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SUMMARY. The homogenization problem for random composites comprising radially-graded fibres is dealt with, in the framework of antiplane shear deformations, by generalizing the Rayleigh multipole expansion method. The statistics of the effective moduli are obtained in simulation. The feasibility of reducing the shear stress at the fibre/matrix interface by properly grading the fibre stiffness along the radius is proved.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The homogenization problem for composites with long, parallel, randomly arranged fibres is dealt with here, in the framework of antiplane shear deformations. A peculiar feature of this study is that fibres are made of functionally graded material [1], i.e., they have composition which vary continuously along the radius, resulting in a corresponding variation of their stiffness, which can thus be tailored for reducing the stress level at the fibre/matrix interface.

A repeating unit cell (RUC) of the material is considered (Figure 1), and periodic boundary conditions are enforced [2], by generalizing an approach tracing back to the classical Rayleigh multipole expansion method [ $3,4,5$ ], to the present multiple-inclusion situation. In particular, the solution over the matrix domain is represented by superimposing the multipole expansions relevant to each fibre of the RUC, given by series of doubly-periodic functions arising from the theory of Weierstrass elliptic functions. The actual solution is then obtained through the identification of the above representation with the Fourier-series representation around each fibre. After satisfying the field equilibrium equation in the fibre and matrix domains, and enforcing the equilibrium and compatibility condition at the fibre/matrix interface, an infinite system of linear algebraic equations is derived, which is truncated to a finite order and numerically solved. The numerical implementation exhibits exponential asymptotic convergence rate with respect to the truncation order of the involved series representations. The proposed method is especially useful for composites comprising nearly touching fibres (e.g., Figure 1), since in those cases FEM solutions require extremely fine meshes to properly resolve the relevant narrow regions.

Monte Carlo simulations are used to approximate the statistics of the effective moduli. It is shown that for sufficiently large RUCs, no bias is introduced in the estimation by edge effects generated by the periodic boundary conditions. Hence, sufficiently large RUCs can be used to obtain a fair estimate of the effective moduli. However, a stochastic dispersion is inherent to different RUC realizations. A fit of the relevant standard deviation versus the RUC size is obtained in simulation, and used to estimate the number of realizations that must be generated, solved and averaged, in order to reach a required accuracy of the effective moduli.

These results enable the analysis of random composites comprising radially-graded fibres. It is shown that suitably tuning the fibre grading profile is a feasible way to reduce the shear stress at the fibre/matrix interfaces, without reducing the overall stiffness of the material. This outcome raises attention on the possibility of devising innovative composite materials, specifically designed to have


Figure 1: A stochastic realization of a repeating unit cell (RUC) of the composite.
better performance with respect to the risk of fibre/matrix debonding.

## 2 STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Reference is made to a fibre-reinforced composite material, with long, parallel fibres, randomly distributed in the material with a statistically homogeneous microstructure. Fibres have a circular cross section and their radii may have a known random distribution.

A repeating unit cell (RUC) is considered, arranged in a periodic lattice. The RUC is assumed to be a parallelogram, with sides $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ forming an angle $\varphi$. It contains the centres of $F$ fibres, denoted by $C_{j}$, with radii $R_{j}, j=1 \ldots F$.

The effective material shear moduli are obtained here by asymptotic homogenization: to this end, a family of problems is introduced, indexed by a parameter $\varepsilon$ scaling the microstructure. The value $\varepsilon=1$ refers to the real composite material under consideration, whereas the homogenization limit is obtained by letting the parameter $\varepsilon$ go to zero.

In the framework of antiplane shear deformations, the problem of determining the longitudinal displacement field $w_{\varepsilon}$ in the composite domain is stated as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{div}\left(\boldsymbol{G} \nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right) & =0, & & \text { in } \cup_{j} \Omega_{j \varepsilon}^{\mathrm{f}} \cup \Omega_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{m}} ;  \tag{1}\\
\llbracket \boldsymbol{G} \nabla w_{\varepsilon} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} \rrbracket & =0, & & \text { on } \cup_{j} \Gamma_{j \varepsilon} ; \\
\boldsymbol{G} \nabla w_{\varepsilon} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} & =\frac{1}{\varepsilon} D_{j} \llbracket w_{\varepsilon} \rrbracket, & & \text { on } \cup_{j} \Gamma_{j \varepsilon} . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\cup_{j} \Omega_{j \varepsilon}^{\mathrm{f}}$ and $\Omega_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{m}}$ denote fibres and matrix domains respectively, $\cup_{j} \Gamma_{j \varepsilon}$ is the ensemble of fibre/matrix interfaces, $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is the normal unit vector to $\cup_{j} \Gamma_{j \varepsilon}$ pointing into $\Omega_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{m}}$, and square brackets $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$ denote the jump of the enclosed quantity across the interface, defined as extra-fibre value minus intra-fibre value.

Equation (1) is the field equilibrium equation; (2) accounts for equilibrium at the fibre/matrix interface, stipulating the continuity of the normal-to-interface component of the shear stress; (3) describes the interface constitution law. These equations must be complemented by suitable boundary conditions on the boundary of the domain $\Omega=\left(\cup_{j} \Omega_{j \varepsilon}^{\mathrm{f}}\right) \cup\left(\cup_{j} \Gamma_{j \varepsilon}\right) \cup \Omega_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{m}}$, but their specification is immaterial for the present treatment.

Fibres and matrix are assumed to be linear elastic, and their shear moduli are collected in the constitutive tensor $\boldsymbol{G}$, which specializes in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{G}=\boldsymbol{G}_{j}^{\mathrm{f}} \quad \text { in } \Omega_{j \varepsilon}^{\mathrm{f}}, j=1 \ldots F, \quad \boldsymbol{G}=\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathrm{m}} \quad \text { in } \Omega_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{m}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix material is homogeneous and isotropic, so that $\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathrm{m}}=G^{\mathrm{m}} \boldsymbol{I}$, with $\boldsymbol{I}$ the second order identity tensor and $G^{\mathrm{m}}$ the matrix shear modulus. Fibres are made of a linear elastic, cylindricallyorthotropic material whose moduli are functionally-graded along the radius. Introducing a polar coordinate system $\left(C_{j}, r_{j}, \theta_{j}\right)$, the fibre elasticity tensor is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{G}_{j}^{\mathrm{f}}=\left(G_{j}^{\mathrm{r}} \boldsymbol{e}_{j}^{\mathrm{r}} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{j}^{\mathrm{r}}+G_{j}^{\theta} \boldsymbol{e}_{j}^{\theta} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{j}^{\theta}\right) g_{j}\left(\rho_{j}\right), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{j}=r_{j} / R_{j}$ is the radial dimensionless coordinate, $\boldsymbol{e}_{j}^{\mathrm{r}}$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_{j}^{\theta}$ are the radial and tangential unit vectors, respectively, $\otimes$ denotes the tensor product, and the dimensionless functions $g_{j}\left(\rho_{j}\right)$ express the material grading law along the radial direction relevant to fibre $j$.

Fibre/matrix interfaces are assumed to have zero-thickness and to be imperfect. The linear spring-layer model, linearly relating the displacement discontinuity $\llbracket w_{\varepsilon} \rrbracket$ to the interface traction $\boldsymbol{G} \nabla w_{\varepsilon} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}$, in terms of the spring constant parameter $D_{j}$ is adopted $[6,7,8]$. As a matter of fact, interfaces have a physical thickness $t$ which, though much smaller than the microstructural length scale $L_{1}$ (or $L_{2}$ ) to justify the present zero-thickness model, rescales as the latter one during the homogenization process. Recalling that the corresponding interface parameter is inversely proportional to the interface thickness, the $\varepsilon^{-1}$ scaling of the interface parameter in the homogenization limit follows [6, 9].

In order to guarantee the well posedness of the above problem, the following hypotheses are assumed:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\mathrm{m}}>0, \quad G_{j}^{\mathrm{r}}>0, \quad G_{j}^{\theta}>0, \quad D_{j}>0, \quad g_{j}\left(\rho_{j}\right)>0 \quad \text { in }(0,1], \quad j=1 \ldots F . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.1 Homogenized equilibrium equation

The asymptotic homogenization method is employed to derive the homogenized or effective constitutive tensor of the composite material. Two different length scales characterize the problem under consideration. Hence, two different space variables are introduced: the macroscopic one, $x$, and the microscopic one, $y=x / \varepsilon, y \in Q$, being $Q$ the RUC (see Figure 1), whose extra-fibre space, intra-fibre space and fibre-matrix interface are denoted by $Q^{\mathrm{m}}, Q_{j}^{\mathrm{f}}$ and $\Gamma_{j}$, for $j=1 \ldots F$, respectively. An asymptotic expansion of the unknown displacement field is considered in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\varepsilon}(x, y)=w_{0}(x, y)+\varepsilon w_{1}(x, y)+\varepsilon^{2} w_{2}(x, y)+\ldots, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{0}, w_{1}, w_{2}$ are $Q$-periodic functions in $y$, and $w_{1}, w_{2}$ have null integral average over $Q$. Substituting (7) into Problem (1)-(3) and equating the power-like terms of $\varepsilon$, three differential problems for $w_{0}, w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ are obtained, respectively, which, following a standard argument [10, 11], yield the homogenized equation for the macroscopic displacement $w_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}_{x}\left(\boldsymbol{G}^{\#} \nabla_{x} w_{0}\right)=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\nabla_{x} w_{0}$ is the macroscopic shear strain, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{G}^{\#}=\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} \boldsymbol{G}\left(\boldsymbol{I}-\nabla_{y}^{\mathrm{t}} \boldsymbol{\chi}\right) \mathrm{d} a \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the effective constitutive tensor, where the superscript ${ }^{t}$ denotes the transpose, $\mathrm{d} a$ is the area element of $Q,|\cdot|$ is the Lebesgue measure, and the cell function $\chi(y)$ has been introduced. Its
components $\chi_{h}, h=1,2$, are the unique, null average, $Q$-periodic solutions of the cell problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{div}_{y}\left[\boldsymbol{G}\left(\nabla_{y} \chi_{h}-\boldsymbol{e}_{h}\right)\right] & =0, & & \text { in } \cup_{j} Q_{j}^{\mathrm{f}} \cup Q^{\mathrm{m}} ;  \tag{10}\\
\llbracket \boldsymbol{G}\left(\nabla_{y} \chi_{h}-\boldsymbol{e}_{h}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} \rrbracket & =0, & & \text { on } \cup_{j} \Gamma_{j} ;  \tag{11}\\
\boldsymbol{G}\left(\nabla_{y} \chi_{h}-\boldsymbol{e}_{h}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} & =D_{j} \llbracket \chi_{h} \rrbracket, & & \text { on } \cup_{j} \Gamma_{j}, \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{e}_{h}$ is the unit vector parallel to the $y_{h}$ axis.
Using the Gauss-Green Lemma and introducing the auxiliary cell function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\boldsymbol{\chi}}=\chi-\left(y_{1} \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+y_{2} \boldsymbol{e}_{2}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(9) is transformed into:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{G}^{\#}=\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathrm{m}}+\sum_{j=1}^{F} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q_{j}^{\mathrm{f}}}\left(\operatorname{div}_{y} \boldsymbol{G}^{\mathrm{f}}\right) \otimes \tilde{\boldsymbol{\chi}} \mathrm{d} a+\sum_{j=1}^{F} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\Gamma_{j}} \llbracket \boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{\nu} \otimes \tilde{\boldsymbol{\chi}} \rrbracket \mathrm{~d} l . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{d} l$ is the line element of $\Gamma_{j}$. Equation (14) yields the effective shear moduli of the composite material in terms of the solution $\chi$ of the cell problem.

In applications, a central role is played by the local shear stress $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\varepsilon}=\boldsymbol{G} \nabla w_{\varepsilon}$ in the composite. The leading-order term of its asymptotic expansion turns out to be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}=\boldsymbol{G}\left(\boldsymbol{I}-\nabla_{y}^{\mathrm{t}} \boldsymbol{\chi}\right)\left[\nabla_{x} w_{0}\right] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression will be used in Section 4.2, dealing with stress concentration issues.

## 3 CELL PROBLEM

### 3.1 Fourier series representation

The general solution of the field equation (10) is obtained via Fourier series representations. With reference to fibre $j, j=1 \ldots F$, the cell function $\chi_{h}, h=1,2$, is given by:

- in the isotropic, homogeneous matrix subdomain $Q^{\mathrm{m}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{j h}^{\mathrm{m}}\left(r_{j}, \theta_{j}\right)=y_{h}+\Re\left[\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} b_{k j h} \rho_{j}^{k} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta_{j}}\right], \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

- in the cylindrically orthotropic, radially-graded fibre subdomain $Q_{j}^{\mathrm{f}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{j h}^{\mathrm{f}}\left(r_{j}, \theta_{j}\right)=y_{h}+\Re\left[\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_{k j h} W_{k j}\left(\rho_{j}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta_{j}}\right] . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathrm{i}=\sqrt{-1}$ and the symbol $\Re$ denotes the real part; moreover, the sum in (17) is extended over nonnegative indices only, in order to enforce the regularity of $\chi_{j h}^{\mathrm{f}}$ near $C_{j} \in Q_{j}^{\mathrm{f}}$. Finally, functions $W_{k j}\left(\rho_{j}\right)$ solve the problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{k j}^{\prime \prime}+\left(\frac{g_{j}^{\prime}}{g_{j}}+\frac{1}{\rho_{j}}\right) W_{k j}^{\prime}-\frac{\sigma_{j}^{2} k^{2}}{\rho_{j}^{2}} W_{k j}=0, \quad \text { in }(0,1)  \tag{18}\\
& W_{k j}(0)=0  \tag{19}\\
& W_{k j}(1)=1 \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where (19) is a regularity requirement on $W_{k j}$, (20) is a normalization condition, $\sigma_{j}^{2}=G_{j}^{\theta} / G_{j}^{\mathrm{r}}$ is the anisotropy ratio of fibre $j$, and an apex denotes differentiation with respect to $\rho_{j}$. Finally, the quantities $a_{k j h}, b_{k j h}, b_{(-k) j h}, k=1, \ldots,+\infty$, are complex constants, whilst $a_{0 j h}$ and $b_{0 j h}$ are real constants. They are determined in Sections 3.2 and 3.3, by exploiting the interface boundary conditions (11)-(12) on $\Gamma_{j}$ and the periodicity requirement on $\partial Q$.

### 3.2 Interface boundary condition

Substituting representations (16)-(17) into the interface boundary conditions (11)-(12), the following equations are obtained for $k=1 \ldots+\infty$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
G^{\mathrm{m}} k R_{j}^{-1}\left(b_{k j h}-\bar{b}_{(-k) j h}\right) & =G_{j}^{\mathrm{r}} g_{j}(1) W_{k j}^{\prime}(1) R_{j}^{-1} a_{k j h}  \tag{21}\\
D_{j}\left(b_{k j h}+\bar{b}_{(-k) j h}-a_{k j h}\right) & =G_{j}^{\mathrm{r}} g_{j}(1) W_{k j}^{\prime}(1) R_{j}^{-1} a_{k j h} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

whereas $k=0$ yields $b_{0 j h}=a_{0 j h}$. Here an overbar denotes the complex conjugate. Equations (21)(22) allow to express the unknown coefficients $a_{k j h}$ and $b_{k j h}$ as functions of $b_{(-k) j h}$, as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k j h}=\lambda_{k j} \bar{b}_{(-k) j h}, \quad b_{k j h}=\gamma_{k j} \bar{b}_{(-k) j h} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k j}=\frac{2 R_{j} D_{j}\left[G_{j}^{\mathrm{r}} g_{j}(1)\right]^{-1}}{k+\psi_{k j}^{-}} \frac{k}{W_{k j}^{\prime}(1)}, \quad \gamma_{k j}=\frac{k+\psi_{k j}^{+}}{k+\psi_{k j}^{-}}, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

being

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{k j}^{ \pm}=R_{j} D_{j}\left\{\frac{k}{W_{k j}^{\prime}(1)}\left[G_{j}^{\mathrm{r}} g_{j}(1)\right]^{-1} \pm\left(G^{\mathrm{m}}\right)^{-1}\right\} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3 Periodicity condition

The cell function $\chi$ is $Q$-periodic, i.e., it satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(y_{1}+L_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\chi\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\chi\left(y_{1}+L_{2} \cos \varphi, y_{2}+L_{2} \sin \varphi\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is sufficient to enforce this periodicity requirement with reference to the restriction of $\chi$ to the matrix domain $Q^{\mathrm{m}}$. This is obtained by identifying the representation (16) with a linear combination of doubly-periodic basis functions defined in terms of the complex variable $z=\left(y_{1}+\mathrm{i} y_{2}\right) / L_{1}$. Accordingly, the relevant semi-periods are $\omega_{1}=1 / 2, \omega_{2}=\kappa \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi} / 2$, where $\kappa=L_{2} / L_{1}$ is the side ratio of the RUC. More specifically, according to the classical Rayleigh multipole expansion method [3, 12], the following equation is implemented:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{h}^{\mathrm{m}}(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{F} \sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{s=1}^{+\infty} w_{s l n h} \Re\left[B_{s l}\left(z-z_{n}\right)\right] . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $z_{n}$ is the value of $z$ corresponding to the centre $C_{n}$ of fibre $n$, the coefficients $w_{s l n h}$ are real unknowns, and the functions $B_{s l}(z)$ are chosen as follows [13, 14, 5, 15]:

$$
B_{s l}(z)= \begin{cases}-\eta_{l} z+\omega_{l} \zeta(z), & \text { if } s=1, l=1,2  \tag{28}\\ \omega_{l} \frac{\zeta^{(s-1)}(z)}{(s-1)!}, & \text { for } s>1, l=1,2\end{cases}
$$

where $\zeta(z)$ denotes the Weierstrass Zeta function of semiperiods $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ [16]. It is odd and quasiperiodic, i.e., $\zeta\left(z+2 \omega_{k}\right)=\zeta(z)+2 \eta_{k}$, with $k=1,2$, and $\eta_{k}=\zeta\left(\omega_{k}\right)$. The latter quantities are linked to the semiperiods $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ by Legendre's relationship $\eta_{1} \omega_{2}-\eta_{2} \omega_{1}=\pi \mathrm{i} / 2$. Using these equations, and recalling that the derivatives of $\zeta(z)$ are elliptic functions, it is easy to verify that the basis functions $\Re\left[B_{s l}\left(z-z_{n}\right)\right]$ are indeed doubly periodic, with semiperiods $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$.

In the cited literature, the RUC is symmetric with respect to the $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ axes. This implies evenness or oddness properties for the cell function $\chi_{h}^{\mathrm{m}}$, which is consequently represented by the subset of the functions (28) corresponding to $l=1$ or $l=2$ only. In this work no such symmetry is assumed, and hence the whole set (28) is considered. Moreover, a superposition of multipoles around each fibre is taken into account.

The identification of (16) and (27) is easily obtained by introducing power-series expansions around $z=z_{j}$ of the functions $B_{s l}\left(z-z_{n}\right)$ and remembering that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z-z_{j}=\frac{r_{j} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{j}}}{L_{1}}=\hat{R}_{j} \rho_{j} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{j}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{R}_{j}=R_{j} / L_{1}$ is the dimensionless fibre radius. In particular, $\zeta(z)$ has a pole of order 1 at $z=0$, and its Laurent series expansion is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(z)=\frac{1}{z}-\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} c_{k} \frac{z^{2 k-1}}{2 k-1} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{k}, k \geq 2$ can be easily computed using a rapidly convergent Fourier series [17]. Accordingly, the power-series expansion of $\zeta^{s-1}\left(z-z_{j}\right), s \geq 1$, around $z=z_{j}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\zeta^{s-1}\left(z-z_{j}\right)}{(s-1)!}=(-1)^{s-1}\left(z-z_{j}\right)^{-s}-\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \mu_{k s}\left(z-z_{j}\right)^{k}, \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mu_{k s}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{k+s-1}\binom{k+s-1}{s-1} c_{\frac{k+s}{2}}, & \text { for even } k+s ;  \tag{32}\\ 0, & \text { for odd } k+s\end{cases}
$$

Here round brackets denote the binomial coefficient, and it has been stipulated that $c_{1}=0$ for ease of notation. On the other hand, $\zeta\left(z-z_{n}\right)$, for $n \neq j$, is analytic around $z=z_{j}$, and its Taylor expansion is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{s-1}\left(z-z_{n}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta^{k+s-1}\left(z_{j}-z_{n}\right)}{k!}\left(z-z_{j}\right)^{k} . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, (27) is transformed into:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{h}^{\mathrm{m}}(z)=\Re\left[C_{0 j h}+\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} C_{(-k) j h}\left(z-z_{j}\right)^{-k}+\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} C_{k j h}\left(z-z_{j}\right)^{k}\right], \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for $k=1, \ldots,+\infty$,

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{(-k) j h} & =(-1)^{k-1} \sum_{l=1}^{2} w_{k l j h} \omega_{l},  \tag{35}\\
C_{k j h} & =\sum_{\substack{n=1 \\
n \neq j}}^{F} \sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{s=1}^{+\infty} w_{s l n h} \omega_{l} \frac{\zeta^{k+s-1}\left(z_{j}-z_{n}\right)}{(s-1)!k!}-\sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{s=1}^{+\infty} w_{s l j h} \omega_{l} \mu_{k s} \\
& -\delta_{k 1} \sum_{n=1}^{F} \sum_{l=1}^{2} w_{1 l n h} \eta_{l}, \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta$ is the Krönecker symbol. The expression of $C_{0 j h}$ is obtained by setting $k=0$ in the expression of $C_{k j h}$, and adding the constant term $\sum_{n=1}^{F} \sum_{l=1}^{2}-w_{1 l n h} \eta_{l}\left(z_{j}-z_{n}\right)$.

Equation (34), recalling (29), is compared term-by-term to (16) and yields, for $k=1 \ldots+\infty$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{(-k) j h} & =\hat{R}_{j}^{-k} C_{(-k) j h},  \tag{37}\\
b_{k j h}+R_{j} c_{h} \delta_{k 1} & =\hat{R}_{j}^{k} C_{k j h},  \tag{38}\\
b_{0 j h}+y_{h}^{C_{j}} & =C_{0 j h}, \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

where $y_{h}^{C_{j}}$ is the $y_{h}$ coordinate at the centre $C_{j}$ of fibre $j$, and $c_{h}=1$ if $h=1, c_{h}=-\mathrm{i}$ if $h=2$, so that $y_{h}=y_{h}^{C_{j}}+\Re\left(c_{h} r_{j} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{j}}\right)$.

### 3.4 Solution of the cell problem and effective constitutive tensor

The solution of the cell problem is achieved by substituting (37)-(38) into the interface boundary condition $(23)_{2}$, leading to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{R}_{j}^{k} C_{k j h}-R_{j} c_{h} \delta_{k 1}=\gamma_{k j} \hat{R}_{j}^{-k} \bar{C}_{(-k) j h} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a system of infinite linear algebraic equations in the unknowns $w_{k l j h}$, involved into $C_{k j h}$ and $C_{(-k) j h}$. Making the position [18]

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{k l j h}=\frac{\sqrt{k}}{\hat{R}_{j}^{k}} w_{k l j h} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and recalling (35) and (36), (40) yields, after multiplying by $-\sqrt{k}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
&(-1)^{k-1} \gamma_{k j} \sum_{l=1}^{2} \bar{\omega}_{l} q_{k l j h}-\sum_{\substack{n=1 \\
n \neq j}}^{F} \sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{s=1}^{+\infty} \sqrt{\frac{k}{s}} \omega_{l} \hat{R}_{j}^{k} \hat{R}_{n}^{s} \frac{\zeta^{k+s-1}\left(z_{j}-z_{n}\right)}{(s-1)!k!} q_{s l n h} \\
&+\sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{s=1}^{+\infty} \sqrt{\frac{k}{s}} \omega_{l} \mu_{k s} \hat{R}_{j}^{k+s} q_{s l j h}+\delta_{k 1} \sum_{n=1}^{F} \sum_{l=1}^{2} \hat{R}_{j} \hat{R}_{n} \eta_{l} q_{1 l n h}=-R_{j} c_{h} \delta_{k 1} . \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

To obtain a numerical solution, it is necessary to truncate this system to a finite order $N$, amounting to extending the sums in the representations (16)-(17) to $|k| \leq N$ only.

Recalling that (42) hold for $j=1 \ldots F$, and taking its real and imaginary parts, a linear system of $2 N F$ equations in the $2 N F$ unknowns $q_{k l j h}, k=1 \ldots N, l=1,2, j=1 \ldots F$, is obtained, to


Figure 2: (a) Mean value $\mu_{G} \#$ and dispersion of $G^{\#}$, normalized by $G^{\mathrm{m}}$, and (b) coefficient of variation $\mathrm{CV}_{G \#}$, as a function of the RUC size. Monte Carlo simulation - blue line; fit reported in (46) - red line. Fibre/matrix stiffness ratio $G^{\mathrm{r}} / G^{\mathrm{m}}=1000$. Grading type: exponential profile $g(\rho)=\exp \left(-\log (10) \rho^{4}\right)$. Isotropic fibres. Perfect interfaces. Square RUC. Volume fraction $f=$ 0.4 .
be solved for $h=1$ and $h=2$. Moreover, the truncation order $N$ may be chosen for each fibre depending on its distance from neighbouring fibres. After computing $q_{k l j h}$, one can determine $w_{k l j h}$ via (41), $b_{(-k) j h}$ via (37), and $b_{k j h}, a_{k h}$ via (23). Hence, the cell functions $\chi_{h}^{\mathrm{m}}, \chi_{h}^{\mathrm{f}}$ are obtained by (16)-(17), for $h=1,2$.

Finally, the effective material tensor $\boldsymbol{G}^{\#}$ follows from (14). After some algebra, it turns out that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{h l}^{\#}=G^{\mathrm{m}} \delta_{h l}+\sum_{j=1}^{F} \frac{f_{j}}{R_{j}} \Re\left[G^{\mathrm{m}}\left(\bar{c}_{h} b_{1 j l}+c_{h} b_{-1 j l}\right)-G_{j}^{\mathrm{r}} \Psi_{j} \bar{c}_{h} a_{1 j l}\right] \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{j}=\pi R_{j}^{2} /|Q|$ is the volume fraction of the $j^{\text {th }}$ fibre, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{j}=g_{j}(1)-\int_{0}^{1}\left[\rho_{j} g_{j}^{\prime}\left(\rho_{j}\right)+\left(1-\sigma_{j}^{2}\right) g_{j}\left(\rho_{j}\right)\right] W_{1 j}\left(\rho_{j}\right) \mathrm{d} \rho_{j} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a general grading profile, the above coefficients $\Psi_{j}$, as well as the coefficients $W_{1 j}(1)$ entering (24)-(25), can be computed via numerical integration of (18)-(20). Closed-form solutions to the latter problem for large families of grading profiles are presented in [15].

## 4 NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 4.1 The size of the RUC

Statistically homogeneous microstructures, yielding an isotropic effective behaviour are considered. A quantitative estimation of the RUC size, based on statistical arguments, is derived here. The effective modulus $G^{\#}$, obtained by the spatial averaging (14) in a given RUC $Q$, is a random variable, since it depends on the specific realization of $Q$. If the RUC were a representative volume element (RVE), the dispersion would theoretically vanish. In practice, the RUC size has to be
chosen in order to ensure a given relative accuracy $\epsilon$ of $G^{\#}$. Recalling that the width of the $95 \%$ confidence interval is twice the standard deviation $\sigma_{G^{\#}}$ of $G^{\#}$, the following inequality must hold: $2 \sigma_{G^{\#}} / \mu_{G^{\#}} \leq \epsilon$, where $\mu_{G^{\#}}$ denotes the mean value. In this way, large RUCs are usually obtained, whose analysis could require heavy computational effort.

However, the use of smaller RUCs might be compensated by averaging over several realizations of the microstructure to get the same accuracy, provided that no bias is introduced in the estimation by some edge effects generated by the periodic boundary conditions [2]. Indeed, the standard deviation of the average of $G^{\#}$ resulting from $n$ independent realizations $Q$ is given by $\sigma_{G^{\#}}^{n}=\sigma_{G^{\#}} / \sqrt{n}$, so that $n$ could be chosen such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \geq 4 \mathrm{CV}_{G^{\#}}^{2} / \epsilon^{2} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{CV}_{G^{\#}}=\sigma_{G^{\#}} / \mu_{G}$ 放 the coefficient of variation.
It is pointed out that $\mu_{G^{\#}}, \sigma_{G^{\#}}$ and $\mathrm{CV}_{G^{\#}}$ do depend on the size of the RUC. An estimation of this dependence is obtained here in simulation. Square RUCs are considered, comprising equal, isotropic, graded fibres with volume fraction $f=\sum_{j=1}^{F} f_{j}=0.4$, perfect fibre/matrix interfaces and stiffness ratio $G^{\mathrm{r}} / G^{\mathrm{m}}=1000$. The RUC side $S$ ranges from 1.98 to 15.85 fibre diameters (hence, the number of fibres included into a RUC ranges from 2 to 128).

Figure 2(a) shows the mean value $\mu_{G^{\#}}$ and the dispersion of $G^{\#}$ as a function of the RUC size. A bias in the mean value is observed when $S<8$; on the other hand, a RUC whose side is greater than or equal to 8 fibre diameters (hence, comprising at least 32 fibres, for $f=0.4$ ) can be used to obtain a fair estimate of $\mu_{G} \#$ in the present case. Figure 2(b) shows the coefficient of variation $\mathrm{CV}_{G} \#$ as a function of the RUC size. The empirical fit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CV}_{G^{\#}} \approx 0.27 \cdot S^{-1.5} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

is obtained in the present case. Equations (45) and (46) can be used to estimate the number of realizations needed to reach a required accuracy. As an example, if $S=8$, the estimated values derived from $n \geq 6$ RUC samples should be averaged in order to reach an accuracy of $\epsilon=1 \%$ on $\mu_{G}$.

Further research will be devoted to ascertain how the present result depend on the fibre volume fraction, on the local randomness of the latter, on the fibre/matrix stiffness ratio or interface parameter, on the grading profile, and on the investigated effective property.

### 4.2 The shear stress concentration factor

In this section, the analysis points at assessing the reduction of the shear stress at the fibre/matrix interface, by suitably choosing the grading intensity factor $\omega=g(1) / g(0)$, keeping fixed the effective shear stiffness of the composite material.

Reference is made to a material with fibre volume fraction $f=0.7$. Perfect interfaces are assumed. An exponential grading profile $g(\rho)=\exp \left(-\log (\omega) \rho^{4}\right)$ is considered, with $\omega=1 \ldots 10$. Taking $\omega=1$ amounts to considering standard homogeneous fibres. Square RUCs with edge length of 8 fibre diameters are used and the average over $n=32$ samples is taken in each computation.

The dimensionless effective shear stiffness $\mu_{G^{\#}} / G^{\mathrm{m}}$ is taken constant. Hence, the higher $\omega$ is, the higher $G^{\mathrm{r}} / G^{\mathrm{m}}$ has to be chosen, since higher values of $\omega$ imply a steeper decrease of the fibre stiffness along the radius. This issue is shown in Figure 3(a), reporting the values of $G^{\mathrm{r}} / G^{\mathrm{m}}$ versus $\omega$, that yield $\mu_{G^{\#}} / G^{\mathrm{m}}=3,4$ or 5 .

Grading the fibre stiffness turns out to be a feasible way to mitigate the fibre/matrix interface shear stress. A quantitative account of this issue can be obtained by introducing the Shear Stress Concentration Factor (SSCF), defined as the highest ratio between the $L^{\infty}$ norm of the normal


Figure 3: (a) Fibre/matrix stiffness ratio $\left(G^{\mathrm{r}} / G^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ required to achieve a given dimensionless effective modulus $\mu_{G^{\#}} / G^{\mathrm{m}}$, vs grading intensity $\omega$. (b) Normalized Shear Stress Concentration Factor $[\operatorname{SSCF}(\omega) / \operatorname{SSCF}(1)]$, vs grading intensity $\omega \cdot \mu_{G^{\#}} / G^{\mathrm{m}}=3$ - red dashed lines, $\mu_{G^{\#}} / G^{\mathrm{m}}=4$ - blue dash-dot lines, $\mu_{G^{\#}} / G^{\mathrm{m}}=5$ - green solid lines. Grading type: exponential profile $g(\rho)=\exp \left(-\log (\omega) \rho^{4}\right)$. Isotropic fibres. Perfect interfaces. Square RUC. Volume fraction $f=0.7$.
component of the shear stress at the fibre/matrix interface in the graded composite, and the same quantity in the homogenized material, under all the macroscopic shear strains $\nabla_{x} w_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SSCF}=\sup _{\nabla_{x} w_{0}} \frac{\sup _{j \in\{1 \ldots F\} \rho_{j}=1} \sup _{\rho_{0}}\left|\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}\right|}{G \#\left|\nabla_{x} w_{0}\right|} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

This quantity is indeed a random variable: since it describes a stress level, its mean value increased by twice its standard deviation is considered. The $\operatorname{SSCF}(\omega)$ for a composite comprising graded fibres, normalized by the corresponding $\operatorname{SSCF}(1)$ relevant to a composite with homogeneous fibres, is reported in Figure 3(b) as a function of $\omega$, for $\mu_{G^{\#}} / G^{\mathrm{m}}=3,4$ or 5 . A reduction of the shear stress of $30 \%-40 \%$ for highly graded fibres $(\omega=10)$ is observed for all the levels of dimensionless homogenized modulus. Hence, properly grading the elastic properties of the fibres leads to a decrease of the interfacial stress concentration, without reducing the overall stiffness of the material. This result raises attention on an innovative class of composite materials, enhanced in terms of durability of the bonding at the fibre/matrix interface.
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