

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Exponential mixing for singular skew-products

To cite this article: Oliver Butterley 2024 Nonlinearity 37 115018

View the [article online](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6544/ad8333) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- [Precision measurement and engineering](/article/10.1088/1361-6501/ad5eaa) [at the 60th Ilmenau Scientific Colloquium](/article/10.1088/1361-6501/ad5eaa) Eberhard Manske, Thomas Fröhlich and Thomas Kissinger
- **[Erratum: Nonlinear MHD modeling of neon](/article/10.1088/1741-4326/ad79d7)** [doped shattered pellet injection with](/article/10.1088/1741-4326/ad79d7) [JOREK and its comparison to experiments](/article/10.1088/1741-4326/ad79d7) [in KSTAR \(2024](/article/10.1088/1741-4326/ad79d7) Nucl. Fusion [64 106042\)](/article/10.1088/1741-4326/ad79d7) S.-J. Lee, D. Hu, M. Lehnen et al.
- [Motion of a ball attached to a mass via a](/article/10.1088/1361-6552/ad79d2) [hole in a horizontal table](/article/10.1088/1361-6552/ad79d2) Rod Cross

Nonlinearity **37** (2024) 115018 (16pp) <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6544/ad8333>

Exponential mixing for singular skew-products

Oliver Butterley

Department of Mathematics, University of Rome Tor Vergata, Via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, 00133 Roma, Italy

E-mail: butterley@mat.uniroma2.it

Received 12 September 2023; revised 27 July 2024 Accepted for publication 3 October 2024 Published 11 October 2024

Recommended by Dr Mark F Demers

Abstract

We study skew-products of the form $(x, u) \mapsto (fx, u + \varphi(x))$ where f is a nonuniformly expanding map on a manifold *X* and $\varphi: X \to \mathbb{S}^1$ is piecewise \mathcal{C}^1 . If the systems satisfies mild assumptions (in particular singular behaviour of *φ* is permitted) then we prove that the map mixes exponentially with respect to the unique SRB measure. This extends previous results by allowing singular behaviour in the fibre map.

Keywords: skew product, exponential mixing, singular hyperbolic

Mathematics Subject Classification numbers: 37C83

1. Introduction

A deceptively simple transformation on $[0,1)^2$ is defined as the skew-product $(x,u) \mapsto$ $([2x], [u + dist(x, b)]$ for some $a \in (0, 1), b \in [0, 1)$. Although simple to write this example presents an interesting and non-trivial difficulty. This is the motivating example for this work. The present work is devoted to exploring the technology which can be used to prove exponential mixing for this and other settings. We will permit a rather general setting (arbitrary dimension, general classes of maps). Relatively few concrete examples which have a neutral direction are known to mix exponentially, here we increase this collection.

Skew products with some resemblance to this example have been studied by observing that there is an invariant unstable cone field (in [\[15](#page-15-0)] this was explicit, in [\[3](#page-15-1), [10\]](#page-15-2) this idea

Original Content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) [4.0 licence](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/). Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by IOP Publishing Ltd and the London Mathematical Society 1

was still present in the assumptions). However this is not satisfied by the above mentioned system. The singular behaviour which we permit in this present work is a significant problem for the established methods because it is impossible to have an invariant unstable cone field which is uniformly bounded away from the neutral direction. For present purposes we call this 'unbounded twist'. Nevertheless we show that the singular behaviour does not prevent good limit theorems and, in particular, our results prove that the motivating example mixes exponentially.

In this present work we solve the unbounded twist issue by inducing: instead of working with the original skew-product transformation we will partition the domain and for each partition element choose an iterate under which we see good behaviour. We present a general framework and then develop the application to a specific example. In cases like the above example we will induce even though it seems that the base map does not need any inducing. This 'over-inducing' suffices to solve the unbounded twist issue. The key idea is to, if required, view the singularities of the fibre map as artificial singularities of the map in the construction of the induced system. All of this means that we demonstrate that a very large class of partially hyperbolic systems mix exponentially.

Let *f* be a transformation on a compact manifold *X* and let $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{S}^1$ be a function from manifold to the circle \mathbb{S}^1 . We will call *f* the *base map* and φ the *fibre map*. This article is devoted to the study of partially hyperbolic systems defined as the skew-product $f_{\varphi}:X\times\mathbb{S}^1\to X\times\mathbb{S}^1,$

$$
f_{\varphi}:(x,u)\mapsto (fx,u+\varphi(x)).
$$

In order to prove the results we will use the existence of an induced system (Young tower with exponential tails) in the sense that there exists a connected subset $Y \subset X$ and a piecewise constant inducing time $R: Y \to \mathbb{N}$ such that the induced map $F: x \to f^{R(x)}(x)$ is a full branch Markov map, \mathcal{C}^2 on partition elements. Defining the induced fibre map as $\Phi(x)$ = $\sum_{k=0}^{R(x)-1} \varphi(f^k x)$ we consider the induced skew-product $F_{\Phi}: Y \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to Y \times \mathbb{S}^1$,

$$
F_{\Phi}:(x,u)\mapsto (Fx,u+\Phi(x)).
$$

The principal aim of this work is to allow weak control on the fibre map, in particular to allow $D(\varphi \circ f_{\omega}^{-1})$ to be unbounded. In one part of this work we will show that if the induced skewproduct satisfies certain assumptions then the original system mixes exponentially. In the other part we introduce assumptions (with the emphasis on verifiability) which suffice to show that the induced system satisfies the previously mentioned assumptions.

The problem of exponential mixing for partially hyperbolic maps like these skew-products (similar to flows) is rather difficult because of the neutral direction and the singularities which we permit in the fibre map. Because of the neutral direction, in order to study the rate of mixing and other strong statistical properties, we must use some form of Dolgopyat estimate and observe oscillatory cancellations[[19\]](#page-15-3). Recent years have seen significant progress for such resultsfor systems with a neutral direction (e.g. $[2, 15, 17, 18, 33, 36, 37]$ $[2, 15, 17, 18, 33, 36, 37]$ $[2, 15, 17, 18, 33, 36, 37]$ $[2, 15, 17, 18, 33, 36, 37]$ $[2, 15, 17, 18, 33, 36, 37]$ $[2, 15, 17, 18, 33, 36, 37]$ $[2, 15, 17, 18, 33, 36, 37]$ $[2, 15, 17, 18, 33, 36, 37]$ $[2, 15, 17, 18, 33, 36, 37]$ $[2, 15, 17, 18, 33, 36, 37]$ $[2, 15, 17, 18, 33, 36, 37]$ $[2, 15, 17, 18, 33, 36, 37]$ $[2, 15, 17, 18, 33, 36, 37]$ $[2, 15, 17, 18, 33, 36, 37]$ $[2, 15, 17, 18, 33, 36, 37]$), all using methods based on the work of Dolgopyat to some extent. In particular the results have been extended to the Lorenz flow and systems inspired by it[[6–](#page-15-7)[8,](#page-15-8) [11,](#page-15-9) [14\]](#page-15-10). Our purpose here is to give a general result for skew-products with (possibly) some degree of singular behaviour and in the process clarify exactly the reach and limits of the notions. Similar systems to the ones studied herewere previously introduced [[31\]](#page-16-3) as a model for the Lorenz flow (in this reference it was claimed that these systems mix exponentially but there was a gap in the proof).

Although the neutral direction causes significant technical difficulty, in our case we fortunately can use (to a large extent) the work of Gouezel[[28\]](#page-16-4) which includes the study of induced skew-products, in particular used to obtain results concerning Farey sequences. As observed before, a key point here is that we induce even if the base map is already uniformly hyperbolic, in order to obtain the required property for the induced fibre map. In some applications, including the motivating example, a large deviations result for the expanding map (for a function based on distance to singularity) implies that the (artificially) induced system has trajectories which behave well with respect to the singularities of the fibre map. Using this together with the fact that the singularities of the fibre map are not worse than distance to some negative power, means that the induced fibre map has the required regularity.

Remark 1.1. Most likely these results would extend easily to the hyperbolic case when the stablefoliation is at least C^1 by disintegration along the stable foliation (e.g. using [[16\]](#page-15-11) in a similar way as was done in [\[12](#page-15-12)]). However such regularity is arguably not typical in relevant settings[[29\]](#page-16-5).

Remark 1.2. We will mostly avoid the details related to possible discontinuities in the system. Discontinuities require delicate control, particularly in higher dimension when oscillatory cancellationarguments are required (e.g. $[21-23, 35]$ $[21-23, 35]$ $[21-23, 35]$ $[21-23, 35]$ $[21-23, 35]$). However, inducing, as we do here for other motives, can be useful for avoiding the problems related to discontinuities (e.g. [\[32](#page-16-9)]).

Remark 1.3. Suspension semiflows and skew products as we study here share many similarities, in particular the investigation of the rate of mixing requires similar estimates (the 'twisted transfer operators' are identical). As such, the methods and results here are closely related to the corresponding suspension semiflow setting. Alternatively, the extension to general compactgroup extensions (see e.g. $[20]$ $[20]$) is feasible although non-trivial and in this work we choose not to take this road.

Remark 1.4. In this present work we assume that the base map is at least C^2 . Most likely the results can be extended to the *C* ¹ plus Hölder derivative case by already established ideas (e.g. [[6,](#page-15-7) [17\]](#page-15-5)).

Remark 1.5. In this present work our focus is on exponential mixing but there are various other relevant statistical properties, for example, central limit theorem, local limit theorem, almostsure invariance principal, etc. It is to be expected that the main estimates we obtain during this work and which are used for proving the exponential mixing can also be used for proving the otherstatistical limit laws (see e.g. arguments contained in $[6, 8]$ $[6, 8]$ $[6, 8]$ $[6, 8]$ $[6, 8]$). (See also $[24–26]$ $[24–26]$ $[24–26]$ for some related results.)

2. Setting & results

Although not part of the motivating example, technology developed for non-uniformly expanding (NUE) systems will be invaluable for tackling the problem. The following four conditions are as introduced by Young [\[38](#page-16-13), [39](#page-16-14)] and this structure is often called a *Young tower* structure.

Definition 2.1. We say that $f: X \to X$ is *NUE in the sense of Young* to mean the tuple (f, X, μ, Y, R) where:

- *X* is a compact Riemannian manifold (possibly with boundary), endowed with a Borel measure μ (called the reference measure);
- f is a nonsingular^{[1](#page-3-0)} transformation on X ;

¹ In this context we say that f is nonsingular if it maps sets of measure 0 to sets of measure 0.

- *Y* (called the base of the induced system) is a connected open subset of *X* with finite measure and there exists a finite or countable partition ${Y_{\ell}}_{\ell \in \Lambda}$ of a full measure subset of *Y*;
- $R: Y \to \mathbb{N}$ (called the return time) is a function constant on each partition element Y_{ℓ} ;

Such that the following properties are satisfied:

- **Y1:** For each $\ell \in \Lambda$ let R_{ℓ} denote the constant value that *R* takes on Y_{ℓ} . For all $\ell \in \Lambda$, the restriction of f^{R_ℓ} to Y_ℓ is a diffeomorphism between Y_ℓ and Y , satisfying $\kappa \|v\| \leqslant \|Df^{R_\ell}(x)v\| \leqslant$ $C_{\ell} ||v||$ for any $x \in Y_{\ell}$ and for any tangent vector *v* at *x*, for some constants $\kappa > 1$ (independent of ℓ) and C_{ℓ} . We denote by $F: Y \to Y$ the map which is equal to $f^{R_{\ell}}$ on each set *Yℓ*.
- **Y2:** Let \mathcal{H}_F^n denote the set of inverse branches of F^n . Let $J(x)$ be the inverse of the Jacobian of *F* at *x* with respect to μ . We assume that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for any $\|\xi \in \mathcal{H}_F^1$, $\|D((\log J) \circ \xi)\| \leqslant C$.
- **Y3:** There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for any ℓ , if $\xi_{\ell}: Y \to Y_{\ell}$ denotes the corresponding inverse branch of *F*, for any $k \leq R_{\ell}$, then $||f^k \circ \xi_{\ell}||_{\mathcal{C}^1(Y)} \leq C$.

Definition 2.2. Suppose that $f: X \to X$ is NUE in the sense of Young. Then *f* is said to have *exponential tails* if there exists $\sigma_0 > 0$ such that $\int_Y e^{\sigma_0 R} d\mu < \infty$.

If $f: X \to X$ is NUE in the sense of Young with exponential tails then it is known [\[38](#page-16-13)] that there exists a probability measure $\tilde{\mu}$ on *X* which is absolutely continuous with respect to μ , invariant under f and ergodic. Moreover, if f is mixing for $\tilde{\mu}$, then it is exponentially mixing (for Hölder continuous observables).

Definition 2.3. An open subset of a Riemannian manifold *U* is said to have the *weak Federer property* with respect to a finite Borel measure *ν*, if, for any $\gamma > 1$, there exists $D = D(U, \gamma) > 1$ and $\eta_0(\gamma) > 0$ such that, for any $\eta \in (0, \eta_0(\gamma)),$

- There exists a set of points $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^k$ such that the balls $B(x_j, \gamma \eta)$ are disjoint and compactly included in *U*;
- There exists a set of sets $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^k$ whose union covers a full measure subset of *U* and $A_j \subset$ $B(x_j, \gamma \eta D)$;
- For any $y_j \in B(x_j, (\gamma 1)\eta)$, we have $\nu(B(y_j, \eta)) \ge D^{-1}\nu(A_j)$.

Definition 2.4. A family of open sets ${U_n}_n$ is said to uniformly have the weak Federer property for the measure *ν* if, for all $\gamma > 1$, sup_n $D(U_n, \gamma)$ is finite.

Definition 2.5. Suppose that $f : X \to X$ is NUE in the sense of Young. We say that the transformation has the *weak Federer property* if, for each $h \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_F^n$, the sets $h(Y)$ uniformly have the weak Federer property with respect to μ_Y (the probability measure induced by μ on *Y*).

If ${U_n}_n$ is a family of open intervals then the uniform Federer property is trivially satisfied by Lebesgue measure (see[[28,](#page-16-4) section 6.1] for a general criterion for the weak Federer property and see [\[10](#page-15-2), remark 2.1] for additional comments). In order to prove exponential mixing uniformity in the Federer assumption is not required [\[28](#page-16-4), remark 2.5].

Definition 2.6. Let *Y* be a set as above with partition ${Y_{\ell}}_{\ell \in \Lambda}$. A function $\Phi : Y \to \mathbb{S}^1$ is said to be *cohomologous to a locally constant function* if there exists a C^1 function $\psi: Y \to \mathbb{S}^1$ such that $\Phi - \psi + \psi \circ F$ is constant on each set $Y_\ell, \ell \in \Lambda$.

The skew product transformation f_{φ} : $(x, u) \mapsto (fx, u + \varphi(x))$ is an isometry in the fibres and hence preserves the measure $\nu = \tilde{\mu} \times m$ (where *m* denotes Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{S}^1).

Theorem 1. *Suppose that* $f : X \to X$ *is NUE in the sense of Young with exponential tails and* s atisfying the weak Federer property. Suppose that $\varphi:X\to\mathbb R$ is \mathcal{C}^1 on the interior of X , that the *induced function* $\Phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{R(x)-1} \varphi(f^k x)$ *is not cohomologous to a locally constant function and* $\|D\Phi(x)DF(x)^{-1}\|$ *is uniformly bounded for* $x \in Y$.

Then f_φ mixes exponentially for observables on $Y \times \mathbb{S}^1$ *in the sense that: For any* $\alpha > 0$ *there exists* $\theta \in (0,1)$ *, C* > 0 such that, for all functions g, h from $Y \times \mathbb{S}^1$ to \mathbb{C} *, bounded and Hölder continuous with exponent* α *, and for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *,*

$$
\left|\int g\circ f_{\varphi}^{n}\cdot h\,d\nu-\left(\int g\,d\nu\right)\left(\int h\,d\nu\right)\right|\leqslant C\theta^{n}\left\|g\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|h\right\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}}.
$$

Thistheorem is essentially the work of Gouëzel $[28,$ $[28,$ theorem 1.7] although the work of the reference requires the fibre map φ to be \mathcal{C}^1 on *X* whereas we allow unbounded derivative. However we require the induced fibre map Φ to satisfy the same conditions as are actually required during the proof in the reference. On the other hand the result stated here is only for observables supported on the base of the tower *Y*. Details concerning the modification of the reference in order to prove the theorem are given in section [3](#page-7-0).

Remark 2.7. The restriction that the observables are supported on $Y \times \mathbb{S}^1$ can, to some extent, be mitigated in a standard way by considering observables which map to observables supported on $Y \times \mathbb{S}^1$ in finite steps. As such, typically exponential mixing results can be extended to observables supported on the complement of the singular set (depending on the exact construction of the inducing scheme).

The assumptions of the above theorem are overly abstract from our point of view and so we would like to obtain some more verifiable conditions. (For the origin of the following assumptionssee [[1,](#page-15-13) [4,](#page-15-14) [27](#page-16-15)].) We use the following notation: For $\delta > 0$, set dist $\delta(x, S) = \text{dist}(x, S)$ if dist $(x, S) < \delta$, and dist $_\delta(x, S) = 1$ otherwise^{[2](#page-5-0)}.

Definition 2.8. Let *f* be a map on a compact Riemannian manifold *X* (possibly with boundary). We assume that there exists a closed subset $S \subset M$, with zero Lebesgue measure (containing possibly discontinuities or critical points of *f* and with *∂X ⊂ S*), such that *f* is a *C* 2 local diffeomorphism on $X \setminus S$. We say that *f* is *NUE in the sense of a controlled singular set* if the following assumptions are satisfied:

(S1): (non-degeneracy close to *S*) We assume that there exist $B > 1$ and $\beta > 0$ such that, for any $x \in M \setminus S$ and every $v \in T_xM \setminus \{0\}$,

$$
\frac{1}{B} \text{dist}(x, S)^{\beta} \leqslant \frac{\|Df(x) v\|}{\|v\|} \leqslant B \text{dist}(x, S)^{-\beta}.
$$

Assume also that, for all $x, y \in X$ with dist $(x, y) < \text{dist}(x, S)/2$,

$$
\left|\log\|Df(x)^{-1}\| - \log\|Df(y)^{-1}\|\right| \leq B \frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, y)}{\operatorname{dist}(x, S)^\beta}
$$

²The quantity dist_{*δ*}(\cdot , \cdot) is not continuous but this is as intended, see for example [[27,](#page-16-15) p.3]. Other variants of dist_{*δ*}(\cdot , \cdot) would work but this present choice has some conveniences in the estimates and is the one used by all the cited works.

and

$$
\left|\log|\det Df(x)^{-1}| - \log|\det Df(y)^{-1}|\right| \leq B \frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, y)}{\operatorname{dist}(x, S)^{\beta}}.
$$

(S2): (points which are too close to *S* or have not yet experienced expansion) Let δ : $(0, \epsilon_0) \rightarrow$ \mathbb{R}_+ , $\lambda > 0$,

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\epsilon,N} = \left\{ x \in X : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} -\log \operatorname{dist}_{\delta(\epsilon)} \left(f^k x, S \right) > \epsilon, \text{ for some } k \geq N \right\},\
$$

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{\epsilon,N} = \left\{ x \in X : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \log \left\| Df \left(f^k x \right)^{-1} \right\|^{-1} < \lambda, \text{ for some } k \geq N \right\}.
$$

We assume that there exists $C > 0$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that, for all $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$, the Lebesgue measure of $\mathcal{P}_{\epsilon,N} \cup \mathcal{Q}_{\epsilon,N}$ is not greater than $C\theta^N$.

In order to take advantage of the above assumptions and build Young tower structures, the key concept of *hyperbolic times* is used. In this present work we further take advantage of this notion to deal with potential problems in the fibre map. As such, let us now recall the definition of hyperbolic times. For the purpose of this definition $f: X \to X$ is a differentiable transformation and *S ⊂ X* is the *singularity set*. The following definition is exactly as used by Alves, Luzzatto & Pinheiro [\[4](#page-15-14)], including the same notation.

Definition 2.9. Let $b > 0$, $\sigma \in (0,1)$, $\delta > 0$. We say that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a (b, σ, δ) *-hyperbolic time*^{[3](#page-6-0)} for *x* if, for all $1 \leq k \leq n$

$$
\prod_{j=n-k}^{n-1} \left\| Df(\dot{f}x)^{-1} \right\| \leq \sigma^k \quad \text{and} \quad \text{dist}_{\delta} \left(f^{n-k}x, S \right) \geqslant \sigma^{bk}.
$$

We will denote by $H_n(b, \sigma, \delta)$ the set of points for which *n* is a (b, σ, δ) -hyperbolic time.

The following is due to Gouëzel (result described in $[28,$ proposition 1.16] with a proof which uses mostly [\[27](#page-16-15)]).

Theorem ([[28,](#page-16-4) proposition 1.16]). *Suppose that X is a compact Riemannian manifold and that* $f: X \rightarrow X$ *is NUE in the sense of a controlled singular set (definition [2.8](#page-5-1)) and let b* > 0 *sufficiently small. There exists* $\sigma \in (0,1)$ *,* $\delta > 0$ and there exists an open and connected subset *Y of X such that f is NUE in the sense of Young (definition* [2.1](#page-3-1)*) (on base Y with respect to Lebesgue measure) with exponential tails and satisfying the weak Federer property. Moreover the return times for the Young tower are* (*b,σ,δ*)*-hyperbolic times.*

Note that the final statement is not highlighted in the statement of the result in the cited reference although it is described in the argument[[27,](#page-16-15) section 2]. For us this detail is important as already hinted, since we will use these hyperbolic times to control the singular behaviour of the fibre maps.

³ In the reference the terminology ' (σ, δ) -hyperbolic time' is used and dependence on *b* is suppressed.

Theorem 2. *Let* $f: X \to X$ *be NUE in the sense of a controlled singular set* $S \subset X$ (*definition* [2.8](#page-5-1)). Suppose that $\varphi: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is \mathcal{C}^1 on the connected components of $X \setminus S$ and that there e *xists* $C > 0$, $s \ge 0$ *such that, for all x*, $||D\varphi(x)Df(x)^{-1}|| \le C$ dist $(x, S)^{-s}$. *Further suppose that the induced function* $\Phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{R(x)-1} \varphi(\hat{f}^k x)$ *is not cohomologous to a locally constant function. Then there exists a subset* $Y \subset X$ *such that the assumptions of theorem* [1](#page-5-2) *are satisfied.*

The above result is proven in section [4,](#page-10-0) using an argument based on hyperbolic times and which controls the regularity of the induced fibre map Φ.

In the case of our motivating example $(x, u) \mapsto ([2x], [u + dist(x, 1)^{a}])$ we choose the singularity set $S = \{1\}$ even thought 1 is not a singular point in any sense for the base transformation $x \mapsto 2x$. In cases like this one needs to know that the NUE property of the system still holds, even when the singularity set is enlarged in order to consider also the singularities of the fibre map. This can be done with minor restrictions and follows from a type of large deviations estimate. The statement and proof of this in a general setting is the content of section [5](#page-12-0) (as donein $[9]$ $[9]$).

Returning to our motivating example, we have the following result.

Theorem 3. *Let* $X = [0,1]$ *and* $f: X \to X$ *is defined as* $f: x \mapsto 2x \mod 1$ *and let* $\varphi: X \to \mathbb{S}^1$ *be* defined as $\varphi: x \mapsto dist(x,1)^a$ for some $a \in (0,1)$. Then the skew-product $f_{\varphi}: (x,u) \mapsto (fx, u + g)$ *φ*(*x*)) *mixes exponentially for observables supported on the complement of a neighbourhood* $of\{1\} \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

Section [6](#page-12-1) contains the proof of the above and discussion related to showing the property of the fibre map not being cohomologous to a local constant function in diverse settings. Since we can for this specific example, we take a very hands-on approach to the argument and explicitly construct the tower and prove the required properties so that the above results can be applied.

3. Singular skew-products

In this section we assume that the induced skew-product map satisfies the assumptions and show that this implies exponential mixing for the original skew-product. This means that we prove theorem [1.](#page-5-2) As made clear by Ruelle[[34\]](#page-16-16) it is important to have some condition for the fibre map. A common way to prove the results that we would like is to obtain a spectral gap for the transfer operator of the system (acting on a suitable Banach space) but the neutral direction complicated this problem (except for the work of Tsujii [\[36](#page-16-1), [37](#page-16-2)]).

Let *f* be NUE in the sense of Young with exponential tails and preserving the probability measure μ . Assume that μ_Y has full support in *Y*. Let $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{S}^1$ be a \mathcal{C}^1 function such that the induced fibre map $\Phi(x)$ is not cohomologous to a locally constant function. Let $\nu = \mu \otimes$ Leb. Since f_{φ} is an isometry in the fibre the measure ν is f_{φ} -invariant. We consider the skew-product f_{φ} : $(x, u) \mapsto (fx, u + \varphi(x)).$

This result is essentially what Gouëzel proved $[28, \text{ section 3}]$ however there is something that needs to be observed about their assumption on the fibre map. Their results are stated for the case when the fibre map is $C¹$ but such a strong condition is not required in the proof. Here we demonstrate how their argument suffices for the result which is required in this present context. As described previously, we started with a skew-product $f_{\varphi}: X \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to X \times \mathbb{S}^1$,

$$
f_{\varphi}:(x,u)\mapsto (fx,u+\varphi(x))
$$

and then introduced the induced skew-product $F_{\Phi}: Y \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to Y \times \mathbb{S}^1$,

$$
F_{\Phi}:(x,u)\mapsto (Fx,u+\Phi(x))
$$

	Gouëzel [28, section 3]	Present text
NUE map	$T:X\to X$	$f: X \to X$
Fibre map	$\phi: X \to \mathbb{R}$	$\varphi: X \to \mathbb{R}$
Skew-product	$\mathcal{T}: X \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to X \times \mathbb{S}^1$	$f_{\varphi}: X \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to X \times \mathbb{S}^1$
Base of tower	$Y \subset X$	$Y \subset X$
Partition of base	$\{W_{\ell}\}\$	$\{Y_{\ell}\}\$
Inducing times	r_{ℓ}	R_{ℓ}
Induced map	$T_Y: Y \to Y$	$F: Y \to Y$
Induced fibre map	$\phi_Y: Y \to \mathbb{R}$	$\Phi: Y \to \mathbb{R}$
Tower	${\bf Y}^{(n)}$	\bar{X}
Tower map	$I^{(n)} \cdot X^{(n)} \rightarrow X^{(n)}$	$\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{X}$
Tower skew-product	$\mathcal{U}^{(n)}\cdot\mathbf{X}^{(n)}\times\mathbb{S}^1\to\mathbf{X}^{(n)}\times\mathbb{S}^1$	$\tilde{f}_{\varphi}: \widetilde{X} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to \widetilde{X} \times \mathbb{S}^1$

Table 1. Comparison of notation.

where $\Phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{R(x)-1} \varphi(f^k x)$ and $F: x \to f^{R(x)}(x)$ is a full branch Markov map. Since *F* is uniformly expanding and Φ satisfies the bounded twist property we have good estimates on the associated transfer operators. This holds precisely for our setting since it only requires the properties of the induced system.

Following Young we will introduce a map on the tower which is a model for *f* and then, followingGouëzel $[28, \text{section } 3.1]$ $[28, \text{section } 3.1]$ $[28, \text{section } 3.1]$, we introduce a skew-product version of the model. (See table [1](#page-8-0) for a comparison between the notation of the reference and that of the present text.) Let

$$
\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}})
$$

$$
\widetilde{X} = \{(x,\ell) : x \in Y, 0 \leq \ell < R(x)\},
$$

together with the tower map

$$
\tilde{f}: (x,\ell) \mapsto \begin{cases} (x,\ell+1) & \text{if } \ell+1 < \mathbf{R}(x) \\ (Fx,0) & \text{if } \ell+1 = \mathbf{R}(x). \end{cases}
$$

We therefore define the tower skew-product $\tilde{f}_{\varphi} : \tilde{X} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to \tilde{X} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ as

$$
\widetilde{f}_{\varphi} : (x, \ell, u) \mapsto \begin{cases} (x, \ell + 1, u) & \text{if } \ell + 1 < R(x) \\ (Fx, 0, u + \Phi(x)) & \text{if } \ell + 1 = R(x). \end{cases}
$$

We also write the same definition as

$$
\tilde{f}_{\varphi} : (x, \ell, u) \mapsto (\tilde{f}(x, \ell), u + \tilde{\varphi}(x, \ell))
$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}(x,\ell)$ is equal to $\Phi(x)$ when $\ell = R(x)$ and equal to 0 otherwise.

Using the tower and the above transfer operator estimates we prove the exponential mixing result. This requires modification of Gouëzel's argument because of our weaker assumptions on the fibre map φ . In particular we prefer to see the action in the fibre only when we arrive at the top of the tower, not incrementally at each step as is done in the reference. The (possibly) many-to-one map $\pi : \widetilde{X} \to X$ is defined as $(x, \ell) \mapsto f^{\ell}x$. This has the consequence that $\pi \circ \widetilde{f} =$ *f* \circ *π*. For convenience, here and subsequently, we use the notation $S_n \varphi = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \varphi \circ f^{\ell}$ and, similarly, $S_n \tilde{\varphi} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \varphi \circ \tilde{f}^{\ell}$. Abusing notation since no confusion can arise, let $\pi : \tilde{X} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to$ $X \times \mathbb{S}^1$ be defined as $(x, \ell, u) \mapsto (f^{\ell}x, u + S_{\ell}\varphi(x))$. This has the consequence that $\pi \circ \tilde{f}_{\varphi} = f_{\varphi} \circ f_{\varphi}$

π. For each ℓ we define \widetilde{X}_{ℓ} to be the subset of \widetilde{X} such that the second coordinate is equal to ℓ . In each case this is a copy of $\{x \in X : R(x) > \ell\}$. There is a f^R -invariant (*F*- invariant) measure $\tilde{\nu}_0$ on \tilde{X}_0 (which is a copy of *Y*). This then extends to $\tilde{\nu}$ on \tilde{X} . We then define the *f*-invariant measure *ν* on *X* as $\nu = \pi_* \tilde{\nu}$. We denote by *m* the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{S}^1 . The f_φ -invariant measure on $X \times \mathbb{S}^1$ is given by $\nu \times m$. That it is invariant is a simple consequence of f_{φ} being an isometry in the second coordinate.

By definition of π , $\tilde{\nu}$ and \tilde{f}_{φ} ,

$$
\left|\nu\left(g\circ f_{\varphi}^{n}\cdot h\right)-\nu\left(g\right)\nu\left(h\right)\right|=\left|\tilde{\nu}\left(\tilde{g}\circ\tilde{f}_{\varphi}^{n}\cdot\tilde{h}\right)-\tilde{\nu}\left(\tilde{g}\right)\tilde{\nu}\left(\tilde{h}\right)\right|
$$

where $\tilde{g} = g \circ \pi$ and similarly for *h* (observables on the tower). Let *L* denote the transfer operator associated to f. To take advantage of the possibility of a Fourier decomposition in the neutral direction we write

$$
g(x,\ell,u) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{g}_k(x,\ell), \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{g}_k(x,\ell) = \int g(x,\ell,u) e^{-iku} du.
$$

Denote by J_n the Jacobian associated to \tilde{f} . The twisted transfer operator is equal to, for any $h: \widetilde{X} \to \mathbb{R},$

.

$$
\mathcal{M}_{k}^{n}h(x) = \sum_{\tilde{f}^{n}y=x} J_{n}(y) h(y) e^{-ikS_{n}\tilde{\varphi}(y)}
$$

In order to study correlation one considers the full transfer operator but then only the diagonal terms remain[[28,](#page-16-4) (3.4),(3.5)] and so,

$$
\tilde{\nu}\left(\tilde{g}\circ\tilde{f}_{\varphi}^n\cdot\tilde{h}\right)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\nu}\left(\tilde{g}_{-k}\cdot\mathcal{M}_k^n\tilde{h}_k\right).
$$

FollowingGouëzel $[28, \text{ section } 3]$ $[28, \text{ section } 3]$ $[28, \text{ section } 3]$ (the operators *R*, *T*, *A*, *B*, *C* are identical with identical notation as the reference) we define the following operators which we later use to reconstruct $\mathcal{M}_{n,k}$:

$$
R_{n,k}h(x) = \sum_{\substack{\tilde{f}^n y = x \\ y \in Y, \tilde{y}, \dots, \tilde{f}^{n-1}y \notin Y, \tilde{f}^n y \in Y}} J_n(y)h(y) e^{-ikS_n \tilde{\varphi}(y)},
$$

$$
T_{n,k}h(x) = \sum_{\substack{\tilde{f}^n y = x \\ y \in Y, \tilde{f}^n y \in Y}} J_n(y)h(y) e^{-ikS_n \tilde{\varphi}(y)},
$$

$$
A_{n,k}h(x) = \sum_{\substack{\tilde{f}^n y = x \\ y \in Y, \tilde{y}, \dots, \tilde{f}^n y \notin Y}} J_n(y)h(y) e^{-ikS_n \tilde{\varphi}(y)},
$$

$$
B_{n,k}h(x) = \sum_{\substack{\tilde{f}^n y = x \\ y, \dots, \tilde{f}^{n-1}y \notin Y, \tilde{f}^n y \in Y}} J_n(y)h(y) e^{-ikS_n \tilde{\varphi}(y)},
$$

$$
C_{n,k}h(x) = \sum_{\substack{\tilde{f}^n y = x \\ y, \dots, \tilde{f}^n y \notin Y}} J_n(y)h(y) e^{-ikS_n \tilde{\varphi}(y)}.
$$

In words these are, respectively, the cases where: (*R*) The orbit $y, \tilde{f}y \dots, \tilde{f}^n y$ starts and ends in *Y* but is not in *Y* in the meantime; (*T*) The orbit starts and ends in *Y*; (*A*) The orbit starts in *Y* but does not finish in *Y*; (*B*) The orbit is not in *Y* until the last iterate when it is in *Y*; (*C*) The orbit is never in *Y*. Consequently, cutting the orbit at the first and last time it belongs to *Y* means that

$$
\mathcal{M}_k^n h(x) = C_{n,k} + \sum_{a+i+b=n} A_{a,k} T_{i,k} B_{b,k}
$$

and cutting according to each time the orbit belongs to *Y* implies that

$$
T_{n,k}=\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j_1+\cdots+j_p=n}R_{j_1,k}\cdots R_{j_p,k}.
$$

Since we will work with observables *h* which are supported in *Y* we can discard the operators $B_{n,k}$ and $C_{n,k}$. The major part of the argument is the study of the operators $T_{n,k}h$ [[28,](#page-16-4) section 3.3] and consequently the result of exponential mixing on the tower [\[28](#page-16-4), theorem 3.6]. The same result holds in the present setting because we defined the dynamics on the tower in such a way that we see the action in the fibre only when we arrive at the top of the tower, not incrementally at each step as is done in the reference. Moreover the assumption on Φ match those required in the reference. Finally we must understand the argument which deduces exponential mixing for $f_{\varphi}: X \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to X \times \mathbb{S}^1$ from exponential mixing of $\widetilde{f}_{\varphi}: \widetilde{X} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to \widetilde{X} \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Consider some C^r observable $g: Y \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{C}$ and the corresponding observable on the tower $g \circ \pi : X \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{C}$. Note that π is defined differently here compared to in the reference since it must compensate for the fact that the tower only sees the action in the fibre at the top of the tower (i.e. $(x, \ell, u) \mapsto (f^{\ell}x, u + S_{\ell} \varphi(x))$). However, since we work with observables supported on *Y*, the base of the tower, we do not see this discrepancy. Consequently the argument of the reference, with the modifications of the present setting, proves theorem [1](#page-5-2).

4. Twist control

In this section we show that the mild control of singular behaviour of the fibre map suffices to give good control for the twist of iterates at hyperbolic times. This type of argument was previouslyused by Araújo & Varandas $[9]$, section 4.2.2] and the later results on Lorenz flows relied on it[[7,](#page-15-16) [8\]](#page-15-8). In this section we use it in order to prove theorem [2.](#page-6-1)

Let *X* be a compact Riemannian manifold (possibly with boundary), endowed with a Borel measure μ (called the reference measure). For the purposes of this section we suppose that the base transformation $f: X \to X$ is a nonsingular transformation and that both it and the fibre map $\varphi: X \to \mathbb{S}^1$ are piecewise \mathcal{C}^1 in the sense of being \mathcal{C}^1 on each partition element of a fixed open partition of a full measure subset of *X*. The object of interest is the skew-product f_φ : $X \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to X \times \mathbb{S}^1$,

$$
f_{\varphi}:(x,u)\mapsto (fx,u+\varphi(x)).
$$

It would be convenient to assume that $\|D(\varphi \circ f_{|\omega}^{-1})\|$ is uniformly bounded (where $\omega \subset X$ is any open set such that $f: \omega \to X$ is invertible) because this would imply the existence of a cone field which is forward invariant under f_φ (in the sense that the cones are mapped within themselves) and uniformly bounded away from the neutral direction (see e.g. [\[15](#page-15-0)]). Unfortunately, in cases like the one we wish to consider here, it would be impossible to have such a uniform bound. The reality is that, at a full measure set of points, any tangent vector will approach arbitrarily close to the neutral direction under the action of the partially hyperbolic dynamics. Known results for systems with singularities similar to those being investigated here[[6,](#page-15-7) [9](#page-15-15), [28\]](#page-16-4) suggest that the singular nature does not hinder the system having good statistical properties but it does cause difficulties with some of the machinery we would like to use.

We consider the singularity points of the fibre map as artificial singularities of the base map and use the notion of hyperbolic times (definition [2.9](#page-6-2)). At hyperbolic times we know that the orbit hasn't been too often too close to any singularity and this is sufficient for our purposes.

Lemma [4](#page-11-0).1. *Suppose that there exists* $C > 0$ *,* $s \ge 0$ *such that, for all⁴ <i>x*,

$$
\left\| D\varphi\left(x\right)Df(x)^{-1}\right\| \leqslant C \operatorname{dist}\left(x, S\right)^{-s}.
$$

Suppose that $b \in (0, s^{-1})$, $\sigma \in (0, 1)$, $\delta > 0$. There exists $C' > 0$ such that, whenever n is a (b, σ, δ) *-hyperbolic time for x then, letting* $\Phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi(\hat{f}^k x)$,

$$
\left\| D\Phi\left(x\right) Df^{n}\left(x\right) ^{-1}\right\| \leqslant C^{\prime}.
$$

Proof. We observe that, since $\Phi(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \varphi(f^{\ell}x)$,

$$
D\Phi(x)Df^{n}(x)^{-1} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} D\varphi(f^{\ell}x)Df(f^{\ell}x)^{-1}Df^{n-\ell-1}(f^{\ell+1}x)^{-1}.
$$

We can use the assumption of the theorem which controls the quantity $D\varphi(f^{\ell}x)Df(f^{\ell}x)^{-1}$. Consequently

$$
\left\| D\Phi\left(x\right) Df^{n}\left(x\right)^{-1} \right\| \leqslant C \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \text{dist}\left(f^{\ell+1}x, S\right)^{-s} \| Df^{n-\ell-1}\left(f^{\ell+1}x\right)^{-1} \|.
$$

Observe that $||Df^{n-\ell-1}(f^{\ell+1}x)^{-1}|| \le \prod_{j=\ell+1}^{n-1} ||Df(f^jx)^{-1}||$. Since, by assumption, *n* is a (b, σ, δ) -hyperbolic time for *x*, this quantity is bounded from above as $\prod_{j=\ell+1}^{n-1} \|Df(f^j x)^{-1}\| \leq$ $\sigma^{n-\ell-1}$. Additionally dist $_{\delta}(\mathcal{f}^{\ell+1}x, S) \geq \sigma^{b(n-\ell-1)}$. We observe that dist $(\cdot, \cdot) \geq C$ dist $_{\delta}(\cdot, \cdot)$ for some $C > 0$ and immediately absorb this quantity into the previous *C*. Since, by assumption, $bs < 1$,

$$
\|D\Phi(x)Df^{n}(x)^{-1}\| \leq C\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1}\sigma^{-b(n-\ell-1)s}\sigma^{n-\ell-1}
$$

= $C\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\sigma^{(1-bs)k} \leq \frac{C}{1-\sigma^{1-bs}}.$

This estimate is independent of *x* and *n*, as required by the statement of the lemma. \Box

Proof of theorem [2.](#page-6-1) The combination of lemma [4.1](#page-11-1) and theorem [\[28](#page-16-4), proposition 1.16] is the claimed result. \Box

⁴ To be precise, for each *x* contained within a partition element of the smoothness partition.

Remark 4.2. As per the following example, $||D\varphi(x)Df(x)^{-1}||$ might be unbounded even when φ is a bounded function. Let $X = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and let $f: X \to X$ be defined as $x \mapsto 2x$. The fibre map φ : *X* \rightarrow R is defined for the fundamental domain, *x* \in [0, 1),

$$
\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 2 - 2x - (1 - 2x)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } x < \frac{1}{2} \\ 2 - 2x + (2x - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } x \geq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}
$$

Observe that φ is smooth and continuous. However $||D\varphi(x)Df(x)^{-1}||$ is unbounded at $x = \frac{1}{2}$.

.

5. Enlarging the singularity set

In this section we describe the relevant argument to use if we have a uniformly expanding transformation and then we need to enlarge the singularity set because of the singularities of the fibre map. We can then use a large deviations argument to show that the system is NUE in the sense of a controlled singular set (definition [2.8](#page-5-1)) even with the enlarged singular set.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose that* $f: X \to X$ *is NUE in the sense of a controlled singular set* $S \subset X$ *(definition* [2.8](#page-5-1)*). Further suppose that S′ ⊂ X \ S is a finite union of C* ¹ *manifolds with boundary of dimension strictly less than the dimension of X. Let* $S'' = S \cup S' \subset X$ *.*

Then $f: X \to X$ *is NUE in the sense of a controlled singular set, with respect to the set S ′ ′ ⊂ X.*

Proof. Observe that $S \cap S' = \emptyset$. Consequently all the inequalities of assumption (S1) remain satisfied. Also, the second part of assumption (S2) (relating to $Q_{\epsilon, N}$) remains satisfied since it does not depend on the singular set. It remains to consider the property which is sometimes described as *slow recurrence to the singular/critical set*. We must show that the set

$$
\left\{x \in X : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} -\log \text{dist}_{\delta(\epsilon)}\left(f^{k}x, S'\right) > \epsilon, \text{ for some } k \geq N\right\}
$$

is small in Lebesgue measure. We can see this estimate as a question of *large deviations* where our 'observable' is $x \mapsto -\log \text{dist}_{\delta(\epsilon)}(x, S')$. Consequently the desired estimate follows from the relevant large deviations result $\overline{5}$, theorem E. \Box

6. Uniform non-integrability

In this section we show how information about the original map can be used to show that the induced fibre map is not a coboundary with respect to the induced base map. In the terminology used in several of the key references, we show that the *uniform non-integrability* (UNI) condition holds.

As far as this author is aware, there are just two different ways that the fibre map is not cohomologous to a locally constant function. One approach is to check using periodic orbits and obtain a contradiction (e.g. [\[28](#page-16-4), remark 1.15/lemma 6.5/lemma A.8/1st paragraph of section 1.4]). Such arguments are also convenient for establishing that the fibre map not being cohomologous to a locally constant function can be obtained by arbitrary small perturbations (e.g. [\[2](#page-15-4), [9,](#page-15-15) [17\]](#page-15-5)). An alternative approach is to take advantage of the unbounded nature of the fibre map (or its derivative) in order to obtain a contradiction and hence prove that the fibre map is not cohomologous to a locally constant function. (See e.g. $[9, \text{section } 4.2.3 \& \text{erratum}]$, $[8,$ $[8,$ proposition 3.4] and $[6,$ $[6,$ lemma 4.2, corollary 4.3], often using some type of Livšic type argument[[13\]](#page-15-18).) In this section we take this point of view and try to exploit the unbounded nature of the fibre map in order to prove the required property.

Firstly we introduce an example to remind ourselves that we need to take care in this argument. Let $X = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and let $f: X \to X$ be defined as $x \mapsto 2x$. Fix some $a > 0$ and define, for $x \in [0,1]$, the fibre map $\varphi(x) = (x)^{-a} - (fx)^{-a}$. Observe that $\varphi(\epsilon) \to +\infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and $\varphi(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon) \to -\infty$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. Consequently φ is unbounded yet, by definition, is cohomologous to a constant. The skew-product, although rather disguised, is simply the identity in the fibre.

Remark 6.1. We can also construct an example which is inspired by the Lorenz flow. Let *f* : $[-1,1]$ → $[-1,1]$ be a 'Lorenz-like' map [\[30](#page-16-17)]. In particular unbounded derivative at *x* = 0. Furthermore, for $x \in [-1,1]$, let $\varphi(x) = \log |f(x)| - \log |x|$. The functions goes to $+\infty$ at $x = 0$, like seen in the Lorenz case, but, differently to the Lorenz case, goes to *−∞* at the two preimages, $f^{-1}(0)$. (The proof of [\[8](#page-15-8), theorem 3.4] considers $f(0^+), f^2(0^+)$ and so would not see the difference with the present example and so it is subtle where such is ruled out in that work where not being cohomologous to a locally constant function is proved. However there they take advantage of the possibility of a Young tower where the base is an open interval containing the singularity[[9,](#page-15-15) theorem 4.3].)

For the remainder of this section we consider the setting assumed in theorem [3.](#page-7-1) In particular, $X = [0, 1]$ and $f: X \to X$ is defined as $f: x \mapsto 2x \mod 1$. Furthermore $\varphi(x) = \text{dist}(x, 1)^d$ for some $a \in (0,1)$. We will take advantage of the fact that in this setting we are able to define an explicit inducing scheme. Let $Y = (0, \frac{1}{2}) \subset X$, and, for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, let $a_{\ell} = 2^{-1} - 2^{-\ell}$, $Y_{\ell} = (a_{\ell}, a_{\ell+1})$. By definition, ${Y_{\ell}}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a partition of a full measure subset of *Y*. Moreover $f^j(Y_\ell) \cap Y = \emptyset$ whenever $1 \leq j \leq \ell - 1$ and $f^\ell: Y_\ell \to (0,1)$ is a bijection. In words, ℓ is the first return time to *Y* for each $x \in Y_\ell$. Following the notation earlier in this work, $R(x)$ is defined to be ℓ for each $x \in Y_{\ell}$ and $F: Y \to Y$ is defined as $F: x \mapsto f^{R(x)}x$. Let $S = \{1\} \subset X$. Although this point is not a singularity in any sense for f it is a singularity for the fibre map φ .

Lemma 6.2. *For all* $b > 1$ *there exists* $\delta > 0$ *such that, for all* $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, ℓ *is a* $(b, \frac{1}{2}, \delta)$ *-hyperbolic time for* $x \in Y_\ell$ (with respect to the map $f : X \to X$ and the singularity set $S = \{1\} \subset X$).

Proof. Let $x \in Y_\ell$. For the first property of hyperbolic times, we observe that $\prod_{j=\ell-k}^{\ell-1} |Df(f^j x)^{-1}| = 2^{-k}$, consistent with the choice of $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. For the other property we must consider how close orbits can approach the singularity set. It remains to show that $dist_{\delta}(f^{\ell-k}x,1) \geq \sigma^{bk}$. It suffices to consider $k < \ell$ since $k = \ell$ implies that $dist(f^{\ell-k}x,1) =$ $dist(x, 1) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. We calculate that,

$$
\text{dist}\left(f^{\ell-k}x,1\right) \geqslant \text{dist}\left(f^{\ell-k}a_{\ell+1},1\right) = 1 - f^{\ell-k}\left(2^{-1} - 2^{-(\ell+1)}\right) = 1 - \left(1 - 2^{-(\ell+1)}\right) = \frac{1}{2}2^{-k}.
$$

Since we assumed that $b > 1$ by choosing $\delta > 0$ we obtain, uniformly, the required estimate (i.e. $\frac{1}{2}$ 2^{*−k*} \geq 2^{*−bk*} whenever *k* is sufficiently large that $\frac{1}{2}$ 2^{−*k*} < *δ*). П **Lemma 6.3.** *The induced fibre map* $\Phi: Y \to \mathbb{R}$ *is not cohomologous to a function constant on each Yℓ.*

Proof. Let $x \in \overline{Y}_1$, $x' \in \overline{Y}_2$ be defined as the points which satisfy $Fx = x$, $Fx' = x'$. Let $y \in Y_1$ be such that $y' = Fy \in Y_2$ and $Fy' = F^2y = y$. Explicitly,

$$
x = 0, \quad fx = x,
$$

\n
$$
x' = \frac{1}{3}, \quad fx' = \frac{2}{3}, f^2 x' = x',
$$

\n
$$
y = \frac{1}{7}, \quad y' = fy = \frac{2}{7}, \quad f^2 y = \frac{4}{7}, \quad f^3 y = y.
$$

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that $\Phi: Y \to \mathbb{R}$ is cohomologous to a locally constant function in the sense that there exists a C^1 function $\Phi: Y \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Phi - \Phi + \Phi \circ F$ is constant on each set Y_ℓ (and this extends to \overline{Y}_ℓ). Considering the three periodic orbits introduced above, this implies that $\Phi(x) + \Phi(x') = \Phi(y) + \Phi(y')$ and so,

$$
\varphi(x) + \varphi(x') + \varphi(fx') = \varphi(y) + \varphi(y') + \varphi(fy'). \tag{1}
$$

Since $\varphi(x) = \text{dist}(x, 1)^a$ this implies that

$$
\varphi(0) + \varphi\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{1}{7}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{2}{7}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{4}{7}\right) = \chi(a) = 0
$$

where, for convenience we defined

$$
\chi(a) = 1 + \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^a + \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^a - \left(\frac{6}{7}\right)^a - \left(\frac{5}{7}\right)^a - \left(\frac{3}{7}\right)^a.
$$

An analysis of this function shows that $\chi(0) = \chi(1) = 0$ but that $\chi(a) < 0$ for all $a \in (0,1)$. This completes the required contradiction([1\)](#page-14-0). \Box

Remark 6.4. Contradicting the equality [\(1](#page-14-0)) obtained during the previous proof was the crucial step. The same argument could be performed choosing $x \in Y_n$, $x' \in Y_m$ then the equation to contradict would become,

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varphi \left(f^{j} x \right) - \varphi \left(f^{j} y \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \varphi \left(f^{j} y' \right) - \varphi \left(f^{j} x' \right).
$$

However this, or similar, can be contradicted by many different choices of assumptions on φ . For example, if φ were constant on *Y* but monotone elsewhere and strictly increasing in a neighbourhood of 1 the required contradiction would also hold.

Proof of theorem [3.](#page-7-1) Lemma [6.2](#page-13-0) implies that, for any $b > 1$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that the induced system has return times which are $(b, \frac{1}{2}, \sigma)$ -hyperbolic times. Since $\varphi(x) = \text{dist}(x, 1)^d$ we know that $\left\|D\varphi(x)Df(x)^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\text{dist}(x, S)^{-(1-a)}$. We may choose $b \in (0, (1-a)^{-1})$ and so lemma [4.1](#page-11-1) applies and proves the required control on *D*Φ. This, together with the proof that Φ is not cohomologous to a locally constant function, as shown in lemma [6.3,](#page-13-1) means that theorem [2](#page-6-1) applies in the setting and gives the proof of exponential mixing. \Box

Data availability statement

No new data were created or analysed in this study.

Acknowledgment

Massive thanks to Zeze Pacifico, without whom this work would not have existed. Thanks to Roberto Castorrini, Stefano Galatolo and Carlangelo Liverani for several helpful discussions and comments. Thanks also to the anonymous referees for carefully reading the manuscript and for many useful comments which helped to improve the text. This work was partially supported by PRIN Grant 'Regular and stochastic behaviour in dynamical systems' (PRIN 2017S35EHN) and the MIUR Excellence Department Projects awarded to the Department of Mathematics, University of Rome Tor Vergata, CUP E83C18000100006, CUP E83C23000330006.

References

- [1] Alves J, Bonatti C and Viana M 2000 SRB measures for partially hyperbolic systems whose central direction is mostly expanding *Invent. Math.* **[140](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002220000057)** [351–98](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002220000057)
- [2] Araújo V, Butterley O and Varandas P 2016 Open sets of axiom a flows with exponentially mixing attractors *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* [144](https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/13055) [2971–84](https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/13055) Araújo V, Butterley O and Varandas P 2018 **146** 5013–4 Corrigendum
- [3] Avila A, Gouëzel S and Yoccoz J-C 2006 Exponential mixing for the Teichmüller flow *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **[104](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10240-006-0001-5)** [143–211](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10240-006-0001-5)
- [4] Alves J, Luzzatto S and Pinheiro V 2005 Markov structures and decay of correlations for nonuniformly expanding dynamical systems *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincare* **[22](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anihpc.2004.12.002)** [817–39](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anihpc.2004.12.002)
- [5] Alves J, Freitas J, Luzzatto S and Vaienti S 2011 From rates of mixing to recurrence times via large deviations *Adv. Math.* **[228](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2011.06.014)** [1203–36](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2011.06.014)
- [6] Araújo V and Melbourne I 2016 Exponential decay of correlations for nonuniformly hyperbolic flows with a *C* 1+*α* stable foliation, including the classical Lorenz attractor *Ann. Henri Poincare* **[17](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-016-0482-9)** [2975–3004](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-016-0482-9)
- [7] Araújo V and Melbourne I 2017 Existence and smoothness of the stable foliation for sectional hyperbolic attractors *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **[49](https://doi.org/10.1112/blms.12037)** [351–67](https://doi.org/10.1112/blms.12037)
- [8] Araújo V, Melbourne I and Varandas P 2015 Rapid mixing for the Lorenz attractor and statistical limit laws for their time-1 maps *Commun. Math. Phys.* **[340](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-015-2471-0)** [901–38](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-015-2471-0)
- [9] Araújo V and Varandas P 2012 Robust exponential decay of correlations for singular-flows *Commun. Math. Phys.* **[311](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-012-1445-8)** [215–46](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-012-1445-8) Ara´ujo V and Varandas P 2016 **341** 729–31 Corrigendum
- [10] Baladi V and Vallée B 2005 Exponential decay of correlations for surface semi-flows without finite Markov partitions *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **[133](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-04-07671-3)** [865–74](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-04-07671-3)
- [11] Bálint P and Melbourne I 2018 Statistical properties for flows with unbounded roof function, including the Lorenz attractor *J. Stat. Phys.* **[172](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-018-2093-y)** [1101–26](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-018-2093-y)
- [12] Bálint P, Butterley O and Melbourne I 2019 Polynomial decay of correlations for flows, including lorentz gas examples *Commun. Math. Phys.* **[368](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-019-03423-6)** [55–111](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-019-03423-6)
- [13] Bruin H, Holland M and Nicol M 2005 Livšic regularity for Markov systems *Ergod. Theor. Dynam. Syst.* **[25](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385705000179)** [1739–65](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385705000179)
- [14] Butterley O 2014 Area expanding *C* 1+*α* suspension semiflows *Commun. Math. Phys.* **[325](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-013-1835-6)** [803–20](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-013-1835-6)
- [15] Butterley O and Eslami P 2017 Exponential mixing for skew-products with discontinuities *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **[369](https://doi.org/10.1090/tran/6761)** [783–803](https://doi.org/10.1090/tran/6761)
- [16] Butterley O and Melbourne I 2017 Disintegration of invariant measures for hyperbolic skewproducts *Isr. J. Math.* **[219](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11856-017-1477-z)** [171–88](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11856-017-1477-z)
- [17] Butterley O and War K 2020 Open sets of exponentially mixing Anosov flows *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **[22](https://doi.org/10.4171/jems/964)** [2253–85](https://doi.org/10.4171/jems/964)
- [18] Castorrini R and Liverani C 2022 Quantitative statistical properties of two-dimensional partially hyperbolic systems *Adv. Math.* **[409](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2022.108625)** [1–122](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2022.108625)
- [19] Dolgopyat D 1998 On decay of correlations in Anosov flows *Ann. Math.* **[147](https://doi.org/10.2307/121012)** [357–90](https://doi.org/10.2307/121012)
- [20] Dolgopyat D 2002 On mixing properties of compact group extensions of hyperbolic systems *Isr. J. Math.* **[130](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02764076)** [157–205](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02764076)
- [21] Eslami P 2017 Stretched-exponential mixing for C^{1+a} skew products with discontinuities *Ergod*. *Theor. Dynam. Syst.* **[37](https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2015.33)** [146–75](https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2015.33)
- [22] Eslami P 2022 Inducing schemes for multi-dimensional piecewise expanding maps *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **[42](https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2021120)** [353](https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2021120)
- [23] Eslami P, Melbourne I and Vaienti S 2021 Sharp statistical properties for a family of multidimensional non-Markovian nonconformal intermittent maps *Adv. Math.* **[388](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2021.107853)** [107853](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2021.107853)
- [24] Galatolo S 2018 Quantitative statistical stability, speed of convergence to equilibrium and partially hyperbolic skew products *J. Ec. Polytech. Math.* **[5](https://doi.org/10.5802/jep.73)** [377–405](https://doi.org/10.5802/jep.73)
- [25] Galatolo S and Pacifico M J 2010 Lorenz-like flows: exponential decay of correlations for the Poincare map, logarithm law, quantitative recurrence *Ergod. Theory Dyn. Syst.* **[30](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385709000856)** [1703–37](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385709000856)
- [26] Galatolo S, Rousseau J and Saussol B 2015 Skew products, quantitative recurrence, shrinking targets and decay of correlations *Ergod. Theory Dyn. Syst.* **[35](https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2014.10)** [1814–45](https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2014.10)
- [27] Gouëzel S 2006 Decay of correlations for nonuniformly expanding systems *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **[134](https://doi.org/10.24033/bsmf.2500)** [1–31](https://doi.org/10.24033/bsmf.2500)
- [28] Gouëzel S 2009 Local limit theorem for nonuniformly partially hyperbolic skew-products and Farey sequences *Duke Math. J.* **[147](https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-2009-011)** [193–284](https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-2009-011)
- [29] Hasselblatt B and Wilkinson A 1999 Prevalence of non-Lipschitz Anosov foliations *Ergod. Theory Dyn. Syst.* **[19](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385799133868)** [643–56](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385799133868)
- [30] Luzzatto S, Melbourne I and Paccaut F 2005 The Lorenz attractor is mixing *Commun. Math. Phys.* **[260](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-005-1411-9)** [393–401](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-005-1411-9)
- [31] Markarian R, Pacifico M J and Vieitez J L 2013 Exponential speed of mixing for skew-products with singularities *Nonlinearity* **[26](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/26/1/269)** [269](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/26/1/269)
	- Markarian R, Pacifico M J and Vieitez J L 2013 **26** 2923 Corrigendum
- [32] Obayashi I 2009 Exponential decay of correlations for surface semiflows with an expanding direction *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **[49](https://doi.org/10.1215/kjm/1256219166)** [427–40](https://doi.org/10.1215/kjm/1256219166)
- [33] Pollicott M 1985 On the rate of mixing of axiom a flows *Invent. Math.* **[81](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01388579)** [413–26](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01388579)
- [34] Ruelle D 1983 Flots qui ne mélangent pas exponentiellement *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris* I **296** 191–3
- [35] Saussol B 2000 Absolutely continuous invariant measures for multidimensional expanding maps *Isr. J. Math.* **[116](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02773219)** [223–48](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02773219)
- [36] Tsujii M 2008 Decay of correlations in suspension semi-flows of angle-multiplying maps *Ergod. Theory Dyn. Syst.* **[28](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385707000430)** [291–317](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385707000430)
- [37] Tsujii M 2018 Exponential mixing for generic volume-preserving Anosov flows in dimension three *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **[70](https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/07027595)** [757–821](https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/07027595)
- [38] Young L-S 1998 Statistical properties of dynamical systems with some hyperbolicity *Ann. Math.* **[147](https://doi.org/10.2307/120960)** [585–650](https://doi.org/10.2307/120960)
- [39] Young L-S 1999 Recurrence times and rates of mixing *Isr. J. Math.* **[110](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02808180)** [153–88](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02808180)