

SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION, L^p -DUALITY AND THE GEOMETRY OF WIGNER-YANASE-DYSON INFORMATION

P. GIBILISCO

*Dipartimento SEFEMEQ,
Facoltà di Economia, Università di Roma "Tor Vergata",
Via Columbia 2, 00133 Rome, Italy
E-mail: gibilisco@volterra.uniroma2.it
URL: <http://www.economia.uniroma2.it/sefemeq/professori/gibilisco>*

D. IMPARATO

*Dipartimento di Matematica,
Politecnico di Torino,
Corso Duca degli Abruzzi, 10129 Turin, Italy
E-mail: daniele.imparato@polito.it*

T. ISOLA

*Dipartimento di Matematica,
Università di Roma "Tor Vergata",
Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 00133 Rome, Italy
E-mail: isola@mat.uniroma2.it
URL: <http://www.mat.uniroma2.it/~isola>*

We discuss the geometry of Wigner-Yanase-Dyson information via the so-called Amari-Nagaoka embeddings in L^p -spaces of quantum trajectories.

1. Introduction

The Wigner-Yanase-Dyson information was introduced in 1963.²⁸ Wigner and Yanase observed that "According to quantum mechanical theory, some observables can be measured much more easily than others: the observables which commute with the additive conserved quantities ... can be measured with microscopic apparatuses; those which do not commute with these quantities need for their measurements macroscopic systems. Hence the problem of defining a measure of our knowledge with respect to the latter quantities arises ...". After the discussion of the requirements such a mea-

sure should satisfy (convexity, ...) they proposed, tentatively, the following formula and called it *skew information*:

$$I_\rho(A) := -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}([\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}, A]^2).$$

More generally they defined (following a suggestion by Dyson)

$$I_\rho^\beta(A) := -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}([\rho^\beta, A] \cdot [\rho^{1-\beta}, A]), \quad \beta \in [0, 1].$$

The latter is known as *WYD-information*. The skew information should be considered as a measure of information contained in a state ρ with respect to a conserved observable A .

From that fundamental work *WYD-information* has found applications in a manifold of different fields. A possibly incomplete list should mention: i) strong subadditivity of entropy;^{22,23} ii) homogeneity of the state space of factors (of type III₁);⁶ hypothesis testing³ iii) measures for quantum entanglement;^{4,19} iv) uncertainty relations.^{7,10-13,21,24,25,27}

Such a variety should be not surprising at the light of the result showing that *WYD-information* is just an example of monotone metric, namely it is a member of the vast family of quantum Fisher informations.⁹ On the other hand one can prove that, among the family of all the quantum Fisher informations, the geometry of *WYD-information* is rather special.^{8,16}

In this paper we want to discuss the particular features of *WYD-information* emphasizing the relation with the embedding of quantum dynamics in L^p -spaces.

2. Preliminary notions of matrix analysis

Let $M_n := M_n(\mathbb{C})$ (resp. $M_{n,sa} := M_n(\mathbb{C})_{sa}$) be the set of all $n \times n$ complex matrices (resp. all $n \times n$ self-adjoint matrices). We shall denote general matrices by X, Y, \dots while letters A, B, \dots (or H) will be used for self-adjoint matrices. Let D_n be the set of strictly positive elements of M_n while $D_n^1 \subset D_n$ is the set of density matrices namely

$$D_n^1 = \{\rho \in M_n | \text{Tr} \rho = 1, \rho > 0\}.$$

The tangent space to D_n^1 at ρ is given by $T_\rho D_n^1 \equiv \{A \in M_{n,sa} : \text{Tr}(A) = 0\}$, and can be decomposed as $T_\rho D_n^1 = (T_\rho D_n^1)^c \oplus (T_\rho D_n^1)^o$, where $(T_\rho D_n^1)^c := \{A \in T_\rho D_n^1 : [A, \rho] = 0\}$, and $(T_\rho D_n^1)^o$ is the orthogonal complement of $(T_\rho D_n^1)^c$, with respect to the Hilbert-Schmidt scalar product $\langle A, B \rangle := \langle A, B \rangle_{HS} := \text{Tr}(A^* B)$ (the Hilbert-Schmidt norm will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|$).

A typical element of $(T_\rho D_n)^\circ$ has the form $A = i[\rho, H]$, where H is self-adjoint.

In what follows we shall need the following result (pag. 124 in²).

Proposition 2.1. *Let $A \in M_{n,sa}$ be decomposed as $A = A^c + i[q, H]$ where $q \in D_n$, $[A^c, q] = 0$ and $H \in M_{n,sa}$. Suppose $\varphi \in C^1(0, +\infty)$. Then*

$$(D_q \varphi)(A) = \varphi'(q)A^c + i[\varphi(q), H].$$

3. Schrödinger equation and quantum dynamics

Let $\rho(t)$ be a curve in D_n^1 and let $H \in M_{n,sa}$. We say that $\rho(t)$ satisfy the Schrödinger equation w.r.t. H if $\frac{d}{dt}\rho(t) = i[\rho(t), H]$. This equation is also known in the literature as the Landau-von Neumann equation.

The solution of the above evolution equation (please note that H is time independent) is given by

$$\rho_H(t) := e^{-itH} \rho e^{itH}. \quad (1)$$

Therefore the commutator $i[\rho, H]$ appears as the tangent vector to the quantum trajectory (1) (at the initial point $\rho = \rho_H(0)$) generated by H . Suppose we are considering two different evolutions determined, through the Schrödinger equation, by H and K . If we want to quantify how “different” the trajectories $\rho_H(t), \rho_K(t)$ are, then it would be natural to measure the “area” spanned by the tangent vectors $i[\rho, H], i[\rho, K]$ (with respect to some scalar product¹⁰).

4. L^p -embedding for states and trajectories

The functions

$$\rho \rightarrow \frac{\rho^\beta}{\beta}, \quad \beta \in (0, 1)$$

are known as Amari-Nagaoka embeddings.^{1,14} They can be considered as an immersion of the state manifold into L^p -spheres.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\rho(t)$ be a curve in D_n^1 , let $H \in M_{n,sa}$ and let $\beta \in (0, 1)$. The following differential equations are equivalent*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho(t) = i[\rho(t), H], \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\rho(t)^\beta) = i[\rho(t)^\beta, H]. \quad (2)$$

Proof. Let $\phi_\beta(\rho) := \rho^\beta$. By Proposition 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(\rho(t)^\beta) &= D_\rho \phi_\beta \circ \frac{d}{dt} \rho(t) = D_\rho \phi_\beta(i[\rho(t), H]) \\ &= (i[\phi_\beta(\rho(t)), H]) = i[\rho(t)^\beta, H]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Equation (1) implies Equation (2). Analogously, again using Proposition 2.1, Equation (2) implies Equation (1) because we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(\rho(t)) &= \frac{d}{dt}((\rho(t)^\beta)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}) = D_{(\rho(t)^\beta)} \phi_\beta^{-1} \circ \frac{d}{dt}(\rho(t)^\beta) \\ &= D_{(\rho(t)^\beta)} \phi_\beta^{-1} \circ i[\rho(t)^\beta, H] = D_{(g(t))} \phi_\beta^{-1} \circ i[g(t), H] \\ &= i[\phi_\beta^{-1}(g(t)), H] = i[\rho(t), H]. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

5. WYD-information by pairing of dual trajectories

The Wigner-Yanase-Dyson information is defined as

$$I_\rho^\beta(H) := -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}([\rho^\beta, H] \cdot [\rho^{1-\beta}, H]), \quad \beta \in (0, 1).$$

Let us explain the link between L^p -embeddings and WYD-information. Let V, W be vector spaces over \mathbb{R} (or \mathbb{C}). One says that there is a duality pairing if there exists a separating bilinear form

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(\mathbb{C}).$$

In the case of L^p spaces the pairing is given by the L^2 scalar product. In our case this is just the HS -scalar product.

Note that using the function $\rho \rightarrow \rho^\beta$ we may look at dynamics as a curve on a $L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$ -sphere. The function $\rho \rightarrow \rho^{1-\beta}$ does the same on the dual space $(L^{\frac{1}{\beta}})^* = L^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}$.

Proposition 5.1. *If $\rho(t)$ satisfies the Schrödinger equation w.r.t. H then*

$$\left\langle \frac{d}{dt} \rho(t)^\beta, \frac{d}{dt} \rho(t)^{1-\beta} \right\rangle = 2 \cdot I_{\rho(t)}^\beta(H) \quad \beta \in (0, 1).$$

Proof. Apply Proposition 4.1 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{d}{dt}(\rho(t)^\beta), \frac{d}{dt}(\rho(t)^{1-\beta}) \right\rangle &= \langle i[\rho(t)^\beta, H], i[\rho(t)^{1-\beta}, H] \rangle \\ &= -\text{Tr}([\rho(t)^\beta, H] \cdot [\rho(t)^{1-\beta}, H]). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

In this way WYD-information appears as the “pairing” of the dual L^p -embeddings of the same quantum trajectory.

6. Quantum Fisher informations

In the commutative case a Markov morphism is a stochastic map $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$. In the noncommutative case a Markov morphism is a completely positive and trace preserving operator $T : M_n \rightarrow M_k$. Let

$$\mathcal{P}_n := \{\rho \in \mathbb{R}^n | \rho_i > 0\} \quad \mathcal{P}_n^1 := \{\rho \in \mathbb{R}^n | \sum \rho_i = 1, \rho_i > 0\}.$$

In the commutative case a monotone metric is a family of Riemannian metrics $g = \{g^n\}$ on $\{\mathcal{P}_n^1\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$g_{T(\rho)}^m(TX, TX) \leq g_\rho^n(X, X)$$

holds for every Markov morphism $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and all $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_n^1$ and $X \in T_\rho \mathcal{P}_n^1$.

In perfect analogy, a monotone metric in the noncommutative case is a family of Riemannian metrics $g = \{g^n\}$ on $\{\mathcal{D}_n^1\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$g_{T(\rho)}^m(TX, TX) \leq g_\rho^n(X, X)$$

holds for every Markov morphism $T : M_n \rightarrow M_m$ and all $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_n^1$ and $X \in T_\rho \mathcal{D}_n^1$.

Let us recall that a function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called operator monotone if, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, any $A, B \in M_n$ such that $0 \leq A \leq B$, the inequalities $0 \leq f(A) \leq f(B)$ hold. An operator monotone function is said symmetric if $f(x) := xf(x^{-1})$. With such operator monotone functions f one associates the so-called Chentsov–Morozova functions

$$c_f(x, y) := \frac{1}{yf(xy^{-1})} \quad \text{for } x, y > 0.$$

Define $L_\rho(A) := \rho A$, and $R_\rho(A) := A\rho$. Since L_ρ and R_ρ commute we may define $c(L_\rho, R_\rho)$ (this is just the inverse of the operator mean associated to f by Kubo–Ando theory¹⁰). Now we can state the fundamental theorems about monotone metrics. In what follows uniqueness and classification are stated up to scalars (for reference see²⁶).

Theorem 6.1. (Chentsov 1982) *There exists a unique monotone metric on \mathcal{P}_n^1 given by the Fisher information.*

Theorem 6.2. (Petz 1996) *There exists a bijective correspondence between monotone metrics on \mathcal{D}_n^1 and symmetric operator monotone functions. For $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_n^1$, this correspondence is given by the formula*

$$g_f(A, B) := g_{f, \rho}(A, B) := \text{Tr}(A \cdot c_f(L_\rho, R_\rho)(B)).$$

Because of these two theorems, the terms “Monotone Metrics” and “Quantum Fisher Informations” are used with the same meaning.

Note that usually monotone metrics are normalized so that $[A, \rho] = 0$ implies $g_{f, \rho}(A, A) = \text{Tr}(\rho^{-1} A^2)$, that is equivalent to set $f(1) = 1$.

7. The WYD monotone metric

The following functions are symmetric, normalized and operator monotone (see^{9,16}). Let

$$f_{\beta}(x) := \beta(1 - \beta) \frac{(x - 1)^2}{(x^{\beta} - 1)(x^{1-\beta} - 1)} \quad \beta \in (0, 1).$$

Proposition 7.1. *For the QFI associated to f_{β} one has*

$$g_{f_{\beta}}(i[\rho, H], i[\rho, K]) = -\frac{1}{\beta(1 - \beta)} \text{Tr}([\rho^{\beta}, H] \cdot [\rho^{1-\beta}, K]) \quad \beta \in (0, 1).$$

One can find a proof in^{9,16}. Because of the above Proposition, g_{β} is known as $WYD(\beta)$ monotone metric.

Of course what we have seen about L^p -embedding of quantum dynamics applies to this example of quantum Fisher information. Indeed we can summarize everything into the following final result.

Proposition 7.2.

Let H, K be selfadjoint matrices and ρ be a density matrix. Choose two curves $\rho(t), \sigma(t) \subset D_n^1$ such that

- i) $\rho(t)$ satisfies the Schrödinger equation w.r.t. H ;*
- ii) $\sigma(t)$ satisfies the Schrödinger equation w.r.t. K ;*
- iii) $\rho = \rho(0) = \sigma(0)$.*

One has

$$g_{f_{\beta}}(i[\rho, H], i[\rho, K]) = \left\langle \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\rho(t)^{\beta}}{\beta} \right), \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\sigma(t)^{1-\beta}}{1 - \beta} \right) \right\rangle_{t=0} \quad \beta \in (0, 1)$$

Proof. From Proposition 7.1, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} g_{f_{\beta}}(i[\rho, H], i[\rho, K]) &= -\frac{1}{\beta(1-\beta)} \text{Tr}([\rho^{\beta}, H] \cdot [\rho^{1-\beta}, K]) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\beta(1-\beta)} \text{Tr}([\rho(t)^{\beta}, H] \cdot [\sigma(t)^{1-\beta}, K])|_{t=0} \\ &= \left\langle \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\rho(t)^{\beta}}{\beta} \right), \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\sigma(t)^{1-\beta}}{1 - \beta} \right) \right\rangle_{t=0} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

8. Conclusion

All the ingredients of the above construction make sense on a von Neumann algebra: WYD -information, quantum dynamics, L^p -spaces, Amari-Nagoka embeddings and so on.^{14,20} Nevertheless we are not aware of any attempt to see geometry of WYD -information along the lines described in the present paper, in the infinite-dimensional context. We plan to address this problem in future work.

References

1. S. Amari and H. Nagaoka. *Methods of information geometry*, volume 191 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. Translated from the 1993 Japanese original by Daishi Harada.
2. R. Bhatia, *Matrix analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1997).
3. J. Calsamiglia, R. Muñoz-Tapia, L. Masanes, A. Acín and E. Bagan, Quantum Chernoff bound as a measure of distinguishability between density matrices: Application to qubit and gaussian states, *Phys. Rev. A*, 77, 032311, (2008).
4. Z. Chen. Wigner-Yanase skew information as tests for quantum entanglement, *Phys. Rev. A*, 71, 052302, (2005).
5. N. N. Čencov, *Statistical decision rules and optimal inference*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., (1982). Translation from the Russian edited by Lev J. Leifman.
6. A. Connes and E. Stormer. Homogeneity of the state space of factors of type III_1 , *J. Funct. Anal.*, 28, 187–196, (1978).
7. F. Hansen. Metric adjusted skew information, arXiv:math-ph/0607049v3, (2006).
8. H. Hasegawa, Dual geometry of the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson information content, *Inf. Dim. Anal. Quant Prob. & Rel. Top.*, 6, 413–431, (2003).
9. H. Hasegawa and D. Petz, Noncommutative extension of the information geometry II. In *Quantum communications and measurement*, pages 109–118. Plenum, New York, (1997).
10. P. Gibilisco, D. Imparato, and T. Isola, Uncertainty principle and quantum Fisher information II. *J. Math. Phys.*, 48: 072109, (2007).
11. P. Gibilisco, D. Imparato and T. Isola, Inequality for quantum Fisher information. To appear on *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* arXiv:math-ph/0702058, (2007).
12. P. Gibilisco, D. Imparato, D. and T. Isola, A volume inequality for quantum Fisher information and the uncertainty principle. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 130(3): 545–559, (2008).
13. P. Gibilisco, D. Imparato and T. Isola, A Robertson-type uncertainty principle and quantum Fisher information. *Lin. Alg. Appl.*, 428(7), 1706–1724, (2008).
14. P. Gibilisco and T. Isola. Connections on Statistical manifolds of Density Op-

- erators by Geometry of Noncommutative L^p -Spaces, *Inf. Dim. Anal. Quant. Prob. & Rel. Top.*, **2** 169-178, (1999).
15. P. Gibilisco and T. Isola. Wigner-Yanase information on quantum state space: the geometric approach, *J. Math. Phys.*, **44**(9): 3752-3762, (2003).
 16. P. Gibilisco and T. Isola. , On the characterization of paired monotone metrics, *Ann. Ins. Stat. Math.*, **56**(2): 369-381, (2004).
 17. P. Gibilisco and T. Isola. On the monotonicity of scalar curvature in classical and quantum information geometry, *J. Math. Phys.*, **46**(2): 023501,14, (2005).
 18. P. Gibilisco and G. Pistone. Connections on nonparametric statistical manifolds by Orlicz space geometry, *Inf. Dim. Anal. Quant. Prob. & Rel. Top.*, **1** 325-347, (1998).
 19. A. Klyachko, B. Oztup and A. S. Shumovsky. Measurable entanglement, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **88**, 124102, (2006).
 20. H. Kosaki. Interpolation theory and the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson-Lieb concavity. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **87**, no. 3, 315-329, (1982/83).
 21. H. Kosaki. Matrix trace inequalities related to uncertainty principle, *Inter. Jour. Math.*, **6** 629-645, (2005).
 22. E. Lieb, Convex trace functions and the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson conjecture. *Advances in Math.* **11**, 267-288, (1973) .
 23. E. Lieb and M.B. Ruskai, A fundamental property of the quantum mechanical entropy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **30**, 434436, (1973).
 24. S. Luo and Q. Zhang. On skew information, *IEEE Trans. Infor. Theory*, **50**(8), 1778-1782, (2004).
 25. S. Luo and Z. Zhang. An informational characterization of Schrödinger's uncertainty relations, *J. Stat. Phys.*, **114**, 1557-1576, (2004).
 26. D. Petz, Monotone metrics on matrix spaces. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **244**:81-96, (1996).
 27. K. Yanagi, S. Furuichi and K. Kuriyama, A generalized skew information and uncertainty relation. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, **51**(12):4401-4404, (2005).
 28. E. P. Wigner and M. M. Yanase , Information content of distributions. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **49**: 910-918, (1963).