

An Invariant for Subfactors in the von Neumann Algebra of a Free Group

Florin Rădulescu

Permanent Address: Department of Mathematics
University of Iowa
Iowa City, IA 52246 USA

Member of the Institute of Mathematics
Romanian Academy

Str. Academiei 14, Bucharest, Romania

Dedicated to the Anniversary of Professor Richard V. Kadison

Abstract. In this paper we are considering a new invariant for subfactors in the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{L}(F_k)$ of a free group. This invariant is obtained by computing the Connes' χ invariant for the enveloping von Neumann algebra of the iteration of the Jones' basic construction for the given inclusion. In the case of the subfactors considered in [Po2], [Ra1] this invariant is easily computed as a relative χ invariant, in the form considered in [Kaw].

As an application we show that, contrary to the case of finite group actions (or more general G -kernels) on the hyperfinite II_1 factor (settled in [Co1], [Oc2], [Jo3]), there exist non outer conjugate, injective homomorphisms (i.e., two \mathbb{Z}_2 -kernels) from \mathbb{Z}_2 into $\text{Out}(\mathcal{L}(F_k))$, with non-trivial obstruction to lifting to an action on $\mathcal{L}(F_k)$. Moreover, the algebraic invariants ([Co2]) do not distinguish between these two \mathbb{Z}_2 -kernels. Also, there exist two non-outer conjugate, outer actions of \mathbb{Z}_2 on $\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes R$ that are neither almost inner nor centrally trivial.

Introduction

The aim of the present paper is to propose a new invariant for subfactors in the von Neumann algebra of a free group.

The invariant

Given $A \subseteq B$ an inclusion of type II_1 factors, of finite index, we let B_∞ be the enveloping algebra for the tower of algebras in the (iterated) Jones' basic construction ([Jo1]) for $A \subseteq B$. Then

$$\chi(B_\infty) \subseteq \text{Out}(B_\infty)$$

is a conjugacy invariant for $A \subseteq B$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46L37; Secondary: 46L10.

© 1997 American Mathematical Society

Here, for a type II_1 factor M , $\chi(M)$ denotes the Connes' invariant for M ([Co4]). The $\chi(M)$ invariant was introduced by Connes ([Co4]) in connection with a breakthrough construction that showed the existence of a type II_1 factor not anti-isomorphic to itself (thus giving a negative answer to Sakai's problem on the existence of type II_1 factors not coming from discrete groups).

We will show that for the subfactors in free group algebras, considered in [Ra1] (or for those considered in [Po2]), this invariant may be computed and it coincides with the relative form of the invariant χ ([Kaw]) for an associated pair of hyperfinite factors.

Using the full strength of this invariant (which comes, as in [Co3], by considering $\chi(B_\infty)$ as a subgroup in $\text{Out}(B_\infty) = \text{Aut}(B_\infty)/\text{Int}(B_\infty)$, rather than as an abstract abelian group (see also [Jo2], [JS],[Su], [St]), we obtain, as an application, that the classification theory for finite group actions (and for G -kernels) on type II_1 factors, such as $\mathcal{L}(F_k)$ (the von Neumann algebra of a free group F_k), is different from the corresponding classification theory on the hyperfinite II_1 factor ([Co1], [Co2],[Oc2],[Jo3]).

Theorem *There exist two injective homomorphisms from \mathbb{Z}_2 into $\text{Out}(\mathcal{L}(F_k))$, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 2$, non-liftable to an action of \mathbb{Z}_2 on $\mathcal{L}(F_k)$ (i.e., two \mathbb{Z}_2 -kernels on $\mathcal{L}(F_k)$ with non-trivial obstruction) that are not outer conjugate (in Out) and for which all the algebraic invariants ([Co1],[Jo3]) coincide.*

Explicitly, this means that there exist two (non-inner) automorphisms $\alpha_i, i = 1, 2$ on $\mathcal{L}(F_k)$, having non-conjugate images (of order two) in $\text{Out}(\mathcal{L}(F_k))$. Moreover, both automorphisms are not liftable to actions of \mathbb{Z}_2 on $\mathcal{L}(F_k)$. The last statement means precisely that $\alpha_i^2 = \text{Ad } g_i$ and $\alpha_i(g_i) = -g_i$, where $g_i = g_i^+ - g_i^-$, with g_i^\pm projections of trace $1/2$ in $\mathcal{L}(F_k)$, (see [Co2],[Jo3]).

Note also that for actions of infinite cyclic groups on the von Neumann algebra of a free group with infinitely many generators, by [Ph], the actions obtained by multiplying the generators by complex numbers of modulus 1 are distinguished (modulo outer conjugacy) by the topology induced on \mathbb{Z} from $\text{Aut } \mathcal{L}(F_\infty)$.

For free groups with finitely many generators or for finite cyclic groups the above arguments will not work. For example, all \mathbb{Z}_2 actions on $\mathcal{L}(F_2)$ that are obtained by multiplying the generators with ± 1 or by switching the generators, are conjugate via elements in $\text{Aut } \mathcal{L}(F_2)$, which belong to the image of the $O(2)$ action on $\mathcal{L}(F_2)$, considered in [Vo2].

As a corollary we obtain that for the classification theory for subfactors in free group factors the usual invariants from the hyperfinite subfactors theory are not sufficient. That is, we may produce a pair of inclusions in $\mathcal{L}(F_N)$ of finite index, for which all the usual invariants are identical, but which, by looking at the invariant we have just introduced, are distinct.

Corollary *There exist two non-conjugate, finite depth subfactors in $\mathcal{L}(F_k)$ having the same higher relative commutant invariants, and hence the same paragroups ([Oc1], [Jo1], [Po1]).*

The main technical ingredients used in proving these results are contained in the following statements:

Corollary (4) *Let $\mathcal{C} = (A \supseteq B \supseteq D; A \supseteq C \supseteq D)$ be an extremal commuting square ([Po1]) of finite dimensional algebras, which is λ -Markov ([Po1, We]), (i.e., there exist a λ -Markov trace, in the sense of Jones ([Jo1]) for $C \subseteq A$, which restricts*

to a λ -Markov trace for $D \subseteq B$). By ([Ra1]) the inclusion

$$(\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes D) *_D C \subseteq (\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes B) *_B A$$

is a finite index inclusion of type II_1 factors (that are isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(F_N)$ for some $N > 1$).

Let A_∞ be the enveloping von Neumann algebra in the tower of algebras in the iterated Jones' basic construction of the above inclusion. Let $B_\infty \subseteq A_\infty$ be the enveloping von Neumann algebras in the tower of algebras in the iterated Jones' basic construction for the inclusions $D \subseteq B$ and respectively $C \subseteq A$. Let $\chi(B_\infty, A_\infty)$ be the relative χ invariant ([Kaw]) for the inclusion of hyperfinite factors $B_\infty \subseteq A_\infty$. Then

$$\chi(A_\infty) = \chi((\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes B_\infty) *_B A_\infty) \cong \chi(B_\infty, A_\infty).$$

Theorem (21) Let $R_1 \subseteq R_0$ be the inclusion of type II_1 factors corresponding to the crossed product by the action of \mathbb{Z}_4 corresponding to a \mathbb{Z}_2 kernel that can't be lifted to an action of \mathbb{Z}_2 . We assume that the spectral projections, corresponding to the selfadjoint unitary realizing the obstruction to lifting, have trace $1/2$. Let $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes R_{-1}) *_R R_0$. Let N be the subfactor constructed in [Jo2], having the χ invariant isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$. With the above notation, N is not isomorphic to $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes R_{-1}) *_R R_0$.

Theorem (22) Let N be the factor constructed in [Jo2]. Then there exist subalgebras $A \subseteq B$ in N so that A is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(F_k)$, B is the crossed product $A \rtimes_\theta \mathbb{Z}_4$, where θ is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -kernel on A with non-trivial obstruction (to lifting). Moreover N is the enveloping algebra for the inclusion $A \subseteq B$.

In a forthcoming paper we will carry out the analysis above to more general, finite abelian groups. It is plausible that an invariant of the type considered in this note could be used to settle Kadison's problem in [Ka] (see also Sakai's book, [Sa], Problem 4.4.44) on the isomorphism class of the algebras associated to free groups.

This paper is an extended version of a previous note by the author in Comptes Rendu Acad. Sci. Paris in 1993 ([Ra2])

Definitions

For u a unitary in M we denote by $\text{Ad}_M u$ (or when M is clear from the context we use simply the notation $\text{Ad} u$) the inner automorphism defined by $\text{Ad}_M u(x) = uxu^*$. We let $\overline{\text{Int}}(M)$ be the closure of the group of inner automorphisms of M , $\text{Int}(M)$, in the pointwise, weak convergence topology on M . Let $\text{Ct}(M)$ be the set of all automorphisms α of M that have the property that $\|\alpha(x_n) - x_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, whenever $x_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a central sequence in M (i.e., a bounded sequence in M with $\|[x_n, y]\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ for any $y \in M$.) Connes' invariant ([Co3]) is defined as follows:

$$\chi(M) = (\text{Ct}(M) \cap \overline{\text{Int}}(M)) / \text{Int}(M).$$

If M is a McDuff factor (i.e., $M \cong M \otimes R$, where R is the hyperfinite II_1 factor) then $\chi(M)$ is the center of the the group $\text{Out}(M) = \text{Aut}(M) / \text{Int}(M)$.

Let $N \subseteq M$ be an inclusion of finite, separable von Neumann algebras. We recall the definitions from [Kaw]. We let $\text{Int}(N, M)$ be the set of all inner automorphisms of M that are implemented by unitaries in N . Let $\text{Ct}(N, M)$ be the set of all automorphisms of M , leaving N invariant and acting asymptotically trivially on central sequences for M that are contained in N .

Let $N \subseteq M$ be an inclusion of separable, finite von Neumann algebras and let $\chi(N, M)$ be the relative χ invariant ([Kaw]) for the inclusion $N \subseteq M$:

$$\chi(N, M) = (\text{Ct}(N, M) \cap \overline{\text{Int}(N, M)}) / \text{Int}(N, M),$$

Outline of the proofs

The idea of our construction is as follows. Let N, M be finite von Neumann algebras so that the centers of N and M have trivial intersection. We will first use the technique, borrowed from Sakai’s proof on the non-existence of non-trivial, central sequences in a free group factor, to show that for any amalgamated free products (of the type of those first considered in [Po2]) of the form $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes N) *_N M$, the central sequences in \mathcal{A} are asymptotically contained in N .

By using this and the results from Jones’ paper [Jo4], we are able to prove that the χ invariant $\chi(\mathcal{A})$ may be identified with the relative form of the χ invariant $\chi(N, M)$ considered in [Kaw]. Also in this correspondence, the obstruction to lifting for various elements in the χ groups are preserved.

Let $\mathcal{C} = (D \subseteq B \subseteq A; D \subseteq C \subseteq A)$ be an extremal commuting square with a λ Markov trace. Let $\mathcal{B}_\infty \subseteq \mathcal{A}_\infty$ be the index λ subfactor associated with the commuting square \mathcal{C} .

In [Ra1] we proved that

$$N_C = (\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes D) *_D C \subseteq M_C = (\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes B) *_B A,$$

is an index λ inclusion of free group factors, so that the enveloping von Neumann algebra of the iteration of the Jones’ basic construction for the inclusion $N_C \subseteq M_C$ is

$$(\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes \mathcal{B}_\infty) *_\mathcal{B}_\infty \mathcal{A}_\infty.$$

By combining this with the result we presented above, it means that the χ invariant for the inclusion $N_C \subseteq M_C$ is

$$\chi(\mathcal{B}_\infty, \mathcal{A}_\infty).$$

We want to prove that this invariant is fine enough to distinguish between subfactors in free group factors, which have the same invariants coming from the higher relative commutants (i.e., the same paragroup [Oc1]).

Let θ be an outer \mathbb{Z}_2 kernel on the hyperfinite II_1 factor that can not be lifted to an action of \mathbb{Z}_2 on R . By the methods developed by Connes in [Co2] this means that $\theta^2 = \text{Ad}_R g$ for some selfadjoint unitary g in R and that $\theta(g) = -g$. We will also assume (which is always possible by [Co2]) that the spectral projections of g have trace $1/2$.

We will consider the commuting square \mathcal{C}_θ , which by iteration of the Jones’ basic construction yields an inclusion of the form $R \subseteq R \rtimes_\theta \mathbb{Z}_4$. Then it is easy to see that the inclusion $N_{\mathcal{C}_\theta} \subseteq M_{\mathcal{C}_\theta}$ associated with this subfactor is equivalent to an inclusion of the form $\mathcal{L}(F_k) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(F_k) \rtimes_{\theta'} \mathbb{Z}_4$, where θ' is a \mathbb{Z}_2 kernel on $\mathcal{L}(F_k)$ with exactly the same properties as θ . Moreover, the enveloping algebra for the inclusion $N_{\mathcal{C}_\theta} \subseteq M_{\mathcal{C}_\theta}$ is then isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes R) *_R (R \rtimes_\theta \mathbb{Z}_4).$$

An explicit computation of the relative invariant $\chi(R, R \rtimes_\theta \mathbb{Z}_4)$ allows to prove that $\chi(\mathcal{A})$ is, as an abstract group, isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$. Moreover, for exactly

one copy of \mathbb{Z}_2 in $\chi(\mathcal{A})$, which we will denote by ϕ , there is no obstruction to lifting it to a morphism of \mathbb{Z}_2 into $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$.

We analyze the factor $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}_2$ and show that the dual action $\hat{\phi}$ is decomposed as $\phi = s_1 s_2$, where s_1 is in $\overline{\text{Int}(\mathcal{B})}$, while s_2 is in $\text{Ct}(\mathcal{B})$. Moreover, for a selfadjoint unitary h in \mathcal{B} we have that

$$s_i^2 = \text{Ad}_{\mathcal{B}} h, \quad s_i(h) = -h, i = 1, 2. \tag{*}$$

Now we consider the subfactor N introduced in [Jo2] by analogy with ([Co5]). Then N has also the property that that $\chi(N)$ is, as an abstract group, isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$. Moreover, for exactly one copy of \mathbb{Z}_2 in $\chi(N)$, which we will denote by γ , there is no obstruction to lifting it to morphism of \mathbb{Z}_2 into $\text{Aut}(N)$.

We consider (following [Co4]) the factor $N \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}_2$ and show that here the dual action $\tilde{\gamma}$ on the cross product is also decomposed as $\tilde{\gamma} = s_1 s_2$, where s_1 belongs to $\overline{\text{Int}(N \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}_2)}$, while s_2 belongs to $\text{Ct}(N \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}_2)$. This time there exist two selfadjoint unitaries f_1, f_2 in $N \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}_2$ so that

$$t_i^2 = \text{Ad}_{[N \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}_2]} f_i, \quad t_i(f_j) = (-1)^{i-j+1} f_j, \quad i, j = 1, 2. \tag{**}$$

The relations (*) and (**), by the theory of invariants for finite group actions on type II_1 factors ([Jo3]), prevent one from obtaining t_1, t_2 , by a unitary cocycle perturbation, from s_1, s_2 .

Since the algebras $\mathcal{B}, N \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}_2$ are canonically associated with \mathcal{A} and N , as are s_1, s_2 and, respectively t_1, t_2 , this shows that the factors N, \mathcal{A} cannot be isomorphic.

Moreover, by using Voiculescu’s random matrix picture, the factor N is the enveloping von Neumann algebra in the iteration of the Jones’ basic construction of an inclusion of the form $\mathcal{L}(F_k) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(F_k) \rtimes_{\theta''} \mathbb{Z}_4$, where θ'' is a \mathbb{Z}_2 kernel on $\mathcal{L}(F_k)$ with similar properties as θ' .

The fact that the algebras \mathcal{A} and N are not isomorphic shows exactly that θ' and θ'' are not cocycle conjugate (although the two kernels have exactly the same algebraic invariants considered in [Jo3]).

1 Computations of the invariant for some subfactors of free group factors

The following lemma is essentially contained in Lemma 4.3.3 in [Sa] (which proves the absence of non-trivial central sequences in free group factors).

Lemma 1 *Let $N \subseteq M$ be a pair of separable, finite von Neumann algebras. Assume that N, M have centers with trivial intersection. Let \mathcal{A} be the von Neumann algebra reduced free product*

$$\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes N) *_N M,$$

*which by [Po2] is a type II_1 factor. Let $g_i, i = 1, 2$ be two of the k generators in F_k and let $\|x\|^2 = \tau(x^*x)$, $x \in \mathcal{A}$ where τ is the trace on \mathcal{A} . Let $E_N^{\mathcal{A}}$ be the normal, faithful, conditional expectation from \mathcal{A} onto N .*

Then \mathcal{A} has the property that

$$\|E_N^{\mathcal{A}}(y) - y\|_2 \leq 14 \max_{i=1,2} \|[g_i^{\pm 1}, y]\|_2.$$

In particular all central sequences in \mathcal{A} are asymptotically contained in N .

to $\text{Ct}(M, N)$. Moreover, it is obvious that Φ is continuous in the strong, pointwise convergence topology. Hence Φ induces indeed a map $\hat{\Phi}$ from

$$(\text{Ct}(N, M) \cap \overline{\text{Int}(N, M)})/\text{Int}(N, M) \text{ into } \frac{\text{Ct}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \overline{\text{Int}(\mathcal{A})}}{\text{Int}(\mathcal{A})}.$$

We check first the surjectivity for $\hat{\Phi}$. Let α belong to $\overline{\text{Int}(\mathcal{A})}$. We recall the following lemma due to Jones.

Lemma (Lemma 3, [Jo5]) *Suppose $A \subseteq M$ is a subalgebra (of a finite von Neumann algebra M with a faithful state) with the property that any central sequence $\{x_n\}$ satisfies $\|E_A(x_n) - x_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ (E_A is the conditional expectation onto A). Then if $\alpha \in \text{Int}(M)$, there exists a unitary $w \in M$ and a sequence z_n of unitaries in A such that $\alpha = \text{Ad}(w) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad}(z_n)$.*

By this lemma (and by using the preceding Lemma 1), we find that there exist unitaries w in \mathcal{A} and u_n in N so that

$$\alpha = \text{Ad}_{\mathcal{A}} w \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad}_{\mathcal{A}}(u_n).$$

But then, by the continuity of Φ , it follows that

$$\alpha = \text{Ad}_{\mathcal{A}} w \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(\text{Ad}_N(u_n)).$$

Thus Φ maps $\text{Int}(M, N)$ onto $\overline{\text{Int}(\mathcal{A})}$ (modulo $\text{Int}(\mathcal{A})$). Assume that α also belongs to $\text{Ct}(\mathcal{A})$. As $\text{Ad}_{\mathcal{A}}(w)$ always belongs to $\text{Ct}(\mathcal{A})$, it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad}_{\mathcal{A}}(u_n)$ belongs to $\text{Ct}(\mathcal{A})$ and hence, by Lemma 1, it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad}_N(u_n)$ is in $\text{Ct}(N, M)$.

It remains to show that $\hat{\phi}$ is injective. Thus assume that for some α in $\text{Ct}(N, M)$ we have that $\Phi(\alpha) = \text{Ad}_{\mathcal{A}}(w)$, for some unitary in \mathcal{A} .

Since by construction $\Phi(\alpha)$ acts identically on N , it follows that w belongs to the relative commutant of $\mathcal{L}(F_k)$ and thus (by [Po2], Theorem 4.1) that $w \in N$, i.e., that $\alpha = \text{Ad}_M(w)$. \square

Corollary 4 *Let $\mathcal{C} = (A \supseteq B \supseteq D; A \supseteq C \supseteq D)$ be an extremal commuting square ([Po1]) of finite dimensional algebras, which is λ -Markov ([Po1, We]), (i.e., there exists a λ -Markov trace, in the sense of Jones ([Jo1]) for $C \subseteq A$, which restricts to a λ -Markov trace for $D \subseteq B$). By ([Ra1]) the inclusion*

$$(\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes D) *_D C \subseteq (\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes B) *_B A$$

is a finite index inclusion of type II_1 factors (that are isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(F_N)$ for some $N > 1$).

*Let \mathcal{A}_∞ be the enveloping von Neumann algebra in the tower of algebras in the iterated Jones' basic construction of the above inclusion. Let $B_\infty \subseteq A_\infty$ be the enveloping von Neumann algebras in the tower of algebras in the iterated Jones' basic construction for the inclusions $D \subseteq B$ and respectively $C \subseteq A$. Note (by [Ra1]) that \mathcal{A}_∞ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes B_\infty) *_B A_\infty$. Then*

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}_\infty) = \chi((\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes B_\infty) *_B A_\infty) \cong \chi(B_\infty, A_\infty).$$

2 Computation of $\chi(R, R \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_4)$ for a \mathbb{Z}_2 kernel θ in *Out* with nontrivial obstruction to lifting to *Aut*

Let R be the hyperfinite, type II_1 factor and let θ be an action of \mathbb{Z}_4 into R . We will assume that θ defines a \mathbb{Z}_2 -kernel, i.e., that θ defines a homomorphism from \mathbb{Z}_2 into $\text{Out}(R)$. Also we will assume that this \mathbb{Z}_2 -kernel can not be lifted to a morphism from \mathbb{Z}_2 into $\text{Aut}(R)$. By ([Co1]), the fact that θ can't be lifted to a morphism into $\text{Aut}(R)$ is (uniquely) determined by the following obstruction:

Let g be the selfadjoint unitary (i.e., $g^2 = 1$) defined by $\theta^2 = \text{Ad}_R g$. The fact that such a unitary exists is warranted by the fact that θ defines an action of \mathbb{Z}_2 into Out . It is easy to observe (see [Co1]) that there exists an order 2 root of the unity α so that $\theta(g) = \alpha g$. An obstruction to lifting will exist if, and only if, $\alpha = -1$, that is if $\theta(g) = -g$.

We will also assume that θ has the property that the spectral projections of the selfadjoint unitary g have trace $1/2$ (in general, again by [Co1], the value of the traces of the spectral projections of g is an invariant for conjugacy in $\text{Aut}(R)$).

In this paragraph we will compute the relative invariant χ for an inclusion of hyperfinite, type II_1 factors having the form

$$R \subseteq R \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_4,$$

with θ as above.

In particular we will obtain that $\chi(R \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_4, R)$ is, (as an abstract group), isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$. Moreover, exactly one of the two copies of \mathbb{Z}_2 has no obstruction to lifting from the quotient. This is to be compared with the case of a \mathbb{Z}_2 kernel with no obstruction to lifting. In this case, (by [Kaw]), for an order 2 outer action α on R , one has that $\chi(R, R \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is also $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$.

We will then apply this result for the commuting square ([Po1], [GHJ], [Oc1]) generating the inclusion $R \subseteq R \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_4$. By considering the inclusion of free group factors associated with the commuting square as in Corollary 4, we will deduce that the enveloping algebra \mathcal{A} for this inclusion has also the property that

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

as abstract groups. Moreover, as a subgroup of $\text{Out}(\mathcal{A})$ exactly one of the two copies of \mathbb{Z}_2 has no obstruction to lifting to a morphism of \mathbb{Z}_2 into the automorphism group of \mathcal{A} .

Because we will need a very concrete knowledge of the structure of the inclusion $\chi(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \text{Out}(\mathcal{A})$, we will introduce a special model (similar to the model in [Jo4]) for the (finite depth) subfactor $R \subseteq R \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_4$.

This model will also be used in the last paragraph of this paper, when realizing the Jones' example ([Jo5]) as an enveloping algebra.

The realization of this model is outlined in the following lemma. Some parts of the arguments are probably well-known in the literature of subfactors. We include them here for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 5 *Let $(U_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a family of unitaries with four point spectrum so that each spectral projection for U_k has trace $1/4$ and let $g = g_+ - g_-$ be a selfadjoint unitary whose spectral projections g_{\pm} have trace $1/2$. We assume the following relations:*

$$U_k^4 = 1, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad U_k g U_k^* = -g, \quad \text{if } k = 0, -1, \quad U_k g U_k^* = g, \quad \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z} / \{0, -1\}$$

and

$$U_k U_{k+1} U_k^* = \sqrt{-1} U_{k+1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

(The trace on this algebra generated by these unitaries is specified by requiring that each non-trivial monomial in the U_k 's and g have zero trace).

Let (see [Jo3])

$$R_{-1} = \{gU_0^2, U_1, U_2, \dots\}'' \subseteq \{g, U_0, U_1, \dots\}'' = R_0.$$

Then $R_{-1} \subseteq R_0$ is an inclusion of type II_1 factors, of index 4 and the relative commutant is generated by the (order 2) unitary g .

In addition, U_0 is normalizing R_{-1} (i.e., $Ad_{R_{-1}}(U_0)$ is a (non-inner) automorphism of R_{-1}). If $\theta = Ad_{R_{-1}}(U_0)$, then $\theta^4 = 1$ and R_0 is the crossed product $R_{-1} \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_4$. Clearly,

$$\theta^2 = Ad_{R_{-1}}(U_0^2) = Ad_{R_{-1}}(U_0^2 g)$$

and $h = U_0^2 g$ is a selfadjoint unitary in R_{-1} (with spectral projections of trace $1/2$) with $\theta(h) = -h$. Hence, θ defines a \mathbb{Z}_2 kernel into $Out(R_{-1})$ with nontrivial obstruction to lifting.

Moreover, the k -th step in the iterated basic construction for this construction is:

$$R_k = \{gU_{-1}^2 \dots U_{-k}^2, U_{-k}, U_{-(k-1)}, \dots\}'', \quad k \geq 1.$$

Proof Clearly θ as defined in the statement normalizes R_{-1} by the properties of the family $(U_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and g . Moreover g commutes with R_{-1} (and generates the relative commutant of R_{-1} in R_0) so that $Ad_{R_{-1}}(U_0^2) = Ad_{R_{-1}}(U_0^2 g)$. Hence, at least algebraically, $Ad_{R_{-1}}(U_0)$ implements the crossed product $R_{-1} \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_4$. The properties of the trace, on the family of all $(U_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and g , prove that this is valid when one considers also the topology on the algebraic crossed product.

Also, since $Ad_{R_0}(U_{-1})$ acts identically on $U_0^2 g$ and on U_1, U_2, \dots while $Ad_{R_0}(U_{-1})$ multiplies U_0 by $\sqrt{-1}$, it follows that $Ad_{R_0}(U_{-1})$ implements the dual action of \mathbb{Z}_4 on the crossed product $R_{-1} \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_4$.

Hence the next step in the iterated basic construction of the inclusion $R_{-1} \subseteq R_0$ is

$$R_1 = \{U_{-1}, g, U_0, U_1, \dots\}'' = \{gU_{-1}^2, U_{-1}, U_0, U_1, \dots\}''.$$

The next steps in the basic construction are obtained by adjoining consecutively the unitaries U_{-2}, U_{-3}, \dots

By using the above description for R_1 the notation becomes homogeneous, in the sense that gU_{-1}^2 is a selfadjoint unitary that anti-commutes with U_{-1} and with U_{-2} . Thus adjoining the unitary U_{-2} to the algebra R_1 we obtain the next step in the basic construction.

To construct a family of unitaries with the properties in the statement one has simply to look for the unitaries implementing the crossed products in the iterated basic steps of an inclusion of the form $R \subseteq R \rtimes_{\beta} \mathbb{Z}_4$, where β is an outer kernel of \mathbb{Z}_2 (with obstruction to lifting: $\beta^2 = Adv$, v a selfadjoint unitary with spectral projections of trace $1/2$). The unitary g of order 2 comes from the first relative commutant. \square

Lemma 6 *With the above notations, a downward tunnel ([Po1]) for $R_{-1} \subseteq R_0$ is defined as follows*

$$\begin{aligned} R_{-2} &= \{gU_0^2 U_1^2, U_2, U_3, \dots\}, \\ R_{-3} &= \{gU_0^2 U_1^2 U_2^2, U_3, U_4, \dots\} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 8 Let $\mathcal{C}_\theta = (D \subseteq B \subseteq A; D \subseteq C \subseteq A)$ be defined as in the above lemma. The the free group factor $(\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes B) *_B A$ is isomorphic to the crossed product of $(\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes D) *_D C$ by the \mathbb{Z}_4 action on $(\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes D) *_D C$ induced by $\theta = Ad_{[(\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes D) *_D C]} U_0$. Note that this action is in fact an injective \mathbb{Z}_2 -kernel on $(\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes D) *_D C$ with obstruction -1 to lifting (to Aut), as $\theta^2 = Ad g$ and g is a selfadjoint unitary in $(\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes D) *_D C$ whose spectral projections have trace $1/2$.

Moreover, by preceding Lemma 3, the enveloping algebra for the above inclusion is $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes R_{-1}) *_R R_0 \cong (\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes R_0) *_R R_1$.

Proof Denote for simplicity $Q = \mathcal{L}(F_k)$. We observe that the unitary U_0 in B has the property that $U_0 C U_0^*$ is C . Since $[U_0, Q] = 0$, it follows that U_0 belongs to the normalizer $\mathcal{N}_{[(Q \otimes B) *_B A]}((Q \otimes D) *_D C)$ of $(Q \otimes D) *_D C$ in $(Q \otimes B) *_B A$. Moreover, $Ad_C(U_0^2) = Ad_C(g)$ as

$$[Ad_C(U_0^2)](U_{-1}) = -U_{-1} = [Ad_C(g)](U_{-1}),$$

$$Ad_C(U_0^2)(g) = g = Ad_C(g)(g).$$

Moreover, as $[g, Q] = 0$, it follows that the following equality also holds true:

$$Ad_{[(Q \otimes D) *_D C]} U_0^2 = Ad_{[(Q \otimes D) *_D C]} g.$$

Finally, it is clear that

$$\{U_0, (Q \otimes D) *_D C\}'' = (Q \otimes B) *_B A.$$

Moreover, any monomial in $(Q \otimes B) *_B A$ may be written by using only one power of U_0 . To finish the proof that θ implements the crossed product we have to check that any monomial m involving a non-zero power of U_0 will have zero trace with respect to the canonical trace on $(Q \otimes B) *_B A$.

Say

$$m = q_0 c_0 q_1 c_1 \dots q_i (c_i U_0) q_{i+1} c_{i+1} \dots q_n c_n q_{n+1},$$

where q_i is in Q , q_i is not a multiple of the identity and c_i is in C .

Then by the definition of the trace on $(Q \otimes B) *_B A$, the trace of m is a sum of products of the form

$$\tau(c'_1) \tau(c'_2) \dots \tau(c'_{k-1}) \tau(c'_k U_0) \tau(c'_{k+1}) \dots \tau(c'_p),$$

which is zero.

This completes the proof. \square

In the next lemma we compute the relative invariant $\chi(R_0, R_{-1})$.

Lemma 9 (compare with [Ocl], [Kaw], [Lo], [Ch], [Ko]) Let θ a \mathbb{Z}_4 action on a copy R_{-1} of the hyperfinite II_1 factor so that θ induces a \mathbb{Z}_2 -kernel on R_{-1} , with obstruction -1 ([Co1]). Let $R_0 = R_{-1} \rtimes_\theta \mathbb{Z}_4$. Then $\chi(R_{-1}, R_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Moreover, for exactly one of the two copies of \mathbb{Z}_2 in $\chi(R_{-1}, R_0)$, there exists no obstruction to lifting from the quotient.

Proof In fact we will identify precisely also the generators of $\chi(R_{-1}, R_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$. By using the terminology used in the above lemmas, we have

$$R_{-1} = \{g U_0^2, U_1, U_2, \dots\}'' \subseteq \{g, U_0, U_1, \dots\}'' = R_0.$$

Let $g = g_+ - g_-$, with g_\pm projections of trace $1/2$. Then, for all complex numbers α, β of absolute value 1, the following automorphisms $Ad_{R_0}(U_{-1}^i), Ad_{R_0}(U_0^j)$,

$\text{Ad}_{R_0}(\alpha g_+ + \beta g_-)$ belong to $\text{Ct}(R_{-1}, R_0)$. Moreover $\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_{-1}^2) = \text{Ad}_{R_0}(g)$ and hence

$$\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_{-1}^2)\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_0^2) = \text{Ad}_{R_0}(gU_0^2) \in \text{Int}(R_{-1}, R_0). \tag{1}$$

Moreover,

$$[\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_{-1}), \text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_0)] = 0, \tag{2}$$

and

$$\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_{-1}U_0)^2 = \text{Ad}_{R_0}(gU_0^2), \tag{3}$$

while

$$\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_{-1}U_0)(gU_0^2) = -gU_0^2, \tag{4}$$

(since $\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_{-1}U_0)(g) = g$). \square

The proof of Lemma 7 is split into the steps contained in the statements (8-11) below.

We will first determine the asymptotic inclusion ([Oc2],[Co3]) for the inclusion $R_{-1} \subseteq R_0$.

Lemma 10 ([Oc1]) *With the notations in [Oc2], [Co2], [MD] and by considering the factors $R_{-1} \subseteq R_0$ from the previous lemma, we have that*

$$(R_{-1})^\omega \cap R'_0 \subseteq (R_0)^\omega \cap R'_0,$$

is an inclusion of type II_1 factors of index 2.

Let $\alpha = \text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_{-1})$. Then $(R_{-1})^\omega \cap R'_0$ is the fixed point of the (order 2) action of $(\alpha)^\omega = ((\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_{-1}))^\omega)$, restricted to $(R_0)^\omega \cap R'_0$.

In particular, by [GHJ], the only non-trivial automorphism of $(R_0)^\omega \cap R'_0$ which is the identity on $(R_{-1})^\omega \cap R'_0$ is $(\alpha)^\omega$.

Proof (of the lemma). We clearly have that $(\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_{-1}))^2 = \text{Ad}_{R_0}(g)$ and since $\text{Ad}_{R_0}(g)$ is in $\text{Ct}(R_0)$ as g is in R_0 , it follows (by [Co2]) that $(\alpha)^\omega = ((\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_{-1}))^2)^\omega$ acts as the identity on $(R_0)^\omega \cap R'_0$. Moreover, since R_{-1} is the fixed point algebra of the automorphism $\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_{-1})$, it follows that $(R_{-1})^\omega \cap R'_0$ is the fixed point algebra in $(R_0)^\omega \cap R'_0$ of α^ω . Clearly $((\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_{-1}))^\omega)$ is non-trivial (since otherwise, by [Co2]) it would follow that $\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_{-1})$ belongs to $\text{Int}(R_0)$, which is not the case).

This ends the proof of the lemma. \square

Corollary 11 *The automorphisms in $\text{Ct}(R_0)$ are of the form*

$$(\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_{-1}))^j \text{Ad}_{R_0}(u),$$

for all u in the normalizer $\mathcal{N}_{R_0}(R_{-1})$.

Proof Let $N \subseteq M$ be an inclusion of hyperfinite, type II_1 factors. Consider the map Φ which associates to any α in $\text{Ct}(M, N)$ the corresponding automorphism α^ω in

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\beta \in \text{Aut}(M^\omega \cap M') \mid \beta|_{N^\omega \cap M'} = \text{Id}_{N^\omega \cap M'}\}.$$

We claim that the kernel of Φ consists of

$$\mathcal{T} = \{\text{Ad}_M(u) \mid u \in \mathcal{N}_M(N)\}.$$

Indeed, (by [Co2]), any element in \mathcal{T} is in the kernel of Φ . Also, by the definition of $\text{Ct}(M, N)$ we have that Φ takes its values into \mathcal{S} .

Moreover, if α^ω is in the kernel of Φ , then (by Connes' theorem asserting that centrally trivial automorphisms in the hyperfinite II_1 factor are the same as inner automorphisms), it follows that α must be of the form $\text{Ad}_M(u)$ for some unitary u in M . As α is leaving N invariant, it follows that u is in $\mathcal{N}_M(N)$.

The proof is now completed by noticing that in the case $N = R_{-1}$ and $M = R_0$, by the preceding lemma, the only nontrivial element in \mathcal{S} is $(\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_{-1}))^\omega$.

This completes the proof. \square

In the next lemma we will determine the normalizer $\mathcal{N}_{R_0}(R_{-1})$. Note that

$$\{\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_0^j) \mid j = 1, 2, 3\}$$

and

$$\{\text{Ad}_{R_0}(\alpha_0 g_+ + \alpha_1 g_-) \mid \alpha_0, \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{T}\},$$

are subsets of $\mathcal{N}_{R_0}(R_{-1})$.

To see that these are all of the generators of $\mathcal{N}_{R_0}(R_{-1})$, we first note that any element u in $\mathcal{N}_{R_0}(R_{-1})$ has the property that $ugu^* = \pm g$ (as $\{g\}'' = R'_{-1} \cap R_0$). Thus, eventually by replacing u by uU_0 , we may assume that u has the property that $ugu^* = g$. With this we obtain:

Lemma 12 *Modulo $\text{Int}(R_0)$, the group $\mathcal{N}_{R_0}(R_{-1})$ is generated by the (commuting) elements*

$$\{\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_0^j) \mid j = 1, 2, 3\}$$

and

$$\{\text{Ad}_{R_0}(\alpha_0 g_+ + \alpha_1 g_-) \mid \alpha_0, \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{T}\}.$$

Proof Let u in $\mathcal{N}_{R_0}(R_{-1})$ have the property that $ugu^* = g$ (see remark above). By ([Po3]), we may describe $R_{-1} \subseteq R_0$ as the following inclusion

$$x \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & \theta(x) \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes R_{-1} \cong R_0, \quad x \in R_{-1},$$

where θ is an outer automorphism of R_{-1} with the property that $\theta^2 = \text{Ad}_{R_{-1}}(h)$ for some selfadjoint unitary h , with $\theta(h) = -h$ and whose spectral projections have trace $1/2$.

In this description g generates the diagonal algebra in $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Let u be a unitary in R_0 , with $ugu^* = g$:

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} u_0 & 0 \\ 0 & u_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_0, u_1 \in \mathcal{U}(R_{-1}).$$

The condition that u normalizes R_{-1} may be rewritten as:

$$\text{Ad}_{R_{-1}} u_1(\theta(x)) = \theta(\text{Ad}_{R_{-1}} u_1(x)), \quad x \in R_{-1}.$$

Since θ is an outer automorphism, this implies in turn that

$$u_1 = \alpha \theta(u_0), \quad \text{for some } \alpha \in \mathbb{T}.$$

This means that

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} u_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta(u_0) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{u}_0 g^{1,\alpha}.$$

But \tilde{u}_0 belongs to R_{-1} (in the above identification).

This completes the proof. \square

It remains to determine which elements in the picture described above are a system of representatives modulo $\text{Int}(R_0, R_{-1})$ for $\chi(R_0, R_{-1})$.

Corollary 13 *The group $\chi(R_1, R_0)$ consists of the following elements (representatives modulo $\text{Int}(R_0, R_{-1})$):*

$$\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_0^2), \text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_0U_{-1}), \text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_0U_{-1}^3), \text{Id}.$$

Note that in the above set we may always replace $\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_0^2)$ by $\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_{-1}^2)$.

Proof To do this, we will use the exact sequence of Loi ([Lo], see also [Ko]) exact sequence,

$$\overline{\text{Int}(R_0, R_{-1})} \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(R_0, R_{-1}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}.$$

Here \mathcal{G} is the set of all automorphisms of R_0 , fixing a downward tunnel and trace preserving and that are leaving invariant the set of increasing relative commutants in the tunnel. Moreover, the elements in \mathcal{G} preserve the Jones projections in the tunnel. The image of an element α from $\text{Aut}(R_0, R_{-1})$ into \mathcal{G} is obtained by correcting, (by inner automorphisms), in stages, the automorphism α , so that it preserves each Jones projection in the downward tunnel (see [Lo] for more details).

Let γ be the automorphism in $\text{Aut}(R_0, R_{-1})$ defined by $\gamma(g) = -g, \gamma(U_i) = U_i$. It is easily seen that the following formulae hold true:

$$\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_0) = \gamma \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_2^*U_4^* \dots U_{2n}^*),$$

and similarly

$$\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_{-1}) = \gamma \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_1^*U_3^* \dots U_{2n-1}^*).$$

It is easy to see that the terms involving a limit in the above formula, are exactly the necessary correction in $\overline{\text{Int}(R_0, R_{-1})}$ to get from $\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_{-1})$ and $\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_0)$ the element γ in \mathcal{G} . As γ is non-trivial (and of order 2), it follows that

$$(\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_{-1}))^i (\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_0))^j$$

belongs to $\overline{\text{Int}(R_0, R_{-1})}$ if, and only if, $i + j$ is even.

Loi's description of \mathcal{G} for our particular inclusion $R_{-1} \subseteq R_0$, shows that for this inclusion, we have that $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{T} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$. Moreover, the set

$$\{\text{Ad}_{R_0}(g^{1,\alpha}) \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{T}\}$$

corresponds to the copy of the \mathbb{T} in \mathcal{G} , while γ corresponds to the generator of \mathbb{Z}_2 .

The fact that $\text{Ad}_{R_0}(g^{1,\alpha})$ doesn't belong to $\overline{\text{Int}(R_0, R_{-1})}$ could in fact be checked directly by using the matrix model for the inclusion for $R_{-1} \subseteq R_0$ as in Lemma 10.

We use the notation in Lemma 10. Assume, to get a contradiction, that there are unitaries u_n in R_{-1} so that

$$\text{Ad}_{R_0} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad}_{R_0} \begin{pmatrix} u_n & 0 \\ 0 & \theta(u_n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

This would imply that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad}_{R_0}(u_n) = \text{Id}_{R_0},$$

Remark 16 Let $N \subseteq M$ be finite, separable, von Neumann algebras whose centers have trivial intersection. Let G be a finite group acting on M that leaves N invariant. Let Q be a type II_1 factor. Then G induces an action on $\mathcal{C} = (Q \otimes N) *_N M$ and moreover $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G$ is isomorphic to $(Q \otimes (N \rtimes G)) *_{(N \rtimes G)} *(M \rtimes G)$.

Proof Let $M \rtimes G$ be generated by M and the unitaries $(u_g)_{g \in G}$. Clearly then $N \rtimes G$ is generated by N and the unitaries $(u_g)_{g \in G}$ (which implement the crossed products). Then the unitaries $(u_g)_{g \in G}$ commute with Q in $(Q \otimes (N \rtimes G)) *_{(N \rtimes G)} *(M \rtimes G)$. Clearly then (at least algebraically) the unitaries $(u_g)_{g \in G}$ are normalizing \mathcal{A} in $(Q \otimes (N \rtimes G)) *_{(N \rtimes G)} *(M \rtimes G)$. They also implement the corresponding action on \mathcal{A} . In addition any monomial in $(Q \otimes (N \rtimes G)) *_{(N \rtimes G)} *(M \rtimes G)$ may be written as a sum of monomials containing just one of the u_g (because Q commutes with all of the unitaries $(u_g)_{g \in G}$). Moreover, the trace on $(Q \otimes (N \rtimes G)) *_{(N \rtimes G)} *(M \rtimes G)$ is so that any monomial containing a single unitary u_g different from the identity, has zero trace (because of the similar property for the trace on $M \rtimes G$).

This completes the proof. \square

In the next proposition we analyze the structure of the crossed product $\mathcal{A} \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2$ (with the notations in Lemma 15).

Proposition 17 We use the notations in Lemma 15. Let θ be $Ad_{R_0}(U_{-1}^2)$. Then θ defines an action of \mathbb{Z}_2 on R_0 , leaving R_{-1} invariant. Moreover, θ acts identically on R_{-1} . We may identify

$$\begin{aligned} R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2 &= \{U_{-1}^2, g, U_0, U_1, \dots\}'' , \\ R_{-1} \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2 &= \{U_{-1}^2, gU_0^2, U_1, \dots\}'' , \end{aligned}$$

as subalgebras of R_1 . The center of $R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2$ is generated by U_{-1}^2g , while the center of $R_{-1} \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2$ is generated by U_{-1}^2 . In particular, $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \rtimes_{[Ad_{\mathcal{A}}(U_{-1}^2)]} \mathbb{Z}_2$ is identified with

$$(\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes (R_{-1} \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2)) *_{R_{-1} \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2} (R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

as a type II_1 factor.

Proof Clearly the trace condition on the family of all unitaries $(U_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and g implies the identification of the crossed products as in the statement.

Clearly U_{-1}^2 commutes with R_{-1} which is the same as saying that θ acts trivially on R_{-1} . On the other hand, U_{-1}^2g commutes with g (obvious) but also with U_0 and obviously with all others $U_k, k \geq 1$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 18 We use the above notations. Let s be the dual action of \mathbb{Z}_2 on the crossed product $R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2$. Then s acts as $s(U_{-1}^2) = -U_{-1}^2$ and s acts identically on all the other generators for R_0 , in the list of generators in the previous proposition.

Then $sAd_{R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2}(U_{-1}U_0)$ belongs to $\overline{Int(R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2, R_{-1} \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2)}$ while $Ad_{R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2}(U_{-1}U_0)$ belongs to $Ct(R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2, R_{-1} \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

Proof Observe that $Ad_{R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2}U_{-1}$ acts identically on $R_{-1} \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2$ so that $Ad_{R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2}(U_{-1})$ belongs to $Ct(R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2, R_{-1} \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

On the other hand $Ad_{R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2}(U_0)$ belongs to $Ct(R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2, R_{-1} \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

Indeed, recall that AdU_0 belongs to $Ct(R_0, R_{-1})$ (from Corollary 11) The typical central sequence for $R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2$ that is asymptotically in $R_{-1} \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2$, but is not asymptotically in R_{-1} is $\{U_{-1}^2gU_1^2U_3^2 \dots U_{2n+1}^2\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. But AdU_0 acts identically on

this sequence. A similar argument as in Lemma 10 shows that is sufficient to check that $\text{Ad}_{R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2}(U_0)$ belongs to $\text{Ct}(R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2, R_{-1} \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2)$. We have that

$$\text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_{-1}U_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_0U_1 \dots U_n) \in \overline{\text{Int}(R_{-1}, R_0)}.$$

On the other hand, this will not hold true in $R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2$ (that is, it is not true that $\text{Ad}_{R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2}(U_{-1}U_0)$ belongs to $\overline{\text{Int}(R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2, R_{-1} \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2)}$ because $[\text{Ad}_{R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2}(U_{-1}U_0)](U_{-1}^2) = -U_{-1}^2$ and U_{-1}^2 is in the center of $R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2$). Clearly the term which corrects this obstruction is the automorphism s which has exactly the property that $s(U_{-1}^2) = -U_{-1}^2$, while acting identically on all other generators. Thus $s\text{Ad}_{R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2}(U_{-1}U_0)$ belongs to $\overline{\text{Int}(R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2, R_{-1} \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2)}$; more precisely

$$s\text{Ad}_{R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2}(U_{-1}U_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad}_{R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2}(U_0U_1 \dots U_n).$$

The same argument shows that $\text{Ad}_{R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2}(U_{-1}U_0)$ doesn't belong to $\text{Int}(R_0 \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2, R_{-1} \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_2)$. \square

Proposition 19 *Let $R_1 \subseteq R_0$ be the inclusion of type II_1 factors corresponding to the crossed product by the action of \mathbb{Z}_4 corresponding to a \mathbb{Z}_2 kernel that can't be lifted to an action of \mathbb{Z}_2 . We assume that the spectral projections corresponding to the selfadjoint unitary realizing the obstruction to lifting have trace $1/2$. Let $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes R_{-1}) *_{R_{-1}} R_0$. Let $\chi(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \text{Out}(\mathcal{A})$ be the Connes' χ invariant for \mathcal{A} . We use the model for $R_{-1} \subseteq R_0$ outlined in Lemma 5.*

Let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$, where the \mathbb{Z}_2 -action on \mathcal{A} is induced by $\phi = \text{Ad}_{\mathcal{A}} U_{-1}^2 \in \chi(\mathcal{A})$ (this action is an invariant for \mathcal{A} as ϕ represents the only copy of \mathbb{Z}_2 in $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ liftable to a morphism of \mathbb{Z}_2 to $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$). Let s be the dual action on the crossed product. Let $Q_{-1} = \{R_{-1}, U_{-1}^2\}''$, $Q_0 = \{R_0, U_{-1}^2\}''$.

Then \mathcal{B} is isomorphic to

$$(\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes Q_{-1}) *_{Q_{-1}} Q_0.$$

Moreover, we have the following decomposition for s :

$$s = (s\text{Ad } U_0U_{-1})(\text{Ad } U_0^*U_{-1}^*) = s_1s_2,$$

*where $s_1 = s\text{Ad } U_0U_{-1} \in \overline{\text{Int}(\mathcal{B})}$, $s_2 = \text{Ad } U_0^*U_{-1}^* \in \text{Ct}(\mathcal{B})$. In addition $s_i^2 = \text{Ad } h$, with $h = U_0^2g \in \mathcal{A}$ and $s_i(h) = -h$.*

Proof The proof of this statement follows, by using Lemma 18, from the isomorphism we constructed in Proposition 2 from $\chi(M, N)$ onto $\chi((\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes N) *_{N} M)$.

This ends the proof. \square

3 The non-isomorphism of the two algebras having the invariant χ equal to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$

The decomposition, obtained in Proposition 19, for the dual action of \mathbb{Z}_2 into an almost inner part and a centrally trivial part on the algebra $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ prevents \mathcal{A} from being isomorphic to Jones' example ([Jo2], in analogy with the construction in [Co3]). Note that in both of these examples the Connes' χ invariant is equal, as an abstract group, to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$. Moreover, in both cases, exactly one of the copies of \mathbb{Z}_2 , has no obstruction to lifting to an action of \mathbb{Z}_2 .

As in the Connes's original proof (see also [Jo2]), the χ invariant carries additional information, coming from its position in Out . This additional information is revealed by taking the crossed product with the \mathbb{Z}_2 action.

We recall the Jones' example (constructed in analogy with [Co4]). One considers $N = (\mathcal{L}(F_N) \otimes R_0) \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}_2$ for a suitable action γ .

The \mathbb{Z}_2 action is defined as follows. Let α be a \mathbb{Z}_2 kernel on $\mathcal{L}(F_k)$ with $\alpha^2 = \text{Ad}_{\mathcal{L}(F_k)}(e)$, $\alpha(e) = -e$ trace $1/2$ in $\mathcal{L}(F_k)$. Similarly, $R = R_0 = \{g, U_0, U_1, \dots\}''$, while $\beta = \text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_{-1}U_0)$, $\beta^2 = \text{Ad}_{R_0}(g)$, $\beta(g) = -g$. The \mathbb{Z}_2 action γ on $M = \mathcal{L}(F_N) \otimes R_0$ is constructed as follows

$$\gamma = (\text{Ad}_{[\mathcal{L}(F_N) \otimes R_0]} W)(\alpha \otimes \beta),$$

where W is any square root of $e \otimes g$ in the fixed point algebra of $\alpha \otimes \beta$ in the algebra $\mathcal{L}(F_N) \otimes R_0$.

Then, by the Connes's exact sequence in ([Co4]), we have that $\chi(N) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, where, for exactly one of the two copies of \mathbb{Z}_2 in $\chi(N) \subseteq \text{Out}(N)$, there exists no obstruction for lifting to an action on N . By [Co4] (see also [Jo2]) this copy (denoted by $\hat{\gamma}$) corresponds to the dual \mathbb{Z}_2 action for $N = (\mathcal{L}(F_N) \otimes R_0) \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}_2$. By Takesaki duality ([Ta]) we get:

Proposition 20 *With the above notations, the dual action $\tilde{\gamma}$ on the crossed product $N \rtimes_{\tilde{\gamma}} \mathbb{Z}_2$, has a decomposition of the form: $\tilde{\gamma} = t_1 t_2 \text{Ad } W$, where $t_1 \in \text{Ct}(N \rtimes_{\tilde{\gamma}} \mathbb{Z}_2)$, $t_2 \in \text{Int}(N \rtimes_{\tilde{\gamma}} \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Moreover there exist selfadjoint unitaries $f^i = f_+^i - e_-^i$, with f_{\pm}^i projections of trace $1/2$, so that $t_{\pm}^2 = \text{Ad } f^i$, $t_i(f^i) = -f^i$, $t_i(f^j) = f^j, i \neq j$.*

Proof Indeed this decomposition comes from the fact that in $\chi(N)$ the copy of \mathbb{Z}_2 corresponding to an action (by Connes's exact sequence) is exactly the dual action of \mathbb{Z}_2 on the crossed product in $(\mathcal{L}(F_N) \otimes R_0) \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}_2$. By Takesaki duality, $N \rtimes_{\tilde{\gamma}} \mathbb{Z}_2$ is isomorphic to

$$(\mathcal{L}(F_N) \otimes R_0) \otimes B(l^2(\mathbb{Z}_2)),$$

with the dual action on the crossed product being unitary equivalent to $\gamma \otimes \lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ ($\lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is the left regular representation of \mathbb{Z}_2 on $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$).

This ends the proof. \square

The information about the decomposition of the dual action of \mathbb{Z}_2 into centrally trivial part and a part in the closure of inner automorphism is now sufficient to distinguish in between the two algebras.

Theorem 21 *Let $R_1 \subseteq R_0$ be the inclusion of type II_1 factors corresponding to the crossed product by the action of \mathbb{Z}_4 corresponding to a \mathbb{Z}_2 kernel that can't be lifted to an action of \mathbb{Z}_2 . We assume that the spectral projections, corresponding to the selfadjoint unitary realizing the obstruction to lifting, have trace $1/2$. Let $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes R_{-1}) *_{R_{-1}} R_0$. Let N be the subfactor constructed in [Jo2] which has the χ invariant isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$.*

*With the above notation, N is not isomorphic to $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes R_{-1}) *_{R_{-1}} R_0$.*

Proof Assume the contrary. Then $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $N \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}_2 = (\mathcal{L}(F_N) \otimes R_0) \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}_2$ would be isomorphic (since in each of the two cases the \mathbb{Z}_2 action is canonical as the \mathbb{Z}_2 action is determined in each of the two cases by the χ invariant). Moreover, under that assumption it would follow that the corresponding

4 The factor constructed in [Jo2], with χ invariant $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, the enveloping algebra of an inclusion of free group factors

In this section we use the tools provided by Voiculescu’s random matrix model ([Vo1]) for free group algebras to prove that the example considered in [Jo2] of a type II_1 factor N having the χ invariant equal to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ may be identified with the enveloping algebra in the iterated basic construction $A \subseteq B$ of free group factors.

We will also show that this B is identified with $A \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_4$, where θ is a \mathbb{Z}_2 kernel on A with non-trivial obstruction to lifting. The spectral projections of the unitary implementing the obstruction have trace $1/2$.

In Lemma 8 we showed that the subfactor \mathcal{A} considered in Theorem 21 is the enveloping algebra of an inclusion of free group factors which also may be identified with the crossed product by \mathbb{Z}_4 with an action coming from a \mathbb{Z}_2 -kernel with the same properties as θ .

It will then follow, by the non-isomorphism result in Theorem 21 that we found in this way, two outer \mathbb{Z}_2 kernels on a free group factor which are not conjugate. In this way we accomplish the proof of the results announced in the introduction.

Thus it remains to prove the following result:

Theorem 22 *Let N be the factor constructed in [Jo2]. Then there exist subalgebras $A \subseteq B$ in N so that A is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(F_k)$, B is the crossed product $A \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_4$, where θ is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -kernel on A with non-trivial obstruction (to lifting). Moreover N is the enveloping algebra for the inclusion $A \subseteq B$.*

Proof We will do this in two steps. Step I consists of showing that N is the term at the infinity (in the iterated Jones’ basic construction) of an inclusion of the form $A \subseteq B = A \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}_4$.

We recall the construction of N from above.

The type II_1 factor N is $(\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes R_0) \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}_2$, for the \mathbb{Z}_2 action γ defined as follows. Let α be a \mathbb{Z}_2 kernel on $\mathcal{L}(F_k)$ with $\alpha^2 = \text{Ad}_{\mathcal{L}(F_k)}(e)$, $\alpha(e) = -e$ where e is a selfadjoint unitary whose spectral projections e_{\pm} have trace $1/2$ in $\mathcal{L}(F_k)$.

For the β action we use the following model: $R = R_0 = \{g, U_0, U_1, \dots\}''$, while $\beta = \text{Ad}_{R_0}(U_{-1}U_0)$, $\beta^2 = \text{Ad}_{R_0}(g)$, $\beta(g) = -g$. The \mathbb{Z}_2 action γ on $M = \mathcal{L}(F_N) \otimes R_0$ is constructed as follows

$$\gamma = (\text{Ad}_{\mathcal{L}(F_N) \otimes R_0} W)(\alpha \otimes \beta),$$

where W is any square root of $e \otimes g$ in the fixed point algebra of $\alpha \otimes \beta$ in $\mathcal{L}(F_k) \otimes R_0$.

We need a more precise description for the action α . For that purpose we use the terminology introduced in ([Dy], [Ra1]) of free group factors with fractional number of generators: we let $k = 5/2$ and hence $\mathcal{L}(F_k) = \mathcal{L}(F_{5/2})$ has the free generators: X, Y, e with the following properties: X, Y are semicircular, while e is a selfadjoint unitary whose spectral projections e_{\pm} have trace $1/2$. We let α be defined by the requirement:

$$\alpha(X) = [\text{Ad}_{\mathcal{L}(F_{5/2})}(e)](Y), \alpha(Y) = X, \alpha(e) = -e.$$

Assume that $g = g_+ - g_-$. Then one may choose W to be equal to

$$W = (e_+g_+ + e_-g_-) + \sqrt{-1}(e_+g_- + e_-g_+).$$

Hence, $W^2 = eg$ as $W^2 = e_+g_- + e_-g_+ - (e_+g_+ + e_-g_-)$ and

$$(\alpha \otimes 1)(W) = (e_+g_- + e_-g_+) + \sqrt{-1}(e_+g_+ + e_-g_-) = \sqrt{-1}W^*,$$

To show that A is a free group factor (it is clearly a factor) we reduce A by the projection $e_{22} + e_{33}$. The reduced algebra is then generated by e_{23} and $c \otimes e_{22} + 2\operatorname{Re}(d \otimes e_{23}) + e \otimes e_{33}$ and a similar element involving a, b, f . By [Vo1], this is $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{L}(F_2)$ which if we further reduce it by e_{33} is a free group algebra.

The last part of the argument can be made more precise by determining (as in [Dy], [Ra1]) the exact number of generators to show that A is $\mathcal{L}(F_{11/4})$. If from the beginning we add to the generators of $\mathcal{L}(F_{5/2})$ a number of generators on which α acts trivially, then we could get any number of generators for A (bigger than $11/4$).

This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgements

We are indebted to D. Bisch, V. F. R. Jones, S. Popa and to D. Voiculescu for useful comments on this paper.

References

- [Ch] Choda, M. and Kosaki, H. [1994], *Strongly outer actions for an inclusion of factors*, J. Funct. Analysis, **122**, 315-332.
- [Che] Chen, J. [1992], *Connes's Invariant $\chi(M)$ and Cohomology of groups*, Thesis, University of California, Berkeley.
- [Co1] Connes, A. [1977], *Periodic automorphisms of the hyperfinite II_1 factor*, Acta Sci. Math., **39**, 39-66.
- [Co2] Connes, A. [1975], *Outer conjugacy classes of automorphisms of factors*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup., **8**.
- [Co3] Connes, A. [1975], *Sur la classification des facteurs de type II*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. A, **281**, 13-15.
- [Co4] Connes, A. [1976], *A factor not anti-isomorphic to itself*, Ann. of Math., **104**, 536-554.
- [Dy] Dykema, K. [1993], *Free products of hyperfinite von Neumann algebras and free dimension*, Duke Math. Jour., **69**, 97-119.
- [GHJ] Goodman, F., de la Harpe, P., and Jones, V. F. R. [1989], *Coxeter graphs and towers of algebras*, MSRI Publications, **14**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York.
- [Jo1] Jones, V. F. R. [1983], *Index for subfactors*, Invent. Math., **72**, 1-25.
- [Jo2] Jones, V. F. R. [1980], *A II_1 factor anti-isomorphic to itself but without involutory anti-automorphisms*, Math. Scand., **46**, 103-117.
- [Jo3] Jones, V. F. R., *Actions of finite groups*, Springer Lect. Notes in Math., **725**, 237-253.
- [Jo4] Jones, V. F. R. [1991], *Baxterization*, Intl. J. Modern Phys. A, **6**, 2035-2043.
- [Jo5] Jones, V. F. R., *Notes on Connes invariant $\chi(M)$* , unpublished.
- [JS] Jones, V. F. R. and Sutherland, C. E., unpublished manuscript.
- [Ka] Kadison, R. V. [1967], List of open problems at the Baton Rouge conference.
- [Kaw] Kawahigashi, Y. [1993], *Centrally trivial automorphisms and an analogue of Connes' $\chi(M)$ for subfactors*, Duke Math. J., **71**, 93-118.