

A NEW EQUIVALENCE BETWEEN SUPER HARISH-CHANDRA PAIRS AND LIE SUPERGROUPS

Fabio GAVARINI

*Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma “Tor Vergata”
via della ricerca scientifica 1 — I-00133 Roma, Italy
e-mail: gavarini@mat.uniroma2.it*

Abstract

It is known that there exists a natural functor Φ from Lie supergroups to super Harish-Chandra pairs. A functor going backwards, that associates a Lie supergroup with each super Harish-Chandra pair, yielding an equivalence of categories, was found by Koszul [19], and later generalized by several authors.

In this paper, we provide two new backwards equivalences, i.e. two different functors Ψ° and Ψ^e that construct a Lie supergroup (thought of as a special group-valued functor) out of a given super Harish-Chandra pair, so that both Ψ° and Ψ^e are quasi-inverse to the functor Φ .

1 Introduction

To every Lie supergroup G one can associate the pair (G_0, \mathfrak{g}) formed by the classical Lie group G_0 underlying G and the tangent Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ of G ; these two objects are “compatible” in a natural sense, so that their pair is what is called a “super Harish-Chandra pair”, or just “sHCp” for short. Overall, mapping $G \mapsto (G_0, \mathfrak{g})$ yields a functor, call it Φ , from the category of Lie supergroups (either smooth, analytic or holomorphic) to the category of super Harish-Chandra pairs — of smooth, analytic or holomorphic type respectively. Is there any functor Ψ from sHCp’s to Lie supergroups which be a quasi-inverse for Φ , so that the two categories be equivalent? And how much explicit such a functor (if any) is?

A first answer to this question was given by Kostant and by Koszul in the real smooth case (see [18] and [19]), providing an explicit quasi-inverse for Φ . Later on, Vishnyakova (see [25]) fixed the complex holomorphic case, and her proof works for the real analytic case as well. More recently, this result was increasingly extended to the setup of algebraic supergeometry (see [8], [21], [22]). It is worth remarking, though, that all these subsequent results were, in the end, further improvements of the original idea by Koszul (while Kostant’s method was a slight variation of that), who defined a Lie supergroup out of a sHCp (K_+, \mathfrak{k}) as a super-ringed space, defining the “proper” sheaf of superalgebras onto K_+ by means of \mathfrak{k} .

In this paper we present a new solution, namely we provide a new functor Ψ — in two different versions — from sHCp’s to Lie supergroups that is quasi-inverse to Φ . For this we follow the approach where, instead of thinking of supermanifolds as being super-ringed manifolds, one treats them as suitable functors, defined on the category of “Weil superalgebras”. This point of view allows to unify several different approaches to supergeometry (see [3]) and also to treat the infinite-dimensional setup (see [2]); for a broader discussion about this, we refer to classical sources as [4], [10], [20], [24] or more recent ones like [3], [5], [7], [23].

Now, if we want a functor Ψ from sHCp’s to Lie supergroups, we need a Lie supergroup $G_{\mathcal{P}}$ for each sHCp \mathcal{P} ; to have such a $G_{\mathcal{P}}$ (as a functor) we need a Lie group $G_{\mathcal{P}}(A)$ for each Weil superalgebra A ,

2020 MSC: Primary 58A50, 14M30; Secondary 17B99

Keywords: Lie supergroups; Lie superalgebras; super Harish-Chandra pairs.

Partially supported by the MIUR *Excellence Department Project* awarded to the Department of Mathematics, University of Rome “Tor Vergata”, CUP E83C18000100006.

whose definition must be natural in A : moreover, one still has to show that the resulting functor have those additional properties that make it into a Lie supergroup. Finally, all this should aim to find a Ψ that is quasi-inverse to Φ — and this fixes ultimate bounds to the construction we aim to.

Bearing all this in mind, the construction that we present goes as follows. Given a super Harish-Chandra pair $\mathcal{P} = (G_+, \mathfrak{g})$, for each Weil superalgebra A , we define a group $G_{\mathcal{P}}(A)$ abstractly, by generators and relations: this definition is natural in A , hence it yields a functor from Weil algebras to (abstract) groups, call it $G_{\mathcal{P}}$ — cf. §3.1 and §3.3. As a key step in the work, we prove that $G_{\mathcal{P}}$ admits a “global splitting”, i.e. it is the direct product of G_+ times a totally odd affine superspace (isomorphic to \mathfrak{g}_1 , the odd part of \mathfrak{g}): as both these are supermanifolds, it turns out that $G_{\mathcal{P}}$ itself is a supermanifold as well, hence it is a Lie supergroup because (as a functor) it is group-valued too — cf. §3.2 and §3.4. One more step proves that the construction of $G_{\mathcal{P}}$ is natural in \mathcal{P} , so it yields a functor Ψ from sHCp’s to Lie supergroups: this is our candidate to be a quasi-inverse to Φ — cf. Theorem 3.2.6 and Theorem 3.4.6.

It is immediate to check that $\Phi \circ \Psi$ is isomorphic to the identity functor onto sHCp’s, while proving that $\Psi \circ \Phi$ is isomorphic to the identity on Lie supergroups is much more demanding. For this we need to know that every Lie supergroup G has a “global splitting” on its own: this fact is more or less known among specialists, but we need it stated in a genuine geometrical form, while it is usually given in sheaf-theoretic terms — so we work it out explicitly (cf. §2.4). In fact, we find *two* different formulations of such a result: this is why, building upon them, we can provide *two* versions, Ψ° and Ψ^e , of a functor Ψ as required.

Finally, the reader can find a more detailed treatment in the expanded version [17] of this paper. Moreover, specific examples of application can be realized by suitably adapting the constructions of *algebraic* supergroups presented in [11], [12], [13], [14] and [15].

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Alexander Alldridge, Claudio Carmeli and Rita Fiorese for many valuable conversations.

2 Preliminaries

Hereafter we fix notation and terminology for super mathematics, referring to the literature (e.g. [1], [2], [7], [11], [24]) for further details. We will denote by \mathbb{K} the field \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} of real or complex numbers.

2.1 Recollection and notation

2.1.1. Supermodules, superalgebras, Lie superalgebras. We denote by $(\mathbf{smod})_{\mathbb{K}}$, resp. $(\mathbf{mod})_{\mathbb{K}}$, the category of \mathbb{K} -supermodules, resp. \mathbb{K} -modules, and by $(\mathbf{salg})_{\mathbb{K}}$, resp. $(\mathbf{alg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ — possibly dropping \mathbb{K} — the category of all commutative \mathbb{K} -superalgebras, resp. \mathbb{K} -algebras. We write $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}$ for the group with two elements and $|x|$ or $p(x)$ ($\in \mathbb{Z}_2$) for the *parity* of any homogeneous x in some superspace. For $A \in (\mathbf{salg})_{\mathbb{K}}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we call $A_1^{[n]}$ the A_0 -submodule of A spanned by all products $\vartheta_1 \cdots \vartheta_n$ with $\vartheta_i \in A_1$ for all i . If $J_A := (A_1)$ is the ideal of A generated by A_1 , then $J_A = A_1^{[2]} \oplus A_1$, and $\bar{A} := A/J_A$ is a commutative superalgebra which is *totally even*, i.e. $\bar{A} \in (\mathbf{alg})_{\mathbb{K}}$, with an obvious isomorphism $\bar{A} := A/(A_1) \cong A_0/A_1^{[2]}$.

We call *Weil superalgebra* any finite-dimensional commutative \mathbb{K} -superalgebra A such that $A = \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathring{A}$ where \mathbb{K} is even and $\mathring{A} = \mathring{A}_0 \oplus \mathring{A}_1$ is the *nilradical* of A (cf. [3] and references therein). Every Weil superalgebra A is endowed with the canonical morphisms $p_A : A \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and $u_A : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow A$ associated with the direct sum splitting $A = \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathring{A}$; thus $p_A \circ u_A = id_{\mathbb{K}}$, so p_A is surjective and u_A is injective. Weil superalgebras over \mathbb{K} form a full subcategory of $(\mathbf{salg})_{\mathbb{K}}$, denoted $(\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ or just (\mathbf{Wsalg}) .

We denote by $(\mathbf{sLie})_{\mathbb{K}}$, or just (\mathbf{sLie}) , the category of all Lie \mathbb{K} -superalgebras. For any $\mathfrak{g} \in (\mathbf{sLie})_{\mathbb{K}}$, we use notation $Y^{\langle 2 \rangle} := 2^{-1}[Y, Y]$ ($\in \mathfrak{g}_0$) for $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_1$. In particular, for $V \in (\mathbf{smod})_{\mathbb{K}}$ we consider $\mathfrak{gl}(V) := \mathit{End}(V)$ as a Lie \mathbb{K} -superalgebra, with Lie superbracket $[A, B] := AB - (-1)^{|A||B|}BA$ for homogeneous $A, B \in \mathit{End}(V)$; then $Y^{\langle 2 \rangle} = Y^2$ for odd Y . If $V := V_0 \oplus V_1$ with $V_0 := \mathbb{K}^p$ and $V_1 := \mathbb{K}^q$ we write $\mathit{End}(\mathbb{K}^{p|q}) := \mathit{End}(V)$ or $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q} := \mathit{End}(V)$. Every $\mathfrak{g} \in (\mathbf{sLie})_{\mathbb{K}}$ defines a functor

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} : (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{Lie})_{\mathbb{K}}, \quad A \mapsto \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A) := (A \otimes \mathfrak{g})_0 = (A_0 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_0) \oplus (A_1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_1)$$

where $(\mathbf{Lie})_{\mathbb{K}}$ is the category of Lie \mathbb{K} -algebras and the Lie bracket in $A \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is $[a \otimes X, a' \otimes X'] := (-1)^{|X||a'|} a a' \otimes [X, X']$ (see [7] for details). In particular, all this applies to $\mathfrak{g} := \mathit{End}(V)$.

This functorial presentation applies in particular to representations: so, if $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathit{End}(V)$ is a representation of \mathfrak{g} onto the \mathfrak{g} -module V , then it induces a natural transformation $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathit{End}(V)}$.

2.1.2. Supermanifolds and supergroups. We consider “supermanifolds” (real smooth, real analytic or complex holomorphic ones) and the corresponding group objects (i.e. “Lie supergroups”) as described in [3]. If M is any supermanifold, we denote by $|M|$ its underlying topological space and by \mathcal{O}_M its *structure sheaf*. Moreover, by M_0 we denote the so-called *reduced (classical) smooth manifold* associated with M , that can be thought of as an embedded sub(super)manifold of M itself. We denote the category of (real) smooth, (real) analytic, or (complex) holomorphic supermanifolds by (\mathbf{ssmfd}) , (\mathbf{asmfd}) , or (\mathbf{hsmfd}) , respectively. In most cases the distinction between these cases is immaterial, hence we shall often refer only to “supermanifolds”.

Finally, by *Lie supergroup* (real smooth, real analytic or complex holomorphic) we mean a group object in the category of supermanifolds (of the same type). These objects, together with the obvious morphisms, form a subcategory among supermanifolds, denoted $(\mathbf{Lsgrp})_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$, resp. $(\mathbf{Lsgrp})_{\mathbb{R}}^{\omega}$, resp. $(\mathbf{Lsgrp})_{\mathbb{C}}^{\omega}$.

2.1.3. The functorial point of view. We now recall the language of “functor(s) of points”, referring to [3] for details. For two categories \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} , by $[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}]$ we mean the category of all functors between \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} , whose morphisms are the natural transformations, while \mathbf{A}^{op} will denote the *opposite category* to \mathbf{A} .

Given $M \in (\mathbf{ssmfd})$, its associated *functor of points* $\mathcal{F}_M : (\mathbf{ssmfd})^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{set})$ is defined on objects by $\mathcal{F}_M(S) := \mathit{Hom}(S, M)$ and on morphisms by $\mathcal{F}_M(\phi) : \mathcal{F}_M(S) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_M(T)$, $f \mapsto (\mathcal{F}_M(\phi))(f) := f \circ \phi$, for $S, T \in (\mathbf{ssmfd})$ and $\phi \in \mathit{Hom}(S, T)$; the elements in $\mathcal{F}_M(S)$ are the “ S -points of M ”. Given $M, N \in (\mathbf{ssmfd})$, Yoneda’s Lemma yields a full and faithful immersion $\mathcal{Y} : (\mathbf{ssmfd}) \longrightarrow [(\mathbf{ssmfd})^{\text{op}}, (\mathbf{set})]$ given on objects by $M \mapsto \mathcal{F}_M$. Similar constructions hold with (\mathbf{asmfd}) , resp. (\mathbf{hsmfd}) , replacing (\mathbf{ssmfd}) .

Following [3], we consider a variant of this construction, that of “ A -points” of a supermanifold. To any $M \in (\mathbf{ssmfd})$ we associate its *Weil-Berezin (local) “functor of A -points”* $\mathcal{W}_M : (\mathbf{Wsalg}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A}_0\text{-smfd})$ where $(\mathcal{A}_0\text{-smfd})$ is a suitable category of “ \mathcal{A}_0 -manifolds”. This construction is natural in M , hence it yields a functor $\mathcal{W} : (\mathbf{ssmfd}) \longrightarrow [(\mathbf{Wsalg}), (\mathcal{A}_0\text{-smfd})]$, called *Shvarts embedding*, that is full and faithful. Similar constructions and results apply to analytic and to holomorphic supermanifolds as well.

Therefore one can correctly study supermanifolds via their Weil-Berezin functors. In particular, the Shvarts embedding \mathcal{W} preserves products, hence also group objects: this implies (cf. [3], §4) that *a supermanifold M is a Lie supergroup if and only if \mathcal{W}_M takes values in the subcategory (among \mathcal{A}_0 -manifolds) of group objects* (that we call “Lie \mathcal{A}_0 -groups”).

2.2 Lie supergroups and super Harish-Chandra pairs

Hereafter we recall the notion of super Harish-Chandra pair (=sHCp in short), referring to [7] for details.

2.2.1. Super Harish-Chandra pairs. We call (*smooth, analytic or holomorphic*) *super Harish-Chandra pair* over \mathbb{K} any pair (G_+, \mathfrak{g}) such that: (a) G_+ is a (smooth, etc.) Lie group over \mathbb{K} , (b) $\mathfrak{g} \in (\mathbf{sLie})_{\mathbb{K}}$, (c) $\mathit{Lie}(G_+) = \mathfrak{g}_0$ and there is an action $Ad : G_+ \longrightarrow \mathit{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ which extends the adjoint action of G_+ on $\mathit{Lie}(G_+) = \mathfrak{g}_0$ and whose differential is the restriction to $\mathit{Lie}(G_+) = \mathfrak{g}_0$ of the adjoint action of \mathfrak{g} . All super Harish-Chandra pairs over \mathbb{K} , with their obvious morphisms, form a category, denoted $(\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{K}}$ — or $(\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$, $(\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{R}}^{\omega}$ or $(\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{C}}^{\omega}$ to specify that we are taking smooth, analytic or holomorphic objects.

2.2.2. The super Harish-Chandra pair of a Lie supergroup. Let G be a Lie supergroup (of either type: smooth, etc.). It is known that its underlying reduced manifold G_0 (cf. §2.1.2) is indeed a *Lie group* (either smooth, etc., like G is). Even more, the construction $G \mapsto G_0$ yields a functor from Lie supergroups (of either type) to Lie groups (of the same type). Moreover, G has a tangent Lie superalgebra $\mathit{Lie}(G)$, whose construction is natural in G so to define a functor from Lie supergroups to Lie superalgebras (cf. [7]). In the sequel by $\mathit{Lie}(G)$ we will mean both this Lie superalgebra and its associated functor as in §2.1.1. Note that for $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ one has $\mathit{Lie}(G)(A) = \mathit{Lie}(G(A))$, the latter being the tangent Lie algebra to the Lie group $G(A)$. Now $(G_0, \mathit{Lie}(G))$ is a super Harish-Chandra pair — natural in G — so we have functors

$$\Phi : (\mathbf{Lsgrp})_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}, \quad \Phi : (\mathbf{Lsgrp})_{\mathbb{R}}^{\omega} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{R}}^{\omega}, \quad \Phi : (\mathbf{Lsgrp})_{\mathbb{C}}^{\omega} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{C}}^{\omega}$$

given on objects by $G \mapsto (G_0, \mathit{Lie}(G))$ and on morphisms by $\phi \mapsto (\phi_0, \mathit{Lie}(\phi))$.

2.3 Special splittings for Lie supergroups

We remind now some special splittings (either “pointwise”, say, or “global” ones) for Lie supergroups: we will then take them as a model to *build up* a Lie supergroup out of a given super Harish-Chandra pair.

2.3.1. Pointwise splittings for Lie supergroups. Given a Lie supergroup G , there exists a bunch of results concerning particular splittings (typically, as semidirect product) of its groups of A -points $G(A)$. They are more or less known, see e.g. cf. [6] or [23], but other authors too may have mentioned them.

To begin with, let $p : A' \longrightarrow A''$ and $u : A'' \longrightarrow A'$ be morphisms in $(\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ such that $p \circ u = id_{A''}$, and let $G : (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{group})$ be any functor. Then $G(A)$ canonically splits into a semi-direct product, namely $G(A) = Im(G(u)) \ltimes Ker(G(p)) \cong G(A'') \ltimes Ker(G(p))$. In particular, when the functor G is in fact a *Lie supergroup*, choose $A' := A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$, $A'' := \mathbb{K}$ with $p := p_A$ and $u := u_A$ as in §2.1.1. Then the splitting $G(A) \cong G(A'') \ltimes Ker(G(p))$ given above now reads as a *canonical* splitting of Lie groups $G(A) \cong G_0(\mathbb{K}) \ltimes N_G(A)$, where $G_0(\mathbb{K})$ is the ordinary Lie group underlying G and $N_G(A) := Ker(G(p_A))$.

2.3.2. Pointwise splitting for Lie superalgebras. Consider a Lie \mathbb{K} -superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ and its associated functor $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} : (\mathbf{salg})_{\mathbb{K}} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{Lie})_{\mathbb{K}}$ as in §2.1.1. Acting like in 2.3.1, for any $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ we have $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A) = Im(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}}(u_A)) \oplus Ker(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}}(p_A))$, a Lie algebra splitting where the symbol “ \oplus ” denotes the (internal) semi-direct sum of $Im(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}}(u_A))$ with $Ker(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}}(p_A))$. Now, definitions give $Im(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}}(u_A)) \cong \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{K}) := (\mathbb{K} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g})_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0$, and we fix the simpler notation $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A) := Ker(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}}(p_A))$. Then

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A) = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A) \quad \forall A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}} \quad (2.1)$$

In the following, we shall refer to (2.1) as to “pointwise splitting for $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ ” — or simply “for \mathfrak{g} ” itself.

Now note that $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$ with $A_0 = \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathring{A}_0$ and $A_1 = \mathring{A}_1$; therefore

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A) := (A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g})_0 = (A_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_0) \oplus (A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus (\mathring{A}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_0) \oplus (A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1)$$

from which it clearly follows that $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A) := Ker(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}}(p_A)) = (\mathring{A}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_0) \oplus (\mathring{A}_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1)$ for all $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$. This in turn entails that *the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ is nilpotent*.

2.3.3. The Lie subgroup $N_G(A)$. Let again G be a Lie supergroup over \mathbb{K} , and $\mathfrak{g} := Lie(G)$ be its tangent Lie superalgebra. For any $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$, the Lie group $G(A)$ and the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(A) := \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ — also equal to $Lie(G)(A) = Lie(G(A))$, cf. §2.2.2 — are linked by the exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{g}(A) \longrightarrow G(A)$ which is a local isomorphism (either in the smooth, analytic or holomorphic sense, as usual). Similarly for the “even counterparts” we have also the local isomorphism $\exp_0 : \mathfrak{g}_0 \longrightarrow G_0(\mathbb{K})$ with $\exp_0 = \exp|_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ if we think at \mathfrak{g}_0 as embedded into $\mathfrak{g}(A) := \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A) = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ — cf. (2.1).

Now, since the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ is nilpotent — cf. §2.3.2 — its image $\exp(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A))$ for the exponential map is a (closed, connected) nilpotent Lie subgroup of $G(A)$. Furthermore, let us use notation $\mathfrak{g}(p_A) := \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}}(p_A)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(u_A) := \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}}(u_A)$, and consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g}(A) & \xrightarrow{\exp} & G(A) \\ \mathfrak{g}(u_A) \updownarrow \mathfrak{g}(p_A) & & G(p_A) \updownarrow G(u_A) \\ \mathfrak{g}_0 & \xrightarrow{\exp_0} & G_0(\mathbb{K}) \end{array}$$

This diagram is commutative, hence in particular $G(p_A) \circ \exp = \exp_0 \circ \mathfrak{g}(p_A)$, which in turn implies at once $G(p_A)(\exp(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A))) = \exp_0(\mathfrak{g}(p_A)(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A))) = \exp_0(\{0_{\mathfrak{g}_0}\}) = \{1_{G_0(\mathbb{K})}\}$ because $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A) := Ker(\mathfrak{g}(p_A))$; so in the end $\exp(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)) \subseteq Ker(G(p_A)) =: N_G(A)$.

The fact that $\exp : \mathfrak{g}(A) \longrightarrow G(A)$ is a local isomorphism, together with pointwise splittings — namely, $\mathfrak{g}(A) = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ and $G(A) = G_0(\mathbb{K}) \ltimes N_G(A)$ — and $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_0) = \dim(G_0(\mathbb{K}))$, jointly imply

$\dim(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)) = \dim(N_G(A))$. On the other hand, as $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ is nilpotent, its exponential map — i.e., just the restriction to $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ of $\exp : \mathfrak{g}(A) \rightarrow G(A)$ — is actually a *global isomorphism* of \mathbb{K} -manifolds from $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ to $\exp(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A))$. It then follows that $\dim(\exp(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A))) = \dim(N_G(A))$. Letting $N_G(A)^\circ$ be the connected component of $N_G(A)$, all the above eventually gives $\exp(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)) = N_G(A)^\circ$.

We shall now analyze $\exp(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)) = N_G(A)^\circ$, eventually proving that it coincides with $N_G(A)$.

We denote again by G the Weil-Berezin functor of A -points (cf. §2.1.3) of our Lie supergroup G . In detail, for $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ the group $G(A)$ of A -points of G is defined as $G(A) := G_A = \bigsqcup_{g \in |G|} G_{A,g}$ where $|G|$ is the underlying topological space of G and $G_{A,g} := \text{Hom}_{(\mathbf{salg})_{\mathbb{K}}}(\mathcal{O}_{G,g}, A)$, with $\mathcal{O}_{G,g}$ being the stalk of the structure sheaf of G (now thought of as a locally ringed superspace) at the point $g \in |G|$. We adopt the canonical identification $|G| = G(\mathbb{K})$ via $g \mapsto \text{ev}_g$ with $\text{ev}_g : \mathcal{O}_{G,g} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ given by $f \mapsto \text{ev}_g(f) := f(g)$.

For every $g_A \in G_{A,g}$ we have $\tilde{g}_A := p_A \circ g_A$ (cf. §2.1.1) which coincides with ev_g ; moreover, the very definition gives also $\tilde{g}_A := p_A \circ g_A = G(p_A)(g_A)$. Finally, due to the splitting $A = \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathring{A}$, for every $g_A \in G(A)$, say $g_A \in G_{A,g}$, there exists also a unique map $\hat{g}_A : \mathcal{O}_{G,g} \rightarrow \mathring{A}$ such that $g_A = \tilde{g}_A + \hat{g}_A$.

Now assume $g_A \in N_G(A) := \text{Ker}(G(p_A))$. Then $G(p_A)(g_A) = 1_{G_A} \in G(A)$; therefore — by the previous analysis — we have $\tilde{g}_A = 1$, whence $g_A = 1 + \hat{g}_A$ — which can be read as the sum, in the natural sense, of maps from $\mathcal{O}_{G,1}$ to A . Now, we can re-write our g_A as $g_A = 1 + \hat{g}_A = \exp(X_{g_A})$ with $X_{g_A} := \log(g_A) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\hat{g}_A^n}{n}$ where $\exp(X_{g_A}) := \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} X_{g_A}^n / n!$ and all powers in these formulas are given by $X_{g_A}^n(f) := (X_{g_A}(f))^n$, $\hat{g}_A^n(f) := (\hat{g}_A(f))^n$, etc. All this makes sense because $\text{Im}(\hat{g}_A) \in \mathring{A}$, thus \hat{g}_A is nilpotent, hence X_{g_A} is given by a finite sum and it is nilpotent, so $\exp(X_{g_A})$ is a finite sum too.

By formal properties of exponential and logarithm, since $g_A : \mathcal{O}_{G,1} \rightarrow A$ is a (superalgebra) morphism it follows from the above expansion of g_A that $X_{g_A} : \mathcal{O}_{G,1} \rightarrow A$ is in turn a derivation; therefore — cf. §2.2.2 — $X_{g_A} \in \text{Lie}(G(A)) = (\text{Lie}(G))(A) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A) =: \mathfrak{g}(A)$. Finally, by construction we have also $\text{Im}(X_{g_A}) \in \mathring{A}$. Along with pointwise splitting $\mathfrak{g}(A) = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ — see (2.1) for $\mathfrak{g}(A) := \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ — and with $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A) = (\mathring{A}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_0) \oplus (\mathring{A}_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1)$ all this together eventually gives $X_{g_A} \in \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$. All in all, we get

Proposition 2.3.4. *For any Lie supergroup G and $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ we have $N_G(A) = \exp(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A))$. In particular, $N_G(A)$ is connected nilpotent, and (globally) isomorphic, as a manifold, to $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$.*

Proof. Our analysis above shows that each $g_A \in N_G(A)$ can be realized as $g_A = \exp(X_{g_A})$ with $X_{g_A} \in \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$; hence $N_G(A) \subseteq \exp(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A))$; conversely, §2.3.3 yields $\exp(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)) = N_G(A)^\circ \subseteq N_G(A)$. Thus $N_G(A) = \exp(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A))$ as claimed. The last part of the claim then is clear. See also [9] for another proof. \square

2.4 Global splittings for Lie supergroups

We present now two remarkable splittings for the groups $G(A)$ of “ A -points” of a Lie supergroup G ; these are natural in A , hence give “global splittings” of G as a functor. Such a result is often stated as a splitting of the structure sheaf (e.g., in [4], [23], [25]), we provide instead a more geometrical proof.

2.4.1. Structure theorem and global splittings for Lie supergroups. Let G be a Lie supergroup over \mathbb{K} , whose tangent Lie superalgebra is $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(G)$, and let $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$. The powers \mathring{A}^d of the nilradical \mathring{A} of A form a descending sequence such that $\mathring{A}^N = 0$ for $N \gg 0$ (cf. §2.1.1). Then we consider

$$\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{(d)}(A) := \left(\mathring{A}^d \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g} \right)_0 = \left((\mathring{A}^d)_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_0 \right) \oplus \left((\mathring{A}^d)_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1 \right) \quad \forall d \in \mathbb{N}_+$$

this in turn yields a decreasing filtration of Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$, with $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{(N)}(A) = 0$ for $N \gg 0$.

Consider the case of an element $\eta Y := \eta \otimes Y \in \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ with $\eta \in A_1$, $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_1$. As $\eta^2 = 0$, if we express $\exp(\eta Y)$ as a formal series we actually have $\exp(\eta Y) = 1 + \eta Y$. Similarly, for every $cX = c \otimes X \in \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ with $c \in A_0$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ if $c^2 = 0$ then also the formal series expression of $\exp(cX)$ reads $\exp(cX) = 1 + cX$.

For later use, we fix a \mathbb{K} -basis B of \mathfrak{g} of the form $B := B_0 \sqcup B_1$ with $B_0 = \{X_j\}_{j \in J}$, resp. $B_1 = \{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$, being a \mathbb{K} -basis of \mathfrak{g}_0 , resp. of \mathfrak{g}_1 . Moreover, we fix any total order \leq on B — or, equivalently, on $I \sqcup J$ — such that all elements from B_0 are less than those of B_1 — in a nutshell, $B_0 \leq B_1$.

Now consider the \mathbb{K} -algebra $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle\rangle$ of formal power series in the non-commutative variables Z_1 and Z_2 . The Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff formula in $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle\rangle$ is $\exp(Z_1) \cdot \exp(Z_2) = \exp(Z_1 * Z_2)$ with $Z_1 * Z_2 := \log(\exp(Z_1) \cdot \exp(Z_2)) \in \mathbb{K}\langle\langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle\rangle$. More precisely, the formal series expansion of $Z_1 * Z_2$ can be re-arranged as a formal series $Z_1 * Z_2 = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} L_n(Z_1, Z_2)$ where each $L_n(Z_1, Z_2)$ is a homogeneous Lie monomial of degree n in the free Lie \mathbb{K} -algebra $\langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle_{\text{Lie}}^{\mathbb{K}}$ generated by Z_1 and Z_2 . In particular, if one replaces Z_1 and Z_2 with elements z_1 and z_2 sitting in some nilpotent Lie algebra, then all but finitely many of the $L_n(z_1, z_2)$'s do vanish, hence $z_1 * z_2$ can be written as a finite sum.

Our next goal is another description of $N_G(A) = \exp(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A))$. We need an auxiliary result:

Lemma 2.4.2. *Let $S_1, \dots, S_\ell \in \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ with $S_i \in \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{(d_i)}(A)$, $d_i \in \mathbb{N}_+$ ($i = 1, \dots, \ell$). Then there exist $T_1, \dots, T_k \in \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ such that $T_j \in \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{(\tilde{c}_j)}(A)$ with $\tilde{c}_j \geq d_{a_j} + d_{b_j}$ and $a_j, b_j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ ($j = 1, \dots, k$), and*

$$\exp(S_1 + \dots + S_\ell) = \exp(S_1) \cdots \exp(S_\ell) \exp(T_1) \cdots \exp(T_k)$$

Proof. Writing all exponentials as formal series (actually *finite sums!*) the claim follows at once from definitions by induction on ℓ via a straightforward application of Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula. \square

We can now provide our new description of the subgroup $N_G(A) = \exp(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A))$:

Proposition 2.4.3. *The subgroup $N_G(A) = \exp(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A))$ of $G(A)$ is generated by the set*

$$\Gamma_B := \left\{ \exp(t_j X_j), \exp(\eta_i Y_i) \mid t_j \in \mathring{A}_0, \eta_i \in \mathring{A}_1 = A_1, \forall j \in J, i \in I \right\}$$

where $\{X_j\}_{j \in J} \sqcup \{Y_i\}_{i \in I} = B_0 \sqcup B_1 = B$ is the \mathbb{K} -basis of \mathfrak{g} chosen in §2.4.1 above.

Proof. Let $n \in N_G(A) = \exp(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A))$, say $n = \exp(Z)$ with $Z \in \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$; clearly we can assume $Z \neq 0$. Using our fixed, ordered, \mathbb{K} -basis B of \mathfrak{g} our Z expands into $Z = \sum_{j \in J} t'_j X_j + \sum_{i \in I} \eta'_i Y_i$ for some $t'_j \in \mathring{A}_0$ and $\eta'_i \in \mathring{A}_1$, by the very definition of $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$. By Lemma 2.4.2, this implies that

$$\exp(Z) = \exp\left(\sum_{j \in J} t'_j X_j + \sum_{i \in I} \eta'_i Y_i\right) = \overrightarrow{\prod}_{j \in J} \exp(t'_j X_j) \overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in I} \exp(\eta'_i Y_i) \cdot \exp\left(Z_1^{(1)}\right) \cdots \exp\left(Z_{k_1}^{(1)}\right)$$

for some $Z_1^{(1)}, \dots, Z_{k_1}^{(1)} \in \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$, where $\overrightarrow{\prod}_{j \in J}$ and $\overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in I}$ denotes ordered products. Even more, the Lemma ensures that these $Z_h^{(1)}$'s “lie deeper”, in the decreasing filtration of $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ given by the $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{(d)}(A)$'s, than the initial Z we started with: so we can iterate this argument and finally stop after finitely many steps. \square

2.4.4. Special exponentials in $G(A)$. Before going on, let us consider elements in $G(A)$ of the form $\exp(tX)$ or $\exp(\eta Y)$ — with $t \in A_0$ such that $t^2 = 0$, $\eta \in \mathring{A}_1$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_1$. Since both t and η have square zero, the formal power series expansion of both $\exp(tX)$ and $\exp(\eta Y)$ stops at first order, i.e. it reads $\exp(tX) = (1 + tX)$ and $\exp(\eta Y) = (1 + \eta Y)$ respectively. More in general, we consider elements of the form $\exp(\mathcal{X})$, $\exp(\mathcal{Y}) \in \exp(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)) = N_G(A)$ with $\mathcal{X} \in \mathring{A}_0 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathring{A}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_1 = A_1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_1$. As \mathring{A}_0 and A_1 are nilpotent, the power series expansion of $\exp(\mathcal{X})$ and $\exp(\mathcal{Y})$ can be seen again as polynomials.

In the next Lemma we collect some identities in $G(A)$ involving these “special exponentials”.

Lemma 2.4.5. *Let $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$, $\eta, \eta', \eta'' \in A_1$, $\eta_i \in A_1$ (for all $i \in I$), $Y, Y' \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $g_0 \in G_0(A)$. Then inside $G(A)$ we have*

- (a) $(1 + \eta \eta' [Y, Y']) = \exp(\eta \eta' [Y, Y']) \in G_0(A)$
- (b) $(1 + \eta Y) g_0 = g_0 (1 + \eta \text{Ad}(g_0^{-1})(Y))$, $\exp(\sum_{i \in I} \eta_i Y_i) g_0 = g_0 \exp(\sum_{i \in I} \eta_i \text{Ad}(g_0^{-1})(Y_i))$

$$(c) \quad (1 + \eta' Y') (1 + \eta'' Y'') = (1 + \eta'' \eta' [Y', Y'']) (1 + \eta'' Y'') (1 + \eta' Y')$$

$$(d) \quad (1 + \eta Y') (1 + \eta Y'') = (1 + \eta (Y' + Y'')) = (1 + \eta Y'') (1 + \eta Y')$$

$$(e) \quad (1 + \eta' Y) (1 + \eta'' Y) = (1 + \eta'' \eta' Y^{\langle 2 \rangle}) (1 + (\eta' + \eta'') Y)$$

$$(f) \quad (1 + \eta Y) (1 + \eta' \eta'' X) = (1 + \eta' \eta'' X) (1 + \eta \eta' \eta'' [Y, X]) (1 + \eta Y) = \\ = (1 + \eta' \eta'' X) (1 + \eta Y) (1 + \eta \eta' \eta'' [Y, X])$$

(g) Let $(h, k) := h k h^{-1} k^{-1}$ be the commutator of elements h and k in a group. Then

$$((1 + \eta Y), (1 + \eta' Y')) = (1 + \eta' \eta [Y, Y']), \quad ((1 + \eta Y), (1 + \eta Y')) = (1 + \eta (Y + Y'))$$

$$((1 + \eta' Y), (1 + \eta'' Y)) = (1 + \eta'' \eta' Y^{\langle 2 \rangle})^2 = (1 + \eta'' \eta' 2 Y^{\langle 2 \rangle}) = (1 + \eta'' \eta' [Y, Y])$$

(N.B.: taking the rightmost term in the last identity, the latter is a special case of the first).

(h) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, there exist unique $T_0^{(n)}, T_1^{(n)} \in \langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle_{Lie}^{\mathbb{K}}$, independent of A , such that:

– $T_0^{(n)}$ is a \mathbb{K} -linear combination of Lie monomials of even degree greater than n ,

– $T_1^{(n)}$ is a \mathbb{K} -linear combination of Lie monomials of odd degree greater than n ,

– setting $d_1 := \dim(\mathfrak{g}_1)$, for any $\mathcal{Y}', \mathcal{Y}'' \in A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1$ we have

$$\exp(\mathcal{Y}') \exp(\mathcal{Y}'') = \exp\left(P_0^{(d_1)}(\mathcal{Y}', \mathcal{Y}'')\right) \exp\left(\mathcal{Y}' + \mathcal{Y}'' + P_1^{(d_1)}(\mathcal{Y}', \mathcal{Y}'')\right)$$

with $P_0^{(d_1)} := T_0^{(1)} * T_0^{(2)} * \dots * T_0^{(d_1-1)}$ and $P_1^{(d_1)} := T_1^{(d_1-1)} + \dots + T_1^{(2)} + T_1^{(1)}$.

Proof. Writing all exponentials as formal power series (actually *finite sum*, as noticed above), claims (a) through (g) follow at once from definitions, via straightforward applications of the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula. Claim (a) is even simpler, since $(1 + \eta \eta' [Y, Y'])$ is just the formal power series expansion of $\exp(\eta \eta' [Y, Y'])$, and the latter belong to $\exp(\mathring{A}_0 \mathfrak{g}_0) \subseteq G_0(A)$.

Claim (h) requires some more work. An equivalent formulation of it is that the identity

$$\mathcal{Y}' * \mathcal{Y}'' = P_0^{(d_1)}(\mathcal{Y}', \mathcal{Y}'') * \left(\mathcal{Y}' + \mathcal{Y}'' + P_1^{(d_1)}(\mathcal{Y}', \mathcal{Y}'')\right) \quad (2.2)$$

holds true for some uniquely determined Lie polynomials $P_0^{(d_1)} := T_0^{(1)} * T_0^{(2)} * \dots * T_0^{(d_1-1)}$ and $P_1^{(d_1)} := T_1^{(d_1-1)} + \dots + T_1^{(2)} + T_1^{(1)}$ with the $T_{0/1}^{(i)}$'s having the properties mentioned above.

We start working with the product “*” in $\langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle_{Lie}^{\mathbb{K}}$. As a matter of terminology, we call *order* of any non-zero Lie polynomial P in two variables the least degree of a homogeneous monomial occurring with non-zero coefficient in the standard \mathbb{K} -linear expansion of P (while the zero polynomial has order $-\infty$).

First we need some technical results. For formal F, G there exist unique $R, S \in \langle F, G \rangle_{Lie}^{\mathbb{K}}$ such that

$$F * G = R * (F + G) \quad , \quad F + G = F * S * G \quad (2.3)$$

and R, S are Lie polynomials (in F and G) of order greater than 1: in fact, R is the unique solution of the equation $\exp(F) \exp(G) = \exp(R) \exp(F + G)$, while S is the unique solution of the equation $\exp(F + G) = \exp(F) \exp(S) \exp(G)$. Then the explicit expression of the product “*” implies that both R and S have order greater than 1, as claimed; moreover, both are independent of A and \mathfrak{g} whatsoever. Finally, for any Lie polynomial T in two variables there exist unique T_0 and T_1 such that $T = T_0 + T_1$ where T_0 , resp. T_1 , is a \mathbb{K} -linear combination of Lie monomials of *even*, resp. *odd*, degree.

With repeated applications of (2.3) and of the identity $T = T_0 + T_1$ we eventually find the expression $Z_1 * Z_2 = T_0^{(1)} * T_0^{(2)} * \dots * T_0^{(n-1)} * T^{(n)} * \left(T_1^{(n-1)} + \dots + T_1^{(2)} + T_1^{(1)} + Z_1 + Z_2\right)$ for arbitrarily big $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where each Lie polynomial T_s has order greater than s . Finally, we can re-write this last formula as

$$Z_1 * Z_2 = P_0^{(n)}(Z_1, Z_2) * T^{(n)} * \left(Z_1 + Z_2 + P_1^{(n)}(Z_1, Z_2)\right) \quad (2.4)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $P_0^{(n)} := T_0^{(1)} * T_0^{(2)} * \dots * T_0^{(n-1)}$ and $P_1^{(n)} := T_1^{(n-1)} + \dots + T_1^{(2)} + T_1^{(1)}$.

But every Lie monomial of degree $m > d_1 := \dim(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ vanishes on $A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1$, hence $T^{(m-1)}$ vanishes too. It follows that for $n = d_1$ replacing \mathcal{Y}' for Z_1 and \mathcal{Y}'' for Z_2 in (2.4) we eventually get (2.2), q.e.d. \square

We still need to introduce some auxiliary objects associated with G :

Definition 2.4.6. Let G be a Lie supergroup, as above. For any $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$, we define:

$$(a) \quad G^-(A) := \left\{ \prod_{s=1}^n (1 + \eta_s Y_s) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, (\eta_s, Y_s) \in A_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_1 \ \forall s \in \{1, \dots, n\} \right\} \quad (\subseteq G(A))$$

$$(b) \quad \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) := \left\{ \exp(\mathcal{Y}) \mid \mathcal{Y} \in A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1 \right\} \quad (\subseteq G^-(A))$$

$$(c) \quad N_G^{[2]}(A) := \exp\left(A_1^{[2]} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} [\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_1]\right) \quad (\subseteq N_{G_0}(A_0) = N_G(A) \cap G_0(A))$$

(d) for any fixed \mathbb{K} -basis $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ of \mathfrak{g}_1 (for some index set I) and any fixed total order in I ,

$$G_{\leq}^-(A) := \left\{ \overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in I} (1 + \eta_i Y_i) \mid \eta_i \in A_1 \ \forall i \in I \right\} \quad (\subseteq G^-(A))$$

where $\overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in I}$ denotes an *ordered product* — with respect to the fixed total order in I . ◇

Remark 2.4.7. By definition, $\exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1)$ contains the set of generators of $G^-(A)$; therefore, the former generates a subgroup $\langle \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \rangle$ of $G(A)$ that contains $G^-(A)$. On the other hand, for any $\sum_{s=1}^n \eta_s Y_s \in A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1$, the formal series expansion of $\exp\left(\sum_{s=1}^n \eta_s Y_s\right)$ yields

$$\exp\left(\sum_{s=1}^n \eta_s Y_s\right) = \prod_{\sigma \in S_n} (1 + \eta_{\sigma(1)} Y_{\sigma(1)}/n!) \cdot (1 + \eta_{\sigma(2)} Y_{\sigma(2)}/n!) \cdots (1 + \eta_{\sigma(n)} Y_{\sigma(n)}/n!)$$

that implies $\langle \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \rangle \subseteq G^-(A)$. The outcome is that $G^-(A) = \langle \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \rangle$.

From now on, we fix a \mathbb{K} -basis $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ of \mathfrak{g}_1 (for some index set I) and we fix in I a total order, as in Definition 2.4.6(d). Our first result provides new, interesting factorizations for $G(A)$:

Proposition 2.4.8. Let G be a Lie supergroup as above, let $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a totally ordered \mathbb{K} -basis of \mathfrak{g}_1 (for some total order in the set I) and let $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ be any Weil superalgebra. Then:

(a) $G^-(A)$ coincides with the subgroup $\langle G_{\leq}^-(A) \rangle$ of $G(A)$ generated by $G_{\leq}^-(A)$ and with the subgroup $\langle \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \rangle$ generated by $\exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1)$;

(b) there exist set-theoretic factorizations (with respect to the group product “ \cdot ”)

$$G^-(A) = N_G^{[2]}(A) \cdot G_{\leq}^-(A) \quad , \quad G^-(A) = G_{\leq}^-(A) \cdot N_G^{[2]}(A) \quad (2.5)$$

$$N_G(A) = N_{G_0}(A_0) \cdot G_{\leq}^-(A) \quad , \quad N_G(A) = G_{\leq}^-(A) \cdot N_{G_0}(A_0) \quad (2.6)$$

$$G(A) = G_0(A) \cdot G_{\leq}^-(A) \quad , \quad G(A) = G_{\leq}^-(A) \cdot G_0(A) \quad (2.7)$$

(c) there exist set-theoretic factorizations (with respect to the group product “ \cdot ”)

$$G^-(A) = N_G^{[2]}(A) \cdot \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \quad , \quad G^-(A) = \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \cdot N_G^{[2]}(A) \quad (2.8)$$

$$N_G(A) = N_{G_0}(A_0) \cdot \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \quad , \quad N_G(A) = \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \cdot N_{G_0}(A_0) \quad (2.9)$$

$$G(A) = G_0(A) \cdot \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \quad , \quad G(A) = \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \cdot G_0(A) \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. (a) Remark 2.4.7 proves that $\langle \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \rangle = \langle G_{\leq}^-(A) \rangle$, so we are left to prove $\langle G_{\leq}^-(A) \rangle = G^-(A)$. By definition, $G^-(A)$ is the subgroup in $G(A)$ generated by $\{(1 + \eta Y) \mid \eta \in A_1, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_1\}$: thus it is enough to prove that each $(1 + \eta Y)$ lies in $\langle G_{\leq}^-(A) \rangle$. For $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ let $Y = \sum_{i \in I} c_i Y_i$ be its \mathbb{K} -linear expansion with respect to the basis $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ of \mathfrak{g}_1 ; then repeated applications of Lemma 2.4.5(d) yield

$$(1 + \eta Y) = \left(1 + \eta \sum_{i \in I} c_i Y_i\right) = \left(1 + \sum_{i \in I} (c_i \eta) Y_i\right) = \overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in I} (1 + (c_i \eta) Y_i) \in G_{\leq}^-(A), \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

(b) This part can be proved much like Proposition 4.2.7 in [16], but now applying Lemma 2.4.5.

(c) Starting with (2.8), it is enough to prove the left-hand side, i.e. $G^-(A) = N_G^{[2]}(A) \cdot \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1)$.

First of all, the inclusion $N_G^{[2]}(A) \cdot \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \subseteq G^-(A)$ follows at once from (2.5) together with claim (a). Moreover, again by claim (a) we have $G^-(A) = \langle \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \rangle$ so it is enough to prove that the product of any two generators $\exp(\mathcal{Y}')$ and $\exp(\mathcal{Y}'')$ of $G^-(A) = \langle \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \rangle$ lies in $N_G^{[2]}(A) \cdot \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1)$. In fact, this follows at once from the identity in Lemma 2.4.5(h).

The same argument used above also applies to prove (2.9).

Finally, the following chain of identities $G(A) = G_0(\mathbb{K}) \cdot N_G(A) = G_0(\mathbb{K}) \cdot N_{G_0}(A_0) \cdot \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) = G_0(A_0) \cdot \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) = G_0(A) \cdot \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1)$, using the pointwise splitting $G(A) \cong G_0(\mathbb{K}) \times N_G(A)$ — cf. §2.3.1 — and (2.9), proves (2.10). \square

We aim to improve the previous result. We still need a technical result:

Lemma 2.4.9. *Given a Lie supergroup G and $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$, let $\zeta_i \in A_1$ ($i \in I$). Then:*

(a) if $g := \prod_{i \in I}^{\rightarrow} (1 + \zeta_i Y_i) \in G_0(A) \cap G_{\leq}^-(A)$, then $\zeta_i = 0$ for all $i \in I$;

(b) if $g := \exp(\sum_{i \in I} \zeta_i Y_i) \in G_0(A) \cap \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1)$, then $\zeta_i = 0$ for all $i \in I$.

Proof. (a) Recall (cf. §2.3.3) that, by definition, we have $G(A) := \prod_{x \in |G|} \text{Hom}_{(\mathbf{salg})_{\mathbb{K}}}(\mathcal{O}_{|G|,x}, A)$; therefore

$g := \prod_{i \in I}^{\rightarrow} (1 + \zeta_i Y_i) = 1 + \sum_{i \in I} \zeta_i Y_i + \mathcal{O}(2)$ makes sense, as an expansion of g where $\mathcal{O}(2)$ is a shorthand notation for “additional summands of higher order in the ζ_i ’s”. Let $\mathfrak{a} := (\{\zeta_i\}_{i \in I})$ be the ideal of A generated by the ζ_i ’s; then the previous expansion of g yields a similar expansion $[g]_2 := 1 + \sum_{i \in I} [\zeta_i]_2 Y_i$ inside $G(A/\mathfrak{a}^2) := \prod_{x \in |G|} \text{Hom}_{(\mathbf{salg})_{\mathbb{K}}}(\mathcal{O}_{|G|,x}, A/\mathfrak{a}^2)$. Moreover, from $g \in G_0(A) \cap G_{\leq}^-(A)$ we get $[g]_2 \in G_0(A/\mathfrak{a}^2) \cap G_{\leq}^-(A/\mathfrak{a}^2)$ too, hence — thinking of $[g]_2$ as an A/\mathfrak{a}^2 -valued map — also $\text{Im}([g]_2) \subseteq (A/\mathfrak{a}^2)_0$.

As $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a \mathbb{K} -basis of \mathfrak{g}_1 , there exists a local system of coordinates around the unit point $1_G \in |G|$, say $\{y_i\}_{i \in I}$, such that $Y_i(y_j) = \delta_{i,j} \forall i, j \in I$. Then $[g]_2(y_j) := 1 + \sum_{i \in I} [\zeta_i]_2 Y_i(y_j) = [\zeta_j]_2$, in particular $[g]_2(y_j) \in [\zeta_j]_2 \in (A/\mathfrak{a}^2)_1$; this together with $\text{Im}([g]_2) \subseteq (A/\mathfrak{a}^2)_0$ implies $[\zeta_j]_2 = [0]_2 \in A/\mathfrak{a}^2$, i.e. $\zeta_j \in \mathfrak{a}^2 = (\{\zeta_i\}_{i \in I})^2 \forall j \in I$: thus $\zeta_j \in \mathfrak{a}^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \in I$. But $\mathfrak{a}^n = 0$ for $n \gg 0$, so $\zeta_j = 0 \forall j \in I$, q.e.d.

(b) The same argument as in (a) applies again. \square

Finally, we are ready to state the main result of the present subsection. Later on, we will refer to the isomorphisms in part (a) and/or (c) of its statement as to “Global Splittings”.

Theorem 2.4.10. *(existence of Global Splittings for Lie supergroups)*

Let G be a Lie supergroup, and \mathfrak{g} its tangent Lie superalgebra.

(a) *The restriction of group multiplication in G provides isomorphisms of (set-valued) functors*

$$N_G^{[2]} \times G_{\leq}^- \cong G^- \quad , \quad N_{G_0} \times G_{\leq}^- \cong N_G \quad , \quad G_0 \times G_{\leq}^- \cong G$$

$$G_{\leq}^- \times N_G^{[2]} \cong G^- \quad , \quad G_{\leq}^- \times N_{G_0} \cong N_G \quad , \quad G_{\leq}^- \times G_0 \cong G$$

$$N_G^{[2]} \times \exp((-)_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \cong G^- \quad , \quad N_{G_0} \times \exp((-)_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \cong N_G \quad , \quad G_0 \times \exp((-)_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \cong G$$

$$\exp((-)_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \times N_G^{[2]} \cong G^- \quad , \quad \exp((-)_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \times N_{G_0} \cong N_G \quad , \quad \exp((-)_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \times G_0 \cong G$$

with $\exp((-)_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1)$ the set-valued functor $(\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow (\mathbf{sets})$ given by $A \mapsto \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1)$.

(b) *Setting notation $d_1 := \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{g}_1) = |I|$, there exist isomorphisms of (set-valued) functors $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1} \cong G_{\leq}^-$ and $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1} \cong \exp((-)_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1)$, given on A -points — for every $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ — by*

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1}(A) = A_1^{d_1} \longrightarrow G_{\leq}^-(A) \quad , \quad (\eta_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \prod_{i \in I}^{\rightarrow} (1 + \eta_i Y_i)$$

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1}(A) = A_1^{d_1} \longrightarrow \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \quad , \quad (\eta_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \exp(\sum_{i \in I} \eta_i Y_i)$$

(c) The isomorphisms in (a) and (b) induce further isomorphisms of (set-valued) functors

$$\begin{aligned} N_G^{[2]} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1} &\cong G^- & , & & N_{G_0} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1} &\cong N_G & , & & G_0 \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1} &\cong G \\ \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1} \times N_G^{[2]} &\cong G^- & , & & \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1} \times N_{G_0} &\cong N_G & , & & \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1} \times G_0 &\cong G \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof can be done along the same lines followed for Proposition 4.2.11 in [16]. The technical, accessory results that one needs to exploit are the various Lemmas and Propositions developed so far in the present subsection: of course they are formally different, but the overall argument is the same. \square

3 From super Harish-Chandra pairs to Lie supergroups

In this section we provide two different functors Ψ that are quasi-inverse to the functor Φ of §2.2.2. In both cases, for any super Harish-Chandra pair \mathcal{P} , we define as associated $\Psi(\mathcal{P}) := G_{\mathcal{P}}$ a suitable functor from Weil superalgebras to groups, and then prove that it has the “right properties”. Concretely, we follow the pattern provided by the *Global Splitting Theorem* for Lie supergroups, which tells us two possible ways how our would-be Lie supergroup $G_{\mathcal{P}}$ should look like: this yields us, eventually, two different recipes.

3.1 Supergroup functors out of super Harish-Chandra pairs: first recipe

For later use, we fix the following notation: given $\mathcal{P} = (G_+, \mathfrak{g}) \in (\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{K}}$, $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ and $c \in A_0$ such that $c^2 = 0$, for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ we set $(1_{G_+} + cX) := \exp(cX) \in G_+(A_0)$. When no confusion is possible we will drop the subscript G_+ and simply write $(1 + cX)$ instead. Similarly, we shall presently introduce new formal elements of type “ $(1 + \eta Y) = \exp(\eta Y)$ ” with $\eta \in A_1$, $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_1$.

Definition 3.1.1. Let $\mathcal{P} := (G_+, \mathfrak{g}) \in (\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{K}}$ be a super Harish-Chandra pair over \mathbb{K} .

(a) We introduce a functor $G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ} : (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{group})$ as follows. For any Weil superalgebra $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$, we define $G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(A)$ as being the group with generators the elements of the set

$$\Gamma_A := \{ g_+, (1 + \eta Y) \mid g_+ \in G_+(A), (\eta, Y) \in A_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_1 \} = G_+(A) \cup \{ (1 + \eta Y) \}_{(\eta, Y) \in A_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_1}$$

and relations (for $g'_+, g''_+ \in G_+(A)$, $\eta, \eta', \eta'' \in A_1$, $Y, Y', Y'' \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, $c \in \mathbb{K}$)

$$\begin{aligned} g'_+ \cdot g''_+ &= g'_+ \dot{c}_+ g''_+ & , & & (1 + \eta Y) \cdot g_+ &= g_+ \cdot (1 + \eta \text{Ad}(g_+^{-1})(Y)) \\ (1 + \eta'' Y) \cdot (1 + \eta' Y) &= \left(1_{G_+} + \eta' \eta'' Y^{(2)} \right)_{G_+} \cdot (1 + (\eta' + \eta'') Y) & , & & (1 + \eta 0_{\mathfrak{g}_1}) &= 1 \\ (1 + \eta'' Y'') \cdot (1 + \eta' Y') &= \left(1_{G_+} + \eta' \eta'' [Y', Y''] \right)_{G_+} \cdot (1 + \eta' Y') \cdot (1 + \eta'' Y'') & , & & (1 + 0_A Y) &= 1 \\ (1 + \eta Y') \cdot (1 + \eta Y'') &= (1 + \eta (Y' + Y'')) & , & & (1 + (c\eta) Y) &= (1 + \eta (cY)) \end{aligned}$$

where the first line means that for generators chosen in $G_+(A)$ their product, denoted “ \cdot ”, inside $G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(A)$ is the same as in $G_+(A)$, where it is denoted “ \dot{c}_+ ”; moreover, $\left(1_{G_+} + \eta' \eta'' Y^{(2)} \right)_{G_+}$ and $\left(1_{G_+} + \eta' \eta'' [Y', Y''] \right)_{G_+}$ are elements of the form $(1_{G_+} + cX)$ with $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, $c \in A_0$ and $c^2 = 0$ as mentioned above.

Moreover, for any morphism $f : A' \longrightarrow A''$ in $(\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ we let $G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(f) : G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(A') \longrightarrow G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(A'')$ be the group morphism uniquely defined on generators — for all $g'_+ \in G_+(A')$, $\eta \in A'_1$, $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ — by

$$G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(f)(g'_+) := G_+(f)(g'_+) & , & G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(f)(1 + \eta' Y) := (1 + f(\eta') Y)$$

As the defining relations of each $G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(A)$ are independent of A , such a $G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(f)$ is well defined indeed.

(b) We define a functor $G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ,-} : (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{set})$ on any object $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ by

$$G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ,-}(A) := \left\{ \prod_{s=1}^n (1 + \eta_s Y_s) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, (\eta_s, Y_s) \in A_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_1 \forall s \in \{1, \dots, n\} \right\} \quad (\subseteq G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(A))$$

and on morphism in the obvious way — just like for $G_{\mathcal{P}}$.

(c) Let us fix in \mathfrak{g}_1 a \mathbb{K} -basis $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ — for some index set I — and a total order in I . We define a functor $G_-^\leq : (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{set})$ as follows. For $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ we set

$$G_-^\leq(A) := \left\{ \prod_{i \in I}^{\rightarrow} (1 + \eta_i Y_i) \mid \eta_i \in A_1 \ \forall i \in I \right\} \quad (\subseteq G_p^{\circ, -}(A) \subseteq G_p^\circ(A))$$

where $\prod_{i \in I}^{\rightarrow}$ denotes an *ordered product* — with respect to the fixed total order in I . This defines the functor G_-^\leq on objects, and its definition on morphism is the obvious one (like for G_p°). \diamond

Remark 3.1.2. By definition, $G_p^{\circ, -}$ and G_-^\leq are subfunctors of G_p° . Moreover, every $G_p^{\circ, -}(A)$ is the subgroup of $G_p^\circ(A)$ generated by $G_-^\leq(A)$, or by $\{(1 + \eta Y)\}_{(\eta, Y) \in A_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_1}$. Thus, although G_-^\leq depends on the choice of $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$, the supergroup subfunctor that it generates (inside G_p°) instead is *independent* of that.

Next result shows that G_p° can also be described using a much smaller set of generators:

Proposition 3.1.3. Let $\mathcal{P} := (G_+, \mathfrak{g}) \in (\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{K}}$, and fix in \mathfrak{g}_1 a totally ordered \mathbb{K} -basis $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$. Then for every $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ the group $G_p^\circ(A)$ is generated by $\Gamma_A^\bullet := G_+(A) \cup \{(1 + \eta_i Y_i) \mid \eta_i \in A_1, \forall i \in I\}$.

Proof. Given $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$, let $G_p^\bullet(A)$ be the subgroup of $G_p^\circ(A)$ generated by Γ_A^\bullet . We will prove that every generator of the group $G_p^\circ(A)$ of the form $(1 + \eta Y)$ with $(\eta, Y) \in A_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_1$ also belongs to the subgroup $G_p^\bullet(A)$: this then will prove the claim. So let $(\eta, Y) \in A_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_1$; then, in terms of the \mathbb{K} -basis $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ of \mathfrak{g}_1 , our Y expands into $Y = \sum_{s=1}^k c_{j_s} Y_{j_s}$. By repeated applications of relations of the form $(1 + \eta Y') \cdot (1 + \eta Y'') = (1 + \eta(Y' + Y''))$, we find that the generator $(1 + \eta Y)$ in $G_p^\circ(A)$ factors as $(1 + \eta Y) = \left(1 + \eta \sum_{s=1}^k c_{j_s} Y_{j_s}\right) = \prod_{s=1}^k (1 + c_{j_s} \eta Y_{j_s})$ where the product can be done in any order, as its factors mutually commute. Now the product in right-hand side does belong to $G_p^\bullet(A)$, q.e.d. \square

3.1.4. Another realization of G_p° . In the following, if K is any group presented by generators and relations, we write $K = \langle \Gamma \rangle / (\mathcal{R})$ if Γ is a set of free generators, \mathcal{R} is a set of relations among generators and (\mathcal{R}) is the normal subgroup in K generated by \mathcal{R} . Note that, given a presentation of K of the form $K = \langle \Gamma \rangle / (\mathcal{R}) = \langle \Gamma \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_1 \cup \mathcal{R}_2)$ with $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_1 \cup \mathcal{R}_2$, the Double Quotient Theorem gives

$$K = \langle \Gamma \rangle / (\mathcal{R}) = \langle \Gamma \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_1 \cup \mathcal{R}_2) = \langle \Gamma \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_1) / (\mathcal{R}_1 \cup \mathcal{R}_2) / (\mathcal{R}_1) = \langle \bar{\Gamma} \rangle / (\bar{\mathcal{R}}_2) \quad (3.1)$$

where $\bar{\Gamma}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_2$ respectively denote the images of Γ and of \mathcal{R}_2 in the quotient group $\langle \Gamma \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_1)$.

Let $\mathcal{P} = (G_+, \mathfrak{g}) \in (\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{K}}$. For any $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$, we denote by $G_+^{[2]}(A)$ the subgroup of $G_+(A)$ generated by the set $\{(1 + cX) \mid c \in A_1^{[2]}, X \in [\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_1]\}$ — cf. §2.1.1 for notation $A_1^{[2]}$. Note then that $G_+^{[2]}(A)$ is *normal* in $G_+(A)$, as one easily sees by construction (taking into account that, as $\mathcal{P} := (G_+, \mathfrak{g})$ is a sHCp, the “adjoint” action of G_+ onto \mathfrak{g} maps $[\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_1]$ into itself). We consider also the three sets

$$\Gamma_A^+ := G_+(A) \quad , \quad \Gamma_A^{[2]} := G_+^{[2]}(A) \quad , \quad \Gamma_A^- := \Gamma_A^{[2]} \cup \{(1 + \eta Y)\}_{(\eta, Y) \in A_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_1}$$

and the sets of relations — for all $g_+, g'_+, g''_+ \in \Gamma_A^+$, $g_{[2]}, g'_{[2]}, g''_{[2]} \in \Gamma_A^{[2]}$, $\eta, \eta', \eta'' \in A_1$, $X \in [\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_1]$, $Y, Y', Y'' \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, with $\dot{\cdot}_+$ and $\dot{\cdot}_{G_+^{[2]}}$ being the product in $G_+(A)$ and in $G_+^{[2]}(A)$ — given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_A^+ : \quad & g'_+ \cdot g''_+ = g'_+ \dot{\cdot}_+ g''_+ \quad , \quad \mathcal{R}_A^{[2]} : \quad (g_{[2]})_{\Gamma_A^{[2]}} = (g_{[2]})_{\Gamma_A^+} \\ \mathcal{R}_A^\times : \quad & g_{[2]} \cdot g_+ = g_+ \cdot (g_+^{-1} \dot{\cdot}_{G_+^{[2]}} g_{[2]} \dot{\cdot}_+ g_+) \quad , \quad (1 + \eta Y) \cdot g_+ = g_+ \cdot (1 + \eta Ad(g_+^{-1})(Y)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_A^- : \begin{cases} g'_{[2]} \cdot g''_{[2]} = g'_{[2]} \dot{g}_{[2]} g''_{[2]} \\ (1 + \eta Y) \cdot g_{[2]} = g_{[2]} \cdot (1 + \eta \text{Ad}(g_{[2]}^{-1})(Y)) \\ (1 + \eta'' Y) \cdot (1 + \eta' Y) = (1 + \eta' \eta'' Y^{(2)}) \cdot (1 + (\eta' + \eta'') Y) \\ (1 + \eta'' Y'') \cdot (1 + \eta' Y') = (1 + \eta' \eta'' [Y', Y'']) \cdot (1 + \eta' Y') \cdot (1 + \eta'' Y'') \\ (1 + \eta Y') \cdot (1 + \eta Y'') = (1 + \eta (Y' + Y'')) \\ (1 + \eta 0_{\mathfrak{g}_1}) = 1 \quad , \quad (1 + 0_A Y) = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_A := \mathcal{R}_A^+ \cup \mathcal{R}_A^- \cup \mathcal{R}_A^\times \cup \mathcal{R}_A^{[2]}$$

(in particular, note that the relations of type $\mathcal{R}_A^{[2]}$ just identify each element in $\Gamma_A^{[2]}$ with its copy inside Γ_A^+). Then we *define* a new group, by generators and relations, namely $G_p^{\circ,-}(A) := \langle \Gamma_A^- \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_A^-)$.

From the very definition of $G_p^\circ(A)$ — cf. Definition 3.1.1 — it follows that

$$G_p^\circ(A) \cong \langle \Gamma_A^+ \cup \Gamma_A^- \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_A) = \langle \Gamma_A^+ \cup \Gamma_A^- \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_A^+ \cup \mathcal{R}_A^- \cup \mathcal{R}_A^\times \cup \mathcal{R}_A^{[2]}) \quad (3.2)$$

indeed, here above we are just taking larger sets of generators and of relations (w.r.t. Definition 3.1.1), but with enough redundancies as to find a different presentation of *the same* group.

From this we find a neat description of $G_p^\circ(A)$ by achieving the presentation (3.2) in a series of intermediate steps, namely adding only one bunch of relations at a time. As a first step, we have

$$\langle \Gamma_A^+ \cup \Gamma_A^- \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_A^+ \cup \mathcal{R}_A^-) = \langle \Gamma_A^+ \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_A^+) * \langle \Gamma_A^- \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_A^-) \cong G_+(A) * G_p^{\circ,-}(A) \quad (3.3)$$

where $G_+(A) \cong \langle \Gamma_A^+ \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_A^+)$ by construction and $*$ denotes the free product (of two groups).

For the next two steps we can follow two different lines of action. On the one hand, (3.1) and (3.3) give $\langle \Gamma_A^+ \cup \Gamma_A^- \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_A^+ \cup \mathcal{R}_A^- \cup \mathcal{R}_A^\times) \cong (G_+(A) * G_p^{\circ,-}(A)) / (\overline{\mathcal{R}_A^\times}) \cong G_+(A) \rtimes G_p^{\circ,-}(A)$, where $G_+(A) \rtimes G_p^{\circ,-}(A)$ is the semidirect product of $G_+(A)$ with $G_p^{\circ,-}(A)$ w. r. to the “adjoint” action of the former on the latter. Then $G_p^\circ(A) \cong \langle \Gamma_A^+ \cup \Gamma_A^- \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_A) \cong \langle \Gamma_A^+ \cup \Gamma_A^- \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_A^+ \cup \mathcal{R}_A^- \cup \mathcal{R}_A^\times \cup \mathcal{R}_A^{[2]}) \cong (G_+(A) \rtimes G_p^{\circ,-}(A)) / (\overline{\mathcal{R}_A^{[2]}}) \cong (G_+(A) \rtimes G_p^{\circ,-}(A)) / N_{[2]}(A)$ where $N_{[2]}(A)$ is the normal subgroup of $G_+(A) \rtimes G_p^{\circ,-}(A)$ generated by $\left\{ (g_{[2]}, g_{[2]}^{-1}) \right\}_{g_{[2]} \in \Gamma_A^{[2]}}$. Thus $G_p^\circ(A) = (G_+(A) \rtimes G_p^{\circ,-}(A)) / N_{[2]}(A)$.

Similarly, we find also that $\langle \Gamma_A^+ \cup \Gamma_A^- \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_A^+ \cup \mathcal{R}_A^- \cup \mathcal{R}_A^{[2]}) \cong (G_+(A) * G_p^{\circ,-}(A)) / (\overline{\mathcal{R}_A^{[2]}}) \cong G_+(A) *_{G_+^{[2]}(A)} G_p^{\circ,-}(A)$ where $G_+(A) *_{G_+^{[2]}(A)} G_p^{\circ,-}(A)$ is the amalgamated product of $G_+(A)$ and $G_p^{\circ,-}(A)$ over $G_+^{[2]}(A)$ w.r.t. the natural monomorphisms $G_+^{[2]}(A) \hookrightarrow G_+(A)$ and $G_+^{[2]}(A) \hookrightarrow G_p^{\circ,-}(A)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} G_p^\circ(A) &\cong \langle \Gamma_A^+ \cup \Gamma_A^- \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_A) \cong \langle \Gamma_A^+ \cup \Gamma_A^- \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_A^+ \cup \mathcal{R}_A^- \cup \mathcal{R}_A^{[2]} \cup \mathcal{R}_A^\times) \cong \\ &\cong (G_+(A) *_{G_+^{[2]}(A)} G_p^{\circ,-}(A)) / (\overline{\mathcal{R}_A^\times}) \cong (G_+(A) *_{G_+^{[2]}(A)} G_p^{\circ,-}(A)) / N_\times(A) \end{aligned}$$

where $N_\times(A)$ is the normal subgroup of $G_+(A) *_{G_+^{[2]}(A)} G_p^{\circ,-}(A)$ generated by

$$\left\{ g_+ (1 + \eta Y) g_+^{-1} (1 + \eta \text{Ad}(g_+)(Y))^{-1} \right\}_{(g_+, Y) \in A_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_1} \cup \left\{ g_+ g_{[2]} g_+ (g_+ \dot{g}_+ g_{[2]} \dot{g}_+ g_+)^{-1} \right\}_{g_+ \in G_+(A), g_{[2]} \in \Gamma_A^{[2]}}$$

Thus $G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}(A) = \left(G_+(A) \underset{G_+^{[2]}(A)}{*} G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ,-}(A) \right) / N_{\times}(A)$ for all $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$; in functorial terms, this reads

$$G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ} = \left(G_+ \times G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ,-} \right) / N_{[2]} \quad \text{and} \quad G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ} = \left(G_+ \underset{G_+^{[2]}}{*} G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ,-} \right) / N_{\times} \quad , \quad \text{or} \quad G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ} = G_+ \underset{G_+^{[2]}}{\times} G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ,-}$$

where the last, (hopefully) more suggestive notation $G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ} = G_+ \underset{G_+^{[2]}}{\times} G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ,-}$ tells us that $G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}$ is the ‘‘amalgamate semidirect product’’ of G_+ and $G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ,-}$ over their common subgroup $G_+^{[2]}$.

3.2 The supergroup functor $G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}$ as a Lie supergroup

We aim now to proving that the functor $G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}$ is actually a Lie supergroup. We keep notations as before, in particular for every $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ we denote by $G_+^{[2]}(A)$ the subgroup of $G_+(A)$ generated by $\{(1 + cX)\}_{X \in [\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_1]^{[2]}}$ — cf. §2.1.1 for notation $A_1^{[2]}$. We begin with a ‘‘factorization result’’ for $G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}$:

Proposition 3.2.1. *Let $\mathcal{P} := (G_+, \mathfrak{g}) \in (\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{K}}$ be a super Harish-Chandra pair over \mathbb{K} , let $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a totally ordered \mathbb{K} -basis of \mathfrak{g}_1 (for our fixed order in I) and $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$. Then:*

(a) *letting $\langle G_{\leq}^{\circ}(A) \rangle$ be the subgroup of $G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}(A)$ generated by $G_{\leq}^{\circ}(A)$, we have $\langle G_{\leq}^{\circ}(A) \rangle = G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ,-}(A)$ and there exist set-theoretic factorizations (with respect to the group product ‘‘ \cdot ’’)*

$$G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ,-}(A) = G_+^{[2]}(A) \cdot G_{\leq}^{\circ}(A) \quad , \quad G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ,-}(A) = G_{\leq}^{\circ}(A) \cdot G_+^{[2]}(A)$$

(b) *there exist set-theoretic factorizations (with respect to the group product ‘‘ \cdot ’’)*

$$G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}(A) = G_+(A) \cdot G_{\leq}^{\circ}(A) \quad , \quad G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}(A) = G_{\leq}^{\circ}(A) \cdot G_+(A)$$

Proof. Claim (a) is the exact analogue of (2.5), and claim (b) the analogue of (2.7), in Proposition 2.4.8(b). In both cases the proof (up to trivialities) is identical, so we can skip it. \square

3.2.2. The representation $G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$. When discussing the structure of a Lie supergroup G , the factorization $G = G_{\mathbf{0}} \cdot G_{\leq}^{\circ}$ was just a intermediate step; Proposition 3.2.1 above gives us the parallel counterpart for $G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}$. This factorization result for G is improved by the ‘‘Global Splitting Theorem’’ — i.e. Theorem 2.4.10 — that, roughly speaking, states that for any $g \in G(A)$ the factorization pertaining to $G_{\mathbf{0}}(A) \cdot G_{\leq}^{\circ}(A)$ has uniquely determined factors, and similarly any element in $G_{\leq}^{\circ}(A)$ has a unique factorization into an ordered product of factors of the form $(1 + \eta_i Y_i)$. Both results are proved by showing that two factorizations of the same object necessarily have identical factors; in other words, *distinct* choices of factors always give rise to *different* elements in $G(A)$ or in $G_{\leq}^{\circ}(A)$. This last fact was proved using the concrete realization of $G(A)$ as a special set of maps, namely $G(A) := \bigsqcup_{x \in |G|} \mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathbf{salg})_{\mathbb{K}}}(\mathcal{O}_{|G|,x}, A)$; indeed, this algebra is rich enough to ‘‘separate’’ different elements of $G(A)$ itself just looking at their values as A -valued maps. When dealing with $G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}(A)$ instead, that is defined abstractly, such a built-in realization is not available: our strategy then is to replace it with a suitable ‘‘partial linearization’’, namely a representation of $G_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}(A)$ that, although not being faithful, is still ‘‘rich enough’’ to (almost) separate elements.

Let $\mathcal{P} = (G_+, \mathfrak{g}) \in (\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{K}}$ be given; as before, we fix a \mathbb{K} -basis $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ of \mathfrak{g} , where I is an index set in which we fix some total order, hence the basis itself is totally ordered as well.

Recall that the *universal enveloping algebra* $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is given by $U(\mathfrak{g}) := T(\mathfrak{g})/J$ where $T(\mathfrak{g})$ is the tensor algebra of \mathfrak{g} and J is the two-sided ideal in $T(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by the set (using notation $z^{\langle 2 \rangle} := 2^{-1}[z, z]$)

$$\left\{ xy - (-1)^{|x||y|}yx - [x, y], z^2 - z^{\langle 2 \rangle} \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \cup \mathfrak{g}_1, z \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \right\}$$

It is known then — see for instance [24], §7.2 — that one has splitting(s) of \mathbb{K} -supercoalgebras

$$U(\mathfrak{g}) \cong U(\mathfrak{g}_0) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_1 \cong \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} U(\mathfrak{g}_0) \quad (3.4)$$

and $\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_1$ has \mathbb{K} -basis $\{Y_{i_1} \wedge Y_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_{i_s} \mid s \leq |I|, i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_s\}$.

Now let $\mathbf{1}$ be the (one-dimensional) *trivial representation* of \mathfrak{g}_0 . By *induction* from \mathfrak{g}_0 to \mathfrak{g} we can consider the *induced representation* $V := \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbf{1})$, that is a \mathfrak{g} -module. Looking at $\mathbf{1}$ and V respectively as a module over $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ and over $U(\mathfrak{g})$, taking (3.4) into account we get

$$V := \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbf{1}) = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g}_0)} \mathbf{1} \cong \bigwedge_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbf{1} \cong \bigwedge_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1 \quad (3.5)$$

The last one above is a natural isomorphism of \mathbb{K} -superspaces, uniquely determined once a specific element $\underline{b} \in \mathbf{1}$ is fixed to form a \mathbb{K} -basis of $\mathbf{1}$ itself: the isomorphism is $\omega \otimes \underline{b} \mapsto \omega$ for all $\omega \in \bigwedge_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1$.

This and its outcome give rise to similar functorial counterparts, for the Lie algebra valued \mathbb{K} -superfunctors $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, as well as for the \mathbb{K} -superfunctors associated with $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ and $U(\mathfrak{g})$, in the standard way, namely $A \mapsto A_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ and $A \mapsto (A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} U(\mathfrak{g}))_0 = A_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} U(\mathfrak{g})_0 \oplus A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} U(\mathfrak{g})_1$ for all $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$.

On the other hand $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \text{Lie}(G_+)$, and $\mathbf{1}$ is also the trivial representation for G_+ , as a classical Lie group. Then the representation of \mathfrak{g} on the space V also induces a representation of the super Harish-Chandra pair $\mathcal{P} = (G_+, \mathfrak{g})$ on the same V , in other words V bears also a structure of (G_+, \mathfrak{g}) -module — in the obvious sense: we have a morphism $(\mathbf{r}_+, \rho) : (G_+, \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow (\text{GL}(V), \mathfrak{gl}(V))$ of super Harish-Chandra pairs. We will write again ρ for the representation map $\rho : U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V)$ giving the $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module structure on V .

Our key step now is that the above (G_+, \mathfrak{g}) -module structure on V “integrates” to a G_p° -module structure.

Proposition 3.2.3. *Retain notation as above for the (G_+, \mathfrak{g}) -module V . There exists a unique structure of (left) G_p° -module onto V which satisfies the following conditions: for every $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$, the representation map $\mathbf{r}_{\mathcal{P}, A}^\circ : G_p^\circ(A) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)(A)$ is given on generators of $G_p^\circ(A)$ — namely, all $g_+ \in G_+(A)$ and $(1 + \eta_i Y_i)$ for $i \in I$, $\eta_i \in A_1$ — by $\mathbf{r}_{\mathcal{P}, A}^\circ(g_+) := \mathbf{r}_+(g_+)$, $\mathbf{r}_{\mathcal{P}, A}^\circ(1 + \eta_i Y_i) := \rho(1 + \eta_i Y_i) = \text{id}_V + \eta_i \rho(Y_i)$, or in other words $g_+.v := \mathbf{r}_+(g_+)(v)$ and $(1 + \eta_i Y_i).v := \rho(1 + \eta_i Y_i)(v) = v + \eta_i \rho(Y_i)(v)$ for all $v \in V(A)$. Overall, this yields a morphism a \mathbb{K} -supergroup functors $\mathbf{r}_p^\circ : G_p^\circ \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$.*

Proof. This follows from the whole construction, and from the very definition of G_p° . Indeed, by definition of representation for the super Harish-Chandra pair \mathcal{P} we see that the operators $\mathbf{r}_{\mathcal{P}, A}^\circ(g_+)$ and $\mathbf{r}_{\mathcal{P}, A}^\circ(1 + \eta_i Y_i)$ on V — associated with the generators of $G_p^\circ(A)$ — do satisfy all relations which, by Definition 3.1.1(a), are satisfied by the generators themselves. Thus they uniquely provide a well-defined group morphism $\mathbf{r}_{\mathcal{P}, A}^\circ : G_p^\circ(A) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)(A)$ as required. The construction is clearly functorial in A , whence the claim. \square

The advantage of introducing the representation \mathbf{r}_p of G_p° on V is that it allows us to “separate” the “odd points of $G_p^\circ(A)$ from each other and from the even ones”.

We are now ready to state and prove the “global splitting theorem” for G_p° (cf. Theorem 2.4.10):

Proposition 3.2.4.

(a) *The restriction of group multiplication in G_p° provides isomorphisms of (set-valued) functors*

$$G_+ \times G_-^< \cong G_p^\circ, \quad G_-^< \times G_+ \cong G_p^\circ, \quad G_+^{[2]} \times G_-^< \cong G_p^{\circ, -}, \quad G_-^< \times G_+^{[2]} \cong G_p^{\circ, -}$$

(b) *There exists an isomorphism of (set-valued) functors $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1} \cong G_-^<$, with $d_1 := |I| = \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$, given on A -points — for every $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ — by*

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1}(A) = A_1^{d_1} \rightarrow G_-^<(A), \quad (\eta_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in I} (1 + \eta_i Y_i)$$

(c) *There exist isomorphisms of (set-valued) functors*

$$G_+ \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1} \cong G_p^\circ, \quad G_+^{[2]} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1} \cong G_p^{\circ, -}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1} \times G_+ \cong G_p^\circ, \quad \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1} \times G_+^{[2]} \cong G_p^{\circ, -}$$

given on A -points — for every $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ — respectively by

$$(g_+, (\eta_i)_{i \in I}) \mapsto g_+ \cdot \overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in I} (1 + \eta_i Y_i) \quad \text{and} \quad ((\eta_i)_{i \in I}, g_+) \mapsto \overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in I} (1 + \eta_i Y_i) \cdot g_+$$

Proof. The proof is quite close to (half of) that of Theorem 2.4.10, the difference being the use of the representation V of §3.2.2. For completeness we present it shortly, sketching (a) and (b), whence (c) follows.

(a) It is enough to prove the first identity for $G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}$ (all other are similar). Thus our claim is: for any $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$, if $\hat{g}_+ \hat{g}_- = \check{g}_+ \check{g}_-$ for $\hat{g}_+, \check{g}_+ \in G_+(A)$ and $\hat{g}_-, \check{g}_- \in G_-(A)$, then $\hat{g}_+ = \check{g}_+$ and $\hat{g}_- = \check{g}_-$.

From $\hat{g}_+ \hat{g}_- = \check{g}_+ \check{g}_-$ we get $g := \hat{g}_- \check{g}_-^{-1} = \hat{g}_+^{-1} \check{g}_+ \in G_+(A)$, as $G_+(A)$ is a subgroup. Writing $\hat{g}_- = \prod_{i \in I} (1 + \hat{\eta}_i Y_i)$ and $\check{g}_- = \prod_{i \in I} (1 + \check{\eta}_i Y_i)$ we get $g := \hat{g}_- \check{g}_-^{-1} = \prod_{i \in I} (1 + \hat{\eta}_i Y_i) \prod_{i \in I}^{-1} (1 + \check{\eta}_i Y_i) \in G_+(A)$.

Let $\mathfrak{a} := (\{\hat{\eta}_i, \check{\eta}_i\}_{i \in I})$ be the ideal of A generated by the $\hat{\eta}_i$'s and the $\check{\eta}_i$'s, set $A \xrightarrow{\pi_n} A/\mathfrak{a}^n$ for the quotient map and $[a]_n := \pi_n(a)$ for $a \in A$, then $G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(A) \xrightarrow{G(\pi_n)} G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(A/\mathfrak{a}^n)$ for the associated group morphism and $[y]_n := G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(\pi_n)(y)$ for every $y \in G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(A)$. Now, the defining relations for $G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(A/\mathfrak{a}^2)$ yield $[g]_2 = \prod_{i \in I} (1 + [\hat{\eta}_i]_2 Y_i) \prod_{i \in I}^{-1} (1 - [\check{\eta}_i]_2 Y_i) = \prod_{i \in I} (1 + [\alpha_i]_2 Y_i) \in G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(A/\mathfrak{a}^2)$ with $\alpha_i := \hat{\eta}_i - \check{\eta}_i$ ($\forall i \in I$).

Next step then is to let $[g]_2$ act onto $\underline{b} \in V(A/\mathfrak{a}^2)$; we write \bar{Y} for the image of $Y.b$ through the isomorphism $V = \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_1 . \underline{b} \cong \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_1$. Then $[g]_2 . \underline{b} = \prod_{i \in I} (1 + [\alpha_i]_2 Y_i) . \underline{b} = \underline{b} + \sum_{i \in I} [\alpha_i]_2 \bar{Y}_i \in V(A/\mathfrak{a}^2)$ since $[\alpha_h]_2 [\alpha_k]_2 = [0]_2 \in A/\mathfrak{a}^2$; on the other hand, $[g]_2 . \underline{b} = \underline{b}$ as $[g]_2 \in G_+(A/\mathfrak{a}^2)$ and G_+ acts trivially on V . Therefore, noting that $\{\underline{b}\} \cup \{\bar{Y}_i\}_{i \in I}$ is part of the chosen basis of V , we get $[\alpha_i]_2 = [0]_2 \in A/\mathfrak{a}^2$, i.e. $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{a}^2$, for all $i \in I$. By the same argument we find $[g]_3 = \prod_{i \in I} (1 + [\alpha_i]_3 Y_i) \in G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(A/\mathfrak{a}^3)$ hence $[g]_3 . \underline{b} = \underline{b} + \sum_{i \in I} [\alpha_i]_3 \bar{Y}_i \in V(A/\mathfrak{a}^3)$, which in turn implies $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{a}^3$, for all $i \in I$. Iterating this process we find $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{a}^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in I$; as $\mathfrak{a}^n = \{0\}$ for $n \gg 0$ (since \mathfrak{a} is generated by finitely many odd elements) we end up with $\alpha_i = 0$, i.e. $\hat{\eta}_i = \check{\eta}_i$, for all $i \in I$. This means $\hat{g}_- = \check{g}_-$, whence $\hat{g}_+ = \check{g}_+$ too.

(b) By construction there exists a morphism $\Theta^< : \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1} \longrightarrow G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}$ of set-valued functors given on A -points — for $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ — by the map $\Theta_A^< : \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1}(A) := A_1^{\times d_1} \longrightarrow G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(A)$, $(\eta_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \prod_{i \in I} (1 + \eta_i Y_i)$, that is actually *surjective*, and we need to prove that is also *injective*. Now, let $(\hat{\eta}_i)_{i \in I}, (\check{\eta}_i)_{i \in I} \in A_1^{\times d_1}$ be such that $\Theta_A^<((\hat{\eta}_i)_{i \in I}) = \Theta_A^<((\check{\eta}_i)_{i \in I})$, i.e. $\prod_{i \in I} (1 + \hat{\eta}_i Y_i) = \prod_{i \in I} (1 + \check{\eta}_i Y_i)$. Then we repeat the argument in (a), now with $\hat{g}_+ := 1 =: \check{g}_+$, which gives $\hat{\eta}_i = \check{\eta}_i$ for all $i \in I$, i.e. $(\hat{\eta}_i)_{i \in I} = (\check{\eta}_i)_{i \in I}$, q.e.d. \square

3.2.5. The Lie supergroup structure of $G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}$. Given $\mathcal{P} \in (\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{K}}$ and $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$, Proposition 3.2.4(c) yields a bijection $\phi_A^{\circ} : G_+(A) \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1}(A) \xrightarrow{\cong} G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(A)$ whose restriction to $G_+(A)$, identified with $G_+(A) \times \{(0)_{i \in I}\}$, is the identity — onto the copy of $G_+(A)$ naturally sitting inside $G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(A)$.

Now, $G_+(A)$ is by definition an A_0 -manifold (cf. [3]), of the same type (real smooth, etc.) as the sHCp $\mathcal{P} := (G_+, \mathfrak{g})$ it pertains to; on the other hand, $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1}(A)$ carries natural, canonical structures of A_0 -manifold of any possible type (real smooth or real analytic if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, complex holomorphic if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$), in particular then also of the type of $G_+(A)$. Then we know that there is also a canonical “product structure” of A_0 -manifold — of the same type of $G_+(A)$, i.e. of \mathcal{P} — onto the Cartesian product $G_+(A) \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1}(A)$. Using the bijection ϕ_A° above we push-forward this canonical A_0 -manifold structure of $G_+(A) \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1}(A)$ onto $G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(A)$, which then is turned into an A_0 -manifold on its own, still of the same type as \mathcal{P} . Strictly speaking, this structure of A_0 -manifold on $G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(A)$ formally depends on the choice of $G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}$, hence of a totally ordered \mathbb{K} -basis of \mathfrak{g}_1 , as this choice enters in the construction of ϕ_A° . However, thanks to the special form of the defining relations of $G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(A)$ it is straightforward to show that *changing such a basis amounts to changing local charts for the same, unique A_0 -manifold structure*; hence the structure is *independent* of such a choice.

Now, using the above structure of A_0 -manifold on $G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(A)$ for each $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$, given a morphism $f : A' \longrightarrow A''$ in $(\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ it is straightforward to check that the group morphism $G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(f) : G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(A') \longrightarrow G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(A'')$ is a morphism of A_0 -manifolds, hence it is a morphism of \mathcal{A}_0 -manifolds (cf. [3]). Thus $G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}$ is also a functor from Weil \mathbb{K} -superalgebras to \mathcal{A}_0 -manifolds (real smooth, real analytic or complex holomorphic as \mathcal{P} is).

At last, again looking at the commutation relations in $G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(A)$, we see that the group multiplication and the inverse map are “regular” (i.e., “real smooth”, “real analytic” or “complex holomorphic” depending on the type of \mathcal{P}); indeed, this is explicitly proved by calculations like those needed in the proof of Proposition

3.2.1(b) — that we skipped, so refer instead to the proof of Proposition 2.4.8(b). Thus they are morphisms of \mathcal{A}_0 -manifolds, so $G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(A)$ is a group element among \mathcal{A}_0 -manifolds, i.e. it is a Lie \mathcal{A}_0 -group; hence (cf. §2.1.3) the functor $G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}$ is a Lie supergroup (of real smooth, real analytic or complex holomorphic type as \mathcal{P}).

Eventually, the outcome of this discussion — and core result of the present section — is the following statement, which provides a “backward functor” from sHCp’s to Lie supergroups:

Theorem 3.2.6. *The recipe in Definition 3.1.1 provides functors*

$$\Psi^{\circ} : (\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{R}}^{\circ} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{Lsgrp})_{\mathbb{R}}^{\circ} \quad , \quad \Psi^{\circ} : (\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{R}}^{\omega} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{Lsgrp})_{\mathbb{R}}^{\omega} \quad , \quad \Psi^{\circ} : (\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{C}}^{\omega} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{Lsgrp})_{\mathbb{C}}^{\omega}$$

given on objects by $\mathcal{P} \mapsto \Psi^{\circ}(\mathcal{P}) := G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}$ and on morphisms by

$$\left((\phi_+, \varphi) : \mathcal{P}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}'' \right) \quad \mapsto \quad \left(\Psi^{\circ}((\phi_+, \varphi)) : \Psi^{\circ}(\mathcal{P}') := G_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\circ} \longrightarrow G_{\mathcal{P}''}^{\circ} =: \Psi^{\circ}(\mathcal{P}'') \right)$$

where the functor morphism $\Psi^{\circ}((\phi_+, \varphi)) : \Psi^{\circ}(\mathcal{P}') := G_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\circ} \longrightarrow G_{\mathcal{P}''}^{\circ} =: \Psi^{\circ}(\mathcal{P}'')$ is defined by

$$\Psi^{\circ}((\phi_+, \varphi))_A \quad : \quad g'_+ \mapsto \phi_+(g'_+) \quad , \quad (1 + \eta Y') \mapsto (1 + \eta \varphi(Y')) \quad (3.6)$$

for all $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$, $g'_+ \in G'_+(A)$, $\eta \in A_1$, $Y' \in \mathfrak{g}'$, with $\mathcal{P}' = (G'_+, \mathfrak{g}')$ and $\mathcal{P}'' = (G''_+, \mathfrak{g}'')$.

Proof. What is still left to prove is that the given definition for $\Psi^{\circ}((\phi_+, \varphi))$ actually makes sense. Now, (3.6) above fixes the values of our would-be morphism $\Psi^{\circ}((\phi_+, \varphi))_A$ on generators of $\Psi^{\circ}(\mathcal{P}')(A) := G_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\circ}(A)$: a direct check shows that all defining relations among such generators are respected, thus providing a group morphism as required. However, we must still show that *this is a morphism of \mathcal{A}_0 -manifolds too*.

Let $\{Y'_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{Y''_j\}_{j \in J}$ be totally ordered \mathbb{K} -bases of \mathfrak{g}'_1 and \mathfrak{g}''_1 . Accordingly, both $G_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\circ}(A)$ and $G_{\mathcal{P}''}^{\circ}(A)$ admit factorizations as in Proposition 3.2.4(a), hence any $g' \in G_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\circ}(A)$ uniquely factors into $g' = g'_+ \cdot \overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in I} (1 + \eta_i Y'_i)$; then $\Psi^{\circ}((\phi_+, \varphi))_A(g') = \phi_+(g'_+) \cdot \overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in I} (1 + \eta_i \varphi(Y'_i))$ and from this, letting $\varphi(Y'_i) = \sum_{j \in J} c_{i,j} Z_j$ — with $c_{i,j} \in \mathbb{K}$ — we get $\Psi^{\circ}((\phi_+, \varphi))_A(g') = \phi_+(g'_+) \cdot \overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in I} \prod_{j \in J} (1 + \eta_i c_{i,j} Z_j)$.

Now we must re-order the result according to the factorization of $G_{\mathcal{P}''}^{\circ}(A)$ of the form $G_+ \times G^{\leq}$; in doing this, when we reorder the factor $\overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in I} \prod_{j \in J} (1 + \eta_i c_{i,j} Z_j)$ we find, via calculations as for Proposition 2.4.8(b),

an outcome of the form $\prod_{r=1}^n (1 + a_r X_r)_{G''_+} \cdot \overrightarrow{\prod}_{j \in J} (1 + \alpha_j Z_j)$ where the X_r ’s belong to \mathfrak{g}''_0 , the a_r ’s are (even) polynomial expressions in the η_i ’s, the α_j ’s are (odd) polynomial expressions in the η_i ’s. This implies that the map $\overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in I} (1 + \eta_i Y'_i) \mapsto \prod_{r=1}^n (1 + a_r X_r)_{G''_+} \cdot \overrightarrow{\prod}_{j \in J} (1 + \alpha_j Z_j)$ is a map of \mathcal{A}_0 -manifolds from $(G_{\mathcal{P}'}^{\circ})^{\leq}(A)$ to $G_{\mathcal{P}''}^{\circ}(A)$. But $\phi_+ : G'_+(A) \longrightarrow G''_+(A)$ is a map of \mathcal{A}_0 -manifolds too, by assumptions; this along with all the previous analysis eventually implies that $\Psi^{\circ}((\phi_+, \varphi))_A$ is a map of \mathcal{A}_0 -manifolds as claimed. \square

3.3 Supergroup functors out of super Harish-Chandra pairs: second recipe

In this subsection we construct a second functor, denoted $G_{\mathcal{P}}^e$, which we later prove is a Lie supergroup: this is in fact a “sibling alternative” to the functor $G_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}$ considered in §3.1 above. As a matter of notation, recall that for any $\mathcal{P} = (G_+, \mathfrak{g}) \in (\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{K}}$, $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ and $\mathcal{X} \in A_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_0$ there exists a well-defined $\exp_{G_+}(\mathcal{X}) \in G_+(A_0)$; furthermore, if in particular $\mathcal{X} \in A_1^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_0$, then the formal series expansion of $\exp(\mathcal{X})$ can be actually realized as a finite sum. When no confusion is possible we shall drop the subscript G_+ and simply write $\exp(\mathcal{X})$ instead. Similarly, we shall presently introduce new formal elements of type “ $\exp(\mathcal{Y})$ ” with $\mathcal{Y} \in A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1$. Finally, we extend the built-in G_+ -action onto \mathfrak{g}_1 to a (same-name) G_+ -action onto $A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1$ by $Ad(g)(\sum_{s=1}^n \eta_s Y_s) := \sum_{s=1}^n \eta_s Ad(g)(Y_s)$ for all $\sum_{s=1}^n \eta_s Y_s := \sum_{s=1}^n \eta_s \otimes Y_s \in A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1$.

Definition 3.3.1. Let $\mathcal{P} := (G_+, \mathfrak{g}) \in (\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{K}}$ be a super Harish-Chandra pair over \mathbb{K} .

(a) We introduce a functor $G_{\mathcal{P}}^e : (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{group})$ as follows. For any Weil superalgebra $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$, we define $G_{\mathcal{P}}^e(A)$ as being the group with generators the elements of the set

$$\Gamma_A := \{ g_+, \exp(\mathcal{Y}) \mid g_+ \in G_+(A), \mathcal{Y} \in A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1 \} = G_+(A) \cup \{ \exp(\mathcal{Y}) \}_{\mathcal{Y} \in A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1}$$

and relations (for $g_+, g'_+, g''_+ \in G_+(A)$, $\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}', \mathcal{Y}'' \in A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1$)

$$\begin{aligned} g'_+ \cdot g''_+ &= g'_+ \dot{\hat{c}}_+ g''_+ \quad , \quad \exp(0) = 1 \quad , \quad \exp(\mathcal{Y}) \cdot g_+ = g_+ \cdot \exp(\text{Ad}(g_+^{-1})(\mathcal{Y})) \\ \exp(\mathcal{Y}') \cdot \exp(\mathcal{Y}'') &= \exp\left(P_0^{(d_1)}(\mathcal{Y}', \mathcal{Y}'')\right) \cdot \exp\left(\mathcal{Y}' + \mathcal{Y}'' + P_1^{(d_1)}(\mathcal{Y}', \mathcal{Y}'')\right) \end{aligned}$$

with $P_0^{(d_1)}$ and $P_1^{(d_1)}$ as given in Lemma 2.4.5(h). This yields the functor G_p^e on objects.

To define G_p^e on morphisms, for any morphism $f : A' \rightarrow A''$ in $(\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ we define the group morphism $G_p^e(f) : G_p^e(A') \rightarrow G_p^e(A'')$ to be the unique one given — for $g'_+ \in G_+(A')$, $\eta \in A'_1$, $\mathcal{Y}' \in A'_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1$ — by

$$G_p^e(f)(g'_+) := G_+(f)(g'_+) \quad , \quad G_p^e(f)(\exp(\mathcal{Y}')) := \exp(f(\mathcal{Y}'))$$

where $f(\mathcal{Y}') := \sum_{s=1}^n f(\eta'_s) Y_s$ for all $\mathcal{Y}' := \sum_{s=1}^n \eta'_s Y_s \in A'_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1$.

(b) We define a functor $G_p^{e,-} : (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow (\mathbf{set})$ on any object $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ by

$$G_p^{e,-}(A) := \left\langle \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \right\rangle \quad \left(\subseteq G_p^e(A) \right)$$

— the subgroup of $G_p^e(A)$ generated by $\exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) := \{ \exp(\mathcal{Y}') \}_{\mathcal{Y}' \in A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1}$ — and on morphisms in the obvious way. By definition, $G_p^{e,-}$ can be thought of as subfunctor of G_p^e . \diamond

3.3.2. Another realization of G_p^e . Given a super Harish-Chandra pair $\mathcal{P} = (G_+, \mathfrak{g}) \in (\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{K}}$, we present now another way of realizing the \mathbb{K} -supergroup G_p^e introduced in Definition 3.3.1(a): this mimics what we did in §3.1.4, so we keep the same kind of notation and are a bit shorter.

For any fixed $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$, we denote by $G_+^{\langle 2 \rangle}(A)$ the subgroup of $G_+(A)$ generated by the set $\{ \exp(\mathcal{X}) \mid \mathcal{X} \in A_1^{[2]} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} [\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_1] \}$. Then one easily sees that $G_+^{\langle 2 \rangle}(A)$ is *normal* in $G_+(A)$. Consider also

$$\Gamma_A^+ := G_+(A) \quad , \quad \Gamma_A^{\langle 2 \rangle} := G_+^{\langle 2 \rangle}(A) \quad , \quad \Gamma_A^- := \Gamma_A^{\langle 2 \rangle} \cup \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1)$$

and the five sets of relations (for all $g_+, g'_+, g''_+ \in \Gamma_A^+$, $g_{\langle 2 \rangle}, g'_{\langle 2 \rangle}, g''_{\langle 2 \rangle} \in \Gamma_A^{\langle 2 \rangle}$, $\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}', \mathcal{Y}'' \in A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1$)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_A^+ : \quad g'_+ \cdot g''_+ &= g'_+ \dot{\hat{c}}_+ g''_+ \quad , \quad \mathcal{R}_A^{\langle 2 \rangle} : \quad (g_{\langle 2 \rangle})_{\Gamma_A^{\langle 2 \rangle}} = (g_{\langle 2 \rangle})_{\Gamma_A^+} \\ \mathcal{R}_A^{\times} : \quad g_{\langle 2 \rangle} \cdot g_+ &= g_+ \cdot (g_+^{-1} \dot{\hat{c}}_+ g_{\langle 2 \rangle} \dot{\hat{c}}_+ g_+) \quad , \quad \exp(\mathcal{Y}) \cdot g_+ = g_+ \cdot \exp(\text{Ad}(g_+^{-1})(\mathcal{Y})) \\ \mathcal{R}_A^- : \quad \begin{cases} g'_{\langle 2 \rangle} \cdot g''_{\langle 2 \rangle} = g'_{\langle 2 \rangle} \dot{\hat{c}}_+ g''_{\langle 2 \rangle} \quad , \quad \exp(\mathcal{Y}) \cdot g_{\langle 2 \rangle} = g_{\langle 2 \rangle} \cdot \exp(\text{Ad}(g_{\langle 2 \rangle}^{-1})(\mathcal{Y})) \quad , \quad \exp(0) = 1 \\ \exp(\mathcal{Y}') \exp(\mathcal{Y}'') = \exp\left(P_0^{(d_1)}(\mathcal{Y}', \mathcal{Y}'')\right) \exp\left(\mathcal{Y}' + \mathcal{Y}'' + P_1^{(d_1)}(\mathcal{Y}', \mathcal{Y}'')\right) \\ \text{with } P_0^{(d_1)} \text{ and } P_1^{(d_1)} \text{ as given in Lemma 2.4.5(h)} \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{R}_A &:= \mathcal{R}_A^+ \cup \mathcal{R}_A^- \cup \mathcal{R}_A^{\times} \cup \mathcal{R}_A^{\langle 2 \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

Then we *define* a new group, by generators and relations, namely $G_p^{e,-}(A) := \langle \Gamma_A^- \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_A^-)$.

Directly from Definition 3.3.1 it follows that

$$G_p^e(A) \cong \langle \Gamma_A^+ \cup \Gamma_A^- \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_A) = \langle \Gamma_A^+ \cup \Gamma_A^- \rangle / \left(\mathcal{R}_A^+ \cup \mathcal{R}_A^- \cup \mathcal{R}_A^{\times} \cup \mathcal{R}_A^{\langle 2 \rangle} \right) \quad (3.7)$$

but we can also achieve the presentation (3.7) in a series of intermediate steps. As a first step, we have

$$\langle \Gamma_A^+ \cup \Gamma_A^- \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_A^+ \cup \mathcal{R}_A^-) = \langle \Gamma_A^+ \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_A^+) * \langle \Gamma_A^- \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_A^-) \cong G_+(A) * G_p^{e,-}(A) \quad (3.8)$$

where $G_+(A) \cong \langle \Gamma_A^+ \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_A^+)$ by construction and $*$ denotes the free product (of two groups).

For the next two steps we can follow two different lines of action. The first one gives

$$\langle \Gamma_A^+ \cup \Gamma_A^- \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_A^+ \cup \mathcal{R}_A^- \cup \mathcal{R}_A^\times) \cong \left(G_+(A) * G_p^{e,-}(A) \right) / \left(\overline{\mathcal{R}_A^\times} \right) \cong G_+(A) \times G_p^{e,-}(A)$$

because of (3.1) and (3.8), where $G_+(A) \times G_p^{e,-}(A)$ is the semidirect product of $G_+(A)$ with $G_p^{e,-}(A)$. Then $\langle \Gamma_A^+ \cup \Gamma_A^- \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_A) \cong \langle \Gamma_A^+ \cup \Gamma_A^- \rangle / \left(\mathcal{R}_A^+ \cup \mathcal{R}_A^- \cup \mathcal{R}_A^\times \cup \mathcal{R}_A^{\langle 2 \rangle} \right) \cong \left(G_+(A) \times G_p^{e,-}(A) \right) / \left(\overline{\mathcal{R}_A^{\langle 2 \rangle}} \right) \cong \left(G_+(A) \times G_p^{e,-}(A) \right) / N_{\langle 2 \rangle}(A)$, where $N_{\langle 2 \rangle}(A)$ is the normal subgroup of $G_+(A) \times G_p^{e,-}(A)$ generated by $\left\{ (g_{\langle 2 \rangle}, g_{\langle 2 \rangle}^{-1}) \right\}_{g_{\langle 2 \rangle} \in \Gamma_A^{\langle 2 \rangle}}$. This and (3.7) together yield $G_p^e(A) = \left(G_+(A) \times G_-(A) \right) / N_{\langle 2 \rangle}(A)$.

On the other hand, (3.1) and (3.8) jointly give

$$\langle \Gamma_A^+ \cup \Gamma_A^- \rangle / \left(\mathcal{R}_A^+ \cup \mathcal{R}_A^- \cup \mathcal{R}_A^{\langle 2 \rangle} \right) \cong \left(G_+(A) * G_p^{e,-}(A) \right) / \left(\overline{\mathcal{R}_A^{\langle 2 \rangle}} \right) \cong G_+(A) *_{G_+^{\langle 2 \rangle}(A)} G_p^{e,-}(A)$$

with $G_+(A) *_{G_+^{\langle 2 \rangle}(A)} G_p^{e,-}(A)$ the amalgamated product of $G_+(A)$ and $G_p^{e,-}(A)$ over $G_+^{\langle 2 \rangle}(A)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Gamma_A^+ \cup \Gamma_A^- \rangle / (\mathcal{R}_A) &\cong \langle \Gamma_A^+ \cup \Gamma_A^- \rangle / \left(\mathcal{R}_A^+ \cup \mathcal{R}_A^- \cup \mathcal{R}_A^{\langle 2 \rangle} \cup \mathcal{R}_A^\times \right) \cong \\ &\cong \left(G_+(A) *_{G_+^{\langle 2 \rangle}(A)} G_p^{e,-}(A) \right) / \left(\overline{\mathcal{R}_A^\times} \right) \cong \left(G_+(A) *_{G_+^{\langle 2 \rangle}(A)} G_p^{e,-}(A) \right) / N_\times(A) \end{aligned}$$

where $N_\times(A)$ is the normal subgroup of $G_+(A) *_{G_+^{\langle 2 \rangle}(A)} G_p^{e,-}(A)$ generated by

$$\left\{ g_+ \exp(\mathcal{Y}) g_+^{-1} \exp(\text{Ad}(g_+)(\mathcal{Y}))^{-1} \right\}_{g_+ \in G_+(A), \mathcal{Y} \in A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1} \cup \left\{ g_+ g_{\langle 2 \rangle} g_+ (g_+ \dot{g}_+ g_{\langle 2 \rangle} \dot{g}_+ g_+)^{-1} \right\}_{g_+ \in G_+(A), g_{\langle 2 \rangle} \in \Gamma_A^{\langle 2 \rangle}}$$

All this along with (3.7) eventually gives $G_p^e(A) = \left(G_+(A) *_{G_+^{\langle 2 \rangle}(A)} G_p^{e,-}(A) \right) / N_\times(A)$ for every $A \in$

$(\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$. In functorial terms this means $G_p^e = \left(G_+ \times G_p^{e,-} \right) / N_{\langle 2 \rangle}$ and $G_p^e = \left(G_+ *_{G_+^{\langle 2 \rangle}} G_p^{e,-} \right) / N_\times$, or $G_p^e = G_+ \times_{G_+^{\langle 2 \rangle}} G_p^{e,-}$ so that G_p^e is the ‘‘amalgamate semidirect product’’ of G_+ and $G_p^{e,-}$ over $G_+^{\langle 2 \rangle}$.

3.4 The supergroup functor G_p^e as a Lie supergroup

We aim now to prove that the functor G_p^e is actually a Lie supergroup. We follow in the footsteps of in §3.2, so we can be somewhat shorter. We begin with the following ‘‘factorization result’’ for G_p^e :

Proposition 3.4.1. *Let $\mathcal{P} := (G_+, \mathfrak{g}) \in (\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{K}}$ be a super Harish-Chandra pair over \mathbb{K} . Then there exist group-theoretic factorizations*

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad G_p^{e,-}(A) &= G_+^{\langle 2 \rangle}(A) \cdot \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \quad , \quad G_p^{e,-}(A) = \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \cdot G_+^{\langle 2 \rangle}(A) \\ (b) \quad G_p^e(A) &= G_+(A) \cdot \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \quad , \quad G_p^e(A) = \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \cdot G_+(A) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Claim (a) is the exact analogue of (2.8), and claim (b) the analogue of (2.10), in Proposition 2.4.8(c). In both cases the proof (up to details) is the same, so we can skip it. \square

3.4.2. The representation $G_{\mathcal{P}}^e \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$. Let $\mathcal{P} = (G_+, \mathfrak{g}) \in (\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{K}}$ be any given super Harish-Candra pair over \mathbb{K} . Just like we did for $G_{\mathcal{P}}^o$ in §3.2.2, we need for $G_{\mathcal{P}}^e$ as well a suitable linear representation V , which we now define along the same lines, keeping the same notation.

Let $U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} , and let $V := \mathrm{Ind}_{U(\mathfrak{g}_0)}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbf{1}) = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g}_0)} \mathbf{1} \cong \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_1$ be the \mathfrak{g} -representation induced from the trivial representation $\mathbf{1}$ of \mathfrak{g}_0 — as in (3.5). As we saw in §3.2.2, there is a morphism $(r_+, \rho) : (G_+, \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow (\mathrm{GL}(V), \mathfrak{gl}(V))$ of \mathbf{sHCp} 's making V into a (G_+, \mathfrak{g}) -module; again by ρ we denote also the representation map $\rho : U(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V)$ giving the $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module structure on V , and similarly (in a functorial way) for the representation maps of $(A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g})_{\mathbf{0}}$ and $(A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} U(\mathfrak{g}))_{\mathbf{0}}$ onto $(A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} V)_{\mathbf{0}}$. We will now show that the (G_+, \mathfrak{g}) -module structure on V can be “integrated” to a $G_{\mathcal{P}}^e$ -module structure.

Proposition 3.4.3. *Retain notation as above for the (G_+, \mathfrak{g}) -module V . There exists a unique structure of (left) $G_{\mathcal{P}}^e$ -module onto V which satisfies the following conditions: for every $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$, the representation map $\mathbf{r}_{\mathcal{P},A}^e : G_{\mathcal{P}}^e(A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)(A)$ is given on generators of $G_{\mathcal{P}}^e(A)$ — namely, all $g_+ \in G_+(A)$ and $\exp(\mathcal{Y})$ for $i \in I$, $\mathcal{Y} \in A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1$ — by $\mathbf{r}_{\mathcal{P},A}^e(g_+) := r_+(g_+)$, $\mathbf{r}_{\mathcal{P},A}^e(\exp(\mathcal{Y})) := \rho(\exp(\mathcal{Y})) = \exp(\rho(\mathcal{Y}))$, that is $g_+ \cdot v := r_+(g_+)(v)$ and $\exp(\mathcal{Y}) \cdot v := \exp(\rho(\mathcal{Y}))(v)$ — with $\exp(\rho(\mathcal{Y}))$ being a finite sum — for all $v \in V(A)$. Overall, this yields a morphism of \mathbb{K} -supergroup functors $\mathbf{r}_{\mathcal{P}}^e : G_{\mathcal{P}}^e \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$.*

Proof. By definition of representation for the \mathbf{sHCp} \mathcal{P} , the operators $\mathbf{r}_{\mathcal{P},A}^e(g_+)$ and $\mathbf{r}_{\mathcal{P},A}^e(\exp(\mathcal{Y}))$ on V satisfy all relations which, by Definition 3.3.1(a), are satisfied by the generators of $G_{\mathcal{P}}^e(A)$. Thus they define a unique group morphism $\mathbf{r}_{\mathcal{P},A}^e : G_{\mathcal{P}}^e(A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)(A)$, functorial in A by construction, whence the claim. \square

We are now ready to state the “global splitting theorem” for $G_{\mathcal{P}}^e$ (cf. Theorem 2.4.10):

Proposition 3.4.4.

(a) *The restriction of group multiplication in $G_{\mathcal{P}}^e$ provides isomorphisms of (set-valued) functors*

$$\begin{aligned} G_+ \times \exp((-)_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) &\cong G_{\mathcal{P}}^e, & \exp((-)_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \times G_+ &\cong G_{\mathcal{P}}^e \\ G_+^{\langle 2 \rangle} \times \exp((-)_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) &\cong G_{\mathcal{P}}^{e,-}, & \exp((-)_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1) \times G_+^{\langle 2 \rangle} &\cong G_{\mathcal{P}}^{e,-} \end{aligned}$$

(b) *For any fixed \mathbb{K} -basis $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ of \mathfrak{g}_1 , there exists an isomorphism of (set-valued) functors $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1} \cong \exp((-)_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1)$, with $d_1 := \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{g}_1) = |I|$, given on A -points, for $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$, by*

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1}(A) = A_{\mathbf{1}}^{d_1} \longrightarrow \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1), \quad (\eta_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \exp(\sum_{i \in I} \eta_i Y_i)$$

(c) *There exist isomorphisms of (set-valued) functors*

$$G_+ \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1} \cong G_{\mathcal{P}}^e, \quad G_+^{\langle 2 \rangle} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1} \cong G_{\mathcal{P}}^{e,-}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1} \times G_+ \cong G_{\mathcal{P}}^e, \quad \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1} \times G_+^{\langle 2 \rangle} \cong G_{\mathcal{P}}^{e,-}$$

given on A -points — for every $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ — respectively by

$$(g_+, (\eta_i)_{i \in I}) \mapsto g_+ \cdot \exp(\sum_{i \in I} \eta_i Y_i) \quad \text{and} \quad ((\eta_i)_{i \in I}, g_+) \mapsto \exp(\sum_{i \in I} \eta_i Y_i) \cdot g_+$$

Proof. Like for Proposition 3.2.4, the proof is very close to (half of) that of Theorem 2.4.10, with a few, technical differences that involve the representation V of §3.4.2; the necessary changes can easily be dealt with much like in the proof of Proposition 3.2.4. Details are left to the reader. \square

3.4.5. The Lie supergroup structure of $G_{\mathcal{P}}^e$. For any given $\mathcal{P} \in (\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{K}}$ and $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$, by Proposition 3.4.4(c), we have a particular bijection $\phi_A^e : G_+(A) \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1}(A) \xleftarrow{\cong} G_{\mathcal{P}}^e(A)$ whose restriction to $G_+(A)$, identified with $G_+(A) \times \{(0)_{i \in I}\}$, is the identity — onto the copy of $G_+(A)$ inside $G_{\mathcal{P}}^e(A)$.

Now, $G_+(A)$ is by definition an $A_{\mathbf{0}}$ -manifold (cf. [3]), of the same type (real smooth, etc.) as $\mathcal{P} := (G_+, \mathfrak{g})$; on the other hand, $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1}(A)$ carries canonical structures of $A_{\mathbf{0}}$ -manifold of any type (real smooth, etc.), then also of the type of $G_+(A)$. So there exists also a canonical “product structure” of $A_{\mathbf{0}}$ -manifold — of the same type of \mathcal{P} — onto $G_+(A) \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1}(A)$. Then we push-forward — through ϕ_A^e — this canonical $A_{\mathbf{0}}$ -manifold structure of $G_+(A) \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{0|d_1}(A)$ onto $G_{\mathcal{P}}^e(A)$, which then is an $A_{\mathbf{0}}$ -manifold on its own.

Using the above mentioned structure of \mathcal{A}_0 -manifold on $G_{\mathcal{P}}^e(A)$ for each $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$, given a morphism $f : A' \longrightarrow A''$ in $(\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ we can check that the corresponding group morphism $G_{\mathcal{P}}^e(f) : G_{\mathcal{P}}^e(A') \longrightarrow G_{\mathcal{P}}^e(A'')$ is a morphism of \mathcal{A}_0 -manifolds, hence it is a morphism of \mathcal{A}_0 -manifolds (cf. [3]). Thus $G_{\mathcal{P}}^e$ is also a functor from Weil \mathbb{K} -superalgebras to \mathcal{A}_0 -manifolds (real smooth, real analytic or complex holomorphic as \mathcal{P} is).

Finally, looking at the commutation relations in $G_{\mathcal{P}}^e(A)$ we find that the group multiplication and the inverse map are “regular” (i.e., “real smooth”, “real analytic” or “complex holomorphic”, according to the type of \mathcal{P}): this is proved via calculations like those used to prove Proposition 3.4.1(b) or Proposition 2.4.8(c). So $G_{\mathcal{P}}^e(A)$ is a group element among \mathcal{A}_0 -manifolds, i.e. it is a Lie \mathcal{A}_0 -group; thus (cf. §2.1.3), the functor $G_{\mathcal{P}}^e$ is a Lie supergroup (of real smooth, real analytic or complex holomorphic type as \mathcal{P} is).

Eventually, the outcome of this discussion — the key result of the present section — is the following statement, which provides a second “backward functor” from sHCp’s to Lie supergroups:

Theorem 3.4.6. *The recipe in Definition 3.3.1 provides functors*

$$\Psi^e : (\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{Lsgrp})_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty} \quad , \quad \Psi^e : (\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{R}}^{\omega} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{Lsgrp})_{\mathbb{R}}^{\omega} \quad , \quad \Psi^e : (\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{C}}^{\omega} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{Lsgrp})_{\mathbb{C}}^{\omega}$$

given on objects by $\mathcal{P} \mapsto \Psi^e(\mathcal{P}) := G_{\mathcal{P}}^e$ and on morphisms by

$$\left((\phi_+, \varphi) : \mathcal{P}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}'' \right) \quad \mapsto \quad \left(\Psi^e((\phi_+, \varphi)) : \Psi^e(\mathcal{P}') := G_{\mathcal{P}'}^e \longrightarrow G_{\mathcal{P}''}^e =: \Psi^e(\mathcal{P}'') \right)$$

where the functor morphism $\Psi^e((\phi_+, \varphi)) : \Psi^e(\mathcal{P}') := G_{\mathcal{P}'}^e \longrightarrow G_{\mathcal{P}''}^e =: \Psi^e(\mathcal{P}'')$ is defined by

$$\Psi^e((\phi_+, \varphi))_A \quad : \quad g'_+ \mapsto \phi_+(g'_+) \quad , \quad \exp(\mathcal{Y}') \mapsto \exp(\varphi(\mathcal{Y}')) \quad (3.9)$$

for all $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$, $g'_+ \in G'_+(A)$, $\mathcal{Y}' \in A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}'_1$, with $\mathcal{P}' = (G'_+, \mathfrak{g}'_1)$ and $\mathcal{P}'' = (G''_+, \mathfrak{g}''_1)$.

Proof. We are left to prove that the given definition for $\Psi^e((\phi_+, \varphi))$ does make sense: all the rest is proved by our previous analysis or is trivial. Now, (3.9) above fixes the values of our would-be morphism $\Psi^e((\phi_+, \varphi))_A$ on generators of $\Psi^e(\mathcal{P}')(A) := G_{\mathcal{P}'}^e(A)$: a direct check shows that all defining relations among such generators — in $G_{\mathcal{P}'}^e(A)$ — are mapped to corresponding relations in $G_{\mathcal{P}''}^e(A)$, thus yielding a well-defined group morphism. In particular, this follows from the special properties of the Lie polynomials $P_0^{(d_1)}$ and $P_1^{(d_1)}$ and of their factors/summands $T_0^{(s)}$ and $T_1^{(s)}$ mentioned in Lemma 2.4.5(h).

However, we must still show that each such $\Psi^e((\phi_+, \varphi))_A$ is a morphism of \mathcal{A}_0 -manifolds too.

Both groups $G_{\mathcal{P}'}^e(A)$ and $G_{\mathcal{P}''}^e(A)$ admit factorizations of type $G_+ \times \exp(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1)$, as in Proposition 3.4.4(a): so any $g' \in G_{\mathcal{P}'}^e(A)$ uniquely factors into $g' = g'_+ \cdot \exp(\mathcal{Y}')$; then $\Psi^e((\phi_+, \varphi))_A$ maps g' onto

$$\Psi^e((\phi_+, \varphi))_A(g') = \Psi^e((\phi_+, \varphi))_A(g'_+ \cdot \exp(\mathcal{Y}')) = \phi_+(g'_+) \cdot \exp(\varphi_A(\mathcal{Y}')) \quad (3.10)$$

where $\varphi_A(\mathcal{Y}')$ stands for the image of \mathcal{Y}' for the map $\varphi_A : A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}'_1 \longrightarrow A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}''_1$ obtained by scalar extension from $\varphi|_{\mathfrak{g}'_1} : \mathfrak{g}'_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}''_1$. As both ϕ_+ and $\exp \circ \varphi_A \circ (\exp|_{A_1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_1})^{-1}$ are maps of \mathcal{A}_0 -manifolds, from (3.10) we deduce that $\Psi^e((\phi_+, \varphi))_A$ is a map of \mathcal{A}_0 -manifolds too, q.e.d. \square

3.5 The new equivalences $(\mathbf{sHCp}) \cong (\mathbf{Lsgrp})$.

In Section 3 we introduced two functors, denoted Ψ° and Ψ^e , from sHCp’s to Lie supergroups. We will now show that both these two functors are quasi-inverse to the “natural” functor Φ considered in Section 2.2, so that (together with Φ) they provide equivalences between the categories of sHCp’s and of Lie supergroups.

We begin with the first half of our task, namely proving that $\Phi \circ \Psi \cong id_{(\mathbf{sHCp})}$ for $\Psi \in \{\Psi^{\circ}, \Psi^e\}$.

Proposition 3.5.1. *Let Φ and $\Psi \in \{\Psi^{\circ}, \Psi^e\}$ be as in §2.2.2 and in Theorems 3.2.6 and 3.4.6.*

Then $\Phi \circ \Psi \cong id_{(\mathbf{sHCp})}$, where “(sHCp)” must be read as either $(\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$, or $(\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{R}}^{\omega}$, or $(\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{C}}^{\omega}$, and Φ and Ψ must be taken as working onto the corresponding types of Lie supergroups or sHCp’s.

Proof. This follows almost directly from definitions: we sketch the case of Ψ° , that of Ψ^e being similar.

Consider a super Harish-Chandra pair $\mathcal{P} := (G_+, \mathfrak{g})$, and let $G_p^\circ = \Psi^\circ(\mathcal{P})$, so that $(\Phi \circ \Psi^\circ)(\mathcal{P}) = \Phi(G_p^\circ) = ((G_p^\circ)_0, \text{Lie}(G_p^\circ))$. Then $(G_p^\circ)_0 = G_+$ just by construction. In addition, the definition of $\text{Lie}(G)$ and Proposition 3.2.4 together yield $\text{Lie}(G_p^\circ) = \text{Lie}(G_+ \times G_-) = \text{Lie}(G_+) \oplus T_e(G_-) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_1} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ hence, identifying $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}$, simply $\text{Lie}(G_p^\circ) = \mathfrak{g}$. Thus $(\Phi \circ \Psi^\circ)(\mathcal{P}) = \Phi(G_p^\circ) = ((G_p^\circ)_0, \text{Lie}(G_p^\circ)) \cong (G_+, \mathfrak{g}) = \mathcal{P}$, i.e. $\Phi \circ \Psi^\circ$ acts on objects — up to natural isomorphisms — as the identity, q.e.d.

Now let $(\phi_+, \varphi) : \mathcal{P}' = (G'_+, \mathfrak{g}') \longrightarrow (G''_+, \mathfrak{g}'') = \mathcal{P}''$ be a morphism of sHCp's and $\phi := \Psi^\circ((\phi_+, \varphi))$ the corresponding (via Ψ°) morphism of supergroups; we aim to prove that $(\Phi \circ \Psi^\circ)((\phi_+, \varphi)) = \Phi(\phi)$ coincides, up to the natural isomorphisms $(\Phi \circ \Psi^\circ)(\mathcal{P}') \cong \mathcal{P}'$ and $(\Phi \circ \Psi^\circ)(\mathcal{P}'') \cong \mathcal{P}''$, with (ϕ_+, φ) itself.

By definition $\Phi(\phi) := (\phi|_{(G_{p'}^\circ)_0}, d\phi)$, and $\phi|_{(G_{p'}^\circ)_0} = \Psi^\circ((\phi_+, \varphi))|_{G'_+} = \phi_+$ by construction. Moreover, like in the proof of Theorem 3.2.6, using the factorizations $G_{p'} = G'_+ \times G_{-}^{\prime, <}$ and $G_{p''} = G''_+ \times G_{-}^{\prime, <}$ we find that onto $T_e(G_{p'}) = T_e(G'_+) \oplus T_e(G_{-}^{\prime, <}) = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}$ our $d\phi$ acts by $d\phi|_{\mathfrak{g}_0} = d\phi_+ = \varphi|_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ and $d\phi|_{\mathfrak{g}_1} = \varphi|_{\mathfrak{g}_1}$; this gives $d\phi = d\phi|_{\mathfrak{g}_0} \oplus d\phi|_{\mathfrak{g}_1} = \varphi_0 \oplus \varphi_1 = \varphi$, hence $\Phi(\phi) := (\phi|_{(G_{p'}^\circ)_0}, d\phi) = (\phi_+, \varphi)$. \square

The second half of our task is proving that $\Psi \circ \Phi$ is isomorphic to the identity functor on Lie supergroups:

Proposition 3.5.2. *Let Φ and $\Psi \in \{\Psi^\circ, \Psi^e\}$ be as in §2.2.2 and in Theorems 3.2.6 and 3.4.6.*

Then $\Psi \circ \Phi \cong \text{id}_{(\mathbf{Lsgrp})}$, where “ (\mathbf{Lsgrp}) ” must be read as either $(\mathbf{Lsgrp})_{\mathbb{R}}^\infty$, or $(\mathbf{Lsgrp})_{\mathbb{R}}^\omega$, or $(\mathbf{Lsgrp})_{\mathbb{C}}^\omega$, and Φ and Ψ must be taken as working onto the corresponding types of Lie supergroups or sHCp's.

Proof. We begin again by looking at Ψ° . Given a Lie supergroup G , set $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(G)$ and $\mathcal{P} := \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(G) = (G_0, \mathfrak{g})$. We look at $\Psi^\circ(\Phi(G)) = \Psi^\circ(\mathcal{P}) = G_p^\circ$, and prove that it is naturally isomorphic to G . For any $A \in (\mathbf{salg})_{\mathbb{k}}$, by abuse of notation we denote with the same symbol any $g_0 \in G_0(A)$ as belonging to $G(A)$ — via the embedding of $G_0(A)$ into $G(A)$ — and as an element of $G_p^\circ(A)$. With this convention, we easily see that Lemma 2.4.5 yields the following: *there exists a unique group morphism $\phi_A : G_p^\circ(A) \longrightarrow G(A)$ such that $\phi_A(g_0) = g_0$ for all $g_0 \in G_0(A)$ and $\phi_A((1 + \eta Y)) = (1 + \eta Y)$ for all $\eta \in A_1$, $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_1$.*

Due to the factorization (2.7) in Proposition 2.4.8, we have also that *the morphism ϕ_A is surjective*. Even more, the *Global Splitting Theorem* for G (Theorem 2.4.10) and for G_p° (Proposition 3.2.4) together imply that *the morphism ϕ_A is also injective*, hence *it is a group isomorphism*. Finally, all these ϕ_A 's are clearly natural in A , thus altogether they provide an isomorphism between $G_p^\circ = \Psi^\circ(\Phi(G))$ and G , q.e.d.

The case of Ψ^e is dealt with similarly, using the parallel results for G_p^e to those applied for G_p° : namely, Lemma 2.4.5, formula (2.10) instead of (2.7), Proposition 3.4.4 instead of Proposition 3.2.4, etc. \square

To finish with, all the above jointly give the following, main result:

Theorem 3.5.3. *Let Φ and $\Psi \in \{\Psi^\circ, \Psi^e\}$ be as in §2.2.2 and in Theorems 3.2.6 and 3.4.6.*

Then Ψ° and Ψ^e are quasi inverse to Φ , so they provide category equivalences

$$(\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{R}}^\infty \cong (\mathbf{Lsgrp})_{\mathbb{R}}^\infty, \quad (\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{R}}^\omega \cong (\mathbf{Lsgrp})_{\mathbb{R}}^\omega, \quad (\mathbf{sHCp})_{\mathbb{C}}^\omega \cong (\mathbf{Lsgrp})_{\mathbb{C}}^\omega$$

3.6 Linear case and representations.

We shall now briefly discuss the fallout, in representation theory, of the existence of an equivalence between Lie supergroups and sHCp's, in particular when realized via the functors Ψ° and Ψ^e of §3.

3.6.1. The linear case. Assume that the Lie supergroup G is linear, i.e. it embeds into some $\text{GL}(V)$, where V is a suitable superspace (in other words, there exists a faithful G -module V). Then G_0 and $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(G)$ embed into $\text{End}(V)$, and the relations linking them such that (G_0, \mathfrak{g}) is a super Harish-Chandra pair are relations among elements of the unital, associative superalgebra $\text{End}(V)$. Conversely, one can formally define a “linear sHCp” as being any sHCp (G_0, \mathfrak{g}) such that both G_0 and \mathfrak{g} embed into some $\text{End}(V)$, and the compatibility relations linking G_0 and \mathfrak{g} hold true as relations inside $\text{End}(V)$ itself — mimicking what is

done in [16], Definition 4.2.1(b), in the algebraic setup. The above then tells us that, if G is linear, then its associated sHCp $\Phi(G) =: \mathcal{P}$ is linear too — both being linearized via their faithful representation onto V .

On the other hand, let us start with a linear sHCp, say $\mathcal{P} = (G_+, \mathfrak{g})$: so the latter is embedded (in the obvious sense) into the sHCp $(\mathrm{GL}_0(V), \mathfrak{gl}(V))$ for some V . Thus for $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ both $G_+(A)$ and $A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}$ are embedded into $(\mathrm{End}(V))(A)$, with relations among them — inside $(\mathrm{End}(V))(A)$ — induced by the very notion of linear sHCp. Now consider in $(\mathrm{End}(V))(A)$ all elements $\exp(\eta Y) = (1 + \eta Y)$ — with $\eta \in A_1$, $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ — that actually belong to $(\mathrm{GL}(V))(A)$: moreover, $G_+(A) \subseteq (\mathrm{GL}(V))(A)$ too. Therefore, we can take inside $(\mathrm{GL}(V))(A)$ the subgroup $G_p^{\circ, V}(A)$ generated by $G_+(A)$ and by all the $(1 + \eta Y)$'s.

A trivial check shows that the elements from $G_+(A)$ and the $(1 + \eta_i Y_i)$'s enjoy all relations that enter in the very definition $G_p^{\circ}(A)$: thus, there exists a (unique) group epimorphism $\phi_A^{\circ} : G_p^{\circ}(A) \longrightarrow G_p^{\circ, V}(A)$ such that $\phi_A^{\circ}(g_+) = g_+$ and $\phi_A^{\circ}((1 + \eta Y)) = (1 + \eta Y)$ for all $g_+ \in G_+(A)$, $\eta \in A_1$, $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_1$.

On the other hand, $G_p^{\circ, V}(A)$ acts faithfully on V — indeed, it is “linearized by V ”. This allows one to show that the like of the Global Splitting Theorem — cf. Theorem 2.4.10 and Proposition 3.2.4 — does hold true for $G_p^{\circ, V}(A)$, via the same analysis and arguments used in the proofs of those results but for one change: the linearization of $G_p^{\circ, V}(A)$ has to replace the following key ingredients:

(1) in the proof of Theorem 2.4.10, one has that $G(A) := \coprod_{x \in |G|} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{salg})_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{O}_{|G|, x}, A)$,

(2) in the proof of Proposition 3.2.4 (and lemmas before), $G_p^{\circ}(A)$ is acting onto $V := \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbf{1})$ — cf. (3.5).

In fact, in both cases — of either $G(A)$ or $G_p^{\circ}(A)$ — the group under examination is realized as a group of maps and these are rich enough to “separate (enough) points” so as to guarantee the uniqueness of factorization(s) that is the core part of the Global Splitting Theorem. In the case of $G_p^{\circ, V}(A)$ instead, its built-in linearization provides a similar realization as “group of maps”, and this again allows to separate enough points to get global splitting(s) for $G_p^{\circ, V}(A)$ too. Finally, thanks to the Global Splitting Theorem for $G_p^{\circ}(A)$ and for $G_p^{\circ, V}(A)$, one can apply again the arguments used in the proof of Proposition 3.5.2 and successfully prove that the above group (epi)morphism $\phi_A^{\circ} : G_p^{\circ}(A) \longrightarrow G_p^{\circ, V}(A)$ is also injective, hence it is an isomorphism.

By construction all these isomorphisms ϕ_A° are natural in A , hence they give altogether a functor isomorphism $\phi^{\circ} : G_p^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\cong} G_p^{\circ, V}$. Therefore $G_p^{\circ} \cong G_p^{\circ, V}$, which means that we found a different, concrete realization of G_p° , that is now constructed explicitly as the linear Lie supergroup $G_p^{\circ, V}$.

In a parallel way, still starting with a linear sHCp $\mathcal{P} = (G_+, \mathfrak{g})$ embedded into $(\mathrm{GL}_0(V), \mathfrak{gl}(V))$, we consider in $(\mathrm{End}(V))(A)$ all elements of the form $\exp(\mathcal{Y})$ with $\mathcal{Y} \in A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1$ and then take the subgroup $G_p^{e, V}(A)$ of $(\mathrm{GL}(V))(A)$ generated by $G_+(A)$ and by all the $\exp(\mathcal{Y})$'s. Acting like above we find that there exists a group epimorphism $\phi_A^e : G_p^e(A) \longrightarrow G_p^{e, V}(A)$ such that $\phi_A^e(g_+) = g_+$, $\phi_A^e(\exp(\mathcal{Y})) = \exp(\mathcal{Y})$, for all $g_+ \in G_+(A)$ and $\mathcal{Y} \in A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_1$. Even more, still by the same method as above we find that all these ϕ_A^e 's are in fact isomorphisms, natural in A , hence they define a functor isomorphism $\phi^e : G_p^e \xrightarrow{\cong} G_p^{e, V}$. This gives yet another concrete realization of G_p^e , now explicitly realized as the linear Lie supergroup $G_p^{e, V}$.

Finally, the very construction also shows, indeed, that $G_p^{\circ, V} = G_p^{e, V}$.

3.6.2. Induction from G_0 to G . Let G be a supergroup (of any type), with classical subsupergroup G_0 , and V be any G_0 -module. We shall now present an explicit construction of the induced G -module $\mathrm{Ind}_{G_0}^G(V)$.

The G_0 -module V is also a \mathfrak{g}_0 -module, hence one has the induced \mathfrak{g} -module $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V)$, that one can realize as $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V) = \mathrm{Ind}_{U(\mathfrak{g}_0)}^{U(\mathfrak{g})}(V) = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g}_0)} V$. This bears also a unique structure of G_0 -module which is compatible with the \mathfrak{g} -action and coincides with the original G_0 -action on $\mathbb{K} \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g}_0)} V \cong V$ given from scratch. Indeed, we can describe explicitly this G_0 -action, as follows. First, definitions give $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V) = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g}_0)} V = \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} V$ — see (3.4) — with the \mathfrak{g}_0 -action given by $x.(y \otimes v) = ad(x)(y) \otimes v + y \otimes (x.v)$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, $y \in \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_1$, $v \in V$, where by ad we denote the unique \mathfrak{g}_0 -action on $\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_1$ by algebra derivations induced by the adjoint \mathfrak{g}_0 -action on \mathfrak{g}_1 . Second, this action integrates to a (unique) G_0 -action given by $g_0.(y \otimes v) := Ad(g_0)(y) \otimes (g_0.v)$ for $g_0 \in G_0$, $y \in \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_1$, $v \in V$, where we write Ad for the G_0 -action on $\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_1$ by algebra automorphisms induced by the adjoint G_0 -action on \mathfrak{g}_1 .

The key point is that the above G_0 -action and the built-in \mathfrak{g} -action on $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V)$ are compatible, in that they make $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V)$ into a module for the sHCp $\mathcal{P} := (G_0, \mathfrak{g}) = \Phi(G)$. Then for $\Psi \in \{\Psi^{\circ}, \Psi^e\}$, since $\Psi((G_0, \mathfrak{g})) \cong G$, clearly $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V)$ bears a unique structure of G -module which corresponds to the previous \mathcal{P} -action. In down-to-earth terms what happens is the following. The action of $\mathcal{P} := (G_0, \mathfrak{g}) = \Phi(G)$ onto $W := \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V)$ is given by the G_0 -action (induced by that on V) and a compatible \mathfrak{g} -action. Then for

any $A \in (\mathbf{Wsalg})_{\mathbb{K}}$ we have also that all of $A_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{1}}$ “acts” onto $A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} W$: thus well-defined operators $(1 + \eta Y)$ and $\exp(\mathcal{Y})$ — with $(\eta, Y) \in A_{\mathbf{1}} \times \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{1}}$, $\mathcal{Y} \in A_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{1}}$ — exist in $(\mathrm{GL}(W))(A)$. One checks that these $(1 + \eta Y)$ ’s, or the $\exp(\mathcal{Y})$ ’s, altogether enjoy among themselves and with the operators given by the $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ -action all relations that enter in the definition of either $\Psi^{\circ}(\mathcal{P}) := G_p^{\circ}$ or $\Psi^e(\mathcal{P}) = G_p^e$ — thus in both cases we get an action of $\Psi(\mathcal{P})$ on W , extending the initial one by $G_{\mathbf{0}}$: but $\Psi(\mathcal{P}) = G$, so we are done.

Thus we define as $\mathrm{Ind}_{G_{\mathbf{0}}}^G(V)$ the space $W := \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{0}}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V)$ endowed with this G -action: one checks that this construction is functorial in V and has the universal property making it adjoint of “restriction” (from G -modules to $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ -modules), so it can be correctly called “induction” functor. In addition, if the $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ -module V is faithful then the G -module $\mathrm{Ind}_{G_{\mathbf{0}}}^G(V)$ is faithful too: this means that if $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ is linearizable, then G is linearizable too; in fact, from a linearization of $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ we can construct (via induction) a linearization of G too.

References

- [1] A. Alldridge, J. Hilgert, T. Wurzbacher, *Singular superspaces*, *Math. Z.* **278** (2014), no. 1–2, 441–492.
- [2] A. Alldridge, M. Laubinger, *Infinite-dimensional supermanifolds over arbitrary base fields*, *Forum Math.* **24** (2012), no. 3, 565–608.
- [3] L. Balduzzi, C. Carmeli, R. Fiorese, *A comparison of the functors of points of supermanifolds*, *J. Algebra Appl.* **12** (2013), no. 3, 1250152, pp. 41.
- [4] F. A. Berezin, *Introduction to superanalysis*, Edited by A. A. Kirillov. D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht (Holland), 1987.
- [5] W. Bertram, A. Souvay, *A general construction of Weil functors*, *Cah. Topol. Géom. Différ. Catég.* **55** (2014), no. 4, 267–313.
- [6] H. Boseck, *Affine Lie supergroups*, *Math. Nachr.* **143** (1989), 303–327.
- [7] C. Carmeli, L. Caston, R. Fiorese, *Mathematical Foundations of Supersymmetry* (with an appendix by I. Dimitrov), EMS Series of Lectures in Mathematics **15**, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2011.
- [8] C. Carmeli, R. Fiorese, *Super Distributions, Analytic and Algebraic Super Harish-Chandra pairs*, *Pacific J. Math.* **263** (2013), no. 1, 29–51.
- [9] C. Carmeli, R. Fiorese, V. S. Varadarajan, *The Exponential of Nilpotent Supergroups in the Theory of Harish-Chandra Representations*, in: *Geometric science of information*, 188–195, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 11712, Springer, Cham, 2019.
- [10] P. Deligne, J. Morgan, *Notes on supersymmetry (following J. Bernstein)*, in: “Quantum fields and strings: a course for mathematicians”, Vol. 1, 2 (Princeton, NJ, 1996/1997), 41–97, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [11] R. Fiorese, F. Gavarini, *Chevalley Supergroups*, *Memoirs AMS* **215**, no. 1014 (2012).
- [12] R. Fiorese, F. Gavarini, *On the construction of Chevalley supergroups*, in: S. Ferrara, R. Fiorese, V. S. Varadarajan (eds.), “Supersymmetry in Mathematics & Physics”, UCLA Los Angeles, U.S.A. 2010, Lecture Notes in Math. **2027**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2011, pp. 101–123.
- [13] F. Gavarini, *Chevalley Supergroups of type $D(2, 1; a)$* , *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* (2) **57** (2014), no. 2, 465–491.
- [14] F. Gavarini, *Algebraic supergroups of Cartan type*, *Forum Math.* **26** (2014), no. 5, 1473–1564.
- [15] F. Gavarini, *Corrigendum to “Algebraic supergroups of Cartan type”*, *Forum Math.* **28** (2016), no. 5, 1005–1009.

- [16] F. Gavarini, *Global splittings and super Harish-Chandra pairs for affine supergroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **368** (2016), no. 6, 3973–4026.
- [17] F. Gavarini, *Lie supergroups vs. super Harish-Chandra pairs: a new equivalence*, on-line preprint [arXiv:1609.02844](https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.02844) [math.RA] (2016).
- [18] B. Kostant, *Graded manifolds, graded Lie theory, and prequantization*, in: *Differential geometrical methods in mathematical physics* (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Bonn, Bonn, 1975), 177–306, Lecture Notes in Math. **570**, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [19] J.-L. Koszul, *Graded manifolds and graded Lie algebras*, Proceedings of the international meeting on geometry and physics (Florence, 1982), 71–84, Pitagora, Bologna, 1982.
- [20] D. A. Leites, *Introduction to the theory of supermanifolds*, Russian Math. Surveys **35** (1980), 1–64.
- [21] A. Masuoka, *Harish-Chandra pairs for algebraic affine supergroup schemes over an arbitrary field*, Transform. Groups **17** (2012), no. 4, 1085–1121.
- [22] A. Masuoka, T. Shibata, *Algebraic supergroups and Harish-Chandra pairs over a commutative ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **369** (2017), no. 5, 3443–3481.
- [23] V. Molotkov, *Infinite-dimensional and colored supermanifolds*, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. **17** (2010), suppl. 1, 375–446.
- [24] V. S. Varadarajan, *Supersymmetry for mathematicians: an introduction*, Courant Lecture Notes **1**, AMS, 2004.
- [25] E. G. Vishnyakova, *On complex Lie supergroups and homogeneous split supermanifolds*, Transform. Groups **16** (2011), no. 1, 265–285.