



2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

Type of the Paper (Article)

Macroeconomic factors and Stock Price Crash Risk: Do managers withhold bad news in the Iran crisis-ridden market?

Mahdi Moradi ¹, Andrea Appolloni ², Grzegorz Zimon ³, Maede Kamali ⁴, Hossein Tarighi ^{5*}

- ¹ Department of Accounting. Faculty of Economics and Administrative sciences. Ferdowsi University of Mashhad (FUM). Mashhad, Iran. Email: <u>mhd_moradi@um.ac.ir</u>
- ² Department of Management. University of Rome Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy. Email: <u>andrea.appolloni@uniroma2.it</u>
- ³ Department of Finance, Banking and Accountancy, The Faculty of Management, Rzeszow University of Technology, 35-959 Rzeszow, Poland; Email: <u>gzimon@prz.edu.pl</u>
- ⁴ Khayyam University of Mashhad, Iran. Department of Accounting. Email: <u>maede.kamali1995@gmail.com</u>
- ⁵ Department of Accounting. Attar Institute of Higher Education, Mashhad city, Iran. Email: <u>hosse-in.tarighi@outlook.com</u>
- Correspondence: <u>hossein.tarighi@outlook.com</u>

Abstract:

Citation: Lastname, F.; Lastname, F.; Lastname, F. Title. *Sustainability* 2021, *13*, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx

Academic Editor: Firstname Lastname Received: date Accepted: date Published: date

Publisher'sNote:MDPIstaysneutral with regard to jurisdictionalclaims in published maps andinstitutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses /by/4.0/). The present study aims at investigating the effects of microeconomics variables on stock price crash 18 risk in the economic uncertainty conditions of Iran's market. This study also seeks to examine 19 whether there is a significant relationship between some firm characteristics and falling stock 20 prices. The sample of the study includes 152 Iranian companies listed on the Tehran Stock Ex-21 change (TSE) between 2014 and 2019. Further, the research model has been estimated using a Fixed 22 Effect Pattern, and the DUVOL measure is defined as a proxy for stock price crash risk. Consistent 23 with our expectations, the results show there is a positive association between inflation rate, and 24 unemployment rate with stock price crash risk, whereas GDP and exchange rates are correlated 25 negatively with crash risk. In fact, with rising inflation and unemployment, on the one hand, the 26 amount of savings and purchasing power of the people has decreased, and on the other hand, it 27 has reduced the sales of companies due to the increase in pricing manufacture products. In Iran's 28 economic uncertainty situation due to sanctions, managers are trying to overstate financial per-29 formance and conceal bad news to have better access to financing; so, when the total amount of bad 30 news accumulated over time reaches a tipping point, it leads to a stocks crash. It also appears when 31 the exchange rate rises, Iranian investors prefer to buy companies' shares to maintain the pur-32 chasing power of their money. Outcomes also confirm that larger firms and with higher ROA are 33 more sensitive to crash risk. 34

Keywords: Macroeconomic factors, Stock Price, Crash Risk, Economic uncertainty, TSE.

36 37 38

35

39

40

1. Introduction

Sudden changes in stock prices have attracted the attention of many academics and professionals in the capital market in recent years. So far, there has been a lot of research around the world about the impact of firm-level factors on stock price crash risk, some of 43 which were internal factors and some external. For example, many studies have focused 44 on firm-level internal factors such as corporate tax avoidance [1], Opaque financial re-45 ports [2,3], accounting conservatism [4], corporate social responsibility [5], CEO over-46 confidence [6]), financial constraints [7], stock liquidity [8], corporate financing [9], cor-47 porate debt maturity [10], stock ownership [11], equity incentives [12], corporate gov-48 ernance [13], and other cases. However, several other studies such as religion [14,15], so-49 cial trust [16], media sentiment, and mawkishness [17], individualism [18], political 50 connections [19], trading behavior and sentiment of Investor [20,21], and product market 51 competition [22], have tried to investigate a couple of corporate external factors. This 52 study has a slightly different approach and aims to examine simultaneously the effects of 53 some corporate characteristics as well as the most important macroeconomic external 54 factors on the stock price crash. Our research focuses on an emerging market called Iran, 55 which has experienced unfavorable economic relations with European countries and the 56 United States in recent years due to severe economic sanctions, which have increased the 57 systematic risk and insecurity of the Iranian market for investors and lenders. Un-58 doubtedly, researching a systematic high-risk market, whose managers have high op-59 portunistic motivations and investor emotional behavior fluctuates sharply, can be very 60 challenging. As for Iran's market, it can be stressed that Iran has faced the most severe 61 economic sanctions in recent years, leading to most of its companies have many financial 62 difficulties [23,24]. Economic sanctions against Iran have been so severe that Gross Do-63 mestic Product (GDP) has fallen sharply in recent years, and the national currency's 64 value is depreciating more and more due to the dramatic rise in the exchange rate. In 65 such an unfortunate economic situation, the supply of raw materials required by com-66 panies is very expensive, increasing the cost of products. In such an inflationary econo-67 my, where prices are rising day by day, people's purchasing power will decrease over 68 time, and as a result, there will be a significant reduction in demand for manufactured 69 goods in Iran's market. Despite heavy production costs as well as declining sale level, 70 companies are not only unable to hire new workforce but also have to lay off most of 71 them to reduce costs, which ultimately raises the unemployment rate in society. As rising 72 inflation reduces the people purchasing power and the sale level of companies, as well as 73 rising unemployment reduces the income level and well-being of individuals, companies 74 are expected to face many difficulties in financing themselves through the issuance of 75 shares, which eventually can decrease stock prices. Besides, under these disaster eco-76 nomic circumstances, creditors are less willing to lend to companies with lower profits 77 [24]. Thus, Iranian managers have strong motivations for manipulating accounting fig-78 ures to show better their financial performance and attract more attention from investors 79 and creditors [23-26]. In other words, since lenders and investors cannot simply trust 80 such companies with a high risk of collapse, firms are keen on showing a beautiful pic-81 ture of their financial situation and do not disclose negative information [25,26]. 82

According to agency theory, given Iran's economic uncertainty atmosphere that has 83 been created by sanctions, there is a wide range of motivations like rewards contracts and 84 management' tenure that encourage managers to refrain from disclosing negative in-85 formation and accumulate them within a company [25,27,28]. In times of economic un-86 certainty, corporate directors are likely not to disclose bad news as investors hardly get 87 complete information under uncertainty, and investors have a greater disagreement 88 about stock prices and negative views hide because of short-sales constraints. [29,30]. In 89 general, Managers' efforts to make a business unit look good need to conceal negative 90 information and news. If managers refuse to disclose them for a long time, negative news 91 will accumulate within a company. On the other hand, the amount of bad news that 92 managers can accumulate is limited. That is because when the volume of accumulated 93 negative news reaches a certain threshold, it will be impossible and costly not to disclose 94 it for a longer period. As a result, the mass of bad news suddenly enters the market after 95 reaching its peak, and this leads to a stock price crash [25]. 96

109

110

This paper contributes to the research literature in several ways. First, our study 97 enriches the literature on determinants of stock price crash risk. We provide evidence 98 that macroeconomic variables add to firm-specific stock price crash's risk and claim that 99 the impact can occur as managers' motivations to withhold negative news boost in eco-100 nomic uncertainty periods where systemic risk has increased sharply because of severe 101 sanctions. Second, we show the influence of corporate features on crash risk. When a 102 country's economy is facing a financial crisis, different characteristics of companies such 103 as firm age, firm size, sale growth, ROA, and leverage could help recognize companies 104 that would have bigger increases in stock crash risk. As a final point, to our knowledge, 105 this study can be one of the first studies to substantiate that adverse macroeconomic 106 trends have a significant impact on aggregated crash risk in times of financial crisis. 107

2. Research background and hypotheses development:

Various theories have been put forward to explain the causes of falling stock prices 111 concerning financial market mechanisms and investor behavior. On explaining how the 112 phenomenon of falling stock prices occurs, it can be noted that a decrease in a company's 113 stock price increases its financial and operating leverage, and in turn, results in fluctua-114 tions in stock returns, and this symmetrical reaction leads to a negative skew of stock 115 returns [31-33]. According to modern financial theories, the value of a stock is equal to 116 the sum of the present value of its future cash flows. Based on the Efficient Market Hy-117 pothesis (EMH), a stock price in an efficient market fluctuates within its intrinsic value 118 range, but sometimes due to a shock-like release of new information, prices rise dramat-119 ically without any fundamental and economic justification. This process is referred to in 120 the financial literature as the price bubble. In this regard, Blanchard and Watson (1982) 121 argue that the negative skewness of stock returns or falling stock price crash is a result of 122 the bursting of price bubbles [34]. Moreover, Campbell and Hentschel (1992) proposed a 123 reverse oscillation mechanism to explain the phenomenon of falling stock prices or neg-124 ative skewness of stock returns [35]. Accordingly, the entry of new information into the 125 market, both favorable and unfavorable, will lead to increased market volatility and 126 therefore the risk premium will increase. Although this increase in risk-taking somewhat 127 reduces the positive effect of good news, it reinforces the negative effect of bad news. 128 Therefore, a decrease in stock prices due to the entry of unfavorable news into the market 129 will be greater than an increase due to the entry of favorable information, which this 130 mechanism finally leads to a fall in stock prices [28]. 131

Reviewing the research literature, it is easy to see that managerial bad news hoard-132 ing has always been one of the key factors in the sudden fall in stock prices in various 133 financial markets. The fundamental question now arises as to why in uncertain econo-134 mies like Iran, managers are more motivated to avoid exposing bad news to the market. 135 A company's profit is one of the important items of financial statements that have at-136 tracted the attention of investors and managers. On the one hand, investors use earnings 137 per share forecasts to form a profitable stock portfolio, and on the other hand, managers 138 use them to make important decisions such as operating budgeting, capital expenditures, 139 and other decisions related to the allocation of company resources [36]. Managers' fore-140 casts of profit are optional; however, economic reasons have also been provided for this. 141 For example, concerns about the cost of disclosing, buying, and selling stocks internally, 142 and fears of laws that could affect management's decision to release voluntary bad news 143 forecasts are among the reasons for managers' profit forecasts. Various studies on man-144 agement earnings forecasts have suggested that these forecasts have informational con-145 tent; So that the publication of such forecasts causes sharp volatility in prices [37,38]. 146 According to Kim et al. [6], the decisions and forecasts of managers will be affected by the 147 economic conditions of each country. As a result, managers, under the influence of in-148 ternal factors, make decisions about the company's activities. Therefore, to the extent that these internal factors depend on the economic situation of the country, it is expected that the managers' forecasts will reflect the existing expectations regarding the economic variables' situation [6]. Therefore, in a country like Iran, which suffers from a high inflation economy, the effect of macroeconomic variables such as GDP, inflation rate, unemployment rate, and exchange rate can affect the accuracy of managers' profit forecasts.

In an unfavorable economic environment, managers have very strong incentives to 155 manipulate financial statements and keep the bad news secret owing to a range of rea-156 sons such as career anxieties, compensation contracts, attracting investors' attention, and 157 gaining lenders' trust [25,27,28,30,39]. According to agency theory, given personal moti-158 vations and interests such as reward contracts and job positions, managers tend to avoid 159 spreading bad news and accumulate it within the company. Concealment of bad news by 160 managers continues for a certain threshold, and when it reaches its peak, it is impossible 161 and costly to continue not to disclose it, and the manager will be forced to release it. After 162 that, a huge amount of bad news suddenly enters the market and leads to an abrupt, 163 significant, and negative drop in stock price, or stock price crash [2,25,29,30]. In fact, in a 164 financial market, stock prices are more likely to fall when managers are motivated 165 enough to hoard negative events. 166

Since the Iranian market is facing uncertainty and instability due to severe economic 167 sanctions in recent years, is by no means a safe place for investors and creditors. There-168 fore, we argue that unfavorable trends in the macro-economy, including a sharp drop in 169 GDP, and a remarkable increase in inflation, unemployment, and exchange rates can af-170 fect firm-specific stock price risk as it influences managerial bad news hoarding behavior. 171 Iranian firms' operations are facing greater uncertainty due to severe economic sanctions, 172 resulting in greater volatilities in future earnings and cash flows. In confirmation of this 173 key point, Luo and Zhang (2020) also believed that economic instability in a market will 174 worsen the financial condition of companies in the short term; hence, in such circum-175 stances, managers have a high incentive to manage profits to slightly reduce their 176 short-term financial pressures [30]. In an unstable market where prices fluctuate every 177 day, it is very difficult for investors to obtain reliable information. Therefore, investors 178 and creditors have to rely on the information provided by companies, which increases 179 the power and ability of managers to overstate financial statements and hide negative 180 information [30,40]. Therefore, given the acute economic conditions of the Iranian market 181 caused by sanctions, Iranian managers are expected not to release negative information 182 and news due to a variety of factors like facilitating corporate financing, compensation 183 contracts, and job concerns. 184

Over the past decade, many attempts have been made to examine the relationship 185 between macroeconomic variables and stock returns [41-43]. Previous research supports 186 the view that stock prices reflect the present value of future cash flows, and therefore 187 both future cash flows and expected return rates are required [44]. According to the 188 Central Bank of the Islamic Republic of Iran, Gross Domestic Product (GDP) is one of the 189 most important macroeconomic variables in the country, which reflects the overall result 190 of economic activities [29]. GDP is an indicator through which one can be informed about 191 the growth and decline of the entire economy. As a matter of the fact, components of 192 GDP are consumption expenditures of the private sector, investment expenditures of the 193 private sector, public sector expenditures, net exports, and so on. Another important 194 point is that by examining the components of GDP, investors generally find out whether 195 the economy has good growth and stability for investing in companies or not [29]. In-196 vestors can also make better investment decisions by knowing which component the 197 sharp fluctuations are related to. In general, it is important to pay attention to the com-198 position of components of GDP and its growth rate in making investment decisions [43]. 199 One of the factors affecting the stock market index is economic growth during business 200 cycles. Economic prosperity affects investors' expectations of the activities' profitability 201 and investment confidence. Increasing economic growth reduces economic uncertainty 202 and increases the expected return on investment. These factors, along with the increase in203wealth, lead to an increase in demand for a variety of assets, including stocks, and thus to204their price increases. Besides, any change in stock prices affects the wealth and con-205sumption of households, as well as the investment and production of firms [45].206

According to the International Monetary Fund (IMF), Iran's gross domestic product 207 has plummeted in recent years due to unprecedented sanctions. US and Western eco-208 nomic sanctions against Iran have severely damaged the entire body of the Iranian 209 economy and intensified fluctuations in macroeconomic variables, which has led to a 210 high level of uncertainty and instability in the Iranian market. In conditions of economic 211 uncertainty, high fluctuations in macroeconomic variables strongly affect stock prices in 212 the Iranian market, and investment risk assessment is very complex and difficult for in-213 vestors because managers refrain from disclosing bad news to better access to financing 214 [24,25,39,43,46]. As for Iran, it is a resource-rich and labor-rich nation in the Middle East 215 that is famous for the second-largest oil producer in the Organization of the Petroleum 216 Exporting Countries. Iran's oil and gas reserves also have a high rank among the world's 217 top powers. On average, more than four-fifths of Iran's total exports are related to the sale 218 of oil and gas, which is the main source of revenue in the government budget, and many 219 industries and economic infrastructure are financed from their funds [39]. Accordingly, 220 when budget deficits in a country increase, stock returns are likely to decline strikingly 221 [47]. 222

The USA government has banned most companies and banks all over the world 223 from doing business with Iran country, which has left Iranian firms with not only a lack 224 of export earnings but also severe problems in obtaining raw materials for their produc-225 tion, affecting strongly the corporate profitability. Iran has also been deprived of the 226 import and export of many valuable and basic goods, including oil and gas, and has 227 suffered a dramatic drop in national income, which has caused irreparable damage to 228 various industries [39]. Thus, severe economic sanctions against Iran in recent years have 229 caused GDP to decline sharply, making it a very unsafe and risky place for investment 230 for both domestic and foreign investors, as well as creditors in the Iranian market. In this 231 regard, Rad and Ghorashi (2017) confirmed GDP has a positive impact on TSE firms' 232 stock price in the long run and short-run [48]. Therefore, Iranian companies are expected 233 to refrain from disclosing the adverse effects of the current economic situation on the fi-234 nancial performance of their companies to present a better corporate financial image. 235 When performance pressures on managers over certain periods are so great, they engage 236 in profit management actions that ultimately lead to stock falls [49]. As mentioned earlier, 237 when hiding the negative effects of the current economic situation on companies' finan-238 cial performance reaches its peak, managers are forced to disclose them. Due to the 239 sudden influx of a lot of negative information, a fatal blow enters the market and causes 240severe fear and anxiety to investors, which causes stock prices to fall [23]. Therefore, we 241 predict that there is a negative relationship between GDP and stock price crash risk. This 242 means that in the context of economic uncertainty, in which the GDP rate is declining and 243 has a negative impact on the financial situation of companies [29,50,51], Iranian execu-244 tives are expected to prevent bad news from entering the market, which leads to falling 245 stock price suddenly [23]. 246

Hypothesis 1: There is a negative relationship between GDP and stock price crash risk.

In financial literature, inflation has been considered as one of the most important 251 economic variables affecting stock prices [41,42,49,52,53]. Market equilibrium does not 252 arise based on nominal values, and investors consider inflation as one of the most important macroeconomic variables influencing investment decision-making. The real rate 254 of return is the annual percentage of profit earned on an investment, adjusted for inflation 255 tion. Therefore, the real rate of return accurately indicates the actual purchasing power of 256

248 249 250

297

298 299

a given amount of money over time. Adjusting the nominal return to compensate for in-257flation allows the investor to determine how much of a nominal return is a real return. If 258 inflation is well predictable, investors will simply add a percentage as inflation to their 259 expected returns and the market will reach equilibrium. In the case of inflation, the av-260 erage nominal profit of companies increases over time. Profitability has not increased, 261 but nominal profit has increased under the influence of inflation. As the nominal profit 262 increases, the nominal stock price will also increase. Another effect of inflation is that it 263 reduces the intrinsic value of each share. In the Iran market where the inflation rate is 264 growing rapidly year by year, the quality of companies' real profits (economic profits) 265 predicts to be decreased. 266

According to Moradi et al. (2020), the reason for the abnormal increase in stock 267 prices in Iran's inflation economy is quite justifiabl [24]. A predictable response to de-268 clining purchasing power is to buy now, rather than later. Moradi et al. (2020), argue in 269 Iran's inflationary economy, as the value of various assets increases, so does the value of 270 corporate stocks as an asset [24]. Therefore, instead of keeping cash, people prefer to in-271 vest their money in the stock market so that their purchasing power does not diminish. 272 Unfortunately, the urge to spend and invest in the face of inflation tends to increase in-273 flation in turn, generating a potentially disastrous feedback loop. When people and 274 businesses spend more rapidly to lessen the time they hold their depreciating currency, 275 the economy finds itself awash in cash no one particularly wants. This implies that the 276 supply of Iran's money exceeds the demand, and the purchasing power of currency falls 277 at an ever-faster rate. Another important point is that the unemployment rate in Iran is 278 relatively high and the government must lower interest rates. As long as interest rates are 279 low, Iranian firms and individuals can borrow cheaply to start a business and hire new 280 workers, encouraging spending and investing, which generally trigger inflation in turn. 281

Further, in an inflationary economy where prices are constantly rising and people's 282 purchasing power is declining, on the one hand, the wages of the workforce in companies 283 are increasing and on the other hand, the supply of raw materials by companies is more 284 expensive [54,55]. In general, as mentioned, in the inflationary economy of Iran, inves-285 tors' emotional behavior causes stock prices to rise too much without any scientific rea-286 son. Iranian investors are often seen to buy stocks without checking the financial condi-287 tion of companies because they think that by keeping their capital in cash, they will lose 288 money in the face of inflation [24]. In other words, the current price of the stock is higher 289 than its real value, and there is a possibility of a bubble bursting and the stock falling. As 290 a significant increase in the cost of supplying raw materials for production as well as the 291 wages of the labor force affects the sales and profitability of companies negatively [55], 292 managers have strong motivations for bad news hoarding, leading to falling in stock 293 price suddenly. Therefore, according to the points made about the Iranian market, the 294 second research hypothesis predicts as follows. 295

Hypothesis 2: There is a positive relationship between the inflation rate and stock price crash's risk.

As highlighted before, many companies are collapsing due to heavy sanctions 300 against Iran [23-25]. Therefore, to reduce costs, they not only cannot hire new staff but 301 also have to lay off many employees, resulting in a surging unemployment rate. When a 302 country like Iran does not have good economic growth and its GDP is negative, many 303 companies and industries are likely to go bankrupt and cannot hire new labor. That is 304 why the GDP and unemployment rate are always moving in the opposite direction, 305 which is consistent with Arthur Okan's law in 1962 [56,57]. So far, various studies have 306 concluded that the unemployment rate has had a significant impact on the stock markets 307 of developing countries [58-60]. Lack of unemployment insurance, very limited access to 308 social protection, and lower-income levels in labor markets among developing nations 309 can be the main causes of such a relationship [60-61]. In the same vein, Nallareddy and 310 Ogneva (2017) confirmed the GDP and unemployment rate affect corporate earnings 311 growth [62]. Hence, when the unemployment rate rises, the level of income and 312 well-being of people decreases, and they cannot spend part of their income to invest in 313 the stock market, resulting in prices drop because of reduced demand for the stock 314 market. 315

Hypothesis 3: There is a positive relationship between the unemployment rate and the stock price crash's risk.

The exchange rate can affect companies' financial performance in two ways; firstly, 320 the income of companies importing and exporting goods and services is directly related 321 to the exchange rate. Secondly, the exchange price as a competing asset in the economic 322 portfolio influences investors' decisions to buy and sell stocks [63]. Looking at the re-323 search literature, there is a lot of robust evidence of a significant relationship between the 324 exchange rate and the stock price of companies in different markets [64-67]. For example, 325 Sui and Sun (2016) found a strong connection between exchange rates and stock returns 326 in Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa during the financial crisis [66]. Dahir et 327 al. (2018) also realized exchange rates and stock returns are positively correlated in the 328 medium and long term [65]. However, Bahmani-Oskooee and Saha (2018) investigated 329 data from 24 countries and concluded the effects of exchange rate changes on stock prices 330 could be asymmetric [67]. Based on data from East Asian markets, the results showed the 331 type of relationship between the exchange rate and the stock price depends on several 332 factors such as exchange rate regimes, the trade size, the degree of capital control, and the 333 size of the equity market [64]. In a study conducted in the Persian Gulf region, the results 334 of Parsva and Lean (2017) confirmed bidirectional causalities in countries of Jordan, 335 Kuwait, and Saudi Arabia after the crisis period exist, while there is a causal relationship 336 between stock prices and exchange rate in Iran after and before the financial crisis. Parsva 337 and Lean (2017) also believe fluctuations in foreign exchange markets can considerably 338 affect stock markets in the Middle East [68]. 339

Due to pressure from the US government and Western countries, most Iranian 340 companies have been largely banned from all exports to other countries [39]. Given the 341 severe sanctions imposed on Iran during recent years, fluctuations in the exchange rate 342 have caused some problems for Iranian companies. In this regard, research conducted in 343 Iran has shown that fluctuations in liquidity and trade balance lead to fluctuations in 344 current expenditures and ultimately lead to high inflation. Therefore, such an increase in 345 society's liquidity does not lead to an increase in GDP and is a factor in exacerbating in-346 flation [43,63]. As mentioned earlier, uncertainty in macroeconomic variables can make it 347 difficult for managers to predict accurately a company's profits. Thus, economic variables 348 such as exchange rates can affect the accuracy of profit forecasts by managers. Given the 349 significant effects of the exchange rate on corporate activity, if the exchange rate fluctu-350 ates as a macroeconomic indicator, it is natural that the accuracy of managers' forecasts 351 will also fluctuate [63]. Therefore, Iranian managers are expected to hoard negative news 352 so that their predictions remain attractive to market investors. Given the problems Ira-353 nian firms have with financing, managers are constantly trying to make better predic-354 tions about their future corporate performance and not to disclose specific information 355 about the negative effects of the exchange rate increase so that they can motivate inves-356 tors to buy more shares of their companies. In addition to all these cases, the Iranian in-357 vestor in the inflationary conditions of the Iranian market, which is witnessing the loss of 358 the national currency value, tries to buy shares so as not to suffer losses. We anticipate 359 that due to such high pressure in the market for buying stocks due to the exchange rate 360 increase, the probability of an increase in stock prices will increase. 361

Hypothesis 4: There is a negative relationship between the exchange rate and stock 363 *price crash's risk.* 364

316 317

318 319

3. Research methodology

This paper is applied research, and the total data needed to test the hypotheses col-368 lected directly from the financial statements on the Tehran Stock Exchange website¹, 369 Central Bank of Iran (CBI)², Iran's profile on the websites of the World Bank ³ and In-370 ternational Monetary Fund⁴. The study population consists of 912 observations and 152 371 firms listed on the Tehran Stock Exchange (TSE) during the years 2014-2019. Longitudi-372 nal data has the characteristics of Cross-sectional data and Time series data simultane-373 ously. Building on econometrics, if research data is longitudinal, the type of estimation of 374 a model must first be determined. In the first phase, it is essential to specify whether a 375 model fits the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) or panel data method. The F-Limer test uses 376 for reaching this purpose. In the Chow test, the non-acceptance of the null hypothesis 377 means that the model must be estimated via a Panel Data pattern and Pooled data model 378 (OLS model). What's more, if the use of the panel data method in the previous section 379 confirms, the Hausman test uses to determine whether panel data with fixed effects is 380 appropriate or panel data with random effect [24,25]. Also, variance inflation factor (VIF) test to investigate the severity of multicollinearity; Durbin-Watson test to detect the 382 problem of serial autocorrelation among residuals; and Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey test to 383 investigate heteroskedasticity problems are used in this study. 384

3.1. Study sample

The target population included all companies listed on the Tehran Stock Exchange during the period 2014 to 2019. In summary, common features of the companies to determine the population are as follows:

- 1. The type of business activity is productive. Therefore, investment compa-390 nies, leasing, credit, and financial institutions, and banks are not included in 391 the sample. The reason for this is the different nature of the operations of 392 these companies, some laws, and different accounting standards developed 393 for the companies that are active in the above industries. 394
- The financial periods of companies should be finished at the end of the solar 2. 395 year to enhance the comparability and homogeneity of companies in terms 396 of time. 397
- 3. The firms that do not have a trading halt for more than 6 months during the 398 fiscal year.
- According to the research period (2014-2019), a company must be available 4. on the Tehran Stock Exchange before the year 2014 and its name should not be removed from the listed companies by the end of 2019. Taking account of the above conditions, a sample size of 152 TSE firms has been selected.
- 3.2. Research Model

The purpose of this study is to investigate the effect of the most important macroe-409 conomic variables in Iran on the risk of sudden stock collapse .We are looking to see if 410

365 366 367

381

385

386 387

388

389

404 405

406

https://codal.ir

² <u>https://cbi.ir</u>

³ <u>https://www.worldbank.org/en/country/iran</u>

⁴ <u>https://www.imf.org/en/Countries/IRN</u>

severe sanctions, which have led to economic uncertainty in the Iranian market, will 411 cause a sharp drop in stock prices. Therefore, the following multivariate regression 412 model uses to test the research hypotheses: 413

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Crash Risk}_{i:t} &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \Delta \text{GDP}_{i:t} + \beta_2 \Delta \text{Inflation}_{i:t} + \beta_3 \Delta \text{Unemployment}_{i:t} + \beta_4 \Delta \text{Currency}_{i:t} \\ &+ \beta_5 \Delta \text{Size}_{i:t} + \beta_6 \Delta \text{Age}_{i:t} + \beta_7 \Delta \text{Growth}_{i:t} + \beta_8 \Delta \text{ROA}_{i:t} + \beta_9 \Delta \text{Leverage}_{i:t} + \varepsilon_{i:t} \end{aligned}$$

417

414

Where crash risk is defined as a dependent variable. Similar to studies of Hu and 418 Wang (2018), and Luo and Zhang (2020), we use the DUVOL criterion to measure the 419 stock price crash's risk. In other words, our measure is the asymmetric volatility of negative vs positive returns (DUVOL). For each firm over a fiscal year, we separate all the 421 weeks with firm-specific weekly returns below the annual mean ("down" weeks) from 422 those with firm-specific returns above the annual mean ("up" weeks) and compute the 423 standard deviation for each of these subsamples distinctly [29,30,69]. 424

$$DUVOL_{i,t} = \log\left\{ \left| (n_u - 1) \sum_{DOWN} w_{i,t}^2 \right| / \left| (n_d - 1) \sum_{UP} w_{i,t}^2 \right| \right\}$$

$$425$$

GDP, Inflation, Unemployment, and Currency are regarded as independent variables in this study. Besides, some corporate characteristics such as Financial leverage, ROA, Sale growth, Firm Size, and Firm Age are considered as control variables. In the literature of previous research, it has been proven that each of our control variables can have potential effects on the risk of falling stock prices [29]. To sum up, the calculation of each of the variables of this research is summarized in the table below. 431

	_	
Variable Name	Туре	Measurement
Crash Risk	Dependent	$DUVOL_{i,t} = \log\left\{ \left[(n_u - 1) \sum_{DOWN} w_{i,t}^2 \right] / \left[(n_d - 1) \sum_{UP} w_{i,t}^2 \right] \right\}$
		[29,69]
$\% \Delta \text{GDP}$	Independent	$\% \Delta \text{GDP} = (\text{current year GDP} - \text{prior year GDP}) / (\text{prior year GDP}) [63]$
% Δ Inflation	Independent	% Δ Inflation = (current year inflation rate - prior year inflation rate) / (prior year inflation rate) [63]
% Δ Unemployment	Independent	% Δ Unemployment = (current year unemployment rate - prior year unemployment rate) / (prior year unemployment rate) [63]
% Δ Currency	Independent	% Δ Currency = (current year exchange rate - prior year exchange rate) / (prior year exchange rate) [63]
Firm size	Control	It is the natural logarithm of a firm's assets [24,29]
Firm Age	Control	It is the years of a company's activity [24].

Table 1. Variable definitions

Sale growth	Control	It is the rate of changes in sales from last year to the current year [25]		
ROA	Control	Earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) divided by the sum of a company's assets [24,29].		
Leverage	Control	The ratio of total debt to total assets [24,29].		

During the financial crisis in the Iranian market due to severe economic sanc-435 tions, our research view is based on the principle that sharp fluctuations in macroeco-436 nomic variables strengthen managerial bad news hoarding incentives and abilities, 437 which is an important determinant of crash risk. Firms' fundamentals also affect crash 438 risk as managers are expected to distort financial information because investors hardly 439 get complete information under economic uncertainty conditions. Thus, information 440asymmetry between managers and investors can be the main factor in the stock price 441 crash's risk. The graphical framework related to the main idea of this research is shown 442 below. 443

Conceptual Framework



4. Results

4.1. Descriptive Statistics: Descriptive statistics uses to analyze more about the data collected. In this section, information on Mean, Standard Deviation, Maximum, and Minimum demonstrates in the table below.

	Tuon		unstres of variables		
Variable	OBV	Mean	S. Deviation	Max	Min
Crash Risk	912	0/0304	0/0211	0/3003	0/0007
$\% \Delta \text{ GDP}$	912	0/0053	0/0447	0/0640	-0/0678
% Δ Inflation	912	0/1705	0/0895	0/3470	0/0900
$\% \Delta$ Unemployment	912	0/1206	0/0078	0/1380	0/1160

Table 2 Descriptive statistics of variables

433 434

444

- 445
- 446
- 447
- 448 449

450

451

$\% \Delta$ Currency	912	0/2228	0/2369	0/7335	0/0603
Firm Size	912	14/0590	1/3184	17/1186	11/9955
Firm Age	912	2/8034	0/4230	51	4
Sale Growth	912	18/8881	11/9569	0/7980	-0/3254
ROA	912	0/1086	0/1167	0/3630	-0/0922
Leverage	912	0/5975	0/1851	0/9366	0/2516

What stands out from table two is that sanctions against Iran have been so severe 454 that Iran's economic growth has averaged less than one percent in recent years. In addition, on average, the inflation and the unemployment rates are 17 and 12 percent respectively, which show the economic uncertainty and the acute financial situation of the 457 country. Moreover, on average, companies have been operating in the TSE market for 458 nearly 19 years, which indicates their high experience, while the rate of return on their 459 assets is approximately 10%. The leverage variable clearly shows that most of the Iranian 460 firms' assets are financed by debt, too. 461

4.2. Unit Root Test: In statistics, a unit root test tests whether a time series variable is 463 non-stationary and possesses a unit root. The null hypothesis is generally defined as the 464 presence of a unit root and the alternative hypothesis is either stationary. Hence, in this 465 study, Levin, Lin & Chu test is used to investigate the existence of a unit root in combined data.

Variable	Statistics	Probability
Crash Risk	-38/7281	0/0000
$\% \Delta \text{GDP}$	-25/1548	0/0000
% ΔInflation	-10/0449	0/0000
$\% \Delta$ Unemployment	-102/731	0/0000
$\% \Delta$ Currency	-18/0911	0/0000
Firm Size	-21/2561	0/0000
Firm Age	-85/8329	0/0000
Sale Growth	-9/0644	0/0000
ROA	-35/7185	0/0000
Leverage	-17/8659	0/0000

Table 3. Unit Root Test

469 470

471

472

473 474

Building on the results of the Unit Root Test, since the amount of P-value for all variables is less than 0.05%, we conclude that our research variables are stationary, indicating efficient regression and very accurate results. As a result, all the variables of our paper are real & stationary and are not obstructed to use OLS regression or panel data.

4.3. Multicollinearity Diagnostics: In this study, since our residuals are normally 475 distributed and homoscedastic, we do not have to worry about linearity. Multicollinear-476 ity is a phenomenon in which one predictor variable in multiple regression models can be 477 linearly predicted from the others with a substantial degree of accuracy. In statistics, the variance inflation factor (VIF) evaluates the severity of multicollinearity in a regression 479 analysis [25]. 480

 Table 4. Collinearity Diagnostics

Variable	Collinearity Statistics		
	Coefficient Variance	VIF	

453

455 456

466 467

462

468

478

$\% \Delta \text{ GDP}$	0/0004	2.0591
% ΔInflation	0/0001	2.3200
$\% \Delta$ Unemployment	0/0133	1.7801
$\% \Delta$ Currency	1/25E-05	1.5477
Firm Size	2/86E-07	1.0968
Firm Age	2/75E-06	1.0875
Sale Growth	0/0105	1.0165
ROA	6/23E-05	1.8744
Leverage	2/31E-05	1.7478

With respect to the VIF, the VIF of the estimated model coefficients is less than 10 483 there would be no linearity problem. Based on the table above, this value is less than 10 484 for all research models, which means that there is no linearity in relation to the research 485 hypotheses [24]. 486

4.4. Results of the Research Model: The results of each of the hypotheses of this research are presented in table five.

Variable	Coefficient	Standard error	T-statistics	P-value		
С	0/0191	0/0106	1/8048	0/0715		
$\% \Delta \text{GDP}$	-0/0902	0/0395	-2/2873	0/0020***		
% Δ Inflation	0/1700	0/0311	5/4637	0/0000***		
$\% \Delta$ Unemployment	0/1706	0/0510	3/3450	0/0009***		
$\% \Delta$ Currency	-0/0191	0/0010	-4/9953	0/0000***		
Firm Size	0/0879	0/0417	2/1083	0/0268*		
Firm Age	-0/0085	0/0028	-3/0974	0/0020***		
Sale Growth	-0/0494	0/0160	-3/0865	0/0001***		
ROA	0/0359	0/0095	3/7827	0/0004***		
Leverage	-0/0032	0/0046	-0/6981	0/4854		
***: 99% confide	nce level;	**: 98% confidence level;	*: 95% confidence level			
Model Summary						
R-s	squared: 0.5353	2		Adjusted		
R-squared: 0.4364				,		
F-s	statistic:			5.4089		
Prob(F-statistic): 0.0000						
Durbin-Watson: 2.3280				Mean dependent		
var: 0.0614						
F-limer (Chow) Test: 1.2760			Р	-value: 0.0221		
Ha	Hausman Test: 20.7323			P-value: 0.0139		
Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey Test: 2.4857			P-value:	0.0833		

Table 5. The results of the research model

To investigate heteroskedasticity problems, Breusch–Pagan-Godfrey test is employed in this study [24]. As the amount of its probability is 0.08 and greater than five percent, there are no heteroskedasticity problems with the research model. Another regression assumption suggests error sentences have not to be correlated significantly. If errors are correlated together, they are said to involve in autocorrelation. To detect the problem of serial autocorrelation among residuals, the Durbin-Watson test can be employed [24,70,71]. Given the amount of Durbin-Watson's statistic is 2.32 (Between 1.5 and 499

482

487

488

489

532 533

2.5); the lack of serial autocorrelation in residuals has been moderately evident. Another 500 important point is that we need to conduct an F-Limer (Chow) test at first to determine if 501 the model should be the common effect model (Pooled) or the fixed effects model [24]. In 502 the F-limer test, failing to reject the null hypothesis means the research model must be 503 estimated via the common effects model [24,72]. In the second phase, if the results of the 504 Chow test indicates the pooled research model is appropriate, and then the Hausman test 505 is needed to determine whether the fixed effects model is appropriate or the ran-506 dom-effects model [24,73,74,75,76,77,78]. As for the Hausman test, if the null hypothesis 507 (H0) is supported to accept, there is no significant correlation between individual effects 508 and model error, showing the individual effect's values are randomly generated and the 509 research model must be estimated with a random-effects pattern [24]. Since the results of 510 the F-limer test's probability is 0.02 and less than five percent, the fixed effects model is 511 acceptable. Now, our research model should be estimated with a Fixed Effect Pattern due 512 to the Hausman test's probability is less than five percent. 513

The results show there is a negative association between GDP, and exchange rate 514 with stock price crash risk, whereas the inflation rate and unemployment rate are linked 515 negatively to sudden fall in stock prices. Systematic risk factors affect all companies in an 516 economy. However, companies can respond to systematic risk factors; but they cannot 517 remove them [79]. Therefore, in economic uncertainty conditions, managers are expected 518 to be more inclined to hoard negative news so as not to damage the image of the com-519 pany's financial situation, and when this news reaches its peak and enters the market, it 520 causes a rapid fall in stock prices [23,29,30]. Even though companies face many financial 521 problems in the face of sanctions and do not have good potential for growth, investors 522 have opposing views and try to buy the shares of these companies because, with the in-523 crease of the exchange rate, their purchasing power decreases every day. Thus, they as-524 sume the stock market could be a safe place to invest in an inflationary economy. Gener-525 ally, consistent with our expectations, Iran's economic uncertainty due to severe sanc-526 tions is associated with a stock price crash through its relation with the manager's bad 527 news hoarding behavior and investors' heterogeneous beliefs. In the unsafe and unstable 528 economic conditions of the Iranian market, our evidence also shows that larger stocks, as 529 well as those with higher rates of return, have been much more sensitive to crash risk. 530

5. Conclusion and Discussion

Iran's government has been imposed heavy sanctions by the US and Western coun-534 tries during recent years to curb nuclear activities [39]. Severe economic sanctions against 535 Iran have weakened the Iranian market and most of its companies are facing the worst 536 financial problems [23,24,39,46]. Evidence show boycotted countries have several prob-537 lems for providing their goods and paying cash, and about trading activities such as 538 import and export, influencing their exchange rate [23]. After all, as the problems in the 539 Iranian capital market increase, the attitude of foreign and domestic investors towards 540 this market has deteriorated, and creditors are reluctant to lend to companies with a high 541 bankruptcy probability, which has made it more difficult for Iranian companies to fi-542 nance [24]. Severe fluctuations in macroeconomic variables have caused instability, in-543 security, and economic uncertainty in the Iranian market. Hence, this paper aimed at 544 proving the fact that Iran's economic uncertainty is positively related to stock price crash 545 risk as managers' incentives and abilities to withhold bad news is an important deter-546 minant of crash risk. 547

Our findings show the worse the country's economic trend (negative GDP), the lower the value of corporate stocks. Furthermore, since GDP and unemployment rates are always moving in opposite directions [57], the results show that with the decline in GDP, the unemployment rate has risen sharply and this has increased the risk of falling shares of Iranian companies. In other words, when a country is facing sanctions and its GDP is negative, many companies in various industries are on the verge of bankruptcy, 553

598

605

and to reduce operating costs, they not only cannot hire new labor but also have been 554 forced to dismiss their workers. Lack of unemployment insurance, very limited access to 555 social protection, and lower-income levels in labor markets among developing countries 556 have caused the unemployment rate to affect the amount of demand in the stock market 557 [61]. Thus, our research findings proved an increase in the unemployment rate reduces 558 the level of income and savings of the people, lower the demand for the stock market, 559 and ultimately leads to a fall in prices. 550

The outcomes also documents there is a positive relationship between the inflation 561 rate and stock price crash's risk. This implies inflation, on the one hand, reduces the 562 purchasing power of individuals and, on the other hand, increases the cost of products, 563 both of which simultaneously have a significant impact on the sales of companies [54,55]. 564 In such a situation where the actual sales of companies reduce, managers tend not to re-565 lease bad news about the company's operations because it may negatively affect the de-566 cisions of investors, which will eventually lead to a fall in stock prices. We also find that 567 there is a negative association between exchange rate and stock price crash's risk. The 568 existence of such a significant relationship in the Iranian market is quite justifiable from 569 two perspectives. First, in a sick economy, when there is increased fluctuation in compa-570 nies' profits and cash flow, managers are expected to distort financial information. The 571 key point is that investors have to trust the information reported by managers as they 572 hardly get complete information under economic uncertainty conditions; as a result, it 573 deteriorates information asymmetry between corporate insiders and outside investors 574 and this encourages managers to manipulate accounting figures [29,30]. Second, since 575 investors 'beliefs in the market are different, a large number of Iranian investors assume 576 in times of economic uncertainty think that companies' stocks are the best way to invest 577 because stocks like other assets rise when the exchange rate rises. Finally, looking at the 578 details, we found that negative news hoarding by managers has probably been higher in 579 large companies and with high asset returns than in other companies, which has even-580 tually led to a fall in stock prices. It seems that these larger firms try to show their finan-581 cial performance more attractive so that they can have better access to financing during 582 the economic crisis, while managerial bad news hoarding behavior is less common 583 among older companies. 584

In general, our findings would have practical implications for market participants in 585 developing markets. By understanding the influence of microeconomics variable on 586 crash risk, investors could adjust their investments accordingly and improve investment 587 portfolio. Furthermore, our findings also warn policymakers in emerging markets fac-588 ing financial problems to pay special attention to the stock market in macroeconomic 589 planning so as not to cause a crisis and ultimately capital flight. According to the results 590 of this study, it can be recommended in emerging economies such as Iran, which are 591 currently facing declining GDP and a sharp rise in unemployment, the central bank must 592 reduce interest rates so that companies can borrow at a lower cost and create new jobs. 593 Therefore, as the production process in the country improves, companies can make more 594 profit. Also, as new jobs increase, the unemployment rate decreases, and ultimately the 595 level of well-being and purchasing power of the people increases. 596

Author Contributions:

Conceptualization, M.M, A.A., A.K. H.T., G.Z. ; methodology, M.M, A.A., A.K. H.T.; resources, 599 M.M, A.A., A.K. H.T., G.Z.; writing—original draft preparation, M.M, A.A., A.K. H.T., G.Z.; formal 600 analysis, M.M, A.A., A.K. H.T., writing—review and editing, H.T., M.M, A.A., A.K. G.Z; visualization, H.T., M.M, A.A., A.K.; 602

All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.	603
Funding: This research received no external funding.	604

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

606 607

608 609

623

624

625

626

627

628

635

636

641

642

647

648

656

- Kim, J.B., Li, Y. and Zhang, L., 2011. Corporate tax avoidance and stock price crash risk: Firm-level analysis. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 100(3), pp.639-662. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2010.07.007</u>
- Hutton, A.P., Marcus, A.J. and Tehranian, H., 2009. Opaque financial reports, R2, and crash risk. *Journal of financial Economics*, 94(1), pp.67-86. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2008.10.003</u>
 613
- KHodarahmi, B., Foroughnejad, H., Sharifi, M. and Talebi, A., 2016. The impact of information asymmetry on the future stock 614 price crash risk of listed companies in the Tehran stock exchange. *Journal of Asset Management and Financing*, 41(3), 615 pp.82-93.<u>https://doi.org/10.22108/AMF.2016.20646</u>.
- Kim, J.B. and Zhang, L., 2016. Accounting conservatism and stock price crash risk: Firm-level evidence. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 33(1), pp.412-441. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1911-3846.12112</u>
- 5. Lee. M.T., 2016. Corporate social responsibility and stock price crash risk. Managerial Finance. 619 https://doi.org/10.1108/MF-10-2015-0278 620
- Kim, J.B., Wang, Z. and Zhang, L., 2016. CEO overconfidence and stock price crash risk. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 33(4), pp.1720-1749. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1911-3846.12217</u>.
- 7. He, G. and Ren, H., 2017. Financial constraints and future stock price crash risk. SSRN Electronic Journal.
- 8. Chang, X., Chen, Y. and Zolotoy, L., 2017. Stock liquidity and stock price crash risk. *Journal of financial and quantitative analysis*, *52*(4), pp.1605-1637. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022109017000473</u>.
- 9. Liu, Y., 2018. Corporate Financing and Stock Price Crash Risk. The University of Manchester (United Kingdom).
- 10. Dang, V.A., Lee, E., Liu, Y. and Zeng, C., 2018. Corporate debt maturity and stock price crash risk. *European Financial Management*, 24(3), pp.451-484. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/eufm.12134</u>.
- Li, Y., Sun, B. and Yu, S., 2019. Employee stock ownership plan and stock price crash risk. *Frontiers of Business Research in China*, 13(1), p.14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s11782-019-0061-2</u>.
- Xu, J., 2019. Equity incentives and crash risk in China's a-share market. Asia-Pacific Journal of Risk and Insurance, 13(1).
 https://doi.org/10.1515/apjri-2018-0025
 632
- Jeon, K., 2019. Corporate governance and stock price crash risk. Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies Journal, 23(4), 633 pp.1-13.
- 14. Callen, J.L. and Fang, X., 2015. Religion and stock price crash risk. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, pp.169-195. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022109015000046
- Li, W. and Cai, G., 2016. Religion and stock price crash risk: Evidence from China. *China Journal of Accounting Research*, 9(3), 637 pp.235-250. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjar.2016.04.003</u>
- Cao, C., Xia, C. and Chan, K.C., 2016. Social trust and stock price crash risk: Evidence from China. *International Review of Economics & Finance*, 46, pp.148-165. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2016.09.003</u>
- 17. Zhu, Y., Wu, Z., Zhang, H. and Yu, J., 2017. Media sentiment, institutional investors and probability of stock price crash: evidence from Chinese stock markets. *Accounting & Finance*, *57*(5), pp.1635-1670. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/acfi.12355</u>
- An, Z., Chen, Z., Li, D. and Xing, L., 2018. Individualism and stock price crash risk. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 49(9), 643 pp.1208-1236. <u>https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-018-0150-z</u>.
- Harymawan, I., Lam, B., Nasih, M. and Rumayya, R., 2019. Political connections and stock price crash risk: Empirical evidence from the fall of Suharto. *International Journal of Financial Studies*, 7(3), p.49. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs7030049</u>
- 20. Zhou, L. and Huang, J., 2019. Investor trading behaviour and stock price crash risk. *International Journal of Finance & Economics*, 24(1), pp.227-240. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ijfe.1659</u>.
- Cui, H. and Zhang, Y., 2020. Does investor sentiment affect stock price crash risk?. *Applied Economics Letters*, 27(7), pp.564-568. 649 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/13504851.2019.1643448</u>
- Li, J. and Luo, Z., 2020. The impact of product market competition on stock price crash risk. *Journal of Business & Industrial* Marketing. <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/JBIM-12-2018-0375</u>
 652
- 23. Salhei, M., Khazaei, S. and Tarighi, H., 2019. Tax Avoidance and Corporate Risk: Evidence from a Market Facing Economic 653 Sanction Country. The Journal of Asian Finance, **Economics** and Business (JAFEB), 6(4), pp.45-52. 654 https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2019.vol6.no4.45 655
- 24. Moradi, M., Salehi, M., Tarighi, H. and Saravani, M., 2020. Audit adjustments and corporate financing: evidence from Iran. *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/JAEE-07-2019-0145</u>
- Salehi, M., Tarighi, H. and Safdari, S., 2018. The relation between corporate governance mechanisms, executive compensation and audit fees. *Management Research Review*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/MRR-12-2016-0277</u>
 659
- 26. Salehi, M., Tarighi, H. and Sahebkar, H., 2018. The impact of auditor conservatism on accruals and going concern opinion: 660 Iranian angle. International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management. 661 https://doi.org/10.1108/IMEFM-12-2015-0158 662

- Graham, J.R., Harvey, C.R. and Rajgopal, S., 2005. The economic implications of corporate financial reporting. *Journal of accounting and economics*, 40(1-3), pp.3-73. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2005.01.002</u>
- Kothari, S.P., Shu, S. and Wysocki, P.D., 2009. Do managers withhold bad news?. Journal of Accounting research, 47(1), pp.241-276. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-679X.2008.00318.x</u>
- Jin, X., Chen, Z. and Yang, X., 2019. Economic policy uncertainty and stock price crash risk. Accounting & Finance, 58(5), 667 pp.1291-1318. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/acfi.12455</u>
- 30. Luo, Y. and Zhang, C., 2020. Economic policy uncertainty and stock price crash risk. *Research in International Business and Finance*, *51*, p.101112. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2019.101112</u>
- Christie, A.A., 1982. The stochastic behavior of common stock variances: Value, leverage and interest rate effects. *Journal of financial Economics*, 10(4), pp.407-432. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(82)90018-6</u>
 671
 672
- Schwert, G.W., 1989. Why does stock market volatility change over time?. *The journal of finance*, 44(5), pp.1115-1153. 673 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1989.tb02647.x</u>
- Poursoleiman, E., Mansourfar, G. and Abidin, S., 2020. Financial leverage, debt maturity, future financing constraints and 675 future investment. International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management. 676 https://doi.org/10.1108/IMEFM-10-2019-0430
- 34. Blanchard, O.J. and Watson, M.W., 1982. *Bubbles, rational expectations and financial markets* (No. w0945). National Bureau of economic research.
- 35. Campbell, J.Y. and Hentschel, L., 1992. No news is good news: An asymmetric model of changing volatility in stock returns. *Journal of financial Economics*, 31(3), pp.281-318. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(92)90037-X</u>
- 36. Zhang, W., Cao, Q. and Schniederjans, M.J., 2004. Neural network earnings per share forecasting models: a comparative analysis of alternative methods. *Decision Sciences*, 35(2), pp.205-237. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.00117315.2004.02674.x</u>
- 37. Bozanic, Z., Roulstone, D.T. and Van Buskirk, A., 2018. Management earnings forecasts and other forward-looking statements. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 65(1), pp.1-20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2017.11.008</u>
- 38. Guerard Jr, J.B. and Mark, A., 2020. Earnings forecasts and revisions, price momentum, and fundamental data: Further explorations of financial anomalies. Handbook of Financial Econometrics. Singapore, World Scientific Handbook in Financial Economics, forthcoming.
- 39. Salehi, M., Karimzadeh, M. and Paydarmanesh, N., 2017. The impact of Iran Central Bank's sanction on Tehran Stock Exchange. *International Journal of Law and Management*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLMA-12-2015-0062</u>
- 40. Nagar, V., Schoenfeld, J. and Wellman, L., 2019. The effect of economic policy uncertainty on investor information asymmetry and management disclosures. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 67(1), pp.36-57. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2018.08.011</u>
- 41. Fahlevi, M., 2019, August. The Influence of Exchange Rate, Interest Rate and Inflation on Stock Price of LQ45 Index in Indonesia. In First International Conference on Administration Science (ICAS 2019). Atlantis Press. https://doi.org/10.2991/icas-19.2019.34
- 42. Raghutla, C., Sampath, T. and Vadivel, A., 2020. Stock prices, inflation, and output in India: An empirical analysis. Journal of Public Affairs, 20(3), p.e2052. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/pa.2052</u>
- Rajabi, E. and Ebrahimi, I., 2020. Does Financial and Economic Factors Influence Firm Value of Listed Company in Tehran 500 Stock Exchange (TSE)?. *Economic Studies*, 29(1).
- Goetzmann, W.N., Brown, S.J., Gruber, M.J. and Elton, E.J., 2014. Modern portfolio theory and investment analysis. John Wiley & Sons, 237.
 700
- 45. Fang, W. and Miller, S.M., 2002. Currency depreciation and Korean stock market performance during the Asian financial crisis. 702
- 46. Alikhani, H., 2000. Sanctioning Iran, I. B. Tauris, New York, NY
- Abdullah, D.A. and Hayworth, S.C., 1993. Macroeconometrics of stock price fluctuations. Quarterly Journal of Business and Economics, pp.50-67.
 704
- Rad, A.A. and Ghorashi, N., 2017. Trade Openness and the Behaviour of Stock Prices in Iran. *Australian Academy of Accounting* 706 and Finance Review, 1(1), pp.29-43.
 707
- 49. Li, Q. and Guo, M., 2020. Local Economic Performance, Political Promotion, and Stock Price Crash Risk: Evidence from China. Emerging Markets Finance and Trade, pp.1-20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/1540496X.2020.1809373</u>
- Udegbunam, R.I. and Eriki, P.O., 2001. Inflation and stock price behaviour: evidence from Nigerian stock market. *Journal of Financial Management & Analysis*, 14(1), p.1.
 711
- Hong, S.C. and Abdul Razak, S.H., 2015. The impact of nominal GDP and inflation on the financial performance of Islamic banks in Malaysia. *Journal of Islamic Economics, Banking and Finance*, 113(3281), pp.1-24.
- Apergis, N. and Eleftheriou, S., 2002. Interest rates, inflation, and stock prices: the case of the Athens Stock Exchange. Journal of policy Modeling, 24(3), pp.231-236. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0161-8938(02)00105-9</u>
- Bui, T.N., 2019. Inflation and stock index: evidence from Vietnam. Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences, 22(4), pp.408-414.
- 54. Öner, C., 2012. Inflation: prices on the rise. *International Monetary Fund*.
- 55. Mazikana, A.T., 2020. The Effects of Inflation on Preparation of Financial Statements of the Retail Sector in Zimbabwe: A Case 719 Study of OK Zimbabwe Limited (2018–2019). *Available at SSRN 3660417*. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3660417</u> 720
- Ahmed, H.M.M. and Awadalbari, A.A.A., 2014. Economic Growth and Unemployment in Sudan: An Empirical Analysis. University of Bakht Alruda Scientific Journal, 13.
 722

670

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

703

708

709

- 57. Mandel, C. and Liebens, P., 2019. The Relationship between GDP and Unemployment Rate in the US. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 10(4). <u>https://doi.org/10.30845/ijbss.v10n4p3</u>
 723
- 58. Ilo, B.M., 2015. Capital market and unemployment in Nigeria. Acta Universitatis Danubius. Œconomica, 11(5), pp.129-140.
- 59. Tapa, N., Tom, Z., Lekoma, M., Ebersohn, J. and Phiri, A., 2016. The unemployment-stock market relationship in South Africa: Evidence from symmetric and asymmetric cointegration models.
- Pan, W.F., 2018. Does the stock market really cause unemployment? A cross-country analysis. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance*, 44, pp.34-43. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.najef.2017.11.002</u>
- 61. Lee, G.H. and Parasnis, J., 2014. Discouraged workers in developed countries and added workers in developing countries?
 730

 Unemployment
 rate
 and
 labour
 force
 participation. Economic
 Modelling, 41,
 pp.90-98.
 731

 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2014.04.005
 732
- Nallareddy, S. and Ogneva, M., 2017. Predicting restatements in macroeconomic indicators using accounting information. *The Accounting Review*, 92(2), pp.151-182. <u>https://doi.org/10.2308/accr-51528</u>
 734
- Baghoomian, R., Mohammadi, H., and Naghdi, S., 2016. Macroeconomic Variables Fluctuations and Management Earnings 735 Forecast. Emprical Studies in Financial Accounting Quarterly, Volume 13, Issue 50, Summer 2016, Pages 65-88. 736 <u>http://qjma.atu.ac.ir/article 7059 en.html</u>. DOI: 10.22054/QJMA.2016.7059 737
- 64. Pan, M.S., Fok, R.C.W. and Liu, Y.A., 2007. Dynamic linkages between exchange rates and stock prices: Evidence from East Asian markets. *International Review of Economics & Finance*, *16*(4), pp.503-520. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2005.09.003</u>
- 65. Sui, L. and Sun, L., 2016. Spillover effects between exchange rates and stock prices: Evidence from BRICS around the recent global financial crisis. *Research in International Business and Finance*, *36*, pp.459-471. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2015.10.011</u>
- Dahir, A.M., Mahat, F., Ab Razak, N.H. and Bany-Ariffin, A.N., 2018. Revisiting the dynamic relationship between exchange 742 rates and stock prices in BRICS countries: A wavelet analysis. *Borsa Istanbul Review*, 18(2), pp.101-113. 743 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2017.10.001
- Bahmani-Oskooee, M. and Saha, S., 2018. On the relation between exchange rates and stock prices: a non-linear ARDL approach and asymmetry analysis. *Journal of Economics and Finance*, 42(1), pp.112-137. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12197-017-9388-8</u>
 745
- 68. Parsva, P. and Lean, H.H., 2017. Multivariate causal relationship between stock prices and exchange rates in the middle east. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business (JAFEB)*, 4(1), pp.25-38. <u>https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2017.vol4.no1.25</u>
- 69. Hu, G. and Wang, Y., 2018. Political connections and stock price crash risk. *China Finance Review International*. https://doi.org/10.1108/CFRI-06-2017-0079
- 70. Baum, C.F. and Schaffer, M.E., 2013. A general approach to testing for autocorrelation. Boston: Boston College.
- 71. Savin, N.E. and White, K.J., 1977. The Durbin-Watson test for serial correlation with extreme sample sizes or many regressors. Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society, pp.1989-1996. <u>https://www.jstor.org/stable/1914122</u>
- 72. De Jager, P., 2008. Panel data techniques and accounting research. *Meditari: Research Journal of the School of Accounting Scienc*es, 16(2), pp.53-68.
- 73. Bell, A., Fairbrother, M. and Jones, K., 2019. Fixed and random effects models: making an informed choice. Quality & Quantity, 53(2), pp.1051-1074. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-018-0802-x</u>
- 74. Schmidheiny, K. and Basel, U., 2011. Panel data: fixed and random effects. *Short Guides to Microeconometrics*, 7(1), pp.2-7.
- 75. Bhargava, A., 2014. Firms' fundamentals, macroeconomic variables and quarterly stock prices in the US. *Journal of Econometrics*, 183(2), pp.241-250. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2014.05.014</u>
- 76. Chen, N.F., Roll, R. and Ross, S.A., 1986. Economic forces and the stock market. Journal of business, pp.383-403. https://doi.org/10.2307/2352710
- 77. Kryzanowski and Mohsni (2015) examined earnings forecasts in the face of economic uncertainty and concluded that by considering macroeconomic variables, earnings forecast by analysts can effectively predict future cash flows.
- 78. Poterba, J.M. and Summers, L.H., 1988. Mean reversion in stock prices: Evidence and implications. *Journal of financial economics*, 22(1), pp.27-59. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(88)90021-9</u>
- Kim, K., Pandit, S. and Wasley, C.E., 2016b. Macroeconomic uncertainty and management earnings forecasts. Accounting Horizons, 30(1), pp.157-172. <u>https://doi.org/10.2308/acch-51311</u>
 768
- 756 757 758 759 760 761 762 763

765

766

769 770

771

772

773

774

725

726

727

738

739

740

741

747

748

749

750

751

752

753 754

755