



A REVIEW ON SKELETAL ANOMALIES IN REARED EUROPEAN FISH LARVAE AND JUVENILES. PART 1: NORMAL AND ANOMALOUS SKELETOGENIC PROCESSES

Journal:	<i>Reviews in Aquaculture</i>
Manuscript ID:	RAQ-06-12-0023.R1
Manuscript Type:	Special Issue
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Boglione, Clara; University of Rome 'Tor Vergata', Biology Gavaia, Paulo; University of Algarve, CCMAR Koumoundouros, Giorgos; University of Crete, Biology Gisbert, Enric; IRTA, Moren, Mari; NIFES, Fontagné, Stephanie; INRA, Unité de Nutrition, Métabolisme et Aquaculture NuMÉA Pôle d'Hydrobiologie Witten, P; University of Ghent, Biology
Keywords:	bone tissue, cartilage, juveniles, larvae, skeletal anomalies, ossification

A REVIEW ON SKELETAL ANOMALIES IN REARED EUROPEAN FISH LARVAE AND JUVENILES. PART 1: NORMAL AND ANOMALOUS SKELETOGENIC PROCESSES

C. Boglione¹, P. Gavaia², G. Koumoundouros³, E. Gisbert⁴, M. Moren⁵, S. Fontagné⁶, P. E. Witten⁷

¹Laboratory of Experimental Ecology and Aquaculture – Department of Biology – University of Rome Tor Vergata - Via della Ricerca Scientifica s.n.c., 00133 Rome (Italy) – boglione@uniroma2.it - fax +39 0672595965

² University of Algarve –CCMAR. Faro, Portugal

³Biology Department, University of Crete, Heraklio, Crete, Greece

⁴IRTA-SCR, Crta, Sant Carles de la Rapita (Spain)

⁵NIFES, Bergen, Norway

⁶INRA, Saint Pée-sur-Nivelle, France

⁷ Ghent University, Department of Biology, 9000 Ghent, Belgium

SHORT RUNNING TITLE: *Normal and anomalous skeletogenesis in reared fish*

Table of contents

Table of contents	2
Abstract	3
1. Introduction	4
2. Plasticity, ontogenesis, remodelling and resorption of skeletal elements in teleost fish	8
3. Teleost skeletal tissues	10
4. The notochord	13
5. Regulatory mechanisms of skeletal tissues in fish	<u>15</u> <u>14</u>
6. Bone formation and the replacement of the cartilaginous anlage	16
6.1 Endochondral ossification	<u>17</u><u>16</u>
6.2 Perichondral ossification	<u>18</u>
6.3 Parachondral ossification	<u>20</u><u>19</u>
6.4 Intramembranous ossification	<u>21</u><u>20</u>
7. Modulation and Transformation	<u>25</u> <u>23</u>
7.1 Dedifferentiation, Transdifferentiation and Metaplasia	<u>25</u><u>24</u>
8. Late events in teleost skeletal tissue modelling and remodelling	<u>28</u> <u>27</u>
9. Bone resorption and remodelling	<u>30</u> <u>29</u>
9.1 Osteocytic osteolysis	<u>32</u><u>31</u>
10. Main gaps in the scientific knowledge and further research needs	<u>33</u> <u>31</u>
11. Acknowledgments	<u>36</u> <u>34</u>
12. References	<u>37</u> <u>35</u>
Figure legends.....	<u>72</u> <u>65</u>

Abstract

This critical review summarises the knowledge about fish skeletal tissues and inherent normal and anomalous development. Particular emphasis is given to existing literature on reared European fishes. The aim was to identify the main gaps of knowledge that require to ~~be fulfilled~~filled, in order to precociously identify anomalous developmental patterns that lead to skeletal anomalies in reared finfish larvae and juveniles. The review also aims ~~at~~ to ~~extending~~extend our knowledge about the factors that are possibly be involved in the onset of skeletal anomalies. The ~~long period~~final goal is the optimization of the morphological quality of farmed juvenile fish.

Key words: bone tissue, cartilage, juveniles, larvae, mineralization, ossification, skeletal anomalies, skeletogenesis

1. Introduction

The presence of skeletal anomalies in farmed teleosts is a constant world-wide problem in aquaculture; it entails economical, biological and animal welfare issues.

Deformed fishes have to be manually and repeatedly removed from production and products from these fish are often downgraded to filets or fish meal (flour) with loss of profit (Hilomen-Garcia 1997; Koumoundouros *et al.* 1997a, b; Bogleione *et al.* 2001, 2003, 2009; Cahu *et al.* 2003; Matsuoka 2003; Georgakopoulou *et al.* 2007a; Lall & Lewis-McCrea *et al.* 2007; Le Vay *et al.* 2007; Castro *et al.* 2008; Lijalad & Powell 2009).

Even filet processing is impaired by the presence of skeletal (particularly vertebral) anomalies as machines are designed for normal shaped fish, and more manual processing and extra trimming are necessary (Branson & Turnbull 2008). The prevalence of skeletal deformities anomalies in farmed fish suggests that we still need to improve our knowledge about genetic and epigenetic factors that can cause skeletal malformations anomalies under rearing conditions.

The fact that an accurate study of skeletogenesis during larval development is of uttermost importance for the recognition and identification of abnormalities in skeletal structures has been ~~long~~-suggested by the scientific community long ago, as can be seen in the statement of McMurrich (1883): *“From the rather peculiar arrangement of the mandibular skeleton at this stage no little difficulty would no doubt be experienced in determining the homologies of the cartilages from a single specimen, since it is only by tracing their development that one can be certain of the signification of abnormalities.”*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 First publications about skeletal anomalies in reared fishes appeared in the early 70s
8 ~~and the~~. The species ~~object of early~~ that have been studied and subsequent
9
10 publications reflect the development of modern aquaculture. Rainbow trout
11
12 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) was the first species to be reported to show anomalies under
13
14 farming conditions (Aulstad & Kittelsen 1971). Next, skeletal malformations were
15
16 reported in gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*) (Paperna *et al.* 1977), European seabass
17
18 (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) (Barahona-Fernandes 1978) and flatfish (*Plecoglossus altivelis*)
19
20 (Komada 1980).
21
22

23
24 The first record about the cause of a specific skeletal deformity anomaly concerned the
25
26 failure of swim bladder inflation and the resulting lordosis in European seabass and
27
28 gilthead seabream (Chatain 1994). Since then, improvements of abiotic (Polo *et al.*
29
30 1991; Divanach *et al.* 1997; Sfakianakis *et al.* 2006; Georgakopoulou *et al.* 2007b, 2010)
31
32 and nutritional (Lewis-McCrea & Lall 2010, Izquierdo *et al.* 2010) conditions have been
33
34 made in order to lower the incidence of skeletal malformations anomalies in many
35
36 species. The problem is still topical and in the last decade many case studies and
37
38 reviews have been published concerning skeletal deformities anomalies in some reared
39
40 species (Atlantic halibut, *Hippoglossus hippoglossus*: Hamre *et al.* 2005; Atlantic
41
42 salmon, *Salmo salar*: Witten *et al.* ~~2005~~, 2005a and b, 2006, 2009, Fjellidal *et al.* 2012;
43
44 red porgy, *Pagrus pagrus*: Izquierdo *et al.* 2010; European marine fishes:
45
46 Koumoundouros 2010; *Sparidae*: Boglione & Costa 2011), for particular anomalies
47
48 (uninflated swim bladder: Woolley & Qin 2010), and about possible causative factors
49
50 (Fig. 1): inflammation (Gil_Martens 2010), unsaturated essential fatty acid
51
52 requirements (Izquierdo 1996), inappropriate microdiet formulation (Takeuchi 2001),
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 nutritional deficiency (Cahu *et al.* 2003; Lall & Lewis-McCrea 2007; Fjelldal *et al.* 2010;
8
9 Lewis-McCrea & Lall 2010), phosphorus deficiency (Sugiura *et al.* 2004, Fjelldal *et al.*
10
11 2009; 2010), administered dietary phosphoglyceride classes (Tocher *et al.* 2008);
12
13 inappropriate levels of vitamin D (Lock *et al.* 2010), vitamin A (Fernández & Gisbert
14
15 2011; Georga *et al.* 2011; Fernández *et al.* 2012), vitamin D and vitamin C (Darias *et al.*
16
17 2011); presence of toxic waterborne metals (Jeziarska *et al.* 2009); inappropriate light
18
19 regimes and light spectrum (Fjelldal *et al.* 2004, Blanco-Vives *et al.* 2010) and tank
20
21 colour (Cobcroft & Battaglione 2009). However, the problem persists and many
22
23 hypotheses for the causes of skeletal malformations are still being discussed today,
24
25 because different causative factors can have a common symptomatology and
26
27 frequently act synergistically. The present difficulties to separate causes regarding the
28
29 many ~~multilevel~~ genetic and non genetic factors that interact in aquatic organisms
30
31 remain an open problem. Consequently, in marine fish farming a frequency of about
32
33 20% of severely deformed fish at the end of the hatchery phase is considered to be a
34
35 good, but quite rare, result.
36
37

38
39 The scenario is complicated by the following observations: -(a) different epigenetic
40
41 factors can induce the same anomaly in different species; (b) the same causative factor
42
43 can induce different malformations in different fish species (Boglione & Costa 2011);
44
45 (c) deformations are induced by different factors in different cohorts of the same
46
47 species (Kause *et al.* 2007); (d) fish sensitivity to a causative factor may change
48
49 dramatically during ontogeny (Mazurais *et al.* 2009); (e) the action of a single causative
50
51 factor can be compensated by the action of a different factor (Sfakianakis *et al.* 2006);
52
53 (f) particular factors show a high correlation with anomalies in a particular body region
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 in some species, but not in other species (Koumoundouros 2010); (g) the same
8
9 causative factor may provoke higher incidence of anomalies in some skeletal elements
10
11 but not in others with the same bone type and ossification, in the same individual
12
13 (Fernández & Gisbert 2011).
14

15 Mammalian skeletal tissues are often categorised as either bone or cartilage but fish
16
17 skeletal tissues include several types of bone (Fig. 2), many different types of cartilage
18
19 (Tab.1) and many tissues types that are intermediate between connective tissue and
20
21 bone, and between bone and cartilage (Hall & Witten ~~2008~~2007, Witten *et al.*
22
23 ~~2010b~~2010) (Fig. 3). Since the pioneering studies of Melvin Moss (Moss ~~1961~~1961a,
24
25 1962, 1965) about fish skeletal tissues, ~~a lot of~~ progress has been made regarding the
26
27 scientific knowledge about biomolecular, genetic and physiological mechanisms that
28
29 underlie bone differentiation, modelling and remodelling in fish (for reviews see:
30
31 Fowler 1970; Meunier 1989, 2002; Meunier & Huysseune 1992; Huysseune & Sire
32
33 1998; Huysseune 2000; ~~Meunier 2002~~; Akimenko *et al.* 2003; Sire & Huysseune 2003;
34
35 Sire & Akimenko 2004; Witten & Huysseune 2007, 2009; Hall & Witten 2007; ~~Witten &~~
36
37 ~~Huysseune 2009; et al.et al.et al.~~Schilling *et al.* 2010; Spoorendonk *et al.* 2010;
38
39 Apschner *et al.* 2011; ~~et al.~~Duran *et al.* 2011; Meunier 2011; Dean & ~~Shaharet~~
40
41 ~~#Shahar~~ 2012; Harris 2012; see also references in Witten *et al.* ~~(eds)~~ 2010a and 2012).
42
43
44

45 Unfortunately, and despite the many publications about special characters of the fish
46
47 skeleton, it is still common to view fish skeletal tissues from a "human textbook
48
49 perspective" (Witten & Huysseune 2010). As a consequence, teleost bone metabolism
50
51 is often still misunderstood as being primarily calcium driven (as it is the case in
52
53 humans but not in fish) and the specific change of vertebral bone tissues in fishes
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

reared under different conditions are often misinterpreted.

The presence of deformed fish concerns also ethical issues: fish with deformed mouth, fins or vertebral axis show impaired feeding and swimming performances, with consequent lower feeding rates, slower growth rates, and a higher susceptibility to stress and pathogens than healthy ~~undeformed~~nondeformed individuals. These deformed fish cannot be considered to be in proper welfare conditions.

The aim of this review is to provide a synthetic but comprehensive picture of the actual knowledge on bone and cartilage development in larvae and juveniles of European farmed fish; to identify the main gaps of knowledge that require to be filled, in order to identify anomalous developmental pattern leading to skeletal anomalies in reared finfish larvae and juveniles. Moreover, we aim to extend knowledge on the factors that are possibly involved in the onset of skeletal anomalies. The long-term goal is the optimization of the morphological quality, welfare and health status of farmed juvenile fish.

In the present review, all the information on ~~skeleton~~skeletal tissues, cells and processes are drawn from the comparative analysis of the available ~~documentation~~on literature about vertebrates, integrated with recent information ~~on what that can be~~ ascertained ~~up to now in about~~ reared finfish species, ~~when if~~ available.

2. Plasticity, ontogenesis, remodelling and resorption of skeletal elements in teleost fish

There are several differences between mammals and teleosts regarding skeletal tissue types and their differentiation, as well as remodelling and resorption of skeletal tissues

(Huxley 1859; Huysseune & Sire 1992, ~~Gilles~~Gillis *et al.* 2006, Witten & Huysseune 2009; Ren & Winkler 2010; Apschner *et al.* 2011; Dewit *et al.* 2010, 2011, 2012). In tetrapods, the skeleton is tightly integrated into the animals' daily calcium homeostasis whereas in most teleosts, calcium from the skeleton is only mobilized in case of extreme calcium deficiency ~~conditions~~. This is because fish can obtain and release calcium from and into the water *via* their gills (Lewis-McCrea & Lall 2010). The bone marrow in tetrapods contains haematopoietic tissue, from where the cells of the osteoclastic lineage ~~differentiates~~differentiate. Osteoclasts are bone resorbing, multinucleated giant cells. In teleosts, the bone marrow is filled with adipose tissue, besides nerves and blood vessels and some connective tissue cells, and the head kidney is the haematopoietic organ (Witten & Huysseune 2009). Early stages of all teleosts and also ~~later~~later stages of advanced teleosts typically do not show multinucleated osteoclasts. The majority of osteoclasts ~~are~~is small and mononucleated. These cells can perform an alternative mode of bone resorption, without generating typical resorption lacunae (Witten & Huysseune 2010). Another characteristic of bone in advanced teleosts is the lack of osteocytes (cells inside the bone) (Moss 1961a, b; Parenti 1986, Meunier & Huysseune 1992). In mammals, osteocytes are responsible for maintenance of the bone matrix and serve as receptors for mechanical loads, transducing the physiological responses to these forces (Bonucci 2009). The lack of osteocytes (acellular bone) in teleosts implies that bone remodelling in response to mechanical load, as shown by Huysseune ~~et al.~~ (1994), is triggered by other cell types than osteocytes.

~~Teleosts~~Many teleost species never stop growing and therefore growth-related (and

not only metabolism-related) skeletal modelling continues throughout life (Witten & Huysseune 2009). Unlike sharks, that are not able to repair their endoskeleton (Ashhurst 2004), teleosts can repair their skeleton (e.g. fracture repair) (Moss 1962, Dean & Shahar 2012). Dermal skeletal elements (teeth, scales, fin rays) have a large regenerative capacity (Akimenko *et al.* 2003, Huysseune *et al.* 2007)- whereas in most cases, and in contrast to amphibians and basal actinopterygian fish, the endoskeleton can only be repaired but cannot regenerate (Kirschbaum & Meunier 1981; CuevoCuervo *et al.* 2012). Other differences concerning skeletal system among terrestrial vertebrate and fish are furnished below.

3. Teleost skeletal tissues

It is generally considered that four classes of mineralized tissues can be identified in vertebrates: bone, cartilage, dentine and enamel/enameloid. These main categories and the tissue-related cells (*chondroblasts, chondrocytes, osteoblasts, bone lining cells, osteocytes, osteoclasts, odontoblasts, ameloblasts*; Fig. 3) are conserved in teleosts, but different cell morphologies and intermediate tissue types occur. Teleost fish display a large range of intermediate skeletal tissues as part of their mature – non-pathological, non-regenerating – skeleton (Beresford 1981; Benjamin 1990; Hall & Witten *et al.* 2007) (Figs. 2 and 3, and Tab. 1). As many as 15 diverse types and subtypes of cartilage have been identified in teleosts (Benjamin, 1988a, b, 1989, 1990; Benjamin *et al.* 1992). In addition, many permanent skeletal tissues found in ~~Teleost~~teleost are *intermediate forms* between any of the above mentioned tissues (Beresford *et al.* 1981) representing a *continuum* (or perhaps *continua*), and not

discrete skeletal categories (Hall & Witten 2007). Some intermediate tissues are characteristic for pathological alterations of the teleost skeleton, as described below.

Skeletal anomalies in reared fish can affect all skeletal tissues, but from a production related viewpoint, alterations of the notochord, cartilage and bone abnormalities are

the most important. Anomalies of dermal skeletal elements, such as teeth, scales (and fin rays), are possibly indicative for the skeletal health status of the animal (Persson *et al.* 1997, 2000); however anomalies affecting teeth and scales are rarely studied.

Bone is a specialized mesenchymal tissue, an aerobic vascularised tissue with high oxygen consumption, supporting skeletal tissue. Bone tissue consists of cells (*osteoblasts, osteocytes, and bone lining cells*), a *mineral phase* (mainly composed of calcium phosphate forming *hydroxyapatite crystals*) and an organic, mineralized, *extracellular matrix*. Collagen type I is referred as the major organic component of bone but in teleost fish bone can also contain collagen type II (Benjamin & Ralphs 1991). The degree of bone matrix mineralisation is variable and seems to depend on the type of bone (acellular vs cellular bone), life style (active swimmers vs poor swimmers) and on the nature of the aquatic environment that the fish inhabit (seawater vs freshwater) (Meunier & Huyseune 1992, Danos & Staab 2010, Sfakianakis *et al.* 2011, Dean & Shahar 2012, Fiaz *et al.* 2012). As fish have no haematopoietic tissue inside the bone marrow, bone marrow spaces are filled with adipose tissue; blood vessels can be also present (Huyseune 2000; Witten & Huyseune, 2009; Witten *et al.* 2001; Apschner *et al.* 2011).

Structurally, teleost bone first develops as *woven bone*, followed by *parallel-fibered* and *lamellar bone* in more mature individuals. In larger fish, lamellar bone can also

form osteons (Moss 1961a; Smith-Vaniz *et al.* 1995; Witten & Hall 2002, 2003; Meunier 2002). The various terms that are being used to describe the same bone element can be confusing. Different terms are, however, not synonymous. They relate to the location of the bone (a), to the origin of the bone (b), to its mode of development (c), to its structural properties (d) or to phylogenetic assets (e). The terms are sometimes mixed up in the literature. Terms like endo- and exoskeleton refer to the location (a) of the skeleton. Using the term dermal skeleton instead of exoskeleton, refers to the fact that these exoskeletal elements (teeth, scales, dermal bones, fin rays) originate (b) from the interaction between the epidermis (ectoderm) and the underlying mesenchyme. The endoskeleton originates (b) from sclerotome-derived mesenchyme. The mode of development (c) of the dermal skeleton is usually intramembranous, mesenchymal precursor cells develop directly into bone. Cartilage and chondroid bone as part of the dermal skeleton are secondary tissues (e). The default mode of development (c) of endoskeletal bone elements is through endochondral ossification, where a cartilaginous scaffold is replaced by bone. However, several endoskeletal elements ~~are developed~~develop through intramembranous bone formation. Structurally (d), both dermal skeletal elements and endoskeletal elements can consist of woven or of lamellar bone. Phylogenetically (e), the bony elements of the dermal skeleton are older than bone of the endoskeleton (for reviews see Smith & Hall 1990; Huysseune & Sire 1998; Donoghue & Sansom 2002; Sire & Huysseune 2003; Hall & Witten 2007, Witten & Huysseune 2007).

The different skeletal tissues, cells and extracellular matrices in fish are described in details by Kölliker 1859, 1873, ~~1959a~~; Moss 1961a, b, 1962, 1964, 1965; Meunier 1983;

Huyseune 1985, 1989; Huyseune *et al.* 1989; Francillon-Vieillot *et al.* 1990; Benjamin 1989, 1990; Benjamin *&* Ralphs 1991; Takagi *&* Yamada 1991, 1992; Meunier *&* Huyseune 1992; Nishimoto *et al.* 1992; Takagi *&* Yamada 1992, 1993; Beresford 1993; Hamada *et al.* 1995; Persson *et al.* 1995; Smith-Vaniz *et al.* 1995; Witten 1997; Witten and Villwock 1997; Ramzu 1998; Lehane *et al.* 1999; Witten *et al.* 1999, 2000, 2001, 2005, 2005a,b, 2006, 2009, 2010; Huyseune 2000; Pinto *et al.* 2001; Weiss Sachdev *et al.* 2001; Witten *&* Hall 2002, 2003; Diekwisch *et al.* 2002; Kemp 2002; Pinto *et al.* 2003; Smits *&* Lefebvre 2003; Takenaka *et al.* 2003; Cole *&* Hall 2004; Kang *et al.* 2004; Kawasaki *et al.* 2004; Gil-Martins Martens *et al.* 2005; Hall 2005; Kranenbarg *et al.* 2005b; Nordvik *et al.* 2005; Redruello *et al.* 2005; Franz-Odendall *et al.* 2006; Gavaia *et al.* 2006; Gillis *et al.* 2006; ~~Hell *et al.* 2006~~; Hall *&* Witten 2007; Roy *&* Lall 2007; Witten *&* Huyseune 2007, 2009, 2010; Kang *et al.* 2008; Rotllant *et al.* 2008; Zylberberg *&* Meunier 2008; Horton *&* Summers 2009; Renn *&* Winkler 2010; Apschner *et al.* 2011; Estêvão *et al.* 2011; Lie *&* Moren 2011; Meunier 2011; To *et al.* 2012.

4. -The ~~Notochord~~ notochord

The notochord is an essential tissue that plays both structural and patterning roles: early notochord signals influence cell-fate and patterning in the ~~central nervous system~~ spinal cord and in somites (Fleming *et al.* 2001, Anderson *et al.* 2007, de Azevedo *et al.* 2012). Structurally, the notochord is the sole skeletal support tissue in the embryo and in early life stages post-hatching, a stiffened rod against which muscular contraction can drive motility.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 Along with development, the teleost notochord stiffens throughout secretion of
8 fibrous collagens (mostly collagen II) and cells then vacuolate and differentiate into
9 epithelial cells, called notochordoblasts (Fishelson 1966; Yan *et al.* 1995; Nordvik *et al.*
10 2005). These produce fibrous sheath surrounding the notochord. New evidences allow
11 hypothesizing that the rigidity given by collagen encasing sheath constrains expanding
12 notochord cells ~~for~~from inflation of their vacuoles, ~~so~~thus generating a hydrostatic
13 pressure that drives the elongation, stiffening and straightening of the notochord
14 (Anderson *et al.* 2007). Different from other vertebrates, teleost vertebral centra form
15 without a cartilaginous anlage- (primordium). In teleosts, the mineralisation of the
16 notochord sheath, not cartilage and not bone formation, establishes the identity of
17 vertebral bodies. -Formation of skelerotome-derived bone is only the second step of
18 teleost vertebral body formation (~~Huxley~~-Huxley 1859; Kölliker ~~1859b~~1859;
19 Bensimon-Brito *et al.* 2012; de Azevedo *et al.* 2012). Consequently,
20 anomalies/mutations affecting specification of early notochord cells provoke profound
21 defects on vertebral body patterning in teleost fish (Fleming *et al.* 2001, 2004;
22 Moren-Kensicki *et al.* 2002; Croxwell & Mabee 2007, Willems *et al.* 2012). After
23 vertebral bodies have fully developed, notochord tissue in the intervertebral spaces
24 can transform into cartilage under pathological conditions (Witten *et al.* ~~2005~~2005a).
25 Studies about the structure of the notochord in basal actinopterygians -and- in teleost
26 fish (Fig. 4) are- available for shortnose sturgeon, *Acipenser brevirostratus* (Schmitz
27 1998), medaka *Oryzias latipes* (Ekanayake & Hall 1991), yellow perch *Perca flavescens*
28 flavescens (Schmitz 1995), Atlantic salmon (Grotmol *et al.* 2006), and zebrafish *Danio*
29 *rerio* (Inohaya *et al.* 2007).
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

5. Regulatory mechanisms of skeletal tissues in fish

The assembly of the structures composing the skeleton is the net result of two processes acting at two different time ~~scales~~: a phylogenetic process (over millions of years) and an ontogenetic process (over life span of the individual) (Prendergast 2002). In general, according to Hall (2005), the skeletal development is modular at levels of:

- a) individual skeletal systems (axial, appendicular, cranio-facial, 'extraskeletal' elements);
- b) individual skeletal elements
- c) cellular condensations
- d) gene networks
- e) epigenetic control.

As far as epigenetic (i.e., non-genetic) control is concerned, mechanical forces ~~is~~are by far the main studied factor, due to their implication for human health (e.g., osteoporosis, fracture healing). External mechanical forces are recognized to regulate genetic pathways of both cartilage and bone development in all vertebrates (Danos & Staab 2010), ~~giving to~~. This gives skeletal tissues the capability to adapt ~~its structure~~their structures, shape and mechanical features ~~through adaptation~~ in response to altered loading conditions in teleost fish (Huysseune *et al.* 1994; Meyer ~~1987~~, Kranenbarg 2005a, b; Fiaz *et al* 2012) and in mammals (Vahdati & Rouhi 2009). At present, a large body of studies ~~deal~~deals on mechano-regulated tissue differentiation models developed on Pauwels's theory (Pauwels, 1980) that the

mechanical environment in the medium determines tissue ~~phenotype-phenotypes~~. It is well known from studies on mammals that bone responds preferentially to dynamic stimuli rather than static and that only short loading durations are necessary to induce an adaptive response, allowing bone cells to adapt to specific mechanical loading environments (Warden 2006). A series of different mechanobiological models introduced a growing number of mechanical and biological theoretical factors acting and interacting during mesenchymal cells differentiation, fracture healing, intramembraneous bone formation, distraction osteogenesis, bone-implant reaction, osteochondral defect repair in tetrapods and then tested in vivo. Deeper insight on different mechano-biological modelling are achievable in Gómez-Benito *et al.* (2005) (strain-based), Carter and Beaupré (2001) (hydrostatic stress/deformation), Prendergast (2002), Kelly and Prendergast (2005) (biophysical) and Vahdati and Rouhi (2009) (semi-mechanistic).

6. Bone formation and the replacement ~~of the~~ cartilaginous anlage

Bone in mammals is formed by replacement of a cartilaginous template (Fig. 5) by bone (endochondral bone) or by intramembraneous ossification, originating as dermal bones. In fish, three bone formation mechanisms, according to the considered species and skeletal elements, have been described: *endochondral*, *perichondral* and *intramembraneous* (or *direct* or *dermal*) ossification. ~~Here below~~Below, a synthetic characterization of ~~the~~bone formation processes in ~~Vertebrate~~vertebrate is ~~furnished~~provided: some highlights ~~on~~availablefrom the literature on reared

~~Teleost~~teleost species ~~is~~are given, ~~when~~if available.

6.1 Endochondral- ossification

According to Hall and Witten (2007), not all the bony material of endochondral bone originated by endochondral ossification in vertebrates, but i) for primary ossification of the cartilaginous anlage occurring subperiosteally around the shaft by perichondral bone apposition; ii) for a secondary endochondral replacement of cartilage of the shaft metaphysis; iii) for the later extension of the skeletal element by appositional bone (*Zuwachsknochen*), in a process similar to intramembranous bone formation. ~~And~~ ~~even, endochondral~~Endochondral ossification can replace marrow, tendon or ligament ~~tissue~~, without any cartilaginous template. ~~So~~Thus, the use of *replacement bone* and *indirect ossification* should be ~~preferred~~restricted to endochondral bone and endochondral ossification, respectively (Hall ~~and~~& Witten, 2007).

Most of the bones that ossify endochondrally ~~have their origin in~~originate from embryonic mesoderm (Hall 2005). It involves a cartilaginous template, which is replaced by, or remodelled into, bone by several co-ordinated sequential steps. Endochondral bone formation is one of the main ossification process in mammals but the typical process is often lacking in teleosts, especially in small size species: replacement of cartilage by *spongiosa* (endochondral bone formation) can be observed in large (e.g., carp *Cyprinus carpio*, Atlantic salmon) but not in small (medaka and zebrafish) teleost species (~~Witten et al. 2000, 2001, 2010~~; Verreijdt *et al.* 2002; Witten ~~et al. 2000~~; ~~Witten et al. 2001~~; ~~Witten~~ & Huysseune 2007, 2009; Zylberberg & Meunier 2008; ~~Witten et al. 2010~~; ~~;~~ Apschner *et al.* 2011). In smaller

teleosts, such as medaka and zebrafish, where endochondral bone formation is uncommon (~~but does occur in vertebral arches, hypurals, pterygiophores, according to Bird & Mabee 2003; Bird & Hernandez 2009; Gavaia et al. 2006~~). Typically, typically a persisting cartilage rod remains inside the bone shaft and if cartilage is removed, it is replaced by adipose tissue. Young fish (larvae) generally are considered to not have endochondral bone formation (Witten *et al.* 2001, 2010), but Estêvão *et al.* (2011) described it as occurring in hypurals, vertebral arches, frontal bone, coracoid, sclera and dentary during gilthead seabream osteogenesis. Whilst vertebrae bodies are formed ~~throughout~~through endochondral ossification of cartilage templates in tetrapods, vertebral centra ossify without any cartilaginous anlage in all teleosts. Although no cartilage contributes to the initial formation of ~~Teleost~~teleost vertebral bodies (Huxley 1859, Nordvik *et al.* 2005; Witten & Villwock 1997), in older individuals cartilage at the base of the arches undergoes endochondral ossification, and bone that derives from this process becomes part of the vertebral body (Zylberberg & Meunier 2008; Apschner *et al.* 2011).

Growth of endochondral bone depends on maintaining the growth of a primary cartilaginous model that, on its turn, requires functional stimuli, such as mechanical stress. Consequently, the continuation of the deposition of endochondral bone depends secondarily upon biomechanical stimuli (Hall 2005).

6.2 Perichondral ossification

Perichondral bone formation is the most common mode of ~~bone~~ossification in fish, ~~if~~. If a cartilaginous precursor is present, ~~and it~~ usually starts with the transformation of a

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 | *perichondrium* into a *periosteum*. It typically occurs in the teleost fin endoskeleton (Fig.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

16 | ~~Apschner *et al.* 2011). In perichondral ossification, the bone develops in connective~~
17 | ~~tissue within the perichondrium, and thus immediately around the cartilage.~~

18
19
20 | ~~Such~~Perichondral an ossification produces the bone that surrounds the cartilage of the
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

gill arches in mosquitofish *Gambusia affinis*, the pharyngeal jaws of *Astatotilapia elegans* (now *Haplochromis elegans*) (Benjamin 1989), the hypural cartilage in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis ~~niloticus~~niloticus*) and desert pupfish (*Cyprinodon macularis*) (Witten & Huysseune 2007), in dorsal and anal proximal pterygiophores in desert pupfish (Witten & Huysseune 2007), in pectoral, pelvic and caudal fins of rainbow trout (Ferreira *et al.* 1999), in dorsal and anal proximal pterygiophores, initial pectoral girdle ossification, secondary gill arches ossification and pectoral radials in Teleostteleost (Witten & Huysseune 2007). Parahypural (a ventral support of caudal fin) is reported by Witten and Huysseune (2007) as undergoing perichondral ossification, whilst Fernández *et al.* (2008) report that it is forming by endochondral ossification.

42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

~~Cartilage plays no role in either perichondral or parachondral ossification: i.e., the~~The
hyaline-cell cartilage (HCC) attached to the basioccipital in adult of black molly (*Poecilia sphenops*) only develops after perichondral bone has appeared (Benjamin 1989).- As reviewed by Witten and Huysseune (2007) in teleost fish, perichondral bone formation is the basic process of ossification of the fin endoskeleton. Perichondral bone is laid down at the immediate contact of the cartilaginous template by cells that were

formerly part of the perichondrium, but have now taken up the characteristics of osteoblasts and secrete the bone matrix. Nevertheless, an admixture of cartilage and bone matrix is not excluded (Huyseune and Sire 1992; Huyseune 2000; Verreijdt *et al.* 2002).

In smaller species, such as zebrafish and medaka, cartilage that is ~~also~~ enclosed by perichondral bone and chondrocytes can hypertrophy, but the cartilage is removed without being replaced by bone. ~~For (for~~ example, in the splanchnocranium, ~~the).~~ The result is a bone collar with cartilage at one or at both ends (enabling further growth) and adipose tissue inside (Witten *et al.* 2010).

In the endoskeleton, membranous apolamellae can form from osteoblasts of perichondral bone, a process that resembles intramembranous bone formation (Witten & Huyseune ~~2001~~2007).

~~At our knowledge no data on regulatory mechanisms underlying perichondral ossification are available in literature. Prestinicola *et al.* (2012) found that, conversely to intramembranously and endochondrally ossified bones, perichondral bones development is not influenced by variation of environmental conditions during gilthead seabream larval rearing.~~

6.3 Parachondral ossification

Blanc (1953) cited the formation of bone around Meckel's cartilage in ~~*Salmo*~~ *salar* Atlantic salmon as an example of parachondral ossification. Such bone develops around cartilage, but is separated from it by a layer of ordinary connective tissue (Benjamin 1989).

6.4 Intramembranous ossification

~~Most of the~~Cranial bones that develop intramembranously have a neural crest origin (Hall 2005). This mode of bone development has been described in many teleosts ~~and it consists of mesenchymal.~~ Mesenchymal cells ~~differentiation~~differentiate into osteoblasts ~~forming and form~~ bone without a cartilaginous ~~model~~template (Franz-Odenaal *et al.* 2006). The bones formed in this ~~form way~~ are designated dermal or membrane bones. Sire and Huysseune (2003) described categories of odontode derived dermal skeletal elements in vertebrates ~~teleosts:~~ teeth, denticles, cranial dermal bones, scutes, postcranial dermal plates, ganoid scales of polypterids, ganoid scales of lepisosteids, elasmoid scales and fin rays. With the exception of ganoid scales of polypterids and of lepisosteids, these elements are present in teleosts. Cranial dermal bones are frontal, infraorbitals, lacrimal, nasal, parasphenoid, parietal, vomer, ectopterygoid, endopterygoid, dentary, maxillary, premaxillary, interopercle, opercle, preopercle, subopercle, branchiostegal rays, and urohyal. All these dermal skeleton elements form in the mesenchyme or in the dermis, without a cartilaginous precursor and below a multilayered epithelium o epidermis (Sire & Huysseune 2003). ~~As far as reared species are concerned, dermal ossification has been described in vertebrae bodies, fin rays, and opercular plate in gilthead seabream (Estêvão *et al.* 2011) and.~~

During the early development of vertebral bodies in salmon, Nordvik *et al.* (2005) described the importance ~~that of~~ the notochord sheath ~~tissues has eon~~tissue for the initial mineralization ~~of the vertebral body.~~ These authors described how, after the initial notochord segmentation and ~~formation~~expression of ~~a segmental~~segmented alkaline phosphatase activity ~~pattern within~~by the chordoblast layer (Grotmol *et al.*

2005), the formation of a chordacentrum by direct mineralization of the external layers of the notochord occurs. ~~The chordacentrum forms as mineralized ring within the preformed notochordal sheath through mineralization of specific subpopulations of chordoblasts, and are located in the external half of the notochordal sheath, below the elastic membrane (Nordvik et al. (Nordvik et al. 2005).~~

Prior the beginning of dermal ossification ~~in, the~~ dentary, ~~it~~ anlage consists of densely packed mesenchymal cells with a barely visible ~~ECM, extracellular matrix (here after called ECM)~~, while in ~~the~~ frontal bone, that develops later in ontogeny than ~~the~~ dentary, cells are sparse amidst a collagenous matrix (Sire & Huyseune, 2003).

In fin ~~developments~~ development, mesenchymal cells populate the area between the two ~~epithelium~~ epithelial layers ~~constituting that constitute~~ the embryonic fin fold. They progressively increase their number, so forming a dense core separated by the basal lamina ~~by,~~ an acellular layer with ~~collagen~~ randomly ~~deposited~~ arranged collagen (Sire & Huyseune 2003).

The anlagen of cranial dermal bones and fin rays in teleosts are poorly defined papillae (or *osteogenic papillae*), located deeply in the mesenchyme or ~~near~~ close to a forming cartilage anlage. No ~~indications~~ indication of epithelial-mesenchymal interactions ~~have~~ has been individuated and matrix deposition is not polarised, ~~occurring~~ it occurs on both surfaces (Sire & Huyseune 2003). The resulting tissue is fairly identifiable as bone, with an inner part composed of woven-fibred matrix and a peripheral ~~one~~ part composed of parallel-fibred matrix, ~~deposited~~ after the initial fast growing of the inner part.

In dentary and frontal bones, the papillae merge with perichondral cell population very

close to the cartilage of *taenia marginalis* (frontal) or Meckel's cartilage (dentary). The start of ossification is characterized by a local thickening of the *stratum compactum* along with an accumulation of flattened fibroblast-like cells and tubby pre-osteoblasts (mesenchymal cells agglomeration and condensation). Chondroblasts/cytes are at this phase PCNA- (Proliferating Cell Nuclear Antigen)-positive in gilthead seabream (Estêvão *et al.* 2011).

Subsequent phase is characterized by the synthesis of the different matrices constituting the different skeletal dermal elements and, so, it differs in different skeletal elements: odontodes, teeth, denticles and fin rays maintain a close relation with the epithelial cells, whilst dermal cranial bones do not maintain or establish no relation with epithelial cells.

Fin raysray dermal ossification has some peculiar features: the anlagen are osteogenetic papillae but differentiation takes place immediately below the epithelial-mesenchymal boundary, thus suggesting the presence of some relationship with the epithelial cover. At the beginning of fin raysray ossification, a multilayered epithelium thickens in the vicinity of the developing lepidotrichium. The epithelial cells show features of differentiation, indicative of epithelial-mesenchymal interactions, but they do not directly participate in the matrix production (Sire & Huysseune 2003). The rays' anlage is Fin ray' anlagen are composed of a woven-fibred matrix, acellular and not penetrated by cellular processes, forming. The anlagen form a continuous subepidermal sheet located between the epithelial basal cells and the mesenchymal cells. Only the Collagen type I of collagen and Osteonectin (here after called OSN) are secreted and mesenchymal PCNA-positive cells are detectable around

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 the bony structures in gilthead seabream (Estêvão *et al.* 2011). The matrix mineralises
8 rapidly, from the central region of the subepidermal sheet toward the exterior. With
9 growth, a single layer of mesenchymal cells infiltrated the epithelial-mesenchymal
10 interface and progressively separates the ray matrix from the epithelial surface.

11
12
13
14
15 ~~Differently~~Different from fin rays, the cranial dermal ~~bones~~bone ossification process
16 ~~does not take~~has no relation with epithelial cells, and their anlagen are initially not
17 sharply defined from the surrounding tissues. The anlage is covered by osteoblasts in a
18 single layer, surmounted by a mesenchymal space, at the side facing the epithelium. At
19 the opposite side, the anlage is separated from the underlying cartilage by not clearly
20 delimited by osteoblasts. In jewelfish, (*Hemichromis bimaculatus*), the space between
21 the osteoblast monolayer and the epithelium is ~~fulfilled~~filled with a woven-fibred,
22 acellular network, embedded in a fine granular, electron-dense, background substance
23 ~~that~~. This substance mineralises soon after its deposition (*periosteal ossification*).
24 Later, a parallel-fibred matrix is deposited on both bone surfaces.

25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32 ~~TRAP activity appears in association with cells at the periphery of calcified structures.~~
33
34
35
36
37
38 (Sire & Huysseune, 2003).

39
40 Deposition of membrane bones is far less dependent on mechanical factors than
41 endochondral bone growth (Hall 2005) but transdifferentiation in chondroid bone is
42 reported occurring in compressed and fused salmon vertebrae. (Witten et al. 2005a,
43 2006).

44
45
46
47
48 In conclusion, intramembranous ossification has ~~some~~features that are different for
49 different dermal skeleton elements: however, all need for the presence of a support, a
50 well-structured mesenchyme or a bone, cartilage or another support, but
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

epithelial-mesenchymal interactions are not always necessary. The fulfilment of this need modulates the timing of ossification of different dermal bones.

7. Modulation and Transformation

The differentiation and ossification processes of different skeletal elements ~~foresee some individual processes that can deviate from the differentiative pathways, induced by alteration of~~ can be altered by microenvironment conditions (Hall 2005). *Modulation* (of cellular activity) is a physiological response to altered environmental conditions: it is characterized by a temporary change in cell behaviour, structure and/or the type of ECM products. The maintenance of the 'new' state is depending on the enduring of the environmental stimulus, so it is a reversible status. An example is given by the cell switching from the synthesis of collagen type I to the synthesis of collagen type II. *Transformation* (of cell identity) is generally a permanent change, even when the stimulus is not present anymore. It creates a permanent intermediate tissue (chondroid or chondroid bone) (Hall & Witten, 2007).

7.1 Dedifferentiation, Transdifferentiation and Metaplasia

In a *dedifferentiation* process, a differentiated cell loses its specific phenotypic characteristics, ~~transforming and transforms~~ into an undifferentiated mesenchymal cell.

Transdifferentiation is a transformation of one differentiated cell type into another cell type (Okada 1991): i.e., chondroid bone type I can arise via incomplete endochondral ossification (forming chondroid bone II) or transdifferentiation of skeletal cells (from

osteoblast to chondroblast) within multipotential periosteal (thus forming chondroid bone type I as in dentary tip of salmon) (Gillis *et al.* 2006; Witten *et al.* 2010 & Hall 2002). It can be either a pathologic or a normal process: the transdifferentiation from osteogenic to chondrogenic cells of the vertebral growth zone in compressed and fused vertebrae of Atlantic salmon, Senegalese sole, (*Solea senegalensis*), European seabass and gilthead seabream is a pathological condition in response to a compressive mechanical environment (Beresford 1981; Hall 2005; Kranenbarg *et al.* 2005b; Witten *et al.* 2005, 2009; Roberto 2006; Fiaz *et al.* 2010, Cardeira *et al.* 2012). This is in accordance with Pauwels's mechano-regulated theory of tissue differentiation, which states that compression is the specific stimulus for the development of cartilaginous tissue (Prendergast 1997). Transdifferentiation, however, occurs also during normal development in other skeletal elements of Atlantic salmon and in other teleost species (Kranenbarg *et al.* 2005a; Gillis *et al.* 2006; Witten & Hall 2002, 2003, 2009; Hall & Witten 2007; Witten & Huysseune 2007; Fiaz *et al.* 2010).

Chondroblasts and intervertebral ligament cells likely show a mechanically induced transdifferentiation into a cartilaginous phenotype (Fiaz *et al.* 2010). In some cases, transdifferentiation can be accompanied by cell division, whereas in others it is not (Beresford 1990). Transdifferentiation can occur either directly (the cells possess characteristics of both cell types simultaneously during the transition period) or indirectly (implies a dedifferentiation phase in which the phenotypic characteristics of the cell first disappear before a new phenotype is established) with respect to the timeframe of the phenotypic transformation (Dewit *et al.* 2011).

Although transdifferentiation of cartilage into fibrous tissue may be rare, many other

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 types of transdifferentiation in connective tissues have been described: cartilage and
8
9 chondroid bone into bone, bone into chondroid bone, bone into cartilage, fibroblastic
10
11 tissue into bone or cartilage, hyaline- into fibro-cartilage, periosteal chondrogenesis,
12
13 perichondral osteogenesis, fat into bone, and muscle into bone (see Dewit *et al.* 2011
14
15 for details). This scenario evidences that the different phenotypes of connective
16
17 tissues are neither fixed nor terminal, but rather form a continuous spectrum in which
18
19 differentiation can be modulated by a variety of factors, and that phenotypic plasticity
20
21 can play an important role in various developmental and homeostatic processes. (Hall
22
23 & Witten 2007).

24
25
26 *Metaplasia* is the normal transformation of tissue from one type to another, as in the
27
28 ossification of cartilage to form bone. Differently from mammals, in teleost normal
29
30 skeletogenic processes, cartilage elements may arise also by multiple subdivisions of
31
32 an existing cartilage, through dedifferentiation of chondrocytes into fibroblasts. During
33
34 this process, the surrounding matrix loses its cartilaginous character (Witten *et al.*
35
36 2010). This kind of metaplasia occurs during the development of the endoskeleton of
37
38 teleost pectoral, dorsal and anal fins (Grandel & Schulte-Merker 1998; Witten &
39
40 Huisseune 2007; Dewit *et al.* 2010; Witten *et al.* ~~2010~~2010). The absence of signs of
41
42 apoptosis or resorption during subdivision of the cartilage larval pectoral plate into
43
44 radials in zebrafish suggests that the separation of cartilage elements may involve
45
46 metaplasia which occurs through dedifferentiation of cartilage cells and their
47
48 redifferentiation into noncartilaginous connective tissue (Witten & Huisseune 2009;
49
50 Dewit *et al.* 2011). So, bone elements can arise via metaplasia, a process that
51
52 intervenes when matrix changes as the result of trapped chondroblasts and
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

chondrocytes assuming osteoblastic activity, and modifying the ECM toward an osseous tissue (Hall 2005).

Unlike in intramembranous ossification, cells resembling osteoblasts are generally absent during metaplastic ossification (Sire *et al.* 2009).

The *chondro-bone metaplasia* is a progressive transformation of cartilage into bone, without any previous destruction of pre-existing tissue, conversely to *neoplasia* which is the substitution of a tissue (i.e. cartilage) with another one (i.e. bone) (Meunier *et al.* 2008). In fish, it may occur in both normal and in pathological development: from a histopathological point of view, both vertebrae compression and fusions involve metaplastic changes of bone forming cells (osteoblasts) that differentiate into cartilage forming cells (chondroblasts); then, in the growth zone of vertebral bodies, developing heterotopic cartilage mineralizes and it is remodelled into bone tissue (Witten *et al.* 2005a, 2005b, 2006; Gil-Martens 2010). Compressed vertebrae in short-tail Atlantic salmon, i.e., are the results of metaplastic chondrogenesis (*metaplastic synchondrosis*) as a skeletogenic response late in ontogeny (Witten *et al.* 2005a).

8. Late events in teleost skeletal tissue modelling and remodelling

~~Teleost fish~~ Many teleost species never stop growing and thus all skeletal tissues may continue to differentiate and to transform through metaplasia, mineralisation, or remodelling throughout life (Witten & Huyseune 2009). *Modelling* occurs when the ~~old bone resorption and new bone formation processes are disacoupled, then the resulting bone shape could be altered. Conversely, in~~ shape of a bone needs to be

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 altered. In bone *remodelling* (bone turnover) resorption is followed by new bone
8
9 formation (either through intramembranous or endochondral ossification), without
10
11 any change in shape. Osteoblasts and osteoclasts are the bone remodelling units. ~~The~~
12 ~~remodelling~~ Remodelling in teleosts occurs by resorption and *de novo* formation, but
13
14 also by transdifferentiation (metaplasia) of skeletal ~~tissue~~ tissues (Beresford 1981;
15
16 Witten & Hall 2002; Hall 2005; Gillis *et al.* 2006; Hall & Witten 2007; Witten &
17
18 Huysseune 2009). So the replacing one tissue type by another could be included also in
19
20 skeletal remodelling.
21
22

23
24 Some mechanisms of skeletal remodelling are more prominent and/or more
25
26 commonly observed in teleosts than in mammals and are thus recognised as regular
27
28 processes that shape the skeleton in the course of development and growth (Sire *et al.*
29
30 1990; Huysseune 2000; Witten *et al.* 2001, ~~2003~~; Hall & Witten 2007; Witten &
31
32 Huysseune 2007), bone repair processes (Gil-Martens 2012) and the growth of the
33
34 kype in mature male salmon (Witten & Hall 2003; Witten & Huysseune 2009).

35
36 Furthermore, lordosis, scoliosis, kyphosis and fusion of vertebral bodies must involve
37
38 bone resorption and bone remodelling, as a primary pathology or in response to
39
40 altered mechanical load (Kranenbarg *et al.* ~~2005~~ 2005b; Witten *et al.* 2006 ~~*et al.*~~).

41
42 There are some reports of bone resorption connected to bone ~~deformities~~ anomalies,
43
44 mainly caused by phosphorus deficiency (in haddock *Melanogrammus aeglefinus*: Roy
45
46 *et al.* 2002; in farmed Atlantic salmon: Roberts 2001).

47
48 An extreme case of remodelling is the complete pathological fusion of vertebral bodies
49
50 in Atlantic salmon (Witten *et al.* 2006) and in advanced teleosts with acellular bone
51
52 (Sawada *et al.* ~~2006a,b~~ 2006). So compression and fusion of vertebrae bodies involve
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

the metaplastic transformation of bone-forming cells in the vertebral growth zone into cells that produce cartilaginous tissue instead of bone. Later this cartilage is mineralized and remodelled into bone (Witten *et al.* 2005a, 2006). Remnants of notochord tissue in the intervertebral space are also remodelled.

Hypermineralized vertebral bodies constitute another pathology that involves modelling, that can be caused by heterotopic cartilage occupying bone marrow spaces (Helland *et al.* 2006). The observed resorption of such cartilage suggests that it is not a permanent structure.

Also infectious diseases can trigger skeletal resorption in teleosts: the parasite *Myxobolus cerebralis* causes skeletal deformities and lysis of cranial cartilage (Halliday 1973; Garden 1992; Kelley *et al.* 2004).

9. Bone resorption and remodelling

Differently from cartilage that is reshaped by chondroclasts, changes in bone structure can only occur through remodelling, a process in which resorbing cells (osteoclasts) remove existing bone and osteoblasts form new bone.

Basically, bone remodelling in fish is required for ~~teeth-replacing~~tooth replacement, allometric growth and for removing temporary skeletal elements (e.g., kype in male Atlantic salmon), or it occurs as adaptation to mechanical load (Hall & Witten 2007). Bone remodelling intervenes also in bone repair processes in teleosts that however evidence a higher regenerative capacity of dermal (rays and scales) than endo-skeleton (Witten & Huysseune 2009).

~~Some differences~~Differences between aquatic and terrestrial vertebrates have been outlined by Witten and Huysseune (2009). In particular, some others than

morphological differences between small, mononucleated osteoclast-like cells in advanced teleosts, and giant, multinucleated osteoclast of tetrapods and basal teleosts, have been found: fish do not show any intimate spatial relationship between bone resorbing cells and haematopoietic cells (as in teleosts the bone marrow is filled with adipose tissue); in advanced teleosts osteoclast-like cells can perform resorption without generating typical resorption Howships's lacunae; ~~whilst in mammals endochondral ossification is a prime cause of skeletal resorption and remodelling, in fish it occurs rarely.~~

All teleosts have mono- and multinucleated osteoclasts, but the first are the main bone-resorbing cells observed in advanced teleosts. Remodelling processes with intervention of osteoclasts ~~have been observed only~~ are prominent in basal teleosts, e.g. cyprinids and salmon that have cellular bone, where it starts only ~~late~~ later in the development (around 60 DPH in zebrafish) (Witten et al. 2001, Witten & Huysseune 2010). In advanced teleosts, with acellular bone, metabolic driven bone remodelling is considered to be limited ~~or absent (an there are reports in literature about the absence of the process (see~~ Witten & Huysseune ~~2007~~2009 ~~for references)~~. Regular resorption and rebuilding of scales and bony skeletal elements is well documented for Atlantic salmon (Kacem *et al.*, 1998; Persson et al. 2000, 2007; Witten and Hall, 2002, 2003; ~~Persson et al. 2000, 2007~~). A comparative review on skeletal remodelling in teleostean fish has been recently published (see Witten & Huysseune 2009).

Studies carried out on zebrafish (Witten *et al.* 2001) evidenced heterochronic shifts in the appearance of bone-resorbing cells: in early stages, bone is resorbed by mononucleated osteoclasts, while multinucleated osteoclasts (as ~~mammalian's ones~~ in

mammals) appear only later, when the bone switches from acellular to cellular. Bone resorption by mononucleated osteoclast was found to coincide with the dominance of acellular bone (Witten & Huyseune 2010) but in all teleosts ~~whose early skeletogenesis has that have~~ been studied so far, ~~independently from the bone cellularity or acellularity,~~ mononucleated are the dominating resorbing cells. in early stages, when the bone is still acellular. The presence of osteoclast key enzymes, transcription factors and receptors (H^+ -ATPase ~~and tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase,~~ TRAP, RANK, RANKL, Cathepsin K) in mononucleated osteoblasts prove their capability of bone resorption in advanced teleost such as Nile tilapia (~~an advanced Teleost,~~ but in some (dentary, opercular, preopercular shaft, neural arches) *Oreochromis niloticus*), and ~~not in other (vertebral centra, hypurals) skeletal elements~~ medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) (Witten 1997, Witten & Villwock 1997, To et al. 2012). Lysis of cranial cartilage and increased skeletal resorption resulting from bacterial infection has been reported for salmonids infected with *Flexibacter psychrophilus* (Ostland et al. 1997; Witten & Huyseune 2009).

Despite the many morphological differences between mammalian multinucleated osteoclast and teleost mononucleated ones, the molecular mechanisms underlying bone resorption regulation are considered to be identical (consult Witten & Huyseune 2009; ~~Gil Martins~~ Gil Martens 2012; To et al. 2012).

9.1 Osteocytic osteolysis

Osteocytes interconnected cell processes function as stress sensors in cellular bone, so activating bone deposition and resorption processes carried out by the osteocytes

themselves (Witten & Huysseune 2010): e.g., the enhanced demand for calcium in pregnant and lactating bats is satisfied by osteocytic osteolysis and not by osteoclasts resorption. Osteocytic osteolysis has been described only in teleosts from different groups, such as eels (*Anguilla anguilla*) (Lopez *et al.* 1980; Sbaihi *et al.*, 2007), salmonids (Hughes *et al.* 1994; Kacem & Meunier 2009) and cyprinids (*Cyprinus carpio*) (Witten *et al.* 2000).

10. Main gaps in the scientific knowledge and further research needs

~~What reported up to now evidenced that~~ Studying the available knowledge ~~on higher vertebrates amniots about amniot~~ (i.e., birds and mammals, incl. humans) skeletogenic processes can be a promising tool for deeper insight in what happens in the same processes occurring in teleost fishes ~~but also that a direct application to fish is~~. However, data from the amniote skeleton are not always applicable. ~~Main gaps to fish skeleton.~~ Gaps of knowledge are up to now evident in what concern endochondral ossification in different fish, particularly during larval species and juveniles ~~different life stages, and the available literature presents some evident contradictions: the bone composing gilthead seabream skeleton is acellular, with the exception of the gill arches that have recently been described as chondroid bone (Estêvão et al., 2011). However the fish. For example,~~ studies by Benjamin (1989), Benjamin *et al.* (1992) and Witten (2010) have described the gill arches as being composed of hyaline cell cartilage (HCC) or chondroid but not as Chondroid bone (for review see Witten *et al.* 2010), while another specific type of cartilage, Zellknorpel, is found supporting

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 the gill filaments. Some controversy still persists in the classification of the skeletal
8
9 tissues of teleosts, particularly the many forms of cartilage and on the transitory forms
10
11 between cartilage and bone that coexist in teleost skeletons. ~~Some effort should be~~
12 ~~undertaken by the scientific community towards a more precise classification of the~~
13 ~~skeletal tissues and the mechanisms of ossification that they undergo, and to create a~~
14 ~~uniformed classification system for the most relevant species/families of fish produced~~
15 ~~in aquaculture.~~ Even the same ossification modality (e.g., intramembranous
16
17 ossification) may show differences among the considered skeletal elements in fish.
18
19 Consequently, the necessity of a deeper insight on the current molecular literature
20
21 achieved on model fishes (zebrafish and medaka, e.g.) and comparison with the
22
23 mammalian literature resulted to be one of the main gaps, in order to fully establish
24
25 what is specific for fish, what is specific to mammals and what is common in fish and
26
27 mammals. In this scenario, this review represents a starting basis for ~~deeper~~further
28
29 studies.
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 Other more specific gaps and need in scientific knowledge may be synthetically
37
38 resumed as follows:
39

- 40 • cartilage development is a tightly regulated morphogenetic event where much
41
42 has been studied on gene regulation by different types of signalling molecules,
43
44 but less is known of their downstream regulations, even at ~~vertebrates~~the
45 mammalian level. In particular, the regulatory mechanisms that control the
46
47 synthesis of the noncollagenous elements of the cartilage remain unknown.
48
49 Also, the role of ECM during mesenchymal condensation still remains unclear
50
51 and needs further investigation (Quintana *et al.* 2009). Time- and
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

space-dependent expression of transcription factors that regulates the first step of chondrogenesis are quite common to all vertebrates but the large variety of cartilaginous tissues found in fish ~~need for~~requires deeper studies ~~on~~about regulatory and differentiation processes. Further, according to Hall (2005) the most critical event in skeletal patterning is arguably the formation of the condensations that ~~prefigure the~~prefigures skeletal elements but too little is known about what determines their size, shape and number, particularly in fish;

- perichondral ossification and chondral bone needs further characterization ~~to enhance our understanding about~~ the ~~(ultra)~~-mechanisms, ~~and~~(ultra) structural, ~~and~~-chemical ~~knowledge and complete understanding processes~~ of what ~~happen~~happens in different fish species;
- further insights into the external (non genetic factors) and internal (genetic, microenvironmental) factors ~~modulating that modulate morphogenesis of~~ different skeletal tissues ~~morphogenesis~~-and mineralization in phylogenetic ~~primitivite (basal)~~ and more advanced teleosts, ~~as well into~~ are required. Also, the ontogenetic steps of different skeletal anomalies (with the identification of timing windows) should be ~~necessary and integrated, towards~~studied in a comprehensive ~~way of manipulation of and comparative context, in order to be~~ able to manipulate biotic and abiotic factors ~~to improve for~~ improving larval development and ~~promote~~promoting a “better” skeletal quality. For instance, the ontogenic pathway of many skeletal anomalies is rather unclear as well the changes in the vertebral architecture during growth in different reared species;

mechanical load significantly affects bone formation, but the molecular pathways linking mechanics and bone development are largely unknown;

- further work is needed to study the relationships between rearing conditions, bone condition and vertebral abnormalities. Bone parameters appear to have a wide range of responses. This asks for ~~shared~~ a consistent use of the existing scientific terminology and for observation ~~methodologies~~ made through well-defined experimental studies, ~~as bone parameters appear to have a wide range of responses.~~ Studies related to genetics, nutrition, mineral balance and/or biomechanics, cellular features related to bone modelling and hormonal regulation of bone metabolism should be accomplished, ~~taking into consideration.~~ Fish skeletal biology will further incorporate available knowledge ~~on~~ about human bone pathology but, ~~as well,~~ it must consider the differences ~~existing in bone tissues~~ between ~~tetrapods~~ the mammalian and ~~fish,~~ and the teleost skeleton, as well as the differences between basal osteichthyans and advanced teleosts.

11. Acknowledgments

This work benefits from participation in LARVANET COST action FA0801. Part of the data are the results of research programmes funded by the Italian Ministry for Agriculture, Food and Forestry Policy (Project 5C149, 6C82 and 6C144, Law 41/82) and by the Commission of the European Communities (specific RTD programme “Specific Support to Policies”, SSP-2005-44483 “SEACASE – Sustainable extensive and semi-intensive coastal aquaculture in Southern Europe”). Also, this study was partially

Formatted: Font: (Default) Calibri, 16 pt, Bold, Not Italic, English (U.K.), Kern at 16 pt

Formatted: Font: Calibri

funded by the program NSRF 2007-2013, «Competitiveness & Entrepreneurship» (call Cooperation I, Project No 09SYN-24-619) of the Ministry of Education, Lifelong Learning and Religious Affairs, Greece; by the projects PDTC-MAR-105152-2008 (SPECIAL-K) from the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology – FCT and integrated action CRUP-MICINN - AI-E-54; by the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme FP7/2007-2013 under Grant Agreement 222719-LIFECYCLE; by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (MICIIN; projects AGL2008-03897-C04-01 and AIB2010-PT-00313).

12. References

Akimenko MA, Mari-Beffa M, Becerra J, Geraudie J (2003) Old questions, new tools, and some answers to the mystery of fin regeneration. *Developmental Dynamics* **226**: 190–201.

Anderson C, Bartlett SJ, Gansner JM, Wilson D, He L, Gitlin JD, Kelsh RN, Dowden J (2007) Chemical genetics suggests a critical role for lysyl oxidase in zebrafish notochord morphogenesis. *Molecular BioSystems* **3**: 51-59.

Apschner A, Schulte-Merker S, Witten PE (2011) Not all bones are created equal - using zebrafish and other teleost species in osteogenesis research. *Methods in Cell Biology* **105**: 239-255.

Ashhurst DE (2004) The cartilaginous skeleton of an elasmobranch fish does not heal. *Matrix Biology* **23**(1): 15-22.

Aulstad D, Kittelsen A (1971) Abnormal body curvatures of rainbow trout *Salmo gairdneri* inbred fry. *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada* **28**:

Formatted: Font: (Default) Calibri, 16 pt, Bold, Not Italic, English (U.K.), Kern at 16 pt

Formatted: Font: Calibri

Formatted: Font: Bold, Not Italic, English (U.K.)

1918–1920.

Barahona-Fernandes MH (1978) L'élevage intensif des larves et des juvéniles du bar (*Dicentrarchus labrax* (L)) : Données biologiques, zootechniques et pathologiques. Thèse d'état, Université d'Aix Marseille II, Marseille.

Benjamin M (1988a) Mucochondroid (mucous connective) tissue in the head of teleosts. *Anatomy and Embryology* **178**: 461–474.

Benjamin M (1988b) Secondary cartilage in teleosts. *Journal of Anatomy* **158**: 220.

Benjamin M (1989) The development of hyaline-cell cartilage in the head of the black molly, *Poecilia sphenops*. Evidence for secondary cartilage in a teleost. *Journal of Anatomy* **164**: 145–154.

Benjamin M (1990) The cranial cartilages of teleosts and their classification. *Journal of Anatomy* **169**: 153–172.

Benjamin M, Ralphs, JR (1991) Extracellular matrix of connective tissues in the heads of teleosts. *Journal of Anatomy* **179**: 137–148.

Benjamin M, Ralphs JR, Eberewariye OS (1992) Cartilage and related tissues in the trunk and fins of teleosts. *Journal of Anatomy* **181**: 113–118.

Bensimon-Brito A, Cancela ML, Huysseune A, Witten PE (2012) Vestiges, rudiments and fusion events: the zebrafish caudal fin endoskeleton in an evo-devo perspective. *Evolution & Development* **14**: 1–12. doi:

Beresford WA (1981) Chondroid bone, secondary cartilage and metaplasia. Urban & Schwarzenberg, Baltimore, Munich.

Beresford WA (1990) Direct transdifferentiation: can cells change their phenotype without dividing? *Cell Differentiation* **29**: 81–93.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Italic, English (U.K.)

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Italic, English (U.K.)

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Italic, English (U.K.)

Formatted: No underline

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Italic, English (U.K.)

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (U.K.)

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Italic, English (U.K.)

Formatted: No underline

Beresford WA (1993) Cranial skeletal tissues: diversity and evolutionary trends. In: Hanken J, Hall BK (eds.) *The skull: Vol. 2. Patterns of structural and systematic diversity*, pp. 69–130. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

Bird NC, Mabee PM (2003) Developmental morphology of the axial skeleton of the zebrafish, *Danio rerio* (Ostariophysi: Cyprinidae). *Developmental Dynamics* **228**: 337–357.

Formatted: French (France)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, French (France)

Formatted: French (France)

~~Bird NC, Hernandez LP (2009) Building an evolutionary innovation: differential growth in the modified vertebral elements of the zebrafish Weberian apparatus. *Zoology* **112**: 97–112.~~

Blanc M (1953) Contribution a l'étude de l'ostéogenèse chez les poissons téléostéens. *Mémoires du Muséum Nationale d'Histoire Naturelle* **7A**: 1-146.

Blanco-Vives B, Villamizar N, Ramos J, Bayarri MJ, Chereguini O, Sánchez-Vázquez FJ (2010) Effect of daily thermo- and photo-cycles of different light spectrum on the development of Senegal sole (*Solea senegalensis*) larvae. *Aquaculture* **306**: 137–145.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, French (France)

Formatted: French (France)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, French (France)

Boglione C, Costa (2011) Skeletal deformities and juvenile quality. In: Pavlidis M, Mylonas C (eds.) *Sparidae: Biology and aquaculture of gilthead sea bream and other species*, pp. 233-294. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, UK.

Boglione C, Costa C, Di Dato P, Ferzini G, Scardi M, Cataudella S (2003) Skeletal quality assessment of reared and wild sharp snout sea bream and pandora juveniles. *Aquaculture* **227**: 373-394.

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, English (U.K.)

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Boglione C, Gagliardi F, Scardi M, Cataudella S (2001) Skeletal descriptors and quality assessment in larvae and post-larvae of wild-caught and hatchery-reared

gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata* L. 1758). *Aquaculture* **192**: 1-22.

~~Boglione C, Costa C, Di Dato P, Ferzini G, Scardi M, Cataudella S (2003) Skeletal quality assessment of reared and wild sharp snout sea bream and pandora juveniles. *Aquaculture* **227**: 373-394.~~

~~Boglione C, Costa C, Giganti M, Cecchetti M, Di Dato P, Scardi M, Cataudella S (2005). Biological monitoring of wild thicklip grey mullet (*Chelon labrosus*), golden grey mullet (*Liza aurata*), thinlip mullet (*Liza ramada*) and flathead mullet (*Mugil cephalus*) (Pisces: Mugilidae) from different Adriatic sites: meristic counts and skeletal anomalies. *Ecological Indicators* **6**: 712-732.~~

Boglione C, Marino G, Giganti M, Longobardi A, De Marzi P, Cataudella S (2009) Skeletal anomalies in dusky grouper *Epinephelus marginatus* (Lowe 1834) juveniles reared with different methodologies and larval densities. *Aquaculture* **291**: 48-60.

Bonucci E (2009) The osteocyte: the underestimated conductor of the bone orchestra. *Rendiconti Lincei* **20**: 237-254.

Branson EJ, Turnbull T (2008) Welfare and Deformities in Fish. In: Branson EJ (ed.) *Fish Welfare*, pp. 201-216. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford.

Cahu, C. L., Zambonino Infante, J. L., Barbosa, V., 2003. Phospholipid level in dietary lipid fraction is determining for sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) larval development. ~~Br. J. Nutr.~~ *British Journal of Nutrition* **90**: 21-28.

Cardeira J, Bensimon-Brito A, Pousão-Ferreira P, Cancela ML, Gavaia PJ (2012) Lordotic-kyphotic vertebrae develop ectopic cartilage-like tissue in Senegalese sole (*Solea senegalensis*). *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **28**(3): 460-463.

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, English (U.K.)

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Carter DR, Beaupré GS (2001) *Skeletal function and form. Mechanobiology of skeletal development, aging and regeneration*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Castro J, Pino-Querido A, Hermida M (2008) Heritability of skeleton abnormalities (lordosis, lack of operculum) in gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*) supported by microsatellite family data. *Aquaculture* **279**: 18–22.

Chatain B (1994) Abnormal swimbladder development and lordosis in sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) and sea bream (*Sparus aurata*). *Aquaculture* **97**: 169–180.

Cobcroft, JM and, Battaglione, SC (2009) Jaw malformation in striped trumpeter *Latris lineata* larvae linked to walling behaviour and tank colour. *Aquaculture* **289** (3-4): 274-282. ~~ISSN-0044-8486~~

Cole AG, Hall BK (2004) Cartilage is a metazoan tissue; integrating data from nonvertebrate sources. *Acta Zoologica* **85**: 69–80.

~~Conceição N, Cox CJ, Simões B, Viegas M, Cancela ML (2010) Comparative promoter analysis and its application to the identification of candidate regulatory factors of cartilage-expressed genes. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **26**: 240-250.~~

Crotwell PL, Mabee PM (2007) Gene Expression Patterns Underlying Proximal–Distal Skeletal Segmentation in Late-Stage Zebrafish, *Danio rerio*. *Developmental Dynamics* **236**: 3111–3128.

Chuervo C, Hernández-Martínez R, Chimal-Monroy J, Merchant-Larios H, Covarrubias L (2012) Full regeneration of the tribasal *Polypterus* fin. *PNAS* **109** (10): 3838-3843.

Danos N, Staab KL (2010) Can mechanical forces be responsible for novel bone development and evolution in fish? *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **26**: 156-161.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Italic, English (U.K.)

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (U.K.)

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Italic, English (U.K.)

Formatted: No underline

Darias MJ, Mazurais D, Koumoundouros G, Cahu CL, Zambonino-Infante JL (2011) Overview of vitamin D and C requirements in fish and their influence on the skeletal system. *Aquaculture* **35**: 49-60.

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Dean MN, Shahar R (2012) The structure-mechanics relationship and the response to load of the acellular bone of neoteleost fish: a review. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **28** (3): 320–329.

de Azevedo TP, Witten PE, Huysseune A, Bensimon-Brito A, Winkler C, To TT, Palmeirim I (2012) Interrelationship and modularity of notochord and somites: A comparative view on zebrafish and chicken vertebral body development. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **28** (3): 316-319.

Dewit J, Witten PE, Willems M, Huysseune A (2010) The distribution of fibronectin in developing zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) cartilage. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **26**: 205-209.

Dewit J, Witten PE, Huysseune A (2011) The mechanism of cartilage subdivision in the reorganization of the zebrafish pectoral fin endoskeleton. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* **314B**: 1-14.

Diekwisch TGH, Berman BJ, Anderton X, Gurinsky B, Ortega AJ, Satchell PG, Williams M, Arumugham H, Luan X, McIntosh JE, Yamane A, Carlson DS, Sire JY, Shuler CF (2002) Membranes, minerals, and proteins of developing vertebrate enamel. *Microscopy Research and Technique* **59**: 373–395.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Italic, English (U.K.)

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (U.K.)

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Italic, English (U.K.)

Formatted: No underline

Divanach P, Papandroulakis N, Anastasiadis P, Koumoundouros G, Kentouri M (1997) Effect of water currents on the development of skeletal deformities in sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.) with functional swimbladder during postlarval and

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, No underline

nursery phase. *Aquaculture* **156**: 145-155.

Donoghue CJ, Sansom IJ (2002) Origin and early evolution of vertebrate skeletonization. *Microscopy Research and Technique* **59**: 352-372.

Duran I, Mari-Beffa M, Santamaría JA, Becerra J, Santos-Ruiz L (2011) Actinotrichia collagens and their role in fin formation. *Developmental Biology* **354**: 160-172.

Ekanayake S, Hall BK 1991. Development of the notochord in the Japanese medaka, *Oryzias latipes* (Teleostei; Cyprinodontidae), with special reference to desmosomal connections and functional integration with adjacent tissues. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **69**: 1171-1177.

Estêvão DM, Silva N, Redruello B, Costa R, Gregório S, Canário AVM, Power DM (2011) Cellular morphology and markers of cartilage and bone in the marine teleost *Sparus auratus*. *Cell and Tissue Research* **343**: 619-635.

Fernández I, Gisbert E (2011) The effect of vitamin A on flatfish development and skeletogenesis: a review. *Aquaculture* **315**: 34-48.

Fernández I, Hontoria F, Ortiz-Delgado JB, Kotzamanis Y, Estévez A, Zambonino-Infante JL, Gisbert E (2008). Larval performance and skeletal deformities in farmed gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) fed with graded levels of Vitamin A enriched rotifers (*Brachionus plicatilis*). *Aquaculture* **283**: 102-115.

Fernández I, Ortiz-Delgado JB, Sarasquete C, Gisbert E (2012). Vitamin A effects on vertebral bone tissue homeostasis in gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) juveniles. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology Special Issue: Interdisciplinary Approaches in Fish Skeletal Biology Proceedings of the Second Conference Tavira, Algarve, Portugal April 26-28, 2011*, **28** (3): 419-426.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Italic, English (U.K.)

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (U.K.)

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Italic, English (U.K.)

Formatted: No underline

Ferreira LCG, Beamish RJ, Youson JH (1999) Macroscopic structure and their annuli in pectoral and pelvic fins of chinook salmon, *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*. *Journal of Morphology* **239**: 297–320.

~~Fiaz AW, Van Leeuwen JL, Kranenborg S (2010) Phenotypic plasticity and mechano-transduction in the teleost skeleton. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **26**: 289–293.~~

Fiaz AW, Léon-Kloosterziel KM, Gort G, Schulte-Merker S, van Leeuwen JL, Kranenborg S (2012) Swim-Training Changes the Spatio-Temporal Dynamics of Skeletogenesis in Zebrafish Larvae (*Danio rerio*). *PLoS ONE* **7**(4): e34072. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034072.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Font: 11 pt, Catalan

~~Fiaz AW, Van Leeuwen JL, Kranenborg S (2010) Phenotypic plasticity and mechano-transduction in the teleost skeleton. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **26**: 289–293.~~

Fishelson L. (1966) Untersuchungen zur vergleichenden Entwicklungsgeschichte der Gattung Tilapia (Cichlidae, Teleostei). *Zoologische Jahrbuecher Abteilung fuer Anatomie und Ontogenie der Tiere* **83**: 571-656

Fjellidal PG, Grotmol S, Kryvi H, Gjerdet NR, Taranger GL, Hansen T, Porter MJ, Totland GK (2004) Pinealectomy induces malformation of the spine and reduces the mechanical strength of the vertebrae in Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*. *Journal of Pineal Research* **36**: 132–139.

Fjellidal PG, Hansen T, Breck O, Sandvik R, Waagbø R, Berg A, Ørnsrud R (2009) Supplementation of dietary minerals during the early seawater phase increase vertebral strength and reduce the prevalence of vertebral deformities in

fast-growing under-yearling Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) smolt. *Aquaculture Nutrition* **15** (4): 366–378.

Fjelldal PG, Hansen T, Breck O, Ørnsrud R, Lock EJ, Waagbø R, Wargelius A, Witten PE (2012) Vertebral deformities in farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) – etiology and pathology. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* Special Issue: Interdisciplinary Approaches in Fish Skeletal Biology Proceedings of the Second Conference Tavira, Algarve, Portugal April 26-28, 2011, **28**(3): 433-440.

Fjelldal PG, Nordgarden U, Wargelius A, Taranger GL, Waagbø R, Olsen RE (2010) Effects of vegetable feed ingredients on bone health in Atlantic salmon. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **26**: -327–333.

~~Fonseca VG, Laizé V, Valente MS, Cancela ML (2007) Identification of a new fish-associated with mineral/vertebral column formation. *FEBS Journal* **274**(17): 4428–4439 DOI: 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2007.05972.x.~~

~~Fonseca VG, Rosa J, Laizé V, Gavaia PJ, Cancela ML. (2011). Identification of a new cartilage-specific S100-like protein up-regulated during endo/perichondral mineralization in gilthead seabream. *Gene Expression Patterns* **11**(7), 448–55 *Anatomy* **199**: 177-180.~~

Fleming A, Keynes R, Tannahill D (2004) A central role for the notochord in vertebral patterning. *Development* **131** (4): 873-880.

Fowler LA (1970) Control of Vertebral Number in Teleosts - an Embryological Problem. *The Quarterly Review of Biology* **45**: 148-167.

Francillon-Vieillot H, de Buffrénil V, Castanet J, Géraudie J, Meunier FJ, Sire JY,

Formatted: Font: Italic

Zylberberg L, de Ricqlès A (1990) Microstructure and mineralization of vertebrate skeletal tissues. In: Carter JG (ed.) *Skeletal Biomineralization: Patterns, Processes and Evolutionary Trends*, pp. 471-530. Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York.

Franz-Odendaal TA, Hall BK, Witten PE (2006) Buried alive: how osteoblasts become osteocytes. *Developmental Dynamics* **235**: 176–190.

Garden O (1992) The myxosporea of fish: a review. ~~*Br Vet*~~ *British Veterinary Journal* **148**: 223–239.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Gavaia PJ, Simes DC, Ortiz-Delgado JB, Viegas CSB, Pinto JP, Kelsh RN, Sarasquete MC, Cancela ML (2006) Osteocalcin and matrix Gla protein in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) and Senegal sole (*Solea senegalensis*): comparative gene and protein expression during larval development through adulthood. *Gene Expression Patterns* **6**: 637–652.

Georga I, Glynatsi N, Baltzois A, Karamanos D, Mazurais D, Darias MJ, Cahu CL, Zambonino-Infante JL, Koumoundouros G (2011) Effect of vitamin A on the skeletal morphogenesis of European sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax* (Linnaeus, 1758). *Aquaculture Research* **42**: 684-692.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Georgakopoulou E, Angelopoulou A, Kaspiris P, Divanach P, Koumoundouros G (2007a) Temperature effects on cranial deformities in European sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax* (L.). *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **23**: 99–103.

[Georgakopoulou E, Katharios P, Divanach P, Koumoundouros G \(2010\) Effect of temperature on the development of skeletal deformities in Gilthead seabream \(*Sparus aurata* Linnaeus, 1758\). *Aquaculture* **308**: 13-19.](#)

Georgakopoulou E, Sfakianakis DG, Kouttouki S, Divanach P, Kentouri M,

Koumoundouros G (2007b) The influence of temperature during early life on phenotypic expression at later ontogenetic stages in sea bass. *Journal of Fish Biology* **70**: 278-291.

~~Georgakopoulou E, Katharios P, Divanach P, Koumoundouros G (2010) Effect of temperature on the development of skeletal deformities in Gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata* Linnaeus, 1758). *Aquaculture* **308**: 13-19.~~

Gil_Martens L (2010) Inflammation as a potential risk factor for spinal deformities in farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **26**: 350-354.

~~Gil_Martens L (2012) Is inflammation a risk factor for spinal deformities in farmed Atlantic salmon? PhD Dissertation, University of Bergen, Norway.~~

Gil_Martens L, Obach A, Ritchie G, Witten PE (2005) Analysis of a short tail phenotype in farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Fish Veterinary Journal* **8**: 71-9.

~~Gil_Martens L (2012) Is inflammation a risk factor for spinal deformities in farmed Atlantic salmon? PhD Dissertation, University of Bergen, Norway.~~

Gillis JA, Witten PE, Hall BK (2006) Chondroid bone and secondary cartilage contribute to apical dentary growth in juvenile Atlantic salmon. *Journal of Fish Biology* **68**: 1133-1143.

Gómez-Benito MJ, Garcia-Aznar JM, Kuiper JH, Doblare M (2005) Influence of fracture gap size on the pattern of long bone healing: a computational study. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **235**: 105-119.

Grandel H, Schulte-Merker S (1998) The development of the paired fins in the zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *Mechanisms of Development* **79**: 99-120.

Grotmol S, Kryvi H, Totland GK (2005) Deformation of the notochord by pressure from the swim bladder may cause malformation of the vertebral column in cultured Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua* larvae: A case study. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **65**: 121-128.

Grotmol S, Kryvi H, Keynes R , Krossøy C, Nordvik K, Totland GK (2006) Stepwise enforcement of the notochord and its intersection with the myoseptum: an evolutionary path leading to development of the vertebra? *Journal of Anatomy* **209**: 339–357

~~Hall BK (1970) The differentiation of cartilage and bone from common germinal cells. I. The role of acid mucopolysaccharides and collagen. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* **173**: 383–394.~~

~~Hall BK (1975) Evolutionary consequences of skeletal differentiation. *American Zoologist* **15**: 329–350.~~

Hall BK (1998) *Evolutionary Developmental Biology*, 2nd edn., pp. 491, Chapman and Hall, London.

Formatted: Superscript

Hall BK (2005) *Bones and cartilage: developmental skeletal biology*, pp. 760, Elsevier, London

Formatted: Font: Italic

~~Hall BK (2009) Charles Darwin, embryology, evolution and skeletal plasticity. In: IAFSB 2009 Book of abstracts: p. 38. Communication at the “Interdisciplinary Approaches in Fish Skeletal Biology”, April 27 – 29, 2009 Tavira, Algarve, Portugal.~~

Hall BK, Witten PE (2007) Plasticity of and Transitions between Skeletal Tissues in Vertebrate Evolution and Development. In: Anderson JS, Sues H-D (eds.), *Major Transitions in Vertebrate Evolution*, pp.13-56. Indiana University Press,

Bloomington, IN.

Halliday MM (1973) Studies on *Myxosoma cerebralis*, a parasite of salmonids II: The development and pathology of *Myxobolus cerebralis* in experimentally infected rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*) fry reared at different temperatures. *Nordisk Veterinaemedicin* **25**(7): 349-358.

Hamada MT, Nagai N, Kai Y, Tanoue H, Mae H, Hashimoto M, Miyoshi K, Kumagai H, Saeki K (1995) Inorganic constituents of bone of fish. *Fisheries Science* **61**: 517-520.

Hamre K, Moren M, Solbakken J, Opstad I, Pittman K (2005) The impact of nutrition on metamorphosis in Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus* L.). *Aquaculture* **250**: 555-565.

Harris MP (2012) Comparative genetics of postembryonic development as a means to understand evolutionary change. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology Special Issue: Interdisciplinary Approaches in Fish Skeletal Biology Proceedings of the Second Conference Tavira, Algarve, Portugal April 26-28, 2011*, **28** (3): 306-315.

Helland S, Denstadli V, Witten PE, Hjelde K, Storebakken T, Baevefjord G (2006) Occurrence of hyper-dense vertebrae in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) fed diets with graded levels of phytic acid. *Aquaculture* **261**: 603-614.

Hilomen-Garcia GV (1997) Morphological abnormalities in hatchery-bred milkfish (*Chanos chanos* Forsskal) fry and juveniles. *Aquaculture* **152**: 155-166.

Horton JM, Summers AP (2009) The material properties of acellular bone in a teleost fish. *The Journal of Experimental Biology* **212**: 1413-1420.

Hughes DR, Bassett JR, Moffat LA (1994) Histological identification of osteocytes in the

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (U.K.)

Formatted: No underline

Formatted: Font color: Auto

allegedly acellular bone of the sea breams *Acanthopagrus australis*, *Pagrus auratus* and *Rhabdosargus sarba* (Sparidae, Perciformes, Teleostei). *Anatomy and Embryology* **190**: 163-179.

Huxley TH (1859) Observations on the development of some parts of the skeleton of fishes. *The Quarterly Journal of Microscopical Science* **7**: 33-46.

Huyseune A (1985) The opercular cartilage in *Astatotilapia elegans*. *Fortschritte der Zoologie* **30**: 371-373.

~~Huyseune A, Sire JY (1990) Ultrastructural observations on chondroid bone in (1989) Morphogenetic aspects of the teleost fish *Hemichromis bimaculatus*. *Tissue & Cell* **22**: 371-383.~~

~~Huyseune A, Verraes W (1990) Carbohydrate histochemistry of mature chondroid bone in pharyngeal jaws and neurocranial apophysis in postembryonic *Astatotilapia elegans* (Trewavas, 1933) (Teleostei: Cichlidae) with a comparison to acellular bone and cartilage. *Annales des Sciences Naturelles, Zoologie, Paris* **13** (Vol). *Academia Analytica* **51**: ~~11~~: 29-43-35.~~

Huyseune A (2000) Skeletal system. In: Ostrand GK (ed.) *The Laboratory Fish*, pp. 307-317. Academic Press, San Diego.

Huyseune A, Aerts P, Verraes W (1989) Pharyngeal tooth replacement in *Astatotilapia elegans*. ~~Huyseune A, Aerts P, Verraes W (1989) Pharyngeal tooth replacement in *Astatotilapia elegans*. *Progress in Zoology* **35**: 480-481.~~

Huyseune A, Hall BK, Witten PE (2007) Establishment, maintenance and modifications of the lower jaw dentition of wild Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) throughout its life cycle. *Journal of Anatomy* **211**: 471-484.

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Formatted: English (U.K.)

Formatted: Font: Italic

Huysseune A, Sire JY (1992) Development of Cartilage and Bone Tissues of the Anterior Part of the Mandible in Cichlid Fish: A Light and TEM Study. *The Anatomical Record* **233**: 357-375.

~~Huysseune A (2000) Skeletal system. In: Ostrand GK (ed.) *The Laboratory Fish*, pp. 307-317. Academic Press, San Diego.~~

Huysseune A, Sire J-Y (1998) Evolution of patterns and processes in teeth and tooth-related tissues in non mammalian vertebrates. *European Journal of Oral Science* **106**: 437-481.

Huysseune A, Sire JY, Meunier FJ (1994) Comparative study of lower pharyngeal jaw structure in two phenotypes of *Astatoreochromis alluaudi* (Teleostei: Cichlidae). *Journal of Morphology* **221**: 25-43.

Inohaya K, Takano Y, Kudo A (2007) The Teleost Intervertebral Region Acts as a Growth Center of the Centrum: In Vivo Visualization of Osteoblasts and their Progenitors in Transgenic Fish. *Developmental Dynamics* **236**: 3031-3046.

Izquierdo MS (1996) Review Article: Essential fatty acid requirements of cultured marine fish larvae. *Aquaculture Nutrition* **2**: 183-191.

Izquierdo MS, Socorro J, Roo J (2010) Studies on the appearance of skeletal anomalies in red porgy: effect of culture intensiveness, feeding habits and nutritional quality of live preys. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **26**: 320-326.

Jeziarska B, Lugowska K, Witeska M (2009) The effects of heavy metals on embryonic development of fish (a review). *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry* **35**: 625-640.

Kacem A, Meunier FJ (2009) Transformations of the texture and the mineralization of the dentary bone in the Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L.

Formatted: Normal

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: No underline

Formatted: Body Text 2, Left, Line spacing: single, Widow/Orphan control

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (U.K.)

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (U.K.)

(Salmonidae), during anadromous migration. *Cybiurn* **33**: (1): 61-72.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (U.K.)

Formatted: No underline

Kacem A, Meunier FJ, Baglinière JL (1998) A quantitative study of morphological and histological changes in the skeleton of *Salmo salar* during its anadromous migration. *Journal of Fish Biology* **53**: 1096–1109.

Kang JS, Oohashi T, Kawakami Y, Bekku Y, Belmonte JCI, Ninomiya Y (2004) Characterization of dermacan, a novel zebrafish lectican gene, expressed in dermal bones. *Mechanisms of Development* **121**: 301–312.

Kang Y-J, Stevenon AM, Yau PM, Kollmar R (2008) Sparc protein is required for normal growth of zebrafish otoliths. *Journal of the Association of Research in Otolaryngology* **9**: 436–451.

Kause A, Ritola O, Paananen T (2007) Changes in the expression of genetic characteristics across cohorts in skeletal deformations of farmed salmonids. *Genetics Selection Evolution* **39**: 529–543.

Kawasaki K, Suzuki T, Weiss KM (2004) Genetic basis for the evolution of vertebrate mineralized tissue. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, USA* **101**: 11356-11361.

Kelley GO, Zagmutt-Vergara FJ, Leutenegger CM, Myklebust KA, Adkison MA, McDowell TS, et al (2004) Evaluation of five diagnostic methods for the detection and quantification of *Myxobolus cerebralis*. *Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation* **16**: 202–211.

Kelly DJ, Prendergast PJ (2005) Mechano-regulation of stem cell differentiation and tissue regeneration in osteochondral defects. *Journal of Biomechanics* **38**: 1413-1422.

Kemp A (2002) Growth and hard tissue remodelling in the dentition of the Australian lungfish, *Neoceratodus forsteri* (Osteichthyes: Dipnoi). *Journal of Zoology* **257**: 219-235.

Kirschbaum F, Meunier FJ (1981) Experimental regeneration of the caudal skeleton of the glass knifefish, *Eigenmannia virescens* (Rhamphichthyidae, Gymnotoidei). *Journal of Morphology* **168**: 121-135.

Kölliker von A (1859) On the different types in the microstructure of the skeleton of osseous fishes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London* **9**: 656-668.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (U.K.)

Formatted: No underline

Kölliker von A (1873) *Die normale Resorption des Knochengewebes und ihre Bedeutung für die Entsehung der typischen Knochenformen*. F.C.W. Vogel, Leipzig.

Komada N (1980) Incidence of gross malformations and vertebral anomalies of natural and hatchery *Plecoglossus altivelis*. *Copeia* **1**: 29-35.

Koumoundouros G (2010) Morpho-anatomical abnormalities in Mediterranean marine aquaculture. In: Koumoundouros G (ed.) *Recent Advances in Aquaculture Research*, pp. 125-148. Transworld Research Network, Kerala, India.

Koumoundouros G, Gagliardi F, Divanach P, Boglione C, Cataudella S, Kentouri M (1997a) Normal and abnormal osteological development of caudal fin in *Sparus aurata* L. fry. *Aquaculture* **149**: 215-226.

Koumoundouros G, Oran G, Divanach P, Stefanakis S, Kentouri M (1997b) The opercular complex deformity in intensive gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata* L.) larviculture. Moment of apparition and description. *Aquaculture* **156**: 165-177.

Kranenbarg S, Waarsing JH, Muller M, Weinans H, van Leeuwen JL (2005a) Adaptive bone formation in acellular vertebrae of sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.).

Journal of Biomechanics **38**: 3493-3502.

Kranenbarg S, Waarsing JH, Muller M, Weinans H, van Leeuwen J L (2005b) Lordotic vertebrae in sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.) are adapted to increased loads.

Journal of Biomechanics **38**: 1239-1246.

Formatted: Font: Bold

Lall SP, Lewis-McCrea L (2007) Role of nutrients in skeletal metabolism and pathology in fish, an overview. *Aquaculture* **267**: 3–19.

Lehane DB, McKie N, Russell RG, Henderson IW (1999) Cloning of a fragment of the osteonectin gene from goldfish, *Carassius auratus*: its expression and potential regulation by estrogen. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* **114**: 80–87.

Le Vay L, Carvalho GR, Quintio ET, Lebata JH, Ut VN, Fushimi H (2007) Quality of hatchery-reared juveniles for marine fisheries stock enhancement. *Aquaculture* **268**: 169–180.

Lewis-McCrea LM, Lall SP (2010) Effects of phosphorus and vitamin C deficiency, vitamin A toxicity, and lipid peroxidation on skeletal abnormalities in Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus*). *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **26**: 334-343.

Lie KK, Moren M (2012) Retinoic acid induces two osteocalcin isoforms and inhibits markers of osteoclast activity in Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) *ex vivo* cultured craniofacial tissues. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - Part A* **161**: 174–184.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (U.K.)

Formatted: No underline

Lijalad M, Powell MD (2009) Effects of lower jaw deformity on swimming performance and recovery from exhaustive exercise in triploid and diploid Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* L.. *Aquaculture* **290**: 145–154.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (U.K.)

Formatted: No underline

Lock E-J, Waagbø R, Wendelaar Bonga S, Flik G (2010) The significance of vitamin D for

fish: a review. *Aquaculture Nutrition* **16**: 100-116.

Lopez E, MacIntire L, Martelly E, Lallier F, Vidal B (1980) Paradoxical effect of 1.25 dihydroxycholecalciferol on osteoclastic activity in the skeleton of the eel *Anguilla anguilla* L. *Calcification Tissue International* **32**: 83-87.

~~Matsubara T, Kida K, Yamaguchi A, Hata K, Ichida F, Meguro H, Aburatani H, Nishimura R, Yoneda T (2008). BMP2 regulates Osterix through Msx2 and Runx2 during osteoblast differentiation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **283**: 29119–29125.~~

Matsuoka M (2003) Comparison of meristic variations and bone abnormalities between wild and laboratory-reared red sea bream. *Japanese American Research Center* **37**: 21–30.

Mazurais D, Glynatsi G, Darias MJ, Christodouloupoulou S, Cahu CL, Zambonino-Infante JL, Koumoundouros G (2009) Optimal levels of dietary vitamin A for reduced deformity incidence during development of European sea bass larvae (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) depend on malformation type. *Aquaculture* **294**: 262–270.

McMurrich JP (1883) On the Osteology and Development of *Syngnathus Peckianus* (Storer). In: M.A., F. M. Balfour (Eds) *Comparative Embryology* vol. ii: 623-650. Available at <http://jcs.biologists.org/content/s2-23/92/623.full.pdf>.

Meunier FJ (1983) *Les tissus osseux des Ostéichthyens. Structure, genèse, croissance et évolution*. Archives et Documents, Micro-Edition, Inst. Ethnol., S.N.82-600-328, Paris.

Meunier F-J (2002) Skeleton. In: Panfili J, de Pontual H, Troadec H, Wright PJ (eds.) *Manual of fish sclerochronology*, pp. 65–88. Ifremer-IRD Coedition, Brest.

Meunier F-J (2011) The Osteichtyes, from the Paleozoic to the extant time, through

histology and palaeohistology of bony tissues. *Comptes Rendus Palevolution* **10**: 347–355.

Meunier F-J, Huysseune A (1992) The concept of bone tissue in osteichthyes. *Netherland Journal of Zoology* **42**: 445–458.

Meunier FJ, Deschamps M-H, Lecompte F (2008) The ~~skeleton of~~ skeleton of teleostean fish: structure, development, physiology, pathology. *Bulletin de la Société Zoologique de France* **133**: 9–32.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (U.K.)

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (U.K.)

Morin-Kensicki EM, Melancon E, Eisen JS (2002) Segmental relationship between somites and vertebral column in zebrafish. *Development* **129**: 3851-3860.

Moss ML (1961a) Studies of the acellular bone of teleost fish. 1. Morphological and systematic variations. *Acta Anatomica* **46**: 343-462.

Moss ML (1961b) Osteogenesis of acellular teleost bone. *American Journal of Anatomy* **108**: 99-110.

Moss ML (1962) Studies of the acellular bone of teleost fish. 2. Response to fracture under normal and acalcemic variations. *Acta Anatomica* **48**: 46-60.

Moss ML (1964) The phylogeny of mineralized tissues. *International Review of General & Experimental Zoology* **1**: 297–331.

Moss ML (1965) Studies of the acellular bone of teleost fish. 5. Histology and mineral homeostasis of fresh water species. *Acta Anatomica* **60**: 262-276.

~~Muller M, Dalcq J, Aceto J, Larbuisson A, Pasque V, Nourizadeh-Lilladadi R, Allestrom P, Martial JA (2010) The function of the Egr1 transcription factor in cartilage formation and adaptation to microgravity in zebrafish, *Danio rerio*. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **26**: 239-244.~~

~~Nigrelli RF, Gordon M (1946) Spontaneous neoplasms in fishes. I. Osteochondroma in the jewelfish, *Hemichromis bimaculatus*. *Zoologica* **31**: 89-92.~~

Nishimoto SK, Araki N, Robinson FD, Waitell JH (1992) Discovery of bone γ -carboxyglutamic acid protein in mineralized scales. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **267**: 11600–11605.

Nordvik K, Kryvi H, Totland GK, Grotmol S (2005) The salmon vertebral body develops through mineralization of two preformed tissues that are encompassed by two layers of bone. *Journal of Anatomy* **206**: 103-114.

~~Norman JR (1926) The development of the chondrocranium of the eel (*Anguis vulgaris*), with observations on the comparative morphology and development of the chondrocranium in bony fishes. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London series B-Biological Sciences* **214**: 369-464.~~

Okada TS (1991) *Transdifferentiation. Flexibility in cell differentiation*. Oxford: Clarendon Press Oxford, Oxford University Press, NY.

Ostland VE, McGroan DG, Ferguson HW (1997) Cephalic osteochondritis and necrotic scleritis in intensively reared salmonids associated with *Flexibacter psychrophilus*. *Journal of Fish Diseases* **20**: 443-451.

Paperna I, Colorini A, Gordin H, Kissil G (1977) Disease of *S. aurata* in marine culture at ~~Eilat~~Eilat. *Aquaculture* **10**: 195–213.

~~Parenti LR (1986) The phylogenetic significance of bone types in euteleost fishes. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* **87**: 37–51.~~

Pauwels F (1980) *Biomechanics of the Locomotor Apparatus*. 1st ed. ~~ed.edn. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer-Verlag, 228 p...~~ Persson P,

Johannsson SH, Takagi Y, Björnsson BT (1997) Estradiol-17 β and nutritional status affect calcium balance, scale and bone resorption, and bone formation in rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *Journal of Physiology Part B* **167**: 468-473.

Formatted: Font color: Black, Catalan

Persson ME, Larsson P, Holmqvist N, Stenroth P (2007) Large variation in lipid content, Σ PCB and $\delta^{13}C$ within individual Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Environmental pollution* **145**: 131–137.

Persson P, Shrimpton JM, McCormick SD, Björnsson BT (2000) The presence of high-affinity, low-capacity estradiol-17 β binding in rainbow trout scale indicates a possible endocrine route for the regulation of scale resorption. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* **120**: 35–43.

Persson P, Takagi Y, Björnsson BT (1995) Tartrate resistant acid phosphatase as a marker for scale resorption in rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*: effects of estradiol-~~17 β~~ 17 β treatment and refeeding. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry* **14**: 329-339.

Pinto JP, Ohresserb MCP, Cancela ML (2001) Cloning of the bone Gla protein gene from the teleost fish *Sparus aurata*. Evidence for overall conservation in gene organization and bone-specific expression from fish to man. *Gene* **270**: 77–91.

Pinto JP, Conceição N, Gavaia PJ, Cancela ML (2003) Matrix Gla protein gene expression and protein accumulation colocalize with cartilage distribution during development of the teleost fish *Sparus aurata*. *Bone* **32**(3): 201-10.

Polo A, Yúfera M, Pascual E (1991) Effects of temperature on egg and larval development of *Sparus aurata* L. *Aquaculture* **92**: 367–375.

Prendergast P J (1997) Finite element models in tissue mechanics and orthopaedic

implant design. *Clinical Biomechanics* **12**: 343–366.

Prendergast PJ (2002) Mechanics applied to skeletal ontogeny and phylogeny.

Meccanica **37**: 317-334.

~~Prestinicola L (2012) Environmental effects on the skeleton development in advanced teleost fish. Doctoral dissertation, PhD thesis in Evolutionary Biology and Ecology XXIV Cycle University of Rome "Tor Vergata". Faculty of Science, Biology Department, p. 183. Retrieved from <http://www.dspace.uniroma2.it>.~~

Quintana LMS, zur Nieden NI, Semino CE (2009) Morphogenetic and regulatory mechanisms during developmental chondrogenesis: new paradigms for cartilage tissue engineering. *Tissue Engineering, Part B: Reviews* **15**: 29-41.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/11250009809386864>.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font color: Auto

~~Ramzu M (1998) Vertebral histology in four teleostean species having different modes of axial swimming. *Italian Journal of Zoology* **65** (S1): 449-454.~~

Redruello B, Estêvão MD, Rotllant J, Guerreiro PM, Anjos LI, Canario AVM, Power DM (2005) Isolation and characterization of piscine osteonectin and downregulation of its expression by parathyroid hormone-related protein. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* **20**: 682–692.

Renn J, Winkler C (2010) Characterization of collagen type 10a1 and osteocalcin in early and mature osteoblasts during skeleton formation in medaka. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **26**: 196–201.

~~Rise ML, von Schalburg KR, Brown GD, Mawer MA, Devlin RH, Kuipers N, et al. (2004) Development and Application of a Salmonid EST Database and cDNA Microarray: Data Mining and Interspecific Hybridization Characteristics. *Genome Research* **14**:~~

478-490.

Roberto V (2006) *Gla* protein localisation and histological characterisation of bone structures in relevant aquaculture fish. M.Sc. Thesis. Universidade do Algarve, Faculdade de Ciências do Mare e do Ambiente, Faro.

Roberts RJ (2001) *Fish Pathology*. 3rd Edition. Elsevier Health Sciences, London, pp. 137–148.

Rotllant J, Liu D, Yan Y-L, Postlethwait JH, Westerfield M, Du S-J (2008) Sparc (osteonectin) functions in morphogenesis of the pharyngeal skeleton and inner ear. *Matrix Biology* **27**: 561–572.

Roy ~~PPK~~, Lall S (2007) Vitamin K deficiency inhibits mineralization and enhances deformity in vertebrae of haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus* L.). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B* **148**: 174–183.

~~Roy, P.K. & PK, Witten PE, Hall BK, Lall, S.P. (2007) Vitamin K deficiency inhibits mineralization SP (2002) Effects of dietary phosphorus on bone growth and enhances deformity in mineralisation of vertebrae of haddock (Melanogrammus aeglefinus L.). Comparative Fish Physiology and Biochemistry and Physiology, Part B 148: 174–183. 27 (1-2): 35-48.~~

Sawada Y, Hattori M, Sudo N, Kato K, Takagi Y, Ura K et al. (2006) Hypoxic conditions induce centrum defects in red sea bream *Pagrus major* (Temminck and Schlegel). *Aquaculture Research* **37**: 805–812.

Sbaihi M, Kacem A, Aroua S, Baloche S, Rousseau K, Lopez E, Meunier F, Dufour S (2007) Thyroid hormone-induced demineralisation of the vertebral skeleton of the eel, *Anguilla anguilla*. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* **151**: 98–107.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Schilling TF, Le Pabic P, Hoffman TL (2010) Using transgenic zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) to study development of the craniofacial skeleton. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **26**: 183–186.

Schmitz RJ (1995) Ultrastructure and function of cellular components of the intercentral joint in the percoid vertebral column. *J. Morph. Journal of Morphology* **226**: 1–24.

Schmitz RJ (1998) Comparative ultrastructure of the cellular components of the unconstricted notochord in the sturgeon and the lungfish. *J. Morph. Journal of Morphology* **236**: 75–104.

Sfakianakis DG, Georgakopoulou E, Papadakis IE, Divanach P, Kentouri M, Koumoundouros G (2006a2006) Environmental determinants of haemal lordosis in European sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax* (Linnaeus, 1758). *Aquaculture* **254**: 54–64.

~~Shimizu H, Yokoyama S, Asahara H (2007) Growth and differentiation of the developing limb bud from the perspective of chondrogenesis. *Development, Growth and Differentiation* **49**: 449–454.~~

~~Shin D, Lee E, Kim J, Kwon B, Jung M, Jee Y, Kim J, Bae S, Chang Y (2004) Protective effect of growth hormone on neuronal apoptosis after hypoxia-ischemia in the neonatal rat brain. *Neuroscience Letters* **354**: 64–68.~~

~~Sfakianakis DG, Leris I, Laggis A, Kentouri M (2011) The effect of rearing temperature on body shape and meristic characters in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) juveniles. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* **90**: 421–427.~~

~~Sire J-Y, Huyseune A (2003) Formation of dermal skeletal and dentary tissues in fish: a~~

Formatted: Font: Italic

~~comparative and evolutionary approach. *Biological Reviews* **78**: 219-249.~~

Sire J-Y, Akimenko MA (2004) Scale development in fish: a review, with description of *sonic hedgehog (shh)* expression in the zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *International Journal of Developmental Biology* **48**: 233-247.

Sire J-Y, Huysseune A (2003) Formation of dermal skeletal and dentary tissues in fish: a comparative and evolutionary approach. *Biological Reviews* **78**: 219-249.

~~Sire J-Y, Huysseune A, Meunier J (1990) Osteoclasts in the teleost fish: light and electron microscopical observations. *Cell and Tissue Research* **260**: 85-94.~~

Sire J-Y, Donoghue PCJ, Vickaryous MK (2009) Origin and evolution of the integumentary skeleton in non-tetrapod vertebrates. *J Anat. Journal of Anatomy* **214**(4): 409-440 doi: ~~10.1111/j.1469-7580.2009.01046.x~~.

Sire J-Y, Huysseune A, Meunier J (1990) Osteoclasts in the teleost fish: light and electron-microscopical observations. *Cell and Tissue Research* **260**: 85-94.

Smith MM, Hall BK (1990) Development and evolutionary origins of vertebrate skeletogenic and odontogenic tissues. *Biological Reviews* **65**: 277-373.

Smith-Vaniz WF, Kaufman LS, Glowacki J (1995) Species specific patterns of hyperostosis in marine teleost fishes. *Marine Biology* **121**: 573-580.

Smits P, Lefebvre V (2003) *Sox5* and *Sox6* are required for notochord extracellular matrix sheath formation, notochord cell survival and development of the nucleus pulposus of intervertebral discs. *Development* **30**: 1135-1148.

Spoorendonk KM, Hammond CL, Huitema LFA, Vanoevelen J, Schulte-Merker S (2010) Zebrafish as a unique model system in bone research: the power of genetics and *in vivo* imaging. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **26**: 219-224.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (U.K.)

Formatted: No underline

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Sugiura SH, Hardy RW, Roberts RJ (2004) The pathology of phosphorus deficiency in fish – a review. *Journal of Fish Diseases* **27**: 255-265.

Takagi Y, Yamada J (1991) Effects of calcium and phosphate deficiencies on bone metabolism in a teleost, tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*): A histomorphometric study. In: Suga S, Nakahara H (eds.) *Mechanisms and phylogeny of mineralization in biological systems*, pp. 187-191. Springer Verlag, Tokyo.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (U.K.)

Takagi Y, Yamada J (1992) Effects of calcium deprivation on the metabolism of acellular bone in Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Comparative Physiology and Biochemistry –and Physiology Part A: Physiology* **102**: 481-485.

Formatted: No underline

Takagi Y, Yamada J (1993) Changes in metabolism of acellular bone in tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*, during deficiency and subsequent repletion of calcium. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Physiology* **105** (3): 459-462.

Takenaka A, Okada A, Iwai K, Ayaki Y (2003) Separation of collagen from fish scales by treatment of dilute hydrochloric acid aqueous solution. *Journal of the Japanese Society for Food Science and Technology-Nippon Shokuhin Kagaku Kogaku Kaishi* **50**: 67-71.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (U.K.)

Takeuchi T (2001) A review of feed development for early life stages of marine finfish in Japan. *Aquaculture* **200**: 203-222.

Formatted: No underline

~~Tave D, Bartels JE, Smitherman RO (1983) Saddleback: A dominant, lethal gene in *Sarotherodon aureus* (Steindachner) (=Tilapia aurea). *Journal of Fish Diseases* **6**: 59-73.~~

To TT, Witten PE, Renn J, Bhattacharya D, Huysseune A, Winkler C (2012) Rankl induced osteoclastogenesis leads to loss of mineralization in a medaka

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (U.K.)

osteoporosis model. *Development* **139**: 141-150.

Formatted: No underline

Tocher DR, Bendiksen EÅ, Campbell PJ, Bell JG (2008) The role of phospholipids in nutrition and metabolism of teleost fish. *Aquaculture* **280**: 21-34.

Vahdati A, Rouhi G (2009) A model for mechanical adaptation of trabecular bone incorporating cellular accommodation and effects of microdamage and disuse. *Mechanics Research Communications* **36**: 284–293.

Verreijdt L, Vandervennet E, Sire J-Y, Huysseune A (2002) Developmental differences between cranial bones in the zebrafish (*Danio rerio*): some preliminary light and TEM observations. *Connect-Connective Tissue Research* **43**: 109–112.

Waagbø, R (2006) Feeding and disease resistance in fish. In: Mosenthin R, Zenek J, Zebrowska T (eds.) *Biology of Nutrition in Growing Animals*, pp 387-415. Elsevier Health Sciences, United Kingdom.

Warden SJ (2006) Breaking the rules for bone adaptation to mechanical loading. *Journal of Applied Physiology* **100**: 1441–1442.

Weiss Sachdev S, Dietz UH, Oshima Y, Lang MR, Knapik EW, Hiraki Y, Shukunami C. (2001) Sequence analysis of zebrafish chondromodulin-I and expression profile in the notochord and chondrogenic regions during cartilage morphogenesis. *Mechanisms of Development* **105**:157–162.

Willems B, Buettner A, Huysseune A, Renn J, Witten PE, Winkler C (2012) Conditional ablation of osteoblasts in medaka. *Developmental Biology* **364** (2): 128–137.

Witten PE (1997) Enzyme histochemical characteristics of osteoblasts and mononucleated osteoclasts in a teleost fish with acellular bone (*Oreochromis niloticus*, Cichlidae). *Cell Tissue Research* **287**: 591-599.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (U.K.)

Formatted: No underline

Witten PE, Cancela ML (2012) Interdisciplinary approaches in fish skeletal biology.

Journal of Applied Ichthyology 28 (3): 297-299.

Witten PE, Gil-Martens L, Hall BK, Huysseune A, Obach A (2005a) Compressed

vertebrae in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*): Evidence for metaplastic

chondrogenesis as a skeletogenic response late in ontogeny. *Diseases of Aquatic*

Organisms 64: 237-246.

Witten PE, Gil-Martens L, Huysseune A, Takle H, Hjelde K (2009) Classification and

developmental relationships of vertebral body malformations in farmed Atlantic

salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Aquaculture* 295: 6-14.

~~Witten PE, Villwock W (1997) Growth requires bone resorption at particular skeletal~~

~~elements in a teleost fish with acellular bone (*Oreochromis niloticus*, Teleostei:~~

~~*Cichlidae*). *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 13: 149-158.~~

Witten PE, Hall BK (2002) Differentiation and growth of kype skeletal tissues in

anadromous male Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar*). *International Journal of*

Developmental Biology 46: 719-730.

Witten PE, Hall BK (2003) Seasonal changes in the lower jaw skeleton in male Atlantic

salmon (*Salmo salar* L.): remodeling and regression of the kype after spawning.

Journal of Anatomy 203: 435-450.

Witten PE, Hall BK, Huysseune A (2005b) Are breeding teeth in Atlantic salmon a

component of the drastic alterations of the oral facial skeleton? *Archives of Oral*

Biology 50: 213-217.

Witten PE, Hansen A, Hall BK (2001) Features of Mono- and Multinucleated Bone

Resorbing Cells of the Zebrafish *Danio rerio* and Their Contribution to Skeletal

Formatted: No underline

Formatted: Body Text 2, Left, Line spacing: single, Widow/Orphan control

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (U.K.)

Formatted: No underline

Formatted: Normal, Justified, Line spacing: Double, No widow/orphan control

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Development, Remodeling and Growth. *Journal of Morphology* 250: 197-207.

Witten P E, Holliday LS, Delling G, Hall BK (1999) Immunohistochemical identification of a vacuolar proton pump (V-ATPase) in bone-resorbing cells. *Journal of Fish Biology* 55 (6): 1258-1272.

Witten PE, Huisseune A (2007) Mechanisms of Chondrogenesis and Osteogenesis in Fins. In: Hall BK (ed.) *Fins into Limbs: Evolution, Development, and Transformation*, pp. 79-92. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

Witten PE, Huisseune A (2009) A comparative view on mechanisms and functions of skeletal remodelling in teleost fish, with special emphasis on osteoclasts and their function. *Biological Reviews* 84: 315-346.

Witten PE, Huisseune A (2010) The unobtrusive majority: mononucleated bone resorbing cells in teleost fish and mammals. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 26: 225–229.

~~Witten PE, Huisseune A (2011) Notochord, Cartilage and Bone: Diagnosing Key Tissues Involved in Early Vertebral Column Malformations in Teleost Larvae. *Aquaculture Europe 2011, European Aquaculture Society: (in press)*~~

Witten PE, Huisseune A, Hall BK (2010) A practical approach for the identification of the many cartilaginous tissues in teleost fish. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 26: 257–262.

Witten PE, Obach A, Huisseune A, Baeverfjord G (2006) Vertebrae fusion in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*): Development, aggravation and pathways of containment. *Aquaculture* 258: 164-172.

Witten PE, Villwock W (1997) Growth requires bone resorption at particular skeletal

Formatted: Font color: Black

~~elements in a teleost fish with acellular bone (*Oreochromis niloticus*, Teleostei: Cichlidae). *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **13**: 149–158.~~

~~Witten P E, Holliday LS, Delling G, Hall BK (1999) Immunohistochemical identification of a vacuolar proton pump (V-ATPase) in bone-resorbing cells. *Journal of Fish Biology* **55**(6): 1258-1272.~~

Witten PE, Villwock W, Peters N, Hall BK (2000) Bone resorption and bone remodeling in juvenile carp, *Cyprinus carpio* L.. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **13**: 254-261.

~~Witten PE, Hansen A, Hall BK (2001) Features of Mono and Multinucleated Bone Resorbing Cells of the Zebrafish *Danio rerio* and Their Contribution to Skeletal Development, Remodeling and Growth. *Journal of Morphology* **250**: 197-207.~~

~~Witten PE, Hall BK, Huysseune A (2005a) Are breeding teeth in Atlantic salmon a component of the drastic alterations of the oral facial skeleton? *Archives of Oral Biology* **50**: 213-217.~~

~~Witten PE, Gil-Martens L, Hall BK, Huysseune A, Obach A (2005b) Compressed vertebrae in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*): Evidence for metaplastic chondrogenesis as a skeletogenic response late in ontogeny. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **64**: 237-246.~~

~~Witten PE, Obach A, Huysseune A, Baeverfjord G (2006) Vertebrae fusion in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*): Development, aggravation and pathways of containment. *Aquaculture* **258**: 164-172.~~

~~Witten P E, Gil-Martens L, Huysseune A, Takle H, Hjelde K (2009) Classification and developmental relationships of vertebral body malformations in farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Aquaculture* **295**: 6-14.~~

Formatted: Body Text 2, Left, Line spacing: single, Widow/Orphan control

Formatted: No underline

~~Witten PE, Huysseune A, Rosenthal H, Cancela ML (2010a) Proceedings of the First International Workshop: Interdisciplinary Approaches in Fish Skeletal Biology. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **26**: 147–382.~~

~~Witten PE, Huysseune A, Hall BK (2010b) A practical approach for the identification of the many cartilaginous tissues in teleost fish. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **26**: 257–262.~~

Woolley LD, Qin JG (2010) Swimbladder inflation and its implication to the culture of marine finfish larvae. *Reviews in Aquaculture* **2**: 181-190.

~~Yelick PC, Connolly MH (2010) A forward genetic screen for genes regulating mineralized tooth and bone formation in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **26**: 192–195.~~

Yan YL, Hatta K, Riggleman B, Postlethwait JH (1995) Expression of a type II collagen gene in the zebrafish embryonic axis. *Developmental Dynamics* **203** (3): 363-76.

Zylberberg L, Meunier FJ (2008) New data on the structure and the chondrocyte populations of the haemal cartilage of abdominal vertebrae in the adult carp *Cyprinus carpio* (Ostariophysi, Cyprinidae). *Cybium* **32**: 225–239.

Formatted: Font color: Black


Formatted: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Tab. 1 Main type of cartilage tissues in fish, reviewed by Witten et al. 2010b. Data from Benjamin 1988a,b, 1990; 1991; Benjamin & Ralphs 1991; Beresford 1993; Huysseune 1990; Huysseune & Verras 1990; Huysseune & Sire 1992; Witten & Hall 2002.

CATEGORIES	SUB-CATEGORIES	INTERMEDIATE SUB-CATEGORIES	LOCALIZATION
1. HYALINE CARTILAGES - amount of extracellular matrix	Matrix-rich hyaline cartilage (MRHC): cartilage that largely resembles mammalian textbook hyaline cartilage.		Neurocranium and gill arches
	Cell-rich hyaline cartilage (CRHC): contains more cells and less matrix compared to matrix-rich hyaline cartilage - it is susceptible to calcification and can permanently mineralize		Neurocranium and gill arches
		Scleral cartilage (SK): tissue with a central cell-rich cartilage surrounded by a matrix-rich zone	Surrounding the eyeball (sclera)
		Hyaline-cell cartilage (HCC): chondrocytes are surrounded by a very narrow rim of weakly staining cartilage matrix - does not usually calcify	Lips; expansions of periosteum of the hypurals in some species; developing as a secondary cartilage
		Zellknorpel: strongly resembling HCC but the cells shrunken in their lacunae and a matrix stains more intensely with Alcian blue - does not usually calcify	Barbels
	Lipohyaline-cell cartilage (LCC): contains a mixture of hyaline cartilage and adipocytes		In the oromandibular region of the cypriniform species <i>Pseudogastromyzon myersi</i>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

<p>2. CARTILAGES WITH ADDITIONAL FIBRES - presence of extra collagen and/or elastic fibres</p>	<p>Elastic / cell-rich cartilage (ECRC): share properties with CRHC but the narrow rim of cartilage matrix that surrounds the cells contains elastin fibres</p> <p>Elastic hyaline cell cartilage (ECC): share properties with CRHC but the cartilage matrix is rich in elastin fibres</p> <p>Fibro / cell-rich cartilage (FCRC): matrix rich in collagen, as described in classic (i.e. mammalian-focussed) textbooks, would substitute fibrocartilage</p> <p>Fibrohyaline-cell cartilage (FCC)</p>	<p>In cypriniform species: in barbels, in sucking disks or it may function as a semi-flexible syndesmotric connection between different cartilage elements</p> <p>Articular surface between dorsal and caudal pterygiophores and rays or attached to the lepidotrichia; symphyseal tissue suspensorium</p>
<p>3. CALCIFIED CARTILAGINOUS TISSUES - permanently calcified cartilages and chondroid bone</p>	<p>Chondroid bone (CB): tissue composed of chondrocyte-like cells embedded in a bone-like matrix</p> <p>CB I: derived by transdifferentiation of skeletal cells (from osteoblast into chondroblast) within multipotential periosteal</p> <p>CB II: permanently calcified hyaline cartilage derived by the incomplete ossification of calcified cartilage</p>	<p>On the lower jaw of Atlantic salmon, with both chondrocytes and osteocytes present within the bone matrix</p> <p>In acellular-boned cichlids</p>
<p>4. DEGRADING CARTILAGES - based on modes of transformation and resorption</p>	<p><i>cartilage replacement</i></p>	<p>In smaller Teleosts species (zebrafish and medaka): cartilage is enclosed by perichondral bone and chondrocytes hypertrophy, but the cartilage is removed without being replaced by bone but by adipose tissue</p> <p>Splanchno-cranium</p>



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

<p>and associated with endochondral bone formation</p>	<p><i>subdivision of cartilaginous</i> anlage into elements</p>	<p>In larger Teleosts species (salmon and carp) cartilage undergoes endochondral bone formation and replace cartilage with spongiosa</p> <p>Cells are not degraded but undergo metaplasia and the surrounding matrix loses its cartilaginous character</p>	<p>During the development of the endoskeleton of Teleost pectoral, dorsal and anal fins</p>
<p>5. CARTILAGE-RELATED TISSUES - tissues intermediate between cartilage and connective tissue</p>	<p>Mucochondroid or mucous connective tissue: heterogeneous group of tissues containing either fibroblasts or hyaline cells in a pale staining matrix</p> <p>Notochord: notochord cells produce the same matrix components as chondrocytes, but the intercellular matrix is sparse, the cells are connected via cell processes, and contain vacuoles</p>		<p>Skull of Teleosts</p> <p>Notochord</p>

For Review Only

Figure legends

Fig. 1 – Diagram showing the main factors which may impact skeletal cells, cartilage or bone matrix development and bone mineralization in reared fish larvae and juveniles (modified after Waagbø, 2006). Arrows do not indicate any particular body region.

Fig. 2 - Relationships between phylogeny, environment, the presence of osteocytes, and the predominant (predom.) type of osteoclasts (osteocl.) in teleost fish. II-V: Basal Osteichthyans (I), which also gave rise to tetrapods, and Basal Teleosts (II) have bone that contains osteocytes. These fish have mononucleated and many multinucleated osteoclasts. Osteocytes and multinucleated osteoclasts have been preserved during "a first" wave of freshwater reinvasion by teleost fish (III); "primary freshwater fish": it refers to fish such as cyprinids and salmonids. During a long evolutionary period in the marine environment, osteocytes disappeared (acellular bone) in almost all advanced marine teleosts groups (IV). The predominant osteoclast type of "Advanced Teleosts" is mononucleated. This character was maintained when advanced Teleosts reinvaded the fresh waters (V); "secondary freshwater fish": e.g., chichlids. Consequently, Teleosts that live in fresh water (c.f. III and V) or in the marine environment (c.f. II and IV) can have different bone types and different predominant types of bone resorbing cells. Modified after Witten and Huysseune (2009).

Fig. 3: Diagram with the main subcategories of skeleton tissues and cells and origin in fish. Overlapping semicircles indicate the continuum between the different tissues.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 Resorbing cells are indicated in italics. Redrawn by Hall and Witten, 2007.
8
9

10
11 Fig. 4: Phylogenetic tree, based on morphological characters, showing evolutionary
12 relationships among some commonly reared teleosts (redrawn by Rise et al 2004). Red
13 shadow highlights the basal and the blue one the advanced [Teleoststeleosts](#). *Carter
14 and Beaupré, 2001.
15
16
17
18
19

20
21 Fig. 5 - Possible fate of cartilage templates in vertebrates. For each step, some features
22 (expressed molecules, staining) are indicated. It has to emphasise- that spongiosa
23 (spongy or trabecular bone) is rather uncommon in fish: larvae essentially do not have
24 spongiosa and no endochondral bone formation, but perichondral bone formation.
25
26
27
28
29 Coll = collagen; ECM = extracellular matrix; OSN = osteonectin.
30
31
32
33

34 Fig. 6 – Perichondral ossification in dorsal pterygiophores of Senegalese sole (*Solea*
35 *senegalensis*) (on the left: Toluidine blue; on the right: von Kossa's). Photo by P.
36
37
38 Gavaia.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60