

**The emergence of the Virasoro and w_∞ algebras
through the renormalized higher powers of quantum white noise**

Luigi Accardi

Centro Vito Volterra, Università di Roma Tor Vergata
via Columbia 2, 00133 Roma, Italia
accardi@volterra.mat.uniroma2.it

Andreas Boukas

Department of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, American College of Greece
Aghia Paraskevi, Athens 15342, Greece
andreasboukas@acgmail.gr

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	A new look at the counter-example of the previous section	11
3	A renormalization suggested by conditions (16)-(18)	16
4	The canonical RHPWN commutation relations	17
5	The w_∞ algebra	18
6	Poisson brackets	19
7	White noise form of the w_∞ generators and commutation relations	22

Abstract. We introduce a new renormalization for the powers of the Dirac delta function. We show that this new renormalization leads to a second quantized version of the Virasoro sector w_∞ of the extended conformal algebra with infinite symmetries W_∞ of Conformal Field Theory ([5]-[8], [12], [14], [15]). In particular we construct a white noise (boson) representation of the w_∞ generators and commutation relations and of their second quantization.

1 Introduction

Classical (i.e Itô [11]) and quantum (i.e Hudson-Parthasarathy [13]) stochastic calculi were unified by Accardi, Lu and Volovich in [4] in the framework of Hida's white noise theory by expressing the fundamental noise processes in terms of the Hida white noise functionals b_t and b_t^\dagger defined as follows: Let $L_{sym}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the space of square integrable functions on \mathbb{R}^n which are symmetric under permutation of their arguments and let $\mathcal{F} := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} L_{sym}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where if $\psi := \{\psi^{(n)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\psi^{(0)} \in \mathbf{C}$, $\psi^{(n)} \in L_{sym}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|\psi\|^2 = |\psi^{(0)}|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi^{(n)}(s_1, \dots, s_n)|^2 ds_1 \dots ds_n$$

The subspace of vectors $\psi = \{\psi^{(n)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\psi^{(n)} = 0$ for all but finitely many n will be denoted by \mathcal{D}_0 . Denote by $S \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the Schwartz space of smooth functions decreasing at infinity faster than any polynomial and let \mathcal{D} be the set of all $\psi \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\psi^{(n)} \in S$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |\psi^{(n)}|^2 < \infty$. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ define the linear operator $b_t : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ by

$$(b_t \psi)^{(n)}(s_1, \dots, s_n) := \sqrt{n+1} \psi^{(n+1)}(t, s_1, \dots, s_n)$$

and the operator valued distribution b_t^\dagger by

$$(b_t^\dagger \psi)^{(n)}(s_1, \dots, s_n) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(t - s_i) \psi^{(n-1)}(s_1, \dots, \hat{s}_i, \dots, s_n)$$

where δ is the Dirac delta function and $\hat{}$ denotes omission of the corresponding variable. The white noise functionals satisfy the Boson commutation relations

$$[b_t, b_s^\dagger] = \delta(t - s)$$

$$[b_t^\dagger, b_s^\dagger] = [b_t, b_s] = 0$$

and the duality relation

$$(b_s)^\ast = b_s^\dagger$$

Letting \mathcal{H} be a test function space we define for $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $n, k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ the sesquilinear form on \mathcal{D}_0

$$B_k^n(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) b_t^{\dagger n} b_t^k dt$$

i.e for ϕ, ψ in \mathcal{D}_0 and $n, k \geq 0$

$$\langle \psi, B_k^n(f) \phi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \langle b_t^n \psi, b_t^k \phi \rangle dt$$

with involution

$$(B_k^n(f))^\ast = B_n^k(\bar{f})$$

and with

$$B_0^0(\bar{g}f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{g}(t) f(t) dt = \langle g, f \rangle$$

The Fock representation is characterized by the existence of a unit vector Φ , called the Fock vacuum vector, cyclic for the operators $B_n^k(f)$ and satisfying:

$$B_k^0 \Phi = B_k^h \Phi = 0 \quad ; \quad \forall k > 0 ; \forall h \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

It is not difficult to prove that, if the Fock representation exists, it is uniquely characterized by the two above mentioned properties.

In [1] it was proved that for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $n, k, N, K \geq 0$, one has:

$$[b_t^{\dagger n} b_t^k, b_s^{\dagger N} b_s^K] = \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon_{k,0}\epsilon_{N,0} \sum_{L \geq 1} kLN^{(L)} b_t^{\dagger n} b_s^{\dagger N-L} b_t^{k-L} b_s^K \delta^L(t-s) \\ & - \epsilon_{K,0}\epsilon_{n,0} \sum_{L \geq 1} KLn^{(L)} b_s^{\dagger N} b_t^{\dagger n-L} b_s^{K-L} b_t^k \delta^L(t-s) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\epsilon_{n,k} := 1 - \delta_{n,k}$$

$\delta_{n,k}$ is Kronecker's delta and the decreasing factorial powers $x^{(y)}$ are defined by

$$x^{(y)} := x(x-1)\cdots(x-y+1)$$

with $x^{(0)} = 1$. In order to consider higher powers of b_t and b_t^\dagger , the renormalization

$$\delta^l(t) = c^{l-1} \delta(t), \quad l = 2, 3, \dots \quad (3)$$

where $c > 0$ is an arbitrary constant, was introduced in [4]. Then (2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & [b_t^{\dagger n} b_t^k, b_s^{\dagger N} b_s^K] = \quad (4) \\ & \epsilon_{k,0}\epsilon_{N,0} \sum_{L \geq 1} kLN^{(L)} c^{L-1} b_t^{\dagger n} b_s^{\dagger N-L} b_t^{k-L} b_s^K \delta(t-s) \\ & - \epsilon_{K,0}\epsilon_{n,0} \sum_{L \geq 1} KLn^{(L)} c^{L-1} b_s^{\dagger N} b_t^{\dagger n-L} b_s^{K-L} b_t^k \delta(t-s) \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of (4) by test functions $f(t)g(s)$ and formally integrating the resulting identity (i.e. taking $\int \int \dots dsdt$), we obtain the following commutation relations for the renormalized higher powers of white noise (RHPWN)

$$[B_K^N(g), B_k^n(f)] \quad (5)$$

$$= \sum_{L=1}^{K \wedge n} b_L(K, n) B_{K+k-L}^{N+n-L}(gf) - \sum_{L=1}^{k \wedge N} b_L(k, N) B_{K+k-L}^{N+n-L}(gf)$$

$$= \sum_{L=1}^{(K \wedge n) \vee (k \wedge N)} \theta_L(N, K; n, k) c^{L-1} B_{K+k-L}^{N+n-L}(gf)$$

where

$$b_x(y, z) := \epsilon_{y,0} \epsilon_{z,0} yx z^{(x)} c^{x-1} \quad (6)$$

and for $n, k, N, K \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$

$$\theta_L(N, K; n, k) := \epsilon_{K,0} \epsilon_{n,0} KL n^{(L)} - \epsilon_{k,0} \epsilon_{N,0} kL N^{(L)} \quad (7)$$

with

$$\sum_{L=1}^{(K \wedge n) \vee (k \wedge N)} = 0$$

if $(K \wedge n) \vee (k \wedge N) = 0$. In what follows we will use the notation

$$B_k^n := B_k^n(\chi_I) \quad (8)$$

whenever $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $\mu(I) < +\infty$ is fixed. Moreover, to simplify the notations, we will use the same symbol for the generators of the RHPWN algebra and for their images in a given representation. As above, we denote by Φ the Fock vacuum vector with $b_t \Phi = 0$ and $\langle \Phi, \Phi \rangle = 1$. It was proved in [1] that, with commutation relations (5), the B_k^n do not admit a common Fock space representation. The main counter-example is that if a common Fock representation of the B_k^n existed, one should be able to define inner products of the form

$$\langle (a B_0^{2n}(\chi_I) + b (B_0^n(\chi_I))^2) \Phi, (a B_0^{2n}(\chi_I) + b (B_0^n(\chi_I))^2) \Phi \rangle$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and I is an arbitrary interval of finite measure $\mu(I)$. Using the notation $\langle x \rangle = \langle \Phi, x \Phi \rangle$ this amounts to the positive semi-definiteness of the quadratic form

$$a^2 < B_{2n}^0(\chi_I) B_0^{2n}(\chi_I) > + 2ab < B_{2n}^0(\chi_I)(B_0^n(\chi_I))^2 > \\ + b^2 < (B_n^0(\chi_I))^2 (B_0^n(\chi_I))^2 >$$

or equivalently of the (2×2) matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} < B_{2n}^0(\chi_I) B_0^{2n}(\chi_I) > & < B_{2n}^0(\chi_I) (B_0^n(\chi_I))^2 > \\ < B_{2n}^0(\chi_I) (B_0^n(\chi_I))^2 > & < (B_n^0(\chi_I))^2 (B_0^n(\chi_I))^2 > \end{bmatrix}$$

Using the commutation relations (5) we find that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} (2n)!c^{2n-1}\mu(I) & (2n)!c^{2n-2}\mu(I) \\ (2n)!c^{2n-2}\mu(I) & 2(n!)^2c^{2n-2}\mu(I)^2 + ((2n)! - 2(n!)^2)c^{2n-3}\mu(I) \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix A is symmetric, so it is positive semi-definite only if its minors are non-negative. The minor determinants of A are

$$d_1 = (2n)!c^{2n-1}\mu(I) \geq 0$$

and

$$d_2 = 2c^{4(n-1)}\mu(I)^2(n!)^2(2n)!(c\mu(I) - 1) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mu(I) \geq \frac{1}{c}.$$

Thus the interval I cannot be arbitrarily small. The counter-example was extended in [2] to the q -deformed case

$$b_t b_s^\dagger - q b_s^\dagger b_t = \delta(t - s)$$

A stronger no-go theorem, which establishes the impossibility of a Fock representation of any Lie algebra containing B_0^n for any $n \geq 3$ and satisfying commutation relations (5), can be proved using the following results.

Lemma 1 *Let $n \geq 3$ and define*

$$C_1(n) := [B_n^0, B_0^n]$$

and for $k \geq 2$

$$C_k(n) := [B_n^0, C_{k-1}(n)]$$

Then

$$C_3(n) = \beta(n) B_{2n}^0 + N(n) \quad (9)$$

where, in the notation (6), $\beta(n) \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ is given by

$$\beta(n) := \sum_{L_1=1}^{n-1} \sum_{L_2=1}^{n-L_1} b_{L_1}(n, n) b_{L_2}(n, n - L_1) b_{n-(L_1+L_2)}(n, n - (L_1 + L_2)) \quad (10)$$

and $N(n)$ is a sum of operators given by

$$N(n) := \sum_{L_1=1}^{n-1} \sum_{L_2=1}^{n-L_1} \sum_{L_3=1}^{n-(L_1+L_2)} b_{L_1}(n, n) b_{L_2}(n, n - L_1) \quad (11)$$

$$\times b_{L_3}(n, n - (L_1 + L_2)) B_{3n-(L_1+L_2+L_3)}^{n-(L_1+L_2+L_3)}$$

with adjoint

$$N(n)^* := \sum_{L_1=1}^{n-1} \sum_{L_2=1}^{n-L_1} \sum_{L_3=1}^{n-(L_1+L_2)} b_{L_1}(n, n) b_{L_2}(n, n - L_1) \quad (12)$$

$$\times b_{L_3}(n, n - (L_1 + L_2)) B_{n-(L_1+L_2+L_3)}^{3n-(L_1+L_2+L_3)}$$

where the triple summations in (11) and (12) are over all L_1, L_2, L_3 such that $L_1 + L_2 + L_3 \neq n$.

Proof 1 The commutation relations (5) imply that:

$$C_1(n) = [B_n^0, B_0^n] = \sum_{L_1=1}^n b_{L_1}(n, n) B_{n-L_1}^{n-L_1}$$

and

$$C_2(n) = [B_n^0, C_1(n)] = \sum_{L_1=1}^n b_{L_1}(n, n) [B_n^0, B_{n-L_1}^{n-L_1}]$$

$$= \sum_{L_1=1}^n \sum_{L_2=1}^{n-L_1} b_{L_1}(n, n) b_{L_2}(n, n - L_1) B_{2n-(L_1+L_2)}^{n-(L_1+L_2)}$$

$$= \sum_{L_1=1}^{n-1} \sum_{L_2=1}^{n-L_1} b_{L_1}(n, n) b_{L_2}(n, n - L_1) B_{2n-(L_1+L_2)}^{n-(L_1+L_2)}$$

since $[B_n^0, B_{n-L_1}^{n-L_1}] = 0$ for $L_1 = n$, and finally

$$C_3(n) = [B_n^0, C_2(n)]$$

$$= \sum_{L_1=1}^{n-1} \sum_{L_2=1}^{n-L_1} b_{L_1}(n, n) b_{L_2}(n, n - L_1) [B_n^0, B_{2n-(L_1+L_2)}^{n-(L_1+L_2)}]$$

$$= \sum_{L_1=1}^{n-1} \sum_{L_2=1}^{n-L_1} \sum_{L_3=1}^{n-(L_1+L_2)} b_{L_1}(n, n) b_{L_2}(n, n - L_1)$$

$$\times b_{L_3}(n, n - (L_1 + L_2)) B_{3n-(L_1+L_2+L_3)}^{n-(L_1+L_2+L_3)}$$

from which (20) follows by splitting the above triple sum into the parts $L_1 + L_2 + L_3 = n$ and $L_1 + L_2 + L_3 \neq n$.

Remark 1

Notice that $3n - (L_1 + L_2 + L_3)$ is at least equal to $2n$ and

$$N(n)^* \Phi := \sum_{L_1=1}^{n-1} \sum_{L_2=1}^{n-L_1} \sum_{L_3=1}^{n-(L_1+L_2)} b_{L_1}(n, n) b_{L_2}(n, n - L_1)$$

$$\times b_{L_3}(n, n - (L_1 + L_2)) B_{3n-(L_1+L_2+L_3)}^{3n-(L_1+L_2+L_3)} \Phi = 0$$

due to (1) and $n - (L_1 + L_2 + L_3) \neq 0$.

Remark 2

For $n = 2$ the previous lemma is not valid since

$$C_1(2) = 2 B_0^0 + 4 B_1^1, \quad C_2(2) = 8 B_2^0, \quad C_3(2) = 0 \Rightarrow \beta(2) = 0$$

Therefore, what follows is not in contradiction with the well established Fock representation of the square of white noise operators B_0^2 , B_2^0 and B_1^1 proved in [4].

Corollary 1 *Let $n \geq 3$ and suppose that an operator $*$ -Lie sub algebra \mathcal{L} of the RHPWN algebra contains B_0^n . Then \mathcal{L} will also contain*

$$a (\beta(n) B_0^{2n} + N(n)^*) + b (B_0^n)^2$$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\beta(n)$ and $N(n)^$ are as in (10) and (12) respectively.*

Proof 2 *Since \mathcal{L} is an operator algebra containing B_0^n , it will also contain $(B_0^n)^2$ and $b (B_0^n)^2$. By the $*$ -property \mathcal{L} will also contain B_n^0 and since \mathcal{L} is a Lie algebra, by lemma 1, it will contain $\beta(n) B_{2n}^0 + N(n)$ and $a (\beta(n) B_{2n}^0 + N(n))$. Again by the $*$ -property, \mathcal{L} will contain $a (\beta(n) B_0^{2n} + N(n)^*)$ and, since \mathcal{L} is a vector space, it will also contain $a (\beta(n) B_0^{2n} + N(n)^*) + b (B_0^n)^2$.*

Theorem 1 *Let $n \geq 3$ and suppose that an operator $*$ -Lie sub algebra \mathcal{L} of the RHPWN algebra contains B_0^n . Then \mathcal{L} does not admit a Fock space representation.*

Proof 3 *By Corollary 1, \mathcal{L} will also contain $a (\beta(n) B_0^{2n} + N(n)^*) + b (B_0^n)^2$, for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\beta(n)$, $N(n)^*$ are as in (10) and (12) respectively. As in the previously discussed counter-example, it follows that the Fock-vacuum norm*

$$\| (a (\beta(n) B_0^{2n} + N(n)^*) + b (B_0^n)^2) \Phi \| = \| (a B_0^{2n} + b (B_0^n)^2) \Phi \|^2$$

cannot be nonnegative for arbitrarily small $I \subset \mathbb{R}$.

In the remaining sections of this paper we provide a new renormalization prescription for the powers of the delta function which bypasses the no-go theorems proved so far and which leads to an unexpected connection with the Virasoro algebra and the w_∞ and W_∞ algebras of Conformal Field Theory (cf. [12]).

2 A new look at the counter-example of the previous section

In this section we generalize (3) to

$$\delta^l(t-s) = \phi^{l-1}(s) \delta(t-s), \quad l = 2, \dots \quad (13)$$

and we look for conditions on $\phi(s)$, and an appropriate set of test functions, that eliminate the difficulties posed by the counter-example of Section 1. The white noise commutation relations (2) now become

$$\begin{aligned} [b_t^{\dagger n} b_t^k, b_s^{\dagger N} b_s^K] = & \quad (14) \\ \epsilon_{k,0} \epsilon_{N,0} \sum_{L \geq 1} k L N^{(L)} b_t^{\dagger n} b_s^{\dagger N-L} b_t^{k-L} b_s^K \phi^{L-1}(s) \delta(t-s) \\ - \epsilon_{K,0} \epsilon_{n,0} \sum_{L \geq 1} K L n^{(L)} b_s^{\dagger N} b_t^{\dagger n-L} b_s^{K-L} b_t^k \phi^{L-1}(s) \delta(t-s) \end{aligned}$$

from which, by multiplying both sides by $f(t)g(s)$ and integrating the resulting identity we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [B_K^N(g), B_k^n(f)] = & \quad (15) \\ \sum_{L=1}^{K \wedge n} \hat{b}_L(K, n) B_{K+k-L}^{N+n-L}(g f \phi^{L-1}) - \sum_{L=1}^{k \wedge N} \hat{b}_L(k, N) B_{K+k-L}^{N+n-L}(g f \phi^{L-1}) \\ = \sum_{L=1}^{(K \wedge n) \vee (k \wedge N)} \theta_L(N, K; n, k) B_{K+k-L}^{N+n-L}(g f \phi^{L-1}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\hat{b}_x(y, z) := \epsilon_{y,0} \epsilon_{z,0} y x z^{(x)}$$

$n, k, N, K \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, and $\theta_L(N, K; n, k)$ is as in (7). Turning to the counter-example of Section 1, for an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, introducing the notation

$$I_n = \int_I \phi^n(s) ds, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and using commutation relations (15) we have for $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} B_{2n}^0(\chi_I) B_0^{2n}(\chi_I) \Phi &= [B_{2n}^0(\chi_I), B_0^{2n}(\chi_I)] \Phi \\ &= \sum_{L=1}^{2n} 2nL(2n)^{(L)} B_{2n-L}^{2n-L}(\phi^{L-1} \chi_I) \Phi \\ &= 2n2n(2n)^{(2n)} B_0^0(\phi^{2n-1} \chi_I) \Phi = (2n)! \int_I \phi^{2n-1}(s) ds \Phi \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\langle B_{2n}^0(\chi_I) B_0^{2n}(\chi_I) \rangle = (2n)! \int_I \phi^{2n-1}(s) ds = (2n)! I_{2n-1}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{2n}^0(\chi_I) (B_0^n(\chi_I))^2 \Phi &= \\ &= (B_0^n(\chi_I) B_{2n}^0(\chi_I) + [B_{2n}^0(\chi_I), B_0^n(\chi_I)]) B_0^n(\chi_I) \Phi \\ &= B_0^n(\chi_I) B_{2n}^0(\chi_I) B_0^n(\chi_I) \Phi + [B_{2n}^0(\chi_I), B_0^n(\chi_I)] B_0^n(\chi_I) \Phi \\ &= B_0^n(\chi_I) [B_{2n}^0(\chi_I), B_0^n(\chi_I)] \Phi + [B_{2n}^0(\chi_I), B_0^n(\chi_I)] B_0^n(\chi_I) \Phi \\ &= B_0^n(\chi_I) \sum_{L=1}^n \hat{b}_L(2n, n) B_{2n-L}^{n-L}(\phi^{L-1} \chi_I) \Phi \\ &\quad + \sum_{L=1}^n \hat{b}_L(2n, n) B_{2n-L}^{n-L}(\phi^{L-1} \chi_I) B_0^n(\chi_I) \Phi \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 0 + \sum_{L=1}^n \hat{b}_L(2n, n) [B_{2n-L}^{n-L}(\phi^{L-1} \chi_I), B_0^n(\chi_I)] \Phi \\
&= \sum_{L_1=1}^n \sum_{L_2=1}^n \hat{b}_{L_1}(2n, n) \hat{b}_{L_2}(2n - L_1, n) B_{2n-(L_1+L_2)}^{2n-(L_1+L_2)}(\phi^{L_1+L_2-2} \chi_I) \Phi \\
&= \hat{b}_n(2n, n) \hat{b}_n(n, n) B_0^0(\phi^{2n-2} \chi_I) \Phi = (2n)! \int_I \phi^{2n-2}(s) ds \Phi
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\langle B_{2n}^0(\chi_I) (B_0^n(\chi_I))^2 \rangle = (2n)! \int_I \phi^{2n-2}(s) ds = (2n)! I_{2n-2}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_n^0(\chi_I) (B_0^n(\chi_I))^2 \Phi = \\
&(B_0^n(\chi_I) B_n^0(\chi_I) + [B_n^0(\chi_I), B_0^n(\chi_I)]) B_0^n(\chi_I) \Phi \\
&= B_0^n(\chi_I) B_n^0(\chi_I) B_0^n(\chi_I) \Phi + [B_n^0(\chi_I), B_0^n(\chi_I)] B_0^n(\chi_I) \Phi \\
&= B_0^n(\chi_I) (B_0^n(\chi_I) B_n^0(\chi_I) + [B_n^0(\chi_I), B_0^n(\chi_I)]) \Phi + [B_n^0(\chi_I), B_0^n(\chi_I)] B_0^n(\chi_I) \Phi \\
&= B_0^n(\chi_I) [B_n^0(\chi_I), B_0^n(\chi_I)] \Phi + [B_n^0(\chi_I), B_0^n(\chi_I)] B_0^n(\chi_I) \Phi \\
&= B_0^n(\chi_I) \sum_{L=1}^n \hat{b}_L(n, n) B_{n-L}^{n-L}(\phi^{L-1} \chi_I) \Phi + \sum_{L=1}^n \hat{b}_L(n, n) B_{n-L}^{n-L}(\phi^{L-1} \chi_I) B_0^n(\chi_I) \Phi \\
&= B_0^n(\chi_I) \hat{b}_n(n, n) B_0^0(\phi^{n-1} \chi_I) \Phi
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{L=1}^n \hat{b}_L(n, n) (B_0^n(\chi_I) B_{n-L}^{n-L}(\phi^{L-1} \chi_I) + [B_{n-L}^{n-L}(\phi^{L-1} \chi_I), B_0^n(\chi_I)]) \Phi \\
& = \hat{b}_n(n, n) \int_I \phi^{n-1}(s) ds B_0^n(\chi_I) \Phi + \hat{b}_n(n, n) B_0^n(\chi_I) B_0^0(\phi^{n-1} \chi_I) \Phi \\
& \quad + \sum_{L_1=1}^n \sum_{L_2=1}^{n-L_1} \hat{b}_{L_1}(n, n) \hat{b}_{L_2}(n-L_1, n) B_{n-(L_1+L_2)}^{2n-(L_1+L_2)}(\phi^{L_1+L_2-2} \chi_I) \Phi \\
& = 2 \hat{b}_n(n, n) \int_I \phi^{n-1}(s) ds B_0^n(\chi_I) \Phi + \sum_{L=1}^{n-1} \hat{b}_L(n, n) \hat{b}_{n-L}(n-L, n) B_0^n(\phi^{n-2} \chi_I) \Phi \\
& = 2(n!) \int_I \phi^{n-1}(s) ds B_0^n(\chi_I) \Phi + ((2n)^{(n)} - 2(n!)) B_0^n(\phi^{n-2} \chi_I) \Phi
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& (B_0^n(\chi_I))^2 (B_0^n(\chi_I))^2 \Phi = \\
& 2(n!) I_{n-1}(s) B_0^n(\chi_I) B_0^n(\chi_I) \Phi + ((2n)^{(n)} - 2(n!)) B_0^n(\chi_I) B_0^n(\phi^{n-2} \chi_I) \Phi \\
& = 2(n!) I_{n-1} [B_0^n(\chi_I), B_0^n(\chi_I)] \Phi + ((2n)^{(n)} - 2(n!)) [B_0^n(\chi_I), B_0^n(\phi^{n-2} \chi_I)] \Phi \\
& = 2(n!)^2 (I_{n-1})^2 \Phi + ((2n)^{(n)} - 2(n!)) \sum_{L=1}^n \hat{b}_L(n, n) B_{n-L}^{n-L}(\phi^{n-2+L-1} \chi_I) \Phi \\
& = 2(n!)^2 (I_{n-1})^2 \Phi + ((2n)^{(n)} - 2(n!)) \hat{b}_n(n, n) B_0^0(\phi^{2n-3} \chi_I) \Phi \\
& = 2(n!)^2 (I_{n-1})^2 \Phi + ((2n)^{(n)} - 2(n!)) (n!) I_{2n-3} \Phi
\end{aligned}$$

$$= 2 (n!)^2 (I_{n-1})^2 \Phi + ((2n)! - 2 (n!)^2) I_{2n-3} \Phi$$

and so

$$\langle (B_n^0(\chi_I))^2 (B_0^n(\chi_I))^2 \rangle = 2 (n!)^2 (I_{n-1})^2 + ((2n)! - 2 (n!)^2) I_{2n-3}$$

Thus the matrix A of the counter-example of Section 1 has the form

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \begin{bmatrix} \langle B_{2n}^0(\chi_I) B_0^{2n}(\chi_I) \rangle & \langle B_{2n}^0(\chi_I) (B_0^n(\chi_I))^2 \rangle \\ \langle B_{2n}^0(\chi_I) (B_0^n(\chi_I))^2 \rangle & \langle (B_n^0(\chi_I))^2 (B_0^n(\chi_I))^2 \rangle \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (2n)! I_{2n-1} & (2n)! I_{2n-2} \\ (2n)! I_{2n-2} & 2 (n!)^2 (I_{n-1})^2 + ((2n)! - 2 (n!)^2) I_{2n-3} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

with minor determinants

$$d_1 = (2n)! I_{2n-1}$$

which will be ≥ 0 if

$$I_{2n-1} \geq 0 \tag{16}$$

for all n and $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} d_2 &= (2n)! (2 (n!)^2 I_{2n-1} (I_{n-1})^2 \\ &\quad + ((2n)! - 2 (n!)^2) I_{2n-1} I_{2n-3} - (2n)! (I_{2n-2})^2) \end{aligned}$$

which will be ≥ 0 if

$$2 (n!)^2 I_{2n-1} (I_{n-1})^2 + ((2n)! - 2 (n!)^2) I_{2n-1} I_{2n-3} - (2n)! (I_{2n-2})^2 \geq 0$$

i.e if

$$((2n)! - 2 (n!)^2) I_{2n-1} I_{2n-3} \geq (2n)! (I_{2n-2})^2 - 2 (n!)^2 I_{2n-1} (I_{n-1})^2$$

which will be satisfied if

$$(I_{2n-2})^2 = I_{2n-1} I_{2n-3} \tag{17}$$

and

$$I_{2n-1} (I_{n-1})^2 \geq I_{2n-1} I_{2n-3} \tag{18}$$

for all n and $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. It was condition (18) that created all the trouble in the counter-example of Section 1.

3 A renormalization suggested by conditions (16)-(18)

We notice that if $\text{supp}(\phi) \cap I = \emptyset$ then conditions (16)-(18) are trivially satisfied. If $\text{supp}(\phi) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ then conditions (16)-(18) are satisfied by $I_n = 1$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$, which is true if $\phi^n = \delta$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$. The renormalization rule (13) then becomes

$$\delta^l(t-s) = \delta(s) \delta(t-s), \quad l = 2, 3, \dots \quad (19)$$

and (2) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} [b_t^{\dagger n} b_t^k, b_s^{\dagger N} b_s^K] = & \quad (20) \\ & \epsilon_{k,0} \epsilon_{N,0} (k N b_t^{\dagger n} b_s^{\dagger N-1} b_t^{k-1} b_s^k \delta(t-s) \\ & + \sum_{L \geq 2} k L N^{(L)} b_t^{\dagger n} b_s^{\dagger N-L} b_t^{k-L} b_s^K \delta(s) \delta(t-s)) \\ & - \epsilon_{K,0} \epsilon_{n,0} (K n b_s^{\dagger N} b_t^{\dagger n-1} b_s^{K-1} b_t^k \delta(t-s) \\ & + \sum_{L \geq 2} K L n^{(L)} b_s^{\dagger N} b_t^{\dagger n-L} b_s^{K-L} b_t^k \delta(s) \delta(t-s)) \end{aligned}$$

which, after multiplying both sides by $f(t)g(s)$ and integrating the resulting identity, yields the commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [B_k^n(g), B_K^N(f)] = & (\epsilon_{k,0} \epsilon_{N,0} k N - \epsilon_{K,0} \epsilon_{n,0} K n) B_{K+k-1}^{N+n-1}(gf) \quad (21) \\ & + \sum_{L=2}^{(K \wedge n) \vee (k \wedge N)} \theta_L(n, k; N, K) g(0) f(0) b_0^{\dagger N+n-l} b_0^{K+k-l} \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_L(n, k; N, K)$ is as in (7). We can write (21) as

$$[B_k^n(g), B_K^N(f)] = (\epsilon_{k,0} \epsilon_{N,0} k N - \epsilon_{K,0} \epsilon_{n,0} K n) B_{K+k-1}^{N+n-1}(gf) \quad (22)$$

$$+ \sum_{L=2}^{(K \wedge n) \vee (k \wedge N)} \theta_L(n, k; N, K) B_{K+k-L}^{N+n-L}(g f \delta)$$

and notice that repeated commutations with the use of (22) will introduce terms containing $\delta(0)$.

4 The canonical RHPWN commutation relations

We may eliminate the singular terms from (21) by restricting to test functions f that satisfy $f(0) = 0$. We then define the canonical RHPWN commutation relations as follows.

Definition 1 *For right-continuous step functions f, g such that $f(0) = g(0) = 0$ we define*

$$[B_k^n(g), B_K^N(f)]_R := (kN - Kn) B_{k+K-1}^{n+N-1}(gf) \quad (23)$$

Letting

$$C(n, k; N, K) := \begin{bmatrix} N & n \\ K & k \end{bmatrix} \quad (24)$$

commutation relations (23) can also be written as

$$[B_k^n(g), B_K^N(f)]_R = \det C(n, k; N, K) B_{k+K-1}^{n+N-1}(gf) \quad (25)$$

Proposition 1 *Commutation relations (23) define a Lie algebra.*

Proof 4 *Clearly, for all test functions f, g and $n, k, N, K \geq 0$,*

$$[B_K^N(g), B_K^N(f)]_R = 0$$

and

$$[B_K^N(g), B_k^n(f)]_R = -[B_k^n(f), B_K^N(g)]_R$$

To show that commutation relations (23) satisfy the Jacobi identity we must show that for all test functions f, g, h and $n_i, k_i \geq 0$, where $i = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} & [B_{k_1}^{n_1}(f), [B_{k_2}^{n_2}(g), B_{k_3}^{n_3}(h)]_R]_R + [B_{k_3}^{n_3}(h), [B_{k_1}^{n_1}(f), B_{k_2}^{n_2}(g)]_R]_R \\ & + [B_{k_2}^{n_2}(g), [B_{k_3}^{n_3}(h), B_{k_1}^{n_1}(f)]_R]_R = 0 \end{aligned}$$

i.e. that

$$\begin{aligned} & \det C(n_2, k_2; n_3, k_3) \det C(n_1, k_1; n_2 + n_3 - 1, k_2 + k_3 - 1) + \\ & \det C(n_1, k_1; n_2, k_2) \det C(n_3, k_3; n_1 + n_2 - 1, k_1 + k_2 - 1) + \\ & \det C(n_3, k_3; n_1, k_1) \det C(n_2, k_2; n_3 + n_1 - 1, k_3 + k_1 - 1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which is the same as

$$\begin{aligned} & (n_2 k_3 - n_3 k_2)(n_1 k_2 + n_1 k_3 - n_1 - k_1 n_2 - k_1 n_3 + k_1) + \\ & (n_1 k_2 - n_2 k_1)(n_3 k_1 + n_3 k_2 - n_3 - n_1 k_3 - n_2 k_3 + k_3) + \\ & (n_3 k_1 - n_1 k_3)(n_2 k_3 + n_2 k_1 - n_2 - n_3 k_2 - n_1 k_2 + k_2) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and is easily seen to be true.

5 The w_∞ algebra

Definition 2 The w_∞ algebra (see [5], [12]) is the infinite dimensional non-associative Lie algebra spanned by the generators \hat{B}_k^n , where $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \geq 2$, with commutation relations

$$[\hat{B}_k^n, \hat{B}_K^N]_{w_\infty} = ((N-1)k - (n-1)K) \hat{B}_{k+K}^{n+N-2} \quad (26)$$

and adjoint condition

$$\left(\hat{B}_k^n\right)^* = \hat{B}_{-k}^n \quad (27)$$

The w_∞ algebra is the basic algebraic structure of Conformal Field Theory in the study of quantum membranes. Since it contains as a sub algebra the Virasoro algebra with commutations

$$[\hat{B}_k^2(g), \hat{B}_K^2(f)]_V := (k - K) \hat{B}_{k+K}^2(gf)$$

w_∞ can be viewed as an extended conformal algebra with an infinite number of additional symmetries (see [5]-[8], [12], [14], [15]). The elements of w_∞ are interpreted as area preserving diffeomorphisms of 2-manifolds. A quantum deformation of w_∞ , called W_∞ and defined as a, large N , limit of Zamolodchikov's W_N algebra (see [15]), has been studied extensively (see [6]-[8], [12], [14]) in connection to two-dimensional Conformal Field Theory and Quantum Gravity. w_∞ is a "classical" or "Gel'fand-Dikii" algebra (see [10]) in the sense that it is a W algebra (see [12]) where all central terms are set to zero.

6 Poisson brackets

The construction produced in the following section was inspired by the analogy with the realization of the w -algebra in terms of Poisson brackets. This realization is well known and, in the following, we recall it briefly.

Definition 3 For scalar-valued differentiable functions $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$, the Poisson bracket $\{f, g\}$ is defined by

$$\{f, g\} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}$$

We notice that the functions $f(x, y) = x$ and $g(x, y) = y$ satisfy $\{f, g\} = 1$ which we can write as

$$\{x, y\} = 1$$

in analogy with the Canonical Commutation Relations (CCR). We can model commutation relations (26) and the adjoint condition (27) using the Poisson bracket as follows:

Proposition 2 For $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \geq 2$, let $f_{n,k} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by $f_{n,k}(x, y) = e^{ikx} y^{n-1}$. Then

$$\{f_{n,k}(x, y), f_{N,K}(x, y)\} = i (k(N - 1) - K(n - 1)) f_{n+N-2,k+K}(x, y) \quad (28)$$

and

$$\overline{f}_{n,k}(x, y) = f_{n,-k}(x, y)$$

Proof 5 By the definition of the Poisson bracket,

$$\{f_{n,k}(x, y), f_{N,K}(x, y)\} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(e^{ikx} y^{n-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(e^{iKx} y^{N-1})$$

$$- \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(e^{ikx} y^{n-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(e^{iKx} y^{N-1})$$

$$= i (k(N - 1) - K(n - 1)) e^{i(k+K)x} y^{n+N-3}$$

$$= i (k(N - 1) - K(n - 1)) f_{n+N-2,k+K}(x, y)$$

Moreover,

$$\overline{f}_{n,k}(x, y) = \overline{e^{ikx} y^{n-1}} = e^{-ikx} y^{n-1} = f_{n,-k}(x, y)$$

Using the prescription

$$[A, B] = \frac{\hbar}{i} \{A, B\}$$

we thus obtain, letting $\hbar = 1$, that the quantized version of (28) is

$$[f_{n,k}, f_{N,K}] = (k(N - 1) - K(n - 1)) f_{n+N-2,k+K}$$

which is precisely (26). Similarly, we can model commutation relations (23) and the RHPWN adjoint condition $(B_k^n)^* = B_n^k$ using the Poisson bracket as follows:

Proposition 3 For $n, k \geq 0$, let $g_{n,k} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by

$$g_{n,k}(x, y) = \left(\frac{x + iy}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^n \left(\frac{x - iy}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^k$$

Then

$$\{g_{n,k}(x, y), g_{N,K}(x, y)\} = i (kN - nK) g_{n+N-1, k+K-1}(x, y) \quad (29)$$

and

$$\bar{g}_{n,k}(x, y) = g_{k,n}(x, y)$$

Proof 6 By the definition of the Poisson bracket,

$$\begin{aligned} & \{g_{n,k}(x, y), g_{N,K}(x, y)\} = \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\left(\frac{x + iy}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^n \left(\frac{x - iy}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^k \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\left(\frac{x + iy}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^N \left(\frac{x - iy}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^K \right) \\ & - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\left(\frac{x + iy}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^n \left(\frac{x - iy}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^k \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\left(\frac{x + iy}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^N \left(\frac{x - iy}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^K \right) \\ & = i (kN - nK) 2^{1 - \frac{n+k+N+K}{2}} (x + iy)^{n+N-1} (x - iy)^{k+K-1} \\ & = i (kN - nK) \left(\frac{x + iy}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{n+N-1} \left(\frac{x - iy}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{k+K-1} \\ & = i (kN - nK) g_{n+N-1, k+K-1}(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\bar{g}_{n,k}(x, y) = \overline{\left(\frac{x + iy}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^n \left(\frac{x - iy}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^k} = \left(\frac{x - iy}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^n \left(\frac{x + iy}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^k = g_{k,n}(x, y)$$

We therefore have, as above, that the quantized version of (29) is

$$[g_{n,k}, g_{N,K}] = (kN - nK) g_{n+N-1, k+K-1}$$

which is (23).

7 White noise form of the w_∞ generators and commutation relations

Motivated by the results of the previous section we introduce the following:

Definition 4 For right-continuous step functions f, g such that $f(0) = g(0) = 0$, and for $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \geq 2$, we define

$$\hat{B}_k^n(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} \left(\frac{b_t + b_t^\dagger}{2} \right)^{n-1} e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} dt \quad (30)$$

with involution

$$\left(\hat{B}_k^n(f) \right)^* = \hat{B}_{-k}^n(\bar{f})$$

In particular,

$$\hat{B}_k^2(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} \left(\frac{b_t + b_t^\dagger}{2} \right) e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} dt \quad (31)$$

is the RPQWN form of the Virasoro operators

The integral on the right hand side of (30) is meant in the sense that one expands the exponential series (resp. the power), applies the commutation relations (2) to bring the resulting expression to normal order, introduces the renormalization prescription (19), integrates the resulting expressions after multiplication by a test function and interprets the result as a quadratic form on the exponential vectors.

Lemma 2 Let x , D and h be three operators satisfying the Heisenberg commutation relations

$$[D, x] = h, \quad [D, h] = [x, h] = 0$$

Then, for all $s, a, c \in \mathbb{C}$

$$e^{s(x+aD+ch)} = e^{sx} e^{saD} e^{(sc+\frac{s^2a}{2})h}$$

$$e^{sD} e^{ax} = e^{ax} e^{sD} e^{ash}$$

and for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$D^n x^m = \sum_{j=1}^{n \wedge m} n, m j x^{m-j} D^{n-j} h^j$$

where

$$n, m j = n j m j j!$$

Proof 7 This is just a combination of Propositions 2.2.2, 2.2.1 and 4.1.1 of [9].

Lemma 3 In the notation of lemma 2, for all $\lambda \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$

$$D^\lambda e^{ax} = e^{ax} \sum_{m=0}^{\lambda} \lambda m D^m (ah)^{\lambda-m}$$

and

$$e^{sD} x^\lambda = \sum_{m=0}^{\lambda} \lambda m x^m (sh)^{\lambda-m} e^{sD}$$

Proof 8 By lemma 2

$$\begin{aligned} D^\lambda e^{ax} &= \frac{\partial^\lambda}{\partial s^\lambda} \Big|_{s=0} (e^{sD} e^{ax}) = \frac{\partial^\lambda}{\partial s^\lambda} \Big|_{s=0} (e^{ax} e^{sD} e^{ash}) = e^{ax} \frac{\partial^\lambda}{\partial s^\lambda} \Big|_{s=0} (e^{sD} e^{ash}) \\ &= e^{ax} \sum_{m=0}^{\lambda} \lambda m \frac{\partial^m}{\partial s^m} \Big|_{s=0} (e^{sD}) \frac{\partial^{\lambda-m}}{\partial s^{\lambda-m}} \Big|_{s=0} (e^{ash}) = e^{ax} \sum_{m=0}^{\lambda} \lambda m D^m (ah)^{\lambda-m} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{sD} x^\lambda &= \frac{\partial^\lambda}{\partial a^\lambda} \Big|_{a=0} (e^{sD} e^{ax}) = \frac{\partial^\lambda}{\partial a^\lambda} \Big|_{a=0} (e^{ax} e^{sD} e^{ash}) = \frac{\partial^\lambda}{\partial a^\lambda} \Big|_{a=0} (e^{ax} e^{ash}) e^{sD} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\lambda} \lambda m \frac{\partial^m}{\partial a^m} \Big|_{a=0} (e^{ax}) \frac{\partial^{\lambda-m}}{\partial a^{\lambda-m}} \Big|_{a=0} (e^{ash}) e^{sD} = \sum_{m=0}^{\lambda} \lambda m x^m (sh)^{\lambda-m} e^{sD} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4 *Let the exponential and powers of white noise be interpreted as described in Definition (4). Then:*

(i) *For fixed $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$, the operators $D = b_t - b_t^\dagger$, $x = b_s + b_s^\dagger$ and $h = 2\delta(t - s)$ satisfy the commutation relations of lemma 2.*

(ii) *For fixed $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$, the operators $D = b_t + b_t^\dagger$, $x = b_s - b_s^\dagger$ and $h = -2\delta(t - s)$ satisfy the commutation relations of lemma 2.*

Proof 9 *To prove (i) we notice that*

$$[D, x] = [b_t - b_t^\dagger, b_s + b_s^\dagger] = [b_t, b_s^\dagger] - [b_t^\dagger, b_s] = [b_t, b_s^\dagger] + [b_s, b_t^\dagger] = \delta(t-s) + \delta(s-t) = h$$

while, clearly, $[D, h] = [x, h] = 0$. The proof of (ii) is similar.

Proposition 4 *If f, g are right-continuous step functions such that $f(0) = g(0) = 0$ and the powers of the delta function are renormalized by the prescription (19), then*

$$[\hat{B}_k^n(g), \hat{B}_K^N(f)] = (k(N-1) - K(n-1)) \hat{B}_{k+K}^{n+N-2}(gf) \quad (32)$$

i.e the operators \hat{B}_k^n of Definition 4 satisfy the commutation relations of the w_∞ algebra. In particular,

$$[\hat{B}_k^2(g), \hat{B}_K^2(f)] = (k - K) \hat{B}_{k+K}^2(gf) \quad (33)$$

i.e the operators \hat{B}_k^2 of Definition 4 satisfy the commutation relations of the Virasoro algebra. Here $[x, y] := xy - yx$ is the usual operator commutator.

Proof 10 *To prove (32), we notice that by Definition 4, its left hand side is*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) f(s) [e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} \left(\frac{b_t + b_t^\dagger}{2} \right)^{n-1} e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} \\ & e^{\frac{K}{2}(b_s - b_s^\dagger)} \left(\frac{b_s + b_s^\dagger}{2} \right)^{N-1} e^{\frac{K}{2}(b_s - b_s^\dagger)}] dt ds \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) f(s) e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} \left(\frac{b_t + b_t^\dagger}{2} \right)^{n-1} e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times e^{\frac{K}{2}(b_s - b_s^\dagger)} \left(\frac{b_s + b_s^\dagger}{2} \right)^{N-1} e^{\frac{K}{2}(b_s - b_s^\dagger)} dt ds \\
& - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) f(s) e^{\frac{K}{2}(b_s - b_s^\dagger)} \left(\frac{b_s + b_s^\dagger}{2} \right)^{N-1} e^{\frac{K}{2}(b_s - b_s^\dagger)} \\
& \quad \times e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} \left(\frac{b_t + b_t^\dagger}{2} \right)^{n-1} e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} dt ds
\end{aligned}$$

which, since $[b_t - b_t^\dagger, b_s + b_s^\dagger] = 0$, is

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) f(s) e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} \left(\frac{b_t + b_t^\dagger}{2} \right)^{n-1} e^{\frac{K}{2}(b_s - b_s^\dagger)} \\
& \quad \times e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} \left(\frac{b_s + b_s^\dagger}{2} \right)^{N-1} e^{\frac{K}{2}(b_s - b_s^\dagger)} dt ds \\
& - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) f(s) e^{\frac{K}{2}(b_s - b_s^\dagger)} \left(\frac{b_s + b_s^\dagger}{2} \right)^{N-1} e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} \\
& \quad \times e^{\frac{K}{2}(b_s - b_s^\dagger)} \left(\frac{b_t + b_t^\dagger}{2} \right)^{n-1} e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} dt ds \\
& = \frac{1}{2^{n+N-2}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) f(s) e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} (b_t + b_t^\dagger)^{n-1} e^{\frac{K}{2}(b_s - b_s^\dagger)} \right. \\
& \quad \times e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} (b_s + b_s^\dagger)^{N-1} e^{\frac{K}{2}(b_s - b_s^\dagger)} dt ds \\
& \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) f(s) e^{\frac{K}{2}(b_s - b_s^\dagger)} (b_s + b_s^\dagger)^{N-1} e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} e^{\frac{K}{2}(b_s - b_s^\dagger)} \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times (b_t + b_t^\dagger)^{n-1} e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} dt ds \}$$

Since, by lemmas 3 and 4,

$$e^{\frac{K}{2}(b_s - b_s^\dagger)} (b_t + b_t^\dagger)^{n-1} =$$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} n-1m (b_t + b_t^\dagger)^m K^{n-1-m} \delta^{n-1-m}(t-s) e^{\frac{K}{2}(b_s - b_s^\dagger)}$$

and

$$e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} (b_s + b_s^\dagger)^{N-1} =$$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{N-1} N-1m (b_s + b_s^\dagger)^m k^{N-1-m} \delta^{N-1-m}(t-s) e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)}$$

and

$$(b_t + b_t^\dagger)^{n-1} e^{\frac{K}{2}(b_s - b_s^\dagger)} =$$

$$e^{\frac{K}{2}(b_s - b_s^\dagger)} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} n-1m (b_t + b_t^\dagger)^m K^{n-1-m} (-1)^{n-1-m} \delta^{n-1-m}(t-s)$$

and

$$(b_s + b_s^\dagger)^{N-1} e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} =$$

$$e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} N-1m (b_s + b_s^\dagger)^m k^{N-1-m} (-1)^{N-1-m} \delta^{N-1-m}(t-s)$$

we find that

$$[\hat{B}_k^n(g), \hat{B}_K^N(f)] = \frac{1}{2^{n+N-2}} \left\{ \sum_{m_1=0}^{n-1} \sum_{m_2=0}^{N-1} n-1m_1 N-1m_2 \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times (-1)^{n-1-m_1} K^{n-1-m_1} k^{N-1-m_2} \\
& \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) f(s) e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} e^{\frac{K}{2}(b_s - b_s^\dagger)} \\
& \times (b_t + b_t^\dagger)^{m_1} (b_s + b_s^\dagger)^{m_2} e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} e^{\frac{K}{2}(b_s - b_s^\dagger)} \\
& \times \delta^{n-1-m_1}(t-s) \delta^{N-1-m_2}(t-s) dt ds \\
& - \sum_{m_3=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m_4=0}^{n-1} N-1 m_3 n-1 m_4 (-1)^{N-1-m_3} k^{N-1-m_3} K^{n-1-m_4} \\
& \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) f(s) e^{\frac{K}{2}(b_s - b_s^\dagger)} e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} \\
& \times (b_s + b_s^\dagger)^{m_3} (b_t + b_t^\dagger)^{m_4} e^{\frac{K}{2}(b_s - b_s^\dagger)} e^{\frac{k}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} \\
& \times \delta^{N-1-m_3}(t-s) \delta^{n-1-m_4}(t-s) dt ds \}
\end{aligned}$$

The case $(m_1 = n - 1, m_2 = N - 1)$ cancels out with $(m_3 = N - 1, m_4 = n - 1)$. By the renormalization prescription (19) and the choice of test functions that vanish at zero, the terms $\sum_{m_1=0}^{n-3} \sum_{m_2=0}^{N-3}$ and $\sum_{m_3=0}^{N-3} \sum_{m_4=0}^{n-3}$ are equal to zero. The only surviving terms are $(m_1 = n - 1, m_2 = N - 2)$, $(m_1 = n - 2, m_2 = N - 1)$, $(m_3 = N - 1, m_4 = n - 2)$ and $(m_3 = N - 2, m_4 = n - 1)$ and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\hat{B}_k^n(g), \hat{B}_K^N(f)] = \\
& = \frac{1}{2^{n+N-2}} ((N-1)k - (n-1)K - (n-1)K + (N-1)k)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) f(t) e^{\frac{k+K}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} (b_t + b_t^\dagger)^{n+N-3} e^{\frac{k+K}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} dt \\
& = \frac{2}{2^{n+N-2}} ((N-1)k - (n-1)K) \\
& \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) f(t) e^{\frac{k+K}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} (b_t + b_t^\dagger)^{n+N-3} e^{\frac{k+K}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} dt \\
& = \frac{1}{2^{n+N-3}} ((N-1)k - (n-1)K) \\
& \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) f(t) e^{\frac{k+K}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} (b_t + b_t^\dagger)^{n+N-3} e^{\frac{k+K}{2}(b_t - b_t^\dagger)} dt \\
& = (k(N-1) - K(n-1)) \hat{B}_{k+K}^{n+N-2}(gf)
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of (33) follows from (32) by letting $n = N = 2$.

References

- [1] L. Accardi , A. Boukas, U. Franz, Renormalized powers of quantum white noise, *Infinite Dimensional Anal. Quantum Probab. Related Topics* 9 (1) (2006) 129–147.
- [2] L. Accardi , A. Boukas, U. Franz, Higher Powers of q -deformed White Noise, to appear in *Methods of Functional Analysis and Topology* (2006).
- [3] L. Accardi , A. Boukas, U. Franz, Renormalized higher powers of white noise (RHPWN) and conformal field theory, *Infinite Dimensional Anal. Quantum Probab. Related Topics* 9 (3) (2006) 353–360.

- [4] L. Accardi , Y. G. Lu, I. V. Volovich, White noise approach to classical and quantum stochastic calculi, *Lecture Notes of the Volterra International School of the same title*, Trento, Italy, 1999, Volterra Center preprint 375, Università di Roma Torvergata.
- [5] K. Akhouch, E. H. El Kinani, Generalized Clifford algebras and certain infinite dimensional Lie algebras, *Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras* 10 (1) (2000) 1–16.
- [6] I. Bakas, E.B. Kiritsis, Structure and representations of the W_∞ algebra, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Supp.* 102 (1991) 15.
- [7] I. Bakas, E.B. Kiritsis, Bosonic realization of a universal W-algebra and Z_∞ parafermions, *Nucl. Phys.* B343 (1990) 185.
- [8] E. H. El Kinani, M. Zakkari, On the q-deformation of certain infinite dimensional Lie algebras, *International Center for Theoretical Physics*, IC/95/163.
- [9] P. J. Feinsilver, R. Schott, *Algebraic structures and operator calculus. Volume I: Representations and probability theory*, Kluwer 1993.
- [10] I. M. Gel'fand, L. A. Dikii, A family of Hamiltonian structures connected with integrable non-linear differential equations, IPM AN USSR preprint, Moscow, 1978; in *Gel'fand Collected Papers*, edited by Gindikin et al., Springer-Verlag N.Y, 1987, p. 625
- [11] K. Ito , *On stochastic differential equations*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1951).
- [12] S. V. Ketov , *Conformal field theory*, World Scientific, 1995.
- [13] K. R. Parthasarathy , *An introduction to quantum stochastic calculus*, Birkhauser Boston Inc., 1992.
- [14] C. N. Pope, Lectures on W algebras and W gravity, *Lectures given at the Trieste Summer School in High-Energy Physics*, August 1991.
- [15] A.B. Zamolodchikov, Infinite additional symmetries in two-dimensional conformal quantum field theory, *Teo. Mat. Fiz.* 65 (1985), 347–359.