. **O** Representative images and the relative graph showing the volume of CT26-derived tumors in BALB/c mice injected with CT26 cells transfected with either a control or hepcidin siRNA (25 nmol/L) for 36 h and then subcutaneously injected into the left fank. PD-1 blockade was made with intraperitoneal injection of α-PD-1 (100 µg per mouse). Each point in the graph represents the value of tumor volume in each mouse; *p<0,05; ***p<0.001

Fig. 1 (See legend on previous page.)

Fig. 2 A, **B** Representative dot-plots and the corresponding graphs showing the percentages of CD3+CD8+and CD3+CD8− cells producing cytokines in CT26-derived tumors taken from BALB/c mice intraperitoneally injected with α-PD-1 or IgG (both 100 µg per mouse). Each point in the graph represents the value of each mouse. $p < 0.05$; **p < 0.01 ; ****p < 0.0001

challenged on the right fank 1 week later with wildtype CT26 cells. Following re-challenging, tumors developed in 11/11 control mice and none of those vaccinated with hepcidin-silenced cells (Fig. S3F). In contrast, the vaccination with hepcidin silenced-CT26 cells did not prevent the development of tumors induced by TS/A cells, a murine mammary adenocarcinoma cell line, which expresses a repertoire of antigens diferent from those of CT26 cells (Fig. $1M$ $1M$ and Fig. S3G) [[11\]](#page-4-9).

Most animals not developing tumors after the challenge with hepcidin-silenced CT26 cells were protected against a second inoculation of CT26 cells (Fig. [1N](#page-1-0)).

CRC exhibits a low response rate to immunotherapy due to the immunosuppressive TEM [[12\]](#page-4-10). Since lytic cell death enhances anticancer immunity [[13\]](#page-4-11), we assessed whether hepcidin-defcient CRC cells responded adequately to immunotherapy. CT26 cells, which are microsatellite stable (MSS) and unresponsive to PD-1

neuralization [\[14](#page-4-12)], were transfected with control or hepcidin siRNA and subcutaneously implanted into mice that were then treated with a blocking PD1 antibody $(\alpha$ PD-1) or control IgG. There was a significant reduction of the tumor volume and increased fraction of TNFexpressing CD3+CD8+cells in mice engrafted with hepcidin-defcient CT26 cells and treated with αPD-1 as compared to the other groups (Figs. [1](#page-1-0)O, [2](#page-3-0)A, [B](#page-3-0)).

Our results delineate a mechanism by which high hepcidin sustains CRC and suggest the use of hepcidin inhibitors in the treatment of cancer patients. Although such drugs appear to be well-tolerated and safe [\[15\]](#page-4-13), further studies are needed to validate their use in clinical settings.

Abbreviations

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40164-024-00562-y) [org/10.1186/s40164-024-00562-y.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40164-024-00562-y)

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Nogra Pharma and PPM Services.

Author contributions

A.D.G. performed the cell cultures, Western blotting, fow-cytometry, real-time PCR experiments, Elisa Assay, and in vivo studies; E.F, F.L, R.F., T.P, L.T., performed the in vivo studies and the fow-cytometry analysis; D.D.F., V.F. performed the microarray analysis; G.S. provided human samples; C.S, I.M contributed to perform in vivo experiments and supervised parts of the project; G.M. conceived the hypothesis, interpreted the results and wrote the main manuscript text. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by PRIN HEAL ITALIA - PE6 (PE_00000019) and PNRR-MCNT2-2023-12377866.

Availability of data and materials

Data is provided within the manuscript and supplemental information fles.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Each patient who took part in the study gave written informed consent and the study protocol was approved by the local Ethics Committees (Tor Vergata University Hospital, Rome (129/17). All mouse experiments were approved by the local Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (authorization 777/2023-PR), registered with the Italian Ministry of Health.

Competing interests

G Monteleone served as a consultant for First Wave BioPharma, as a speaker for Takeda, Abbvie, Galapagos, and Pfzer, and fled a patent related to the treatment of infammatory bowel diseases with Smad7 antisense oligonucleotides. The other authors declare no commercial or fnancial confict of interest.

Received: 26 June 2024 Accepted: 10 September 2024 Published online: 27 September 2024

References

- 1. Dutta S, Ganguly A, Chatterjee K, Spada S, Mukherjee S. Targets of immune escape mechanisms in cancer: basis for development and evolution of cancer immune checkpoint inhibitors. Biology. 2023;12(2):218.
- 2. Di Grazia A, Di Fusco D, Franze E, Colella M, Strimpakos G, Salvatori S, et al. Hepcidin upregulation in colorectal cancer associates with accumulation of regulatory macrophages and epithelial-mesenchymal transition and correlates with progression of the disease. Cancers. 2022;14(21):5294.
- 3. Schwartz AJ, Goyert JW, Solanki S, Kerk SA, Chen B, Castillo C, et al. Hepcidin sequesters iron to sustain nucleotide metabolism and mitochondrial function in colorectal cancer epithelial cells. Nat Metab. 2021;3:969–82.
- 4. Zhang DL, Senecal T, Ghosh MC, Ollivierre-Wilson H, Tu T, Rouault TA. Hepcidin regulates ferroportin expression and intracellular iron homeostasis of erythroblasts. Blood. 2011;118(10):2868–77.
- 5. De Domenico I, Zhang TY, Koening CL, Branch RW, London N, Lo E, et al. Hepcidin mediates transcriptional changes that modulate acute cytokine-induced infammatory responses in mice. J Clin Invest. 2010;120:2395–405.
- 6. Wang L, Harrington L, Trebicka E, Ning Shi H, Kagan JC, Hong CC, et al. Selective modulation of TLR4-activated inflammatory responses by altered iron homeostasis in mice. J Clin Invest. 2009;119:3322–8.
- 7. Ibrahim J, Op de Beeck K, Fransen E, Croes L, Beyens M, Suls A, et al. Methylation analysis of Gasdermin E shows great promise as a biomarker for colorectal cancer. Cancer Med. 2019;8(5):2133–45.
- 8. Li M, Jiang P, Yang Y, Xiong L, Wei S, Wang J, et al. The role of pyroptosis and gasdermin family in tumor progression and immune microenvironment. Exp Hematol Oncol. 2023;12:103.
- 9. Chai R, Li Y, Shui L, Ni L, Zhang A. The role of pyroptosis in infammatory diseases. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2023;11:1173235.
- 10. Queval CJ, Song OR, Deboosere N, Delorme V, Debrie AS, Iantomasi R, et al. STAT3 represses nitric oxide synthesis in human macrophages upon *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection. Sci Rep. 2016;6:29297.
- 11. De Giovanni C, Nicoletti G, Landuzzi L, Palladini A, Lollini PL, Nanni P. Bioprofling TS/A murine mammary cancer for a functional precision experimental model. Cancers. 2019;11(12):1889.
- 12. Kamal Y, Schmit SL, Frost HR, Amos CI. The tumor microenvironment of colorectal cancer metastases: opportunities in cancer immunotherapy. Immunotherapy. 2020;12(14):1083–100.
- 13. Loveless R, Bloomquist R, Teng Y. Pyroptosis at the fore front of anticancer immunity. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2021;40(1):264.
- 14. Lin KX, Istl AC, Quan D, Skaro A, Tang E, Zheng X. PD-1 and PD-L1 inhibitors in cold colorectal cancer: challenges and strategies. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 2023;72(12):3875–93.
- 15. Bozzini C, Busti F, Marchi G, Vianello A, Cerchione C, Martinelli G, et al. Anemia in patients receiving anticancer treatments: focus on novel therapeutic approaches. Front Oncol. 2024;14:1380358.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.