

# SSADH Variation in Primates: Intra- and Interspecific Data on a Gene with a Potential Role in Human Cognitive Functions

Paola Blasi,<sup>1</sup>\* Francesca Palmerio,<sup>1</sup>\* Aurora Aiello,<sup>2</sup> Mariano Rocchi,<sup>3</sup> Patrizia Malaspina,<sup>1</sup> Andrea Novelletto<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biology, University "Tor Vergata," via della Ricerca Scientifica, snc, 00133, Rome, Italy

<sup>2</sup> Department of Cell Biology, University of Calabria, Rende, Italy

<sup>3</sup> DAPEG, Section of Genetics, University of Bari, Bari, Italy

Received: 24 June 2005 / Accepted: 22 December 2005 [Reviewing Editor: Dr. Martin Kreitman]

In the present study we focus on the Abstract. nucleotide and the inferred amino acid variation occurring in humans and other primate species for mitochondrial NAD<sup>+</sup>-dependent succinic semialdehyde dehydrogenase, a gene recently supposed to contribute to cognitive performance in humans. We determined 2527 bp of coding, intronic, and flanking sequences from chimpanzee, bonobo, gorilla, orangutan, gibbon, and macaque. We also resequenced the entire coding sequence on 39 independent chromosomes from Italian families. Four variable coding sites were genotyped in additional populations from Europe, Africa, and Asia. A test for constancy of the nonsynonymous vs. synonymous rates of nucleotide changes revealed that primates are characterized by largely variable  $d_N/d_S$  ratios. On a background of strong conservation, probably controlled by selective constraints, the lineage leading to humans showed a ratio increased to 0.42. Human polymorphic levels fall in the range reported for other genes, with a pattern of frequency and haplotype structure strongly suggestive of nonneutrality. The comparison with the primate sequences allowed inferring the ancestral state at all variable positions, suggesting that the c.538(C) allele and the associated functional variant is indeed a derived state that is proceeding to fixation. The unexpected pattern of human polymorphism

compared to interspecific findings outlines the possibility of a recent positive selection on some variants relevant to new cognitive capabilities unique to humans.

Key words: ALDH5A1 — GABA metabolism — Evolutionary neutrality — Positive selection — Primate evolution

## Introduction

An ever-increasing number of studies are being accumulated on DNA sequence differences between human and other primates. This information allows defining phylogenetic relationships and calibrating the times of divergence between primate species. Protein-coding gene comparisons also reveal that the tree topology of human, chimpanzee, and gorilla can differ from gene to gene, a contradictory result that reflects different realizations of the evolutionary path in the short time span between gorilla and the common ancestor of the human-chimpanzee clade (Kitano et al. 2004).

More recently, the complete human and chimpanzee genomic sequences are revealing new differences and similarities between the two species. Although the sequence divergence is strongly dependent on the kind of sequences considered, the average

<sup>\*</sup>These authors contributed equally to the work.

Correspondence to: A. Novelletto; email: novelletto@bio.uniroma2 .it

difference between humans and our closest relatives is low (Chimpanzee Sequencing and Analysis Consortium 2005).

The hypothesis that the low percentage of differences between human and chimpanzee genomes could reveal the determinants of human specific traits has been proposed by several authors (for a general view of the issue, see Cyranosky [2002] and Dennis [2005]). According to this view, few novel gene variants involved in behavioral and cognitive functions must have arisen after the divergence of the last common ancestor with chimpanzee, and played a major role in human lineage evolution. This argument has been put forward by Enard et al. (2002), who speculated that a variant in the otherwise highly conserved FOXP2 gene was driven to fixation by positive selection associated with the development of a proficient spoken language in the human lineage. Recently, the same argument has been developed for numerous brain-related genes by Dorus et al. (2004), who used the macaque instead, to reduce the stochastic uncertainty in the estimation of the evolutionary rates. Also, gene silencing has been claimed to be involved in anatomical changes specific for the genus Homo (Stedman et al. 2004). Finally, it has been suggested that some phenotypic differences, such as those displayed in brain organization and specialization, could be primarily attributed to elevated gene expression levels rather than to sequence differences (King and Wilson 1975; Caceres et al. 2003; Hill and Walsh 2005).

Analyses based on comparisons at the nucleotide level of protein-coding genes suggest different roles of selection on particular genes during primate evolution. The extent of sequence divergence at different loci can be evaluated in terms of nonsynonymous/ synonymous substitution rate ratio  $(d_N/d_S)$ . This kind of data can highlight the evolutionary relevant amino acids of a protein, and variable  $d_N/d_S$  values among lineages can provide evidence on the lineage-specific selection pressures (Yang and Nielsen 2002). Clark et al. (2003) applied a model allowing for the estimation of branch-specific  $d_N/d_S$  values in a genomewide survey of human, chimpanzee, and mouse orthologues and identified subsets of genes that were subject to positive selection in the human lineage. Furthermore, estimates of nonsynonymous vs. synonymous rates of change contribute to human intraspecific analysis and allow an evaluation of the evolutionary forces that acted during modern human evolution. Genes involved in drug transport and metabolism are among the best candidates (Bamshad and Wooding 2003).

In the present study, we focus on the nucleotide and the inferred amino acid variation occurring in humans and six primate species for a key enzyme in metabolism, i.e., mitochondrial NAD<sup>+</sup>-dependent succinic semialdehyde dehydrogenase (SSADH; ALDH5A1, EC 1.2.1.24). SSADH belongs to the aldehyde dehydrogenase superfamily, a related group of enzymes that metabolize a wide spectrum of endogenous and exogenous aldehydes (Sophos and Vasiliou 2003). SSADH catalyzes the oxidation of succinate semialdehyde (SSA) to succinate, which is the final catabolite of the  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid (GABA) shunt. In addition, it has been demonstrated that in the rat central nervous system (CNS) SSADH is also responsible for the oxidation of 4-hydroxy-2nonenal (HNE), a cytotoxic product of lipid peroxidation (Murphy et al. 2003). We mapped SSADH as a single-copy gene in the 6p22 region in humans (Malaspina et al. 1996) and characterized its genomic and coding structures. The gene consists of 10 exons encompassing over 38 kb. The complete ORF is 1605 bp (accession no. Y11192) encoding for 535 amino acids, with the first 47 residues recognized as mitochondrial targeting peptide (Chambliss et al. 1998).

Initial evidence that SSADH normal activity is relevant to cognitive abilities derives from the analysis of patients affected by SSADH deficiency (OMIM 271980). Since early childhood, they invariably show various degrees of mental retardation and other neurological consequences affecting psychomotor, speech, and language development. Carrier parents, in whom SSADH activity is reduced from a moderate to a severe extent, have also been reported to show EEG abnormalities (Dervent et al. 2004).

Analysis of the SSADH coding region in a panel of random healthy subjects of European origin revealed the presence of several missense and samesense variants at polymorphic frequencies. In vitro expression of missense variants showed remarkable reductions in enzymatic activity in comparison with the most common form of the enzyme, leading to the suggestion that large variations among subjects exist for the enzyme activity on the substrate SSA. Whether this leads to an altered GABA and/or other metabolites balance remains to be ascertained (Blasi et al. 2002). Indeed, a possible involvement of SSADH in higher cognitive functions has been suggested by Plomin et al. (2004), who showed that the most common allele is significantly associated with higher performances.

Here we report on the variation detected in SSADH gene in human, chimpanzee, bonobo, gorilla, lar gibbon, orangutan, and rhesus macaque. We also investigated variation in Old World human populations by resequencing the entire coding region in 39 independent gene copies from Italian families and genotyping a total of 302 additional subjects. The two data sets enabled us to identify the ancestral state at each of the polymorphic residues and to reconstruct a possible phylogeny of the variant haplotypes.

This study is aimed at evaluating interspecific differences in the rate of accumulation of nonsynonymous vs. synonymous nucleotide substitutions both inter- and intraspecifically and thus to understand the evolutionary processes and constraints that acted on the SSADH gene. Also, it explores the pattern of human polymorphism in light of recent evidences for adaptive evolution of the same genes both inter- and intraspecifically (Evans et al. 2004a, b, 2005; Mekel-Bobrov et al. 2005).

## Materials and Methods

#### Samples

DNA was extracted from lymphoblastoid cell lines of chimpanzee (*Pan troglodytes*), bonobo (*Pan paniscus*), lar gibbon (*Hylobates lar*), gorilla (*Gorilla gorilla*), and Sumatran and Bornean orangutans (*Pongo pygmaeus abelii* and *Pongo pygmaeus pygmaeus*, hereafter referred to as PPY1 and PPY6, respectively).

Blood or buccal swabs from human subjects were collected in 107, 19, and 29 individuals from Italy, the United Kingdom, and Nigeria, respectively. In addition, biological material was obtained by Italian nuclear families collected in Rome, which produced 39 independent SSADH gene copies. Informed consent was obtained in all cases. We also examined 147 subjects of Asian and African origin included in the HGPD panel and supplied by CEPH.

#### Nomenclature

Throughout the text the first 47 amino acids and the remaining portion (aa positions 48–535) are referred to as "mitochondrial entry peptide" and "mature peptide," respectively. Nucleotide positions preceded by "g." refer to the human genomic sequence AL031230; nucleotide positions preceded by "c." refer to the human cDNA reference sequence Y11192 (den Dunnen and Antonarakis 2000). The human c.106, c.538, and c.545 polymorphisms reported here are published at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/ as rs4646832, rs2760118, and rs3765310, respectively.

#### DNA Analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted from cultured cells, fresh blood, or buccal swab by standard techniques, and all the exons were specifically amplified. Primer pairs flanking each of the SSADH exons 2–10 successfully amplified primate DNA under the same conditions reported for humans (Blasi et al. 2002). For both human and primate DNA, exon 1 was amplified in a 50-µl volume, including 10% DMSO with flanking primers S77 (5'-GCGGTGCAGCGA GAAAGA-3') and S93 (5'-GTGTCACTTTGGGTAAAGC-3') and the following PCR conditions: 40 cycles at 95°C for 1 min, 52°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1 min.

The rapid assay for the c.538C>T (exon 3) was as described (Blasi et al. 2002). The assays for the c.106G>C (exon 1), c.545C>T (exon 3), and c.709G>T (exon 4) were performed by the same method. The ASO probes were S105 (5'-CTGCCTCC GGGCCTG-3') and S106 (5'-CTGCCTCCCGGCCTG-3'; hybridization and washing temperature, 54°C) for c.106G>C, S102 (5'-ACACCCCGGCAAAGGAC-3') and S103 (5'-ACA CCCTGGCAAAGGAC-3'; hybridization and washing temperature, 60°C) for c.545C>T, and S114 (5'-CCTTCTCCGGCC-3'; hybridization and washing temperature, 58°C) for c.709G>T.

Total RNA was extracted from human lymphocytes only when blood samples were available, using the TRIzol Reagent (In Vitrogen) on lymphocytes separated by Ficoll gradient or by using the RNeasy Protect Midi kit (Qiagen) as recommended.

First-strand cDNA was generated using Superscript first-strand synthesis System (InVitrogen) with random hexamers. PCRs were performed in a 50-µl reaction using Taq Polymerase (Promega) for 40 cycles at 94°C for 1 min, 52°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1 min. Exons 2 to 10 were obtained as three partially overlapping amplicons as follows: exons 2, 3, and 4 (with primers S23 [5'-CGCTGCCTACGAGGCTTTC-3'] and Tcb [5'- GTGTCTTCGG CAGGCTTC-3']); exons 4, 5, 6, and 7 (with primers S2 [5'-TCCCCAGTGCCATGATCAC-3'] and S26 [5'-ACCGCTTTTT CATTAATTAATG-3']); and exons 7, 8, 9 and 10 (with primers S25 [5'-GTTTGCTCAAACCAATTCTTG-3'] and L3b [5'-AATA ATGGATGGCATGTACC-3']].

Since the high GC content at the 5' of the SSADH mRNA prevents the synthesis of a complete cDNA, exon 1 was obtained by PCR on genomic DNA, as described above.

## DNA Sequencing and Base Calling

PCR products were purified using the Marligen Bioscience Inc. kit, sequenced on both strands using BigDye dideoxy terminators, and analyzed by automatic sequencer ABI310 (PE Applied Biosystem).

Electropherograms were visually inspected. Heterozygous positions were identified as those producing two peaks, confirmed on both strands, with a height ratio not lower than 0.7:1.

#### Data Analysis

All genomic primate sequences experimentally obtained were subjected to multiple alignment by Clustal X v1.8 (Thompson et al. 1997) to the human orthologue as represented in AL031230. The alignments were trimmed to the length of the shortest sequence, concatenated, and reformatted with Mega2 software (Kumar et al. 2001).

The orthologous sequence from rhesus macaque (*Macaca mulatta*) was assembled from sequence traces deposited at http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/traces/cgi isolated by BLAST analysis with human coding sequence (accession no. Y11192). When variable positions were found among traces, the single trace carrying the minimum number of differences compared to the consensus generated by us was chosen. Coding and noncoding sequences were obtained from gi's 540672067, 567336349, 563938008, 448817534, 540484286, 540484248, 503180319, 497840534, 503052585, 486967564, 541131502, 517214160, and 497840420. The reliability of these sequences was also cross-checked with a partial *Macaca fascicularis* sequence experimentally obtained in our lab (not shown). The rhesus macaque sequence was then aligned to those reported above.

Mouse and rat nucleotide coding sequences were obtained by blasting Y11192 to the genomic contig sequences NT\_039578.2 and NW\_047492.1, respectively. A large deletion in the portion encoding the mitochondrial entry peptide of mouse and rat was positioned based on the results of multiple alignment of the corresponding amino acid sequences (NP\_766120 and XP\_214478, respectively) with primate (this work) and human sequences.

When necessary, ambiguous primate sequences containing heterozygous positions were resolved into two sequences, the first of which retained the consensus nucleotide at all positions. Under the assumption that at each variable nucleotide position the consensus represents the ancestral state, this method returns a putative ancestral array of variants compatible with the sampled chromosomes. Conservatively, only this sequence was used in further analyses. No heterozygous positions were observed in gorilla, bonobo, or lar gibbon. Three heterozygous positions were found in the single chimpanzee individual (g.46939, g.47060, and g.75725), all of which included the consensus nucleotide. Six and eleven heterozygous positions were found in the Bornean (PPY6)

**Table 1.** DNA substitutions in functional regions of SSADH DNA sequence in primate species compared with human reference sequenceAL031230

	Sequence length (bp)	Chimpanzee	Bonobo	Gorilla	Orangutan (Borneo)	Orangutan (Sumatra)	Lar gibbon	Rhesus macaque
5' UTR	132 5.2%	0	0	0	4	4	4	7
Coding <sup>a</sup>	1608 63.6%	7	7	8	26	23	30	58
mt entry peptide <sup>b</sup>	141	0	1	0	6	4	4	6
Introns	757 29.9%	8	9	12	15	13	19	37
3' UTR	30 1.2%	0	0	0	1	1	3	1
Total	2527	15	16	20	46	41	56	103

<sup>a</sup>Includes the stop codon.

<sup>b</sup>Included in the coding sequence.

(g.38929, g.46206, g.46219, g.58972, g.64022, and g.76293) and the Sumatran (PPY1) (g.38566, g.38629, g.38736, g.38767, g.38862, g.46206, g.46219, g.48484, g.48563, g.58972, and g.71752) orangutans, respectively.

UPGMA, neighbor joining (based on distances obtained with the two-parameter method of Kimura [1980]) and maximum parsimony trees were constructed by using the coding and noncoding sequences with Mega2, without considering positions deleted in one or more sequences (reducing the number of comparable positions to 2486). A test of phylogeny was performed in all cases by bootstrapping with 1000 replicates.

A likelihood ratio test for constancy of the nonsynonymous vs. synonymous rates of nucleotide changes in a tree including primates, rat, and mouse was performed as described by Yang and Nielsen (2000, 2002) with the program PAML (Yang 1997).

SubPSEC scores for each derived amino acid position in the *Hominoidea* subtree were calculated as described (Thomas et al. 2003) by downloading from the PANTHER database (https://panther.appliedbiosystems.com) the alignment PHTR11699.sf76 and counting the frequency of each amino acid in the relevant positions. This score measures the likelihood of the transition of one amino acid to another in annotated protein sequence alignments. When SubPSEC = 0, the substitution is interpreted as functional neutral, whereas more negative values predict more evolutionarily deleterious substitutions.

For intraspecific human resequencing data, heterozygous positions could be unambiguously resolved and phased by family studies. Haplotypic reconstruction of SNPs genotyping data were obtained with the program PHASE 2 (Stephens et al. 2001b) and further analyzed with Arlequin 2.000 (Schneider et al. 1997).

The haplotype test (Hudson et al. 1994) was performed using the program PSUBS on the set of 39 chromosomes with phase unambiguously determined by family studies. Extended haplotype homozygosity (EHH) was calculated as described (Sabeti et al. 2002). This measure is aimed at detecting the transmission of an extended haplotype without recombination. EHH null distribution was obtained from 1000 simulations generated by SIMCOAL2 (Excoffier 2000), assuming an effective population size of 5000, a population growth rate of 0.03, and recombination at 0.01/Mb.

The DNAsp package (Rozas and Rozas 1999) was used to obtain the polymorphism parameters  $\theta \pi$ ,  $\theta s$ , and D (Tajima 1989), to perform the test of neutrality of McDonald and Kreitman (1991) (based on the null expectation of an equal ratio of nonsynonymous and synonymous substitutions in and between species), and to perform a sliding window analysis of sequence diversity. This latter was obtained by measuring the number of variable sites in consecutive intervals of 100 nt.

All the coding sequences generated for PPY1, PPY6, gorilla, chimpanzee, bonobo, and lar gibbon were submitted to EMBL and given accession numbers AJ621749, AJ621750, AJ621751, AJ621752, AJ891037, and AJ891038, respectively. The entire DNA sequences used in this paper are reported in the Appendix.

#### Results

#### Interspecific Comparisons

Overall, we obtained information on 2527 nucleotide positions, from g.38518 to g.77395 (Appendix). Multiple species alignment showed no gross rearrangement. A 14-bp deletion was observed in both orangutan samples from g.63986 to g.63999 at the 3' end of the fifth intron (IVS5); a 10 bp-deletion was observed in the gibbon (g.48662–g.48671), and a 10-bp deletion in the macaque (g.77134–g.77143); other single-nucleotide deletions were observed at g.75763 in the two *Pan* species, g.75896 in all species except gorilla and human, and g.75918 in orangutan. A single C insertion is shared among orangutan, gibbon, and macaque at g.77151/2. Other insertions are observed only in the macaque at g.63999/64000 and g.75690/1.

We calculated pairwise distances among the conservative sequences using the human orthologue (AL031230). The results (Table 1) showed that the two *Pan* sequences are the most similar to the human one, followed by the gorilla and the two orangutan sequences. Compared to the human, the macaque showed a nearly doubled number of substitutions compared to other *Hominoidea*.

The construction of trees with different methods produced contrasting results. In the UPGMA tree based on distances obtained with the Kimura twoparameter model, human and the two *Pan* species were most closely related. On the other hand, in the neighbor-joining and maximum parsimony trees human and gorilla clustered together, to the exclusion of



Fig. 1. Phylogenetic tree of the SSADH sequence obtained by maximum parsimony and neighbor joining on the matrix of Kimura two-parameter distances. Branch length is not drawn proportional to distances. The  $d_N/d_S$  ratio is reported for each branch

all other species (Fig. 1). Indeed, no instances were found of substitutions shared by human and chimpanzee only or by chimpanzee and gorilla only. Conversely, two positions are shared between human and gorilla, i.e., g.38946(C) and g.38997(C), plus the indel at position g.75896. The g.38946(C), which is shared by human, gorilla, and other three species, appears to be a retained ancestral state, while the derived (T) is most easily interpreted as a recurrent transition in the chimpanzee and Pongo lineages. Despite the overall greater similarity between human and chimpanzee, these three mutations account for the clustering of human and gorilla in the maximum parsimony tree. In the three phylogenetic reconstructions the last node in the human lineage is poorly supported by the bootstrap analyses (60 to 75% vs. >92% in all remaining cases).

Six nucleotide positions uniquely identify the human reference sequence AL031230 compared to all other species (in parentheses), i.e., g.38797C(G), g.47015C(T), g.48455A(C), g.48474G(T), g.75711C(G), and g.75817T(C). In three positions (g.48451, g.48452, and g.58849), the human sequence differs from other *Hominoidea* but is similar to *M. mulatta*. We could confirm the similarity between *M. mulatta* and *M. fascicularis* at these three positions (not shown), making recurrent mutation in the human lineage the most likely explanation.

Four of the above substitutions cluster in 24 bp at the 3' end of IVS3. In addition, one of these positions (g.48455) has also been reported as polymorphic in humans (SNP rs2744883), with two alleles (A/G) both different from the primate one (C). In a sliding

when different from 0/0 (n.d. = 0.0018/0). One of the phylogenetic reconstructions discussed in the text for the human polymorphic sequences is shown in the inset. When included in the analysis, this produced the  $d_N/d_S$  ratio reported above the bracket.

window analysis of sequence diversity, this region and the adjacent exon 4 produce the highest peak of variable sites, equaled only by the amino terminus of the coding region (see below). Taken together, this observation points to an enhanced divergence of this segment on the human lineage.

## DNA Substitutions in Noncoding Regions

We analyzed the occurrence of DNA substitutions separately for the 5' UTR, intronic sequences, 3' UTR, and coding sequence (Table 1). Human, chimpanzee, bonobo, and gorilla sequences were 100% identical in the 5'UTR. The other species showed four to seven substitutions. In intronic sequences, all species showed an increased number of substitutions with respect to the amount of intronic sequences analyzed in this study. This excess was significant in gorilla, which showed 60% of the substitutions in introns (p = 0.02), and borderline in bonobo. In the 30 bp downstream of the stop codon, one, three, and one substitutions were observed in the orangutan, gibbon, and macaque, respectively.

## DNA Substitutions in the Coding Sequence and Amino Acid Replacements

The overall number of nucleotide substitutions within the 1605 bp of coding sequence varied between 7 and 58 (Table 1) compared to human. The number of substitutions per site was slightly reduced in the human/gorilla comparison. The first 141 bp of the SSADH coding region encodes a 47-aa mitochondrial entry peptide. This portion turned out to be identical in human, gorilla, and chimpanzee; in orangutan, six substitutions were found, increasing to seven when considering polymorphic variants. These account for about 25% of all coding substitutions between human and orangutan, compared to less than 10% of the total surveyed sequence (p = 0.012). In addition, five of these six substitutions were nonsynonymous.

In the mature peptide, an overall number of 70 nucleotide substitutions was found across all species, evenly distributed among exons. Of these, 53 were synonymous and 17 nonsynonymous. Interestingly, the nonsynonymous substitutions appear to be nonrandomly distributed, as exons 2, 4, and 10 are invariant across species (4.3 substitutions expected; p < 0.05). Two subsets of substitutions deserve special attention. First, two positions are shared by human and gorilla. These are two synonymous changes (g.38946; c.297 and g.38997; c.348) that underlie the clustering of these two species in the maximum parsimony tree (see above). Second, of the four human specific substitutions, two (50%) are synonymous (c.756 and c.1389) and two nonsynonymous (c.148 and c.538).

The above results raised the possibility of an acceleration in the rate of nonsynonymous substitutions on the human lineage that may be consistent with either relaxed selective constraints or directional selection. To test this hypothesis, we ran the program PAML using the phylogeny shown in Fig. 1 and dictated by the neighbor-joining and maximum parsimony trees. The  $d_N/d_S$  ratio was 0.18 when considering only primate species and dropped to 0.13 when including also the rodents. When the model allowing for branch-specific rates was applied, we obtained the values reported in Fig. 1. Overall, the branch specific model fitted the data much better than the single-ratio model ( $\Delta l = 9.8$ ,  $p \ll 10^{-5}$ ). The highest ratio (0.424) was found in the human branch, followed by the branch ancestral to human/gorilla/ *Pan* (0.30). Interestingly, downstream to the latter branch, only the human lineage showed a measurable  $d_N/d_S$  ratio, due to the paucity of nonsynonymous changes; only in the bonobo were two nonsynonymous (c.50C > G and c.331C > T) vs. no synonymous substitutions found. The value of 0.25 for the branch ancestral to both orangutan sequences is consistent with the excess of amino acid substitutions in the mitochondrial entry peptide (see above). Although in no instance did the  $d_N/d_S$  ratio exceed 1, these data support a heterogeneity among branches with a larger possibility for amino acid substitutions to become fixed in lineages eventually leading to human.

We also measured the  $d_N/d_S$  ratio in each exon for three pairwise comparisons, i.e., human vs. gibbon,

human vs. macaque, and mouse vs. rat. In all cases the ratio was below 0.30, with the exception of the mitochondrial entry peptide in human vs. macaque and mouse vs. rat (1.17 and 0.82, respectively), and exon 3 in human vs. gibbon (0.0079/0) and human vs. macaque (0.0241/0.0229). In the latter case the ratio exceeding 1 is attributable to a nonsynonymous substitution peculiar to the human lineage (g. 47015) and two additional ones shared by all *Hominoidea* as derived states (g. 47034 and g. 47060).

## Intraspecific Comparisons

Human Polymorphic Variation. We resequenced the entire coding region of 39 chromosomes of Italian origin. Of 1605 positions, 5 segregating sites were found, three of which were common variants (Table 2a). All of these sites were included among those reported as polymorphic in independent series (Blasi et al. 2002; Saito et al. 2002; Akaboshi et al. 2003). Polymorphism levels per base pair, summarized by  $\theta\pi$  and  $\theta$ s, were  $3.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$  and  $7.4 \pm 3.8 \times 10^{-4}$ , respectively. A greater degree of polymorphism was observed in nonsynonymous than in synonymous positions ( $\theta\pi = 4.6$  vs.  $1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ ;  $\theta s = 7.9$  vs.  $5.8 \times 10^{-4}$ ).

We unambiguously resolved the haplotype phase of alleles at the five positions by analyzing their segregation in families (Table 2b). Two of the common variants turned out to be strongly associated, c.106(C) and c.545(T) always being found in cis. Of 32 haplotypes expected, only 5 were found. In order to explore the repertoire of segregating haplotypes, we genotyped an additional 302 subjects from Europe, Africa, and Asia for the most common variants and inferred the haplotype phase with the program PHASE. The association was fully confirmed, as all genotypes were interpreted without ambiguities. In Italy, the c.709G > T turned out to be polymorphic, generating 16 possible haplotypes, of which only 4 were found. In the other populations, only three positions were polymorphic. In all population samples the haplotypes ranked in the order GCCG, GTCG, CTTG, according to their frequency. Haplotype frequency heterogeneity was assayed by AM-OVA (Excoffier et al. 1992), which produced a Fst of  $0.09 \ (p < 10^{-4})$ . Among individual loci, position c.538 contributed most of the diversity and also the highest individual Fst (0.09;  $p < 10^{-4}$ ).

The comparison with the primate sequences allowed inferring the ancestral state at each of the five variable positions (Table 2a). It is interesting to note that at two positions (c.538 and c.1389) the most common allele is the derived one. In particular, for c.538 the C allele appears to be by far the most common in many geographically dispersed populations, except those from sub-Saharan Africa.

parenunes	es reier to gene	cobies)						
Position	State	Allele	Families $(n = 39)$	Italy $(n = 214)$	$\mathrm{UK}\ (n=38)$	Nigeria $(n = 58)$	Sub-Saharan Africa $(n = 122)$	Asia $(n = 172)$
c.106 g.38755	Anc. Der.	U U U	$\begin{array}{rrr} 0.949 \ \pm \ 0.036 \\ 0.051 \ \pm \ 0.036 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 0.963 \ \pm \ 0.013 \\ 0.037 \ \pm \ 0.013 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 0.921 \ \pm \ 0.044 \\ 0.079 \ \pm \ 0.044 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 0.983 \ \pm \ 0.017 \\ 0.017 \ \pm \ 0.017 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.975 \pm 0.014 \\ 0.025 \pm 0.014 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 0.948 \ \pm \ 0.017 \\ 0.052 \ \pm \ 0.017 \end{array}$
c.538 g.47015	Anc. Der.	T C	$\begin{array}{rrr} 0.179 \ \pm \ 0.061 \\ 0.821 \ \pm \ 0.061 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 0.238 \ \pm \ 0.029 \\ 0.762 \ \pm \ 0.029 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 0.395 \ \pm \ 0.080 \\ 0.605 \ \pm \ 0.080 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 0.379 \ \pm \ 0.064 \\ 0.621 \ \pm \ 0.064 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 0.492 \ \pm \ 0.045 \\ 0.508 \ \pm \ 0.045 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 0.157 \ \pm \ 0.028 \\ 0.843 \ \pm \ 0.028 \end{array}$
c.545 g.47022	Anc. Der.	ЧC	$\begin{array}{rrr} 0.949 \ \pm \ 0.036 \\ 0.051 \ \pm \ 0.036 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 0.963 \ \pm \ 0.013 \\ 0.037 \ \pm \ 0.013 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 0.921 \ \pm \ 0.044 \\ 0.079 \ \pm \ 0.044 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 0.983 \ \pm \ 0.017 \\ 0.017 \ \pm \ 0.017 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.975 \ \pm \ 0.014 \\ 0.025 \ \pm \ 0.014 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 0.948 \ \pm \ 0.017 \\ 0.052 \ \pm \ 0.017 \end{array}$
c.709 g.48621	Anc. Der.	IJ L	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 0.974 \ \pm \ 0.026 \\ 0.026 \ \pm \ 0.026 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 0.991 \ \pm \ 0.007 \\ 0.009 \ \pm \ 0.007 \end{array}$	1.00 0.00	1.00 0.00	1.00 0.00	1.00 0.00
c.1389 g.75817	Anc. Der.	ЧC	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 0.026 \ \pm \ 0.026 \\ 0.974 \ \pm \ 0.026 \end{array}$	n.t.	n.t.	n.t.	n.t.	n.t.
Tahle 3h	Relative frem	(+ SE)	of hondormes of five notion.	CNDs (common	id of the second se	and in a somela of Italian	fomilae hu moornaniae and in firs moo	Continue from the

continents by genotyping (numbers in parentheses refer to gene copies)

						Eamiliae	Italy	117	Nimeio	Cub Cohomon Africa	Acio
Haplotype	c.106 g.38755	c.538 g.47015	c.545 g.47022	c.709 g.48621	c.1389 g.75817	(n = 39)	(n = 214)	(n = 38)	(n = 58)	$3u_0$ -Sanatan Amo	n = 172
AL031230	G	С	С	G	T						
1	IJ	C	C	IJ	Τ	$0.769 \pm 0.067$					
2	IJ	С	C	Т	Т	$0.026 \pm 0.026$					
3	IJ	С	C	IJ	С	$0.026 \pm 0.026$					
4	IJ	F	C	IJ	L	$0.128 \pm 0.052$					
5	C	Г	Т	IJ	Т	$0.051 \pm 0.035$					
	IJ	С	C	IJ	n.t.		$0.752 \pm 0.030$	$0.605 \pm 0.080$	$0.621 \pm 0.064$	$0.508 \pm 0.045$	$0.843 \pm 0.028$
	IJ	С	C	Т	n.t.		$0.009 \pm 0.007$	0	0	0	0
	IJ	Г	C	IJ	n.t.		$0.201 \pm 0.027$	$0.316 \pm 0.076$	$0.362 \pm 0.064$	$0.467 \pm 0.045$	$0.105 \pm 0.023$
	С	Т	Т	IJ	n.t.		$0.037 \pm 0.013$	$0.079 \pm 0.044$	$0.017 \pm 0.017$	$0.025 \pm 0.014$	$0.052 \pm 0.017$

60



Fig. 2. Unrooted tree for haplotypes defined by five coding SNPs in humans. Numbers at each node correspond to haplotypes as in Table 2b. Circles are proportional to haplotype frequency. The most likely position for the root is marked by the arrow. The two possible mutational steps leading from the ancestral (not found) to the observed haplotypes are shown as dashed lines. For each branch the corresponding mutation is written with the allelic states oriented toward the haplotypes carrying them. Nonsynonymous and synonymous mutations are reported in black and gray, respectively.

All haplotypes could be connected on the unrooted tree shown in Fig. 2. As no haplotype carrying the ancestral state at all variable positions was found, two equally parsimonious solutions for the underlying phylogeny can be put forward. The first posits an initial c.538T > C mutation, leading from the root to haplotype 3, followed by c.1389C > T and then by either a c.538C > T reversion (branch 1–4) or a recombination with an haplotype carrying the ancestral c.538(T) allele anywhere in the genomic stretch of 28.8 kb between c.538 and c.1389. The second one (also reported in the inset in Fig. 1) posits an initial c.1389C > T mutation leading to haplotype 4, followed by c.538T > C and then by either a c.1389T > C reversion (branch 1–3) or a recombination with an haplotype carrying the ancestral c.1389(C) allele to yield haplotype 3. Under either scenario, at least 33 of 39 of the sequenced haplotypes would carry one or two derived amino acid positions, and 31 of these haplotypes are characterized by c.538(C). Among the genotyped populations, this proportion ranges between 53% (sub-Saharan Africa) and 89% (Asia). This strongly supports the view of an increase toward fixation of at least one haplotype in the extant human population.

Neutrality Tests. We applied to the sample of the resequenced gene copies four tests of the equilibrium neutral model that use information from different aspects of the data, i.e., haplotype structure, frequency spectrum, and comparison of polymorphic sites within species and fixed substitutions between species.

The haplotype test asks if a subset of haplotypes at a particular frequency contains fewer segregating sites than expected by simulating samples under neutrality, examining all subsets at the given frequency in each simulated sample, and asking how often a subset of haplotypes at the same frequency containing the same number or fewer segregating sites can be found (Hudson et al. 1994). We asked whether haplotypes carrying the c.538(C) allele showed lower than expected variation, given the frequency of the C allele, under neutrality. We obtained the borderline probability of 0.07 for observing by chance a number of segregating sites less than or equal to that observed. In order to properly account for each variant's frequency we calculated EHH on both sides of c.538. From the data in Table 2b, it is apparent that haplotypes characterized by c.538(C) have EHH = 1 at c.106 and c.545 in all populations. In the two Italian samples, EHH at c.709 is reduced to 0.938 and 0.976. Conversely, in haplotypes with c.538(T) EHH is always lower than before, ranging between 0.91 and 0.54 at both c.106 and c.545. In 1000 coalescent simulations chromosomes attaining a frequency of 0.821 or more (as c.538[C] in Italian families) yet retaining the observed EHHs were 3.6%, 4.2%, and 7.6%, for c.106, c.545, and c.709, respectively. Thus, c.538(C) is associated with a significantly reduced degree of variation on both sides.

Tajima's (1989) D compares the number of nucleotide polymorphisms with the mean pairwise difference between sequences (Bamshad and Wooding 2003). This test produced a nonsignificant though negative value of -1.25.

Furthermore, in all comparisons between human and primates except the bonobo, an excess of nonsynonymous substitutions was observed in human polymorphism, compared to the pattern observed in fixed positions (McDonald and Kreitman 1991) (Table 3). The G test showed significant results in five of seven comparisons. By summing the log(likelihood) for all tests we obtained a figure of 25.88 ( $\chi^2_7$ , p < 0.001), with a highly constant odd ratio across tests (Breslow-Day test, p > 0.95), which identifies the partitioning of human polymorphic changes as the outlying value.

In order to better understand the evolutionary significance of the human polymorphisms, we determined the  $d_N/d_S$  ratios including the human polymorphic sequences (inset in Fig. 1). The result for the entire human lineage was 0.71, i.e., five times higher

Table 3. Results of McDonald and Kreitman test performed on SSADH coding region inclusive of the mitochondrial entry peptide

				Fixed	l in comparison	with								
	Human polymorphic	Chimpanzee	Bonobo	Gorilla	Orangutan (Borneo)	Orangutan (Sumatra)	Lar gibbon	Rhesus macaque						
Synonymous	1	4	2	5	16	13	21	37						
Nonsynonymous	4	1	3	1	8	7	7	19						
Significance of test														
$\tilde{P}(\text{Fisher})$		0.20	1.00	0.08	0.13	0.13	0.03	0.06						
P(G)		0.05	0.48	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.02	0.04						

Table 4. subPSEC scores for amino acid substitutions fixed in Hominoidea and polymorphic in human

Genomic position (see Appendix)	Position in cDNA	Amino acid most represented in PHTR1699-sf76	Derived amino acid in <i>Hominoidea</i> or human	SubPSEC score
Fixed				
g.38980	c.331	А	R	-1.47
g.38980	c.331	А	С	-2.56
g.44168	c.985	L	Μ	0.00
g.66439	c.1033	R	Q	-3.93
g.66511	c.1105	Κ	R	-1.10
g.71692	c.1216	V	V	0.00
g.75776	c.1348	D	Ν	-2.77
Polymorphic				
g.47015	c.538	Р	Н	-2.89
g.47022	c.545	Р	L	-2.30
g.48621	c.709	А	S	-3.91

than the rate estimated (0.13) on the rest of the tree ( $\Delta l = 9$ ). This further reinforces the hypothesis of an accelerated rate of amino acid substitutions at least at some SSADH positions.

We also compared the pattern of amino acid replacement between and within species by means of the subPSEC score. We counted scores for human polymorphic positions and all the derived positions fixed in Hominoidea. In this case, we used M. mulatta as outgroup, since rat and mouse are too distantly related to primates to be reliable outgroups. The sequence alignment represented in the PANTHER database excludes the mitochondrial entry peptide and the first 11 amino acid residues of the mature peptide. Interestingly, the three polymorphic positions in the alignment (c.538, c.545, and c.709) turned out to be associated with largely negative scores (range, -3.91 to -2.30) (Table 4), on the low side of the subPSEC distribution for substitutions in SSADH (rank test, p = 0.21) and in the lowest quartile of the neutral distribution built by Thomas et al. (2004).

## Discussion

SSADH is a key enzyme in the catabolism of GABA, the most important inhibitory neurotransmitter in the mammalian CNS. The efficiency of the enzyme in metabolizing SSA contributes to control of the endogenous production of  $\gamma$ -hydroxybutyric acid (GHB), a compound with relevant neuroactive properties. The interplay between GABA and GHB has only partially been elucidated and their joint effects on behavior might be complex (Wong et al. 2003). Clinical features of the complete enzyme deficiency have been fully described (Pearl et al. 2003) and show involvement of specific cerebral areas (Ziyeh et al. 2002). An array of molecular lesions leading to null enzyme activity has been reported (Akaboshi et al. 2003). Recently, Dervent et al. (2004) have shown that also the heterozygous state might be associated with epileptic features, probably as the result of GHB accumulation. Thus, it could be expected that different enzyme activities associated with isoenzyme genotypes might result in different clinical or subclinical phenotypes mediated by endogenous GABA and GHB levels.

In humans, we indeed observed variants with suboptimal in vitro enzyme activity and suggested that these are common polymorphic variants (Blasi et al. 2002), possibly found as multiple amino acid replacements in the same polypeptide. We tested a synthetic protein carrying both the c.538(T) and the c.545(T) mutations, resulting in an activity reduced to 36% (Akaboshi et al. 2003). Here we show that these replacements turn out to be always associated also with c.106(C), with a likely further reduction of activity. In addition, SSADH resides in a chromosomal region repeatedly identified in genomic scans for the genetic determinants of reading disabilities (Ahn et al. 2002; Fisher and DeFries 2002; Londin et al. 2003). Taken together, the above data make SSADH a reliable candidate, both positionally and functionally, for this condition. A more recent report (Deffenbacher et al. 2004) has indeed produced evidence for a nonrandom transmission of SSADH alleles and reading abilities. By analyzing the c.538 polymorphism, Plomin et al. (2004) have shown that the C allele is associated with higher cognitive abilities, suggesting SSADH as a contributor to the quantitative IQ phenotype.

A number of recent studies claimed the detection of natural selection based on the pattern of inter- or intraspecific sequence variation. In all cases, the most robust conclusions referred to genes with clarified biology, which cooperates in making the evolutionary picture reliable (Kreitman and Di Rienzo [2004] and references therein). In view of the putative role of SSADH in capabilities unique to humans, we sought to complement the information on its biology with an investigation of its interspecific divergence during hominoid radiation. We also related this data set with those collected from different continental human populations, to understand the pattern and origins of the extant human variation and the constraints acting on it.

As far as interspecific comparisons are concerned, the sequence explored here brings the signature of strong conservation. The overall pattern of DNA substitutions supports a gene genealogy with human closer to gorilla than chimpanzee (Fig. 1), also found in 24% of the genes explored by Kitano et al. (2004), but reveals a weak power of SSADH in resolving the *Gorilla-Pan-Homo* phylogeny, due to a very low number of substitutions.

Among primates, 25 nonsynonymous substitutions were found. None of them affects any of the five amino acidic motifs functionally important in SSADH, thus confirming strong evolutionary constraints, already detected in the alignment of amino acid sequences from largely divergent taxa (Busch and Fromm 1999). A relative accumulation of DNA and amino acid substitutions was found only in the mitochondrial entry peptide, more pronounced in the orangutan. Its possible functional significance deserves experimental investigation.

An overall strong conservation is in line with the low  $d_N/d_S$  ratio found here among primates (0.18), at the lower boundary of the distributions reported by Shi et al. (2003) and Gimelbrandt et al. (2004) in extensive human-chimpanzee comparisons. Thus, SSADH appears to be a difficult target for detecting acceleration of amino acid replacements and, more so, to distinguish between the possible effects of relaxed vs. directional selection. In the present study,

the human sequence is part of a wide primate phylogeny which enabled a large number of comparisons, whose effect was to increase the power of analysis. The overall pattern is that of a largely variable  $d_N/d_S$ ratio in different primate taxa. This can be interpreted as either a noncoherent evolutionary path in different branches or, more simply, the result of stochastic fluctuations associated with the low absolute number of substitutions. Upon this general background, the human lineage displays a  $d_N/d_S$  ratio three times higher than the background. SSADH was included in the survey by Clark et al. (2003), who used sequences spanning only exons 2-4 and 6-9 in a tripartite phylogeny. These authors reported a strong increase in  $d_N/d_S$  ratio specifically in the human branch, with borderline significance. More importantly, they showed that the group of genes for amino acid catabolism, to which SSADH belongs, showed among the most significant increases in  $d_N/d_S$  ratio.

Several lines of evidence can be used to discriminate relaxed vs. directional selection in the case of increased  $d_N/d_S$  ratios (Dorus et al. 2004). The presence of several known pathological mutations and the absence of duplicated SSADH copies in the genome rule out the possibility of the generalized lack of selective pressure. More direct evidence in favor of directional selection, based on the value of  $d_N/d_S$ being the highest in the human-specific branch (0.42), is subject to the caveat mentioned above. Finally, a possible role of SSADH in brain development, a feature often associated with the highest  $d_N/d_S$  ratios, is still to be explored. Nevertheless, it is worth noting that our value for primates is higher than the average reported by Dorus et al. (2004) for nervous systemrelated genes, with the rodent value well within the appropriate range.

The pattern of human polymorphism is informative to attempt inferences on recent SSADH evolution. We resequenced the gene in order to exclude that assaying previously known variants (Blasi et al. 2002; Akaboshi et al. 2003) in population surveys could result in ascertainment bias (Kreitman and Di Rienzo 2004). As far as the European sample is concerned, we excluded the presence of novel variants at high frequencies. Diversity parameters equal those reported by Stephens et al. (2001a) for the coding regions. The gene is affected with a higher degree of polymorphism ( $\theta \pi$ ) at nonsynonymous positions, with a number of nonsynonymous polymorphisms that exceeds synonymous polymorphisms and brings the overall  $d_N/d_S$  ratio to 0.71.

The derived allele at c.538 has increased its frequency and now represents the vast majority worldwide. In particular, it determines the replacement of a tyrosine conserved from rodents to apes by a histidine, to generate the allele with the highest activity recorded so far. In summary, in the range of populations tested here, 70–80% haplotypes are associated with this maximal activity. We also showed an additional variant which nearly reached fixation, i.e., c.1389(T).

Two non-mutually exclusive processes can be envisaged to interpret our intraspecific findings. The first is a purely neutral replacement of the genealogy of genes characterized by the ancestral c.538(T) with the newly arisen c.538(C), possibly enhanced by a demographic bottleneck leading to an increase in the haplotype carrying c.538(C) and/or its overrepresentation in populations exiting out of Africa. The second process is an increase in the haplotype(s) carrying c.538(C) driven by natural selection, possibly as a result of their altered biochemical properties. Under the latter hypothesis, the selective factors possibly acting on the favored haplotype 1 (Table 2b) would either predate the splitting of European, Asian, and African populations or be convergent on the three continents. In this scenario, the possibility that the positively selected locus(i) is(are) not SSADH itself but one in strong LD with it cannot be excluded, in view of the recombination suppression in the region immediately centromeric to SSADH (Ahn et al. 2002).

We used four tests to seek which of the two scenarios best fits our data. Tajima's D is indicative of an excess of too common and too rare alleles, showing that the data indeed bring the signature of either population expansion or directional selection. Here, a bias introduced by testing only a sample of European ancestry cannot be dismissed, as this population may represent only a subset of the variation present in Africa. The McDonald and Kreitman test confirms that the human polymorphism is enriched in amino acid replacements, compared to most of the primates considered here. The borderline p value for the haplotype test is indicative of reduced diversity on the haplotypes carrying c.538(C). This is also confirmed by the significant increase in EHH, which denotes a shallow genealogy for these haplotypes despite their overall high frequency, as opposed to the less common haplotypes carrying c.538(T). In addition, the strongly negative subPSEC score for c.538(C) identifies this as an evolutionary drastic change. This further weakens the hypothesis that its frequency might be explained by neutral drift. The finding of two other variants at frequencies 2-7% in continentally dispersed populations is also at odds with drift effects acting worldwide.

Taken together, our data show a pattern of human intraspecific diversity which is compatible with selection, in continuity with interspecific divergence, although the latter is characterized by strong conservation across distant taxa (Bush and Fromm 1999). In suggesting that the c.538(C) allele and the associated functional variant are indeed a derived state that is proceeding to fixation, our data lead one to speculate that cognitive performance and/or behavior were the phenotypic traits on which selective forces acted, perhaps at different stages in the lineage eventually leading to humans. This has been hypothesized in view of the key role for GABAergic neurotransmission in many cognitive processes (Plomin et al. 2004). Recent papers (Evans et al. 2004a, b; Kouprina et al. 2004; Stedman et al. 2004; Wang and Su 2004) have produced genetic data that bear the signature of a punctuation in the evolution of the brain and the braincase features during different phases of primate radiation. This trend has been further supported by wide surveys on intraspecific human variation for the same genes (Evans et al. 2005; Mekel-Bobrov et al. 2005). The hypothesis of selective pressure on SSADH alleles/haplotypes might involve a late and final step of selection, only when cognitive capabilities became relevant traits for recent human evolution (Enard et al. 2002).

*Acknowledgments.* We gratefully acknowledge Dr. A. Di Rienzo and two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments on the first draft of this work. We thank Dr. M. Basile for computational support. This work was supported by grants MIUR 60% to Prof. Carla Jodice, PRIN 2003 and 60% to A.N., and CEGBA (Centro di Eccellenza Geni in campo Biosanitario e Agroalimentare) and European Commission (INPRIMAT, QLRI-CT-2002-01325) to M.R.

# Appendix

Alignment of sequences obtained in the present paper (see Materials and Methods) to human AL031230 is shown in Fig. A1. Noncoding and coding sequences are in lowercase and uppercase, respectively. Numbering refers to AL031230. Boundaries between noncontiguous genomic sequences concatenated here are shown. The arrow marks the first nucleotide of the mature peptide.

## References

- Ahn J, Won T-W, Kaplan DE, Londin ER, Kuzmic P, Gelernter J, Gruen JR (2002) A detailed physical map of the 6p reading disability locus, including new markers and confirmation of recombination suppression. Hum Genet 111:339–349
- Akaboshi S, Hogema BM, Novelletto A, Malaspina P, Salomons GS, Maropoulos GD, Jakobs C, Grompe M, Gibson KM (2003) Mutational spectrum of the succinate semialdehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH5A1) gene and functional analysis of 27 novel disease-causing mutations in patients with SSADH deficiency. Hum Mutat 22:442–450
- Bamshad M, Wooding SP (2003) Signatures of natural selection in the human genome. Nat Rev Genet 4:99–111
- Blasi P, Pilo Boyl P, Ledda M, Novelletto A, Gibson KM, Jakobs C, Hogema B, Akaboshi S, Loreni F, Malaspina P (2002) Structure of human succinic semialdehyde dehydrogenase gene: identification of promoter region and alternatively processed isoforms. Mol Genet Metab 4:348–462
- Busch KB, Fromm H (1999) Plant succinic semialdehyde dehydrogenase. Cloning, purification, localization in mitochondria, and regulation by adenine nucleotides. Plant Physiol 121:589–597
- Caceres M, Lachuer J, Zapala MA, Redmond JC, Kudo L, Geschwind DH, Lockhart DJ, Preuss TM, Barlow C (2003) Elevated

3	8520	38530	38540	38550 3	8560 3	8570 3	8580 3	8590 3	8600 3	8610 38	3620 38	3630
HUMAN	cetteegee	a geteceae	re ttteeceare	a cateceaac	acctecteac	tectettget	teccorcoac	ccctgcgttc	ccatacacac	acacceactt	acctatttcc	tateaccate
CHIMP												
BONOBO			• • • • • • • • • • • •								• • • • • • • • • • •	
GOR		• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •			• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
PPY1					k.	s					a.	.m
H. lar					tg				t			
M. mul.	• • • • • • • • • •	cg	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	t	t		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	t	•••••g	• • • • • • • • • • • •
3	8640	38650	38660 3	38670 3	8680 3	8690 3	8700 3	8710 3	8720 3	8730 38	3740 38	3750
HUMAN	attacccad	a ccATGGCG	C CTGCATTTG	G CTGCGGAGCI	GTGGGGCCCG	GCGCCTCGGG	TCGACGTTTC	CAGGCTGCCG	CCTCCGCCCC	cccccccccc	GCCTGGTCCC	TGCCTCCGGG
CHIMP												
BONOBO	• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •			.G				•••••	
PPY6	.c		T		C					G.		
PPY1	.c		T		A					s.		C
H. lar	.c	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • T • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •	.CA	• • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • •		A.		
M. mul.	.c		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						A	• • • • • • • • • • • •	G	
3	8760	38770	38780 3	38790 3	8800 3	8810 3	8820 3	8830 3	8840 3	8850 38	3860 38	3870
HIMAN	CCTGCGCCC		· • CCTCCCCTC	.↓ . Tacscrsssc	GCCTGGCGGG			GCACCGACAG	CTTCGTGGGG	GGCCGCTGGC	TCCCGGCCGC	CGCCACCTTC
CHIMP												
BONOBO				G								
GOR		• • • • • • • • • •		G							• • • • • • • • • • •	
PPY6 DDV1		к 									p	• • • • • • • • • • • •
H. lar							T					
M. mul.			G.	G						T		
3	8880	38890	38900 3	38910 3	8920 3	8930 3	8940 3	8950 3	8960 3	8970 38	3980 38	3990
CHIMP	CCCGTGCAA	.G ACCCGGCC.	IG CGGCGCCGC	CIGGGCAIGG	TAGCCGACTG	G CGGGGTGCGA	GAGGCCCGCG	CCGCCGTGCG	CGCTGCCTAC	GAGGCTTTCT	GCCGCTGGAG	GGAGGTCTCC
BONOBO												G
GOR												
PPY6		• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	.G	.R	T.			• • • • • • • • • • • •	A	G
H. lar												G
M. mul.			T		т.	TC.						AG
3	9000	39010	39020	461	60 461	.70 461	.80 461	90 462	00 462	10 462	20 4623	30
3	9000	39010	39020	461 ] [	.60 461	.70 461	.80 461	90 462	00 462	. 462	20 4623	30
3 HUMAN CHIMP	9000 GCCAAGgto	39010 a gagagece	39020 gg atgcaggggg	461 ][ g ccactgcctt	gttatttctt	.70 461 . ttgcagGAGA	.80 461	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG	00 462 TGGTACAATI	10 462:	20 462: AAATAAGGAT	30 GACCTTGCCA
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO	GCCAAGgto	39010 	39020 ig atgcaggggg	461 ][ g ccactgcctt	.60 461	70 461 ttgcagGAGA	.80 461 	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG	00 462 	10 462: 	20 462: 	GACCTTGCCA
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR	GCCAAGgtg	39010 	39020 gg atgcaggggg	461 ][ g ccactgcctt	.60 461	.70 461	.80 461	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG	00 462 	10 462: TAATGATACA	20 462: AAATAAGGAT	GACCTTGCCA
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY6 PDY1	GCCAAGgto	39010 	39020 	461 ][ g ccactgcctt	60 461	.70 461	.80 461	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG	00 462	10 462: TAATGATACA	20 462: AAATAAGGAT	GACCTTGCCA
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY6 PPY1 H. lar	GCCAAGgtg	39010 a gagagccco	39020 gg atgcaggggg 	461 ][ g ccactgcctt	60 461		80 461	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG	00 462	10 462: • TAATGATACA	20 462: AAATAAGGAT	30 
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY6 PPY1 H. lar M. mul.	GCCAAGgtg	39010 	39020 	461 ][ g ccactgcctt	60 461	.70 461	80 461	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG	00 462	10 462: • TAATGATACA	20 462: AAATAAGGAT	GACCTTGCCA
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY6 PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 462	9000 GCCAAGgtc	39010 	39020 	461	60 461	.70 461	80 461	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG	00 462 	10 462: • TAATGATACA • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	20 462: AAATAAGGAT	30 GACCTTGCCA
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY6 PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 462	9000 GCCAAGgtç 	39010 a gagagecco 	39020 gg atgcaggggg 	461 ][ g ccactgcctt 	60 461 .gttatttctt 	.70 461	80 461	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG	00 462 TGGTACAATT 	10 462: TAATGATACA 	20 462: AAATAAGGAT	30 GACCTTGCCA
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY6 PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 462 HUMAN CHIMP	GCCAAGgtç	39010 a gagagecee 	39020 g atgcagggg a g 5260 46: 5260 46: 57 gtaagttcag	461 ][ g ccactgcctt gt 270 462 g ggttctggct	60 461	.70 461	80 461	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG A 46930 CCACTGAAGG	00 462 TGGTACAATT 	10 462: TAATGATACA 	20 462: AAATAAGGAT 	30 GACCTTGCCA 
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 462 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO	GCCAAGgtc	39010 a gagagccc 	39020 gg atgcaggggg a g 5260 462 ST gtaagttcag	461 ][ g ccactgeett gt gt 270 462 g ggttetgget	60 461	.70 461	80 461	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG A. 46930 CCACTGAAGG	00 462 TGGTACAATT 	10 462: TAATGATACA 	20 462: ARATAAGGAT 	30 GACCTTGCCA 
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 462 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR DDV6	GCCAAGgtc	39010 a gagagccc 	39020 gg atgcaggggg ga g 5260 462 57 gtaagttcag	461 ][ g ccactgcctt gt 270 462 g ggttctggct	60 461	.70 461	80 461	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG A. 46930 CCACTGAAGG	00 462 TGGTACAATT 	10 462: TAATGATACA 	20 462: AAATAAGGAT 	30 GACCTTGCCA 
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 462 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY6 PPY1	9000 GCCAAGgtc	39010 a gagagccc 	39020 gg atgcaggggg g g g 3260 46; ST gtaagttca	461 ][ gccactgcctt gt 270 462 ggttctggct	60 461 gttatttctt 	70 461	80 461	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG 	00 462 TGGTACAATT 	10 462: TAATGATACA W W 46950 G AGAAATTCTC	20 462: AAATAAGGAT 	30 GACCTTGCCA 
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar	GCCAAGgtc	39010 a gagagccc 	39020 gg atgcaggggg g g 5260 462 ST gtaagttcag	461 ][ g ccactgcctt gt 270 462 g ggttctggct	60 461 gttatttett 	.70 461	80 461 GGAGTTCATT 46920 ttagGGAAAG	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG A. 46930 CCACTGAAGG	00 462 TGGTACAATT 	10 462: TAATGATACA 	20 462: AAATAAGGAT 	30 GACCTTGCCA 46970 TTTTCCTAGA
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY16 PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 462 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul.	GCCAAGgtc GCCAAGgtc 40 4e GAATAATC2	39010 a gagagccc 	39020 gg atgcaggggg ga g 5260 462 ST gtaagttca	461 ][ g ccactgcctt gt g ggt g ggttctggct ta.	60 461	.70 461	.80 461	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG A. 46930 CCACTGAAGG	00 462 TGGTACAATT 	10 462: TAATGATACA 	20 462: AAATAAGGAT 46960 TATTCCGCCT	30 
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY6 PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 462 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY6 PPY1 H. lar M. mul.	GCCAAGgtg 40 46 GAATAATCA 46980	39010 a gagagccc 	39020 g atgcaggggg g g 5260 462 537 gtaagttcag  0 47000	461 )[ g ccactgcctt gt 270 462 g ggttctggct t.a. 47010	60 461 gttatttett 	.70 461	80 461 GGAGTTCATT 46920 46920 ttagGGAAAG 47040	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG A. 46930 CCACTGAAGG 	00 462 TGGTACAATT 	10 462: TAATGATACA 	20 462: AAATAAGGAT 46960 TATTCCGCCT 47080	30 GACCTTGCCA 46970 TTTTCCTAGA 
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 462 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul.	GCCAAGgtc 	39010 a gagagccc 	39020 gg atgcaggggg g g 5260 462 537 gtaagttca 647000 547000 55 GCCGTCGTTGTT	461 )[ g ccactgcctt gt 270 462 g ggttctggct ta. 47010	60 461 gttatttett 	.70 461	.80 461	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG A. 46930 CCACTGAAGG 	00 462 TGGTACAATT 	10 462: TAATGATACA 	20 462: AAATAAGGAT 46960 TATTCCGCCT 47080 GCAGTCATCA	30 GACCTTGCCA 46970 TTTTCCTAGA 
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 462 HUMAN CHIMP PPY1 H. lar M. mul.	GCCAAGgtç GCCAAGgtç GAATAATCA GAATAATCA 40 46 GAATAATCA GGGTTCTC	39010 a gagagccc 	39020 gg atgcaggggg ga g gg S260 462 ST gtaagttcag ST gtaagttcag 0 47000 C GCCGTGTTT	461 ) [ g ccactgeett gt. gt. 270 462 g ggttetgget t.a. 47010 A CGGAGACATT	60 461 gttatttctt 	70 461		90 462 ACTTCGGAAG A. 46930 CCACTGAAGG 47050 CTGGTCCTCA	00 462 TGGTACAATT 	10 462: TAATGATACA 	20 462: AAATAAGGAT 46960 TATTCCGCCT 47080 GCAGTCATCA	30 GACCTTGCCA 46970 TTTTCCTAGA 
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 462 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP EONOBO	GCCAAGgtg GCCAAGgtg 40 46 GAATAATCP 46980 GTGGTTCTC	39010 a gagagccc 	39020 g atgcaggggg g g 5260 462 T gtaagttcag 47000 C GCCGTGTTT	461 ) g ccactgcctt gt 270 462 g ggttctggct t.a. 47010 A CGGAGACATT	60 461 gttatttctt 	70 461	80 461	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG A. 46930 CCACTGAAGG  47050 CTGGTCCTCA	00 462 TGGTACAATT 	10 462: TAATGATACA WWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWW	20 462: AAATAAGGAT 46960 TATTCCGCCT 47080 GCAGTCATCA	30 GACCTTGCCA 46970 TTTTCCTAGA 48410 1 [. cccccggttt
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 462 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR COPY2	GCCAAGgtg GCCAAGgtg 40 46 GAATAATC2 46980 GTGGTTCTC	39010 a gagagccc 	39020 g atgcaggggg g g 5260 462 537 gtaagttcag 0 47000 C GCCGTGTTTT	461 )[ g ccactgcctt gt 270 462 g ggttctggct t.a. 47010 A CGGAGACATT	60 461 gttatttett 	.70 461	<ul> <li>80 461</li> <li>GGAGTTCATT</li> <li>46920</li> <li>ttagGGAAAG</li> <li>47040</li> <li>CAGGCGGGCC</li> </ul>	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG A. 46930 CCACTGAAGG 4 47050 CTGGTCCTCA	00 462 TGGTACAATT 	10 462: TAATGATACA 	20 462: AAATAAGGAT 46960 TATTCCGCCT 47080 GCAGTCATCA	30 GACCTTGCCA 46970 TTTTCCTAGA 
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 462 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. LIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1	GCCAAGgtg GCCAAGgtg 40 4¢ GAATAATC7 46980 GTGGTTCTC	39010 a gagagccc 	39020 g atgcaggggg g 5260 46: 537 gtaagttcad 0 47000 55 gccgtgttt	461 )[ g ccactgcctt gt 270 462 g ggttctggct t.a. 47010	60 461 gttatttett 	70 461	.80 461	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG A. 46930 CCACTGAAGG 47050 CTGGTCCTCA	00 462 TGGTACAATT 	10 462: TAATGATACA 	20 462: AAATAAGGAT 46960 TATTCCGCCT 47080 GCAGTCATCA	30 GACCTTGCCA 46970 TTTTCCTAGA 48410 T f ccccGggttt
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 462 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP EONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar	GCCAAGgtg GCCAAGgtg 40 46 GAATAATC2 46980 GTGGTTCT	39010 a gagagccc 	39020 gg atgcaggggg gga gg 5260 462 57 gtaagttcag 57 gtaagttcag 0 47000 50 GCCGTGTTT	461 ) [ g coactgoett gt 270 462 g ggttetgget t.a. 47010 A CGGAGACATT	60 461 gttatttctt 	70 461 ttgcagGAGA 46910 ctgattaat 47030 CGGCAAAGGA		90 462 ACTTCGGAAG A. 46930 CCACTGAAGG  47050 CTGGTCCTCA	00 462 TGGTACAATT 	10 462: TAATGATACA 	20 462: AAATAAGGAT 46960 TATTCCGCCT 47080 GCAGTCATCA	30 GACCTTGCCA 46970 TTTTCCTAGA 48410 7 Г. ccccGggttt
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 462 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul.	GCCAAGgtg GCCAAGgtg 40 46 GAATAATC2 46980 GTGGTTCT	39010 a gagagccc 	39020 gg atgcaggggg g gg 5260 462 537 gtaagttcag 0 47000 cc GCCGTGTTT	461 ) [ g ccactgcctt gt 270 462 g ggttctggct ta. 47010 A CGGAGACATT	60 461 gttatttett 	70 461 ttgcagGAGA 46910 tcgatttaat 47030 CGGCAAAGGA 	80 461	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG A. 46930 CCACTGAAGG 	00 462 TGGTACAATT 	10 462: TAATGATACA 	20 462: AAATAAGGAT 46960 TATTCCGCCT 47080 GCAGTCATCA	30 GACCTTGCCA 46970 TTTTCCTAGA 48410 ] [. CCCCGggttt
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H.lar M.mul. 462 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H.lar M.mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H.lar M.mul.	GCCAAGgtg GCCAAGgtg 40 46 GAATAATCA GAATAATCA GTGGTTCTC 46980 GTGGTTCTC	39010 a gagagccc 	39020 g atgcaggggg g g 5260 462 537 gtaagttcad 0 47000 10 GCCGTGTTT2 48440	461 )[ g ccactgcctt gt 270 462 g ggttctggct t.a 47010 A CGGAGACATT	60 461 gttatttett 	70 461 ttgcagGAGA 46910 ctgattaat 47030 CGGCAAAGGA 	80 461	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG A. 46930 CCACTGAAGG 47050 CTGGTCCTCA 47050 CTGGTCCTCA 48490	00 462 TGGTACAATT 	10 462: TAATGATACA 	20 462: AAATAAGGAT 46960 TATTCCGCCT 47080 GCAGTCATCA 48520	30 GACCTTGCCA 46970 TTTTCCTAGA 11 CCCCGggtt 48530
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 462 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP EONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul.	GCCAAGgtc GCCAAGgtc GAATAATC7 GAATAATC7 GTGGTTCTC GTGGTTCTC GTGGTTCTC GTGGTTCTC GTGGTTCTC GTGGTTCTC GTGGTTCTC GTGGTTCTC	39010 a gagagccc 	39020 gg atgcaggggg g g 5260 462 537 gtaagttca 0 47000 55 GCCGTGTTT 47000 55 GCCGTGTTT 48440 56 48440 57 48440	461 ) [ g ccactgcctt gt 270 462 g ggttctggct 	60 461 gttatttett 		80 461	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG A. 46930 CCACTGAAGG 4 47050 CTGGTCCTCA 47050 CTGGTCCTCA 48490 tectteetet	00 462 TGGTACAATT 	10 462: TAATGATACA 	20 462: AAATAAGGAT 46960 TATTCCGCCT 46960 GCAGTCATCA 47080 GCAGTCATCA 48520 ctaaccccag	30 GACCTTGCCA 46970 TTTTCCTAGA 48410 T f. ccccGggttt 48530 TGGAATTTCC
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 462 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP PPY1 H. lar H. mul.	GCCAAGgtg GCCAAGgtg 40 46 GAATAATCP 46980 GTGGTTCTC 48420 gtcaatcag	39010 a gagagccc 	39020 g atgcaggggg ga g 5260 462 5260 462 53260 462 5326	461 ) [ g ccactgcctt gt. 270 462 g ggttctggct t.a. 47010 A CGGAGACATT 	60 461 gttatttctt 	70 461 ttgcagGAGA 46910 ctgatttaat 	80 461 GGAGTTCATT 46920 ttagGGAAAG 47040 CAGGCGGGCC 	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG A. 46930 CCACTGAAGG  47050 CTGGTCCTCA  48490 tccttcctct	00 462 TGGTACAATT 	10 462: TAATGATACA 	20 462: AAATAAGGAT 46960 TATTCCGCCT 47080 GCAGTCATCA 48520 ctaaccccag	30 GACCTTGCCA 46970 TTTTCCTAGA 48410 1 ſ 
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 462 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR COR COR CHIMP HUMAN CHIMP	GCCAAGgtg GCCAAGgtg 40 46 GAATAATC2 4698C GTGGTTCTC 4842C gtcaatca	39010 a gagagccc 	39020 g atgcaggggg g g 5260 46: 5260 46: 5	461 ) [ g ccactgcctt gt 270 462 g ggttctggct t.a. 47010 A CGGAGACATT CGGAGACATT 	60 461 gttatttett 	70 461 ttgcagGAG2 46910 ctgattaat 	80 461 GGAGTTCATT 46920 ttagGGAAAG 47040 CAGGCGGGCC 	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG A. 46930 CCACTGAAGG  47050 CTGGTCCTCA  48490 tccttcctct	00 462 TGGTACAATT 	10 462: TAATGATACA 	20 462: AAATAAGGAT 46960 TATTCCGCCT 47080 GCAGTCATCA 48520 ctaaccccag	30 GACCTTGCCA 46970 TTTTCCTAGA 11 CCCCGggttt 48530 TGGAATTTCC
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul.	GCCAAGgtg GCCAAGgtg GATAATCA GAATAATCA GAATAATCA GGAGTTCTC GTGGTTCTC GTGGTTCTC GTGGTTCTC GTGGTTCTC	39010 a gagagccc 	39020 g atgcaggggg g g 5260 462 537 gtaagttcaa 0 47000 C GCCGTGTTT7 48440 g aatttgttca	461 )[ g ccactgcctt gt 270 462 g ggttctggct t.a. 47010 A CGGAGACATT 48450 a ctgacttccg	60 461 gttatttett 	70 461 ttgcagGAGA 46910 ctgattaat 	80 461	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG A. 46930 CCACTGAAG 4 47050 CTGGTCCTCA 4 48490 tccttcctct	00 462 TGGTACAATT 	10 462: TAATGATACA 	20 462: AAATAAGGAT 46960 TATTCCGCCT 47080 GCAGTCATCA 48520 ctaaccccag	30 GACCTTGCCA 46970 TTTTCCTAGA 48410 T [ 
3 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 462 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul.	GCCAAGGtg GCCAAGGtg 40 46 GAATAATC2 46980 GTGGTTCT 48420 gtcaatcag	39010 a gagagecco 	39020 gg atgcaggggg gg. gg. 5260 466 57 gtaagttcag 47000 C GCCGTGTTT 48440 ga aatttgttca	461 ) [ g ccactgcctt gt 270 462 g ggttctggct ta. 47010 A CGGAGACATT 	60 461 	70 461 ttgcagGAG2 46910 ctgattaat 	80 461	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG A. 46930 CCACTGAAGG 4 47050 CTGGTCCTCA 4 48490 tccttcctct	00 462 TGGTACAATT 	10 462: TAATGATACA 	20 462: AAATAAGGAT 46960 TATTCCGCCT 46960 GCAGTCATCA 47080 GCAGTCATCA 48520 ctaaccccag	30 GACCTTGCCA 46970 TTTTCCTAGA 48410 T f ccccGggttt 48530 TGGAATTTCC
3 HUMAN CHIMP GONGDG GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 462 HUMAN CHIMP EONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP EONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP EONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul.	GCCAAGgtg GCCAAGgtg 40 46 GAATAATCP 46980 GTGGTTCTC GTGGTTCTC gtcaatcac	39010 a gagagccc 	39020 g atgcaggggg a g 5260 462 5260	461 ) [ g ccactgcctt gt. 270 462 g ggttctggct t.a. 47010 A CGGAGACATT  48450 a ctgacttccc t. t. 	60 461 gttatttctt 	70 461 ttgcagGAGA 46910 ctgatttaat 	80 461	90 462 ACTTCGGAAG A. 46930 CCACTGAAGG  47050 CTGGTCCTCA 48490 tccttcctct	00 462 TGGTACAATT 	10 462: TRATGATACA 	20 462: AAATAAGGAT 46960 TATTCCGCCT 47080 GCAGTCATCA 48520 ctaaccccag	30 

Fig. A1. Alignment of sequences obtained in the present paper (see Materials and Methods) to human AL031230. Non coding and coding sequences are in lower and upper case, respectively. Numbering refers to AL031230. Boundaries between non-contiguous genomic sequences here concatenated are shown. The arrow marks the first nucleotide of the mature peptide.

gene expression levels distinguish human from non-human primate brains. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:13030–13035

- Chambliss KL, Hinson DD, Trettel F, Malaspina P, Novelletto A, Jakobs C, Gibson KM (1998) Two exon-skipping mutations as the molecular basis of succinic semialdehyde dehydrogenase deficiency (4-hydroxybutyric aciduria). Am J Hum Genet 63:399–408
- Chimpanzee Sequencing, Analysis Consortium(2005) Initial sequence of the chimpanzee genome and comparison with the human genome. Nature 437:69–87
- Clark AG, Glanowski S, Nielsen R, Thomas PD, Kejariwal A, Todd MA, Tanenbaum DM, Civello D, Lu F, Murphy B, Ferriera S, Wang G, Zheng X, White TJ, Sninsky JJ, Adams MD, Cargill M (2003) Inferring nonneutral evolution from human-chimpanzeemouse orthologous gene trios. Science 302:1960–1963
  Cyranoski D (2002) Almost human. Nature 418:910–912
- Deffenbacher KE, Kenyon JB, Hoover DM, Olson RK, Pennington BF, DeFries JC, Smith SD (2004) Refinement of the 6p21.3 quantitative trait locus influencing dyslexia: linkage and association analyses. Hum Genet 115:128–138

	48540	48550	48560	48570	48580	48590	48600	48610	48620	48630	48640	48650
LILING N												
CHIMP	CCAGIGCCAI	GAICACCCGG	AAGGIGGGGG		AGCCGGCIGI	ACIGICGIGG	IGAAGCCIGC	CGAAGACACG			GGCIGAGGLG	ageogetete
BONOBO												
GOR						A.			T.			t
PPY6	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	.T		c						
H. lar						CG			т.	A		
M. mul.					T	CG		т	т.			
				_								
	48660	48670	48680	5	8820 5	8830 5	8840 5	8850 5	8860 5	8870 5	8880 5	8890
HUMAN	cctqtqtttq	tacaaagcag	acaaaqttct	ttctctttat	aqCTTGCAAG	CCAGGCTGGG	ATTCCTTCAG	GTGTATACAA	TGTTATTCCC	TGTTCTCGAA	AGAATGCCAA	GGAAGTAGGG
CHIMP								.c				
BONOBO	••••	• • • • • • • • • • •			• • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • •	.c				
GOK PPY6	a											
PPY1								.C				
H. lar			c					.c				
M. mul.	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••g•••••	c	t	• • • • • • • • • • •	A		• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •
58	8900 58	910 58	3920 5	8930 5	8940 5	8950 5	8960 5	8970 5	8980 5	8990 5	9000	
											•	٦٢
HUMAN	GAGGCAATTT	GTACTGATCC	TCTGGTGTCC	AAAATTTCCT	TTACTGGTTC	AACAACTACA	GGAAAGgtat	gtgactcaag	tttcaaagaa	aacaaatgtc	tttctaatat	tttttttca
BONOBO											.g	
GOR												
PPY6		• • • • • • • • • • •						w				
PPII H lar								w		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
M. mul.			C							a		
639	/0 6398	. 639	- 6- -	4000 6	4010 6	4020 6-	4030 6	4040 6	4050 6	4060 6	4070 6-	4080
HUMAN	ccatttggta	attttttaa	acaaaggctt	aacaatcc	tggtaatgga	tttctgtgct	cacagettte	tetectetge	tcacagATCC	TGTTGCACCA	CGCAGCAAAC	TCTGTGAAAA
CHIMP											• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •
GOR		g									Τ	
PPY6	g					y	a					
PPY1	g						a					
H. lar	••• ••	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • •	a		• • • • • • • • • • •			• • • • • • • • • • •
H. MUL.				at		• • • • • • • • • • • •	a				1	
64	4090 64	100 64	1110 64	4120 6	4130 6	4140 64	1150 6-	4160 64	4170 6	4180 6	4190 64	4200
64 HUMAN	4090 64	1100 64	GGCCTTGCTC	4120 6	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT	4140 64	ACCAGGCTGT	4160 6	4170 6 ATGGCATCTA	4180 6	4190 64	4200
64 HUMAN CHIMP	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT	1100 64 GGAGCTGGGC	GCCTTGCTC	4120 6 CATTTATAGT	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG	1150 6 ACCAGGCTGT	4160 6 AGCAGGGGGCC	4170 6 ATGGCATCTA	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA	4190 64 CACTGGACAG	1200 gtgagtcctg
64 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT	GAGCTGGGC	GCCTTGCTC	4120 6 CATTTATAGT	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG	ACCAGGCTGT	4160 6 AGCAGGGGGCC	4170 6 ATGGCATCTA	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA	4190 64 CACTGGACAG	1200 gtgagtcctg 
64 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR DBMG	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT	GGAGCTGGGC	GGCCTTGCTC	4120 6 	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG	1150 6. ACCAGGCTGT	4160 6 AGCAGGGGGCC	4170 6 ATGGCATCTA	4180 6 	4190 64 CACTGGACAG	1200 gtgagtcctg 
64 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY6 PPY1	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT	GGAGCTGGGC	GGCCTTGCTC	4120 6 CATTTATAGT	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG	1150 6. ACCAGGCTGT	4160 6/ AGCAGGGGGCC	4170 6 ATGGCATCTA	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA	4190 64 CACTGGACAG	4200 
64 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY6 PPY1 H. lar	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT 	GGAGCTGGGC	GGCCTTGCTC	4120 6 CATTTATAGT	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG	1150 6 ACCAGGCTGT	4160 6 AGCAGGGGGCC	4170 6 ATGGCATCTA	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA	4190 64 CACTGGACAG	4200 gtgagtcctg
HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY6 PPY1 H. lar M. mul.	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT	GGAGCTGGGC	GGCCTTGCTC	4120 6 CATTTATAGT	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG	ALSO 6.	4160 6 AGCAGGGGGCC	4170 6 ATGGCATCTA	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA	4190 64 CACTGGACAG	1200 gtgagtcctg
64 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY6 PPY1 H. lar M. mul.	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT C. C. C.	1100 64 GGAGCTGGGC	4110 6- GGCCTTGCTC	4120 6 CATTTATAGT	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG	4150 6. ACCAGGCTGT	4160 6- AGCAGGGGCC	4170 6 ATGGCATCTA 	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA	4190 64 CACTGGACAG	1200 gtgagtcctg
64 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY6 PPY1 H. lar M. mul.	4090 64 GGTCTCTAT C.	1100 64 GGAGCTGGGC	1110 64 GGCCTTGCTC	4120 6 CATTTATAGT	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG	4150 6. ACCAGGCTGT	4160 64 AGCAGGGGCC	4170 6 ATGCATCTA 	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA 	4190 64 CACTGGACAG	1200 gtgagtcctg
64 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY6 PPY1 H. lar M. mul.	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT C.	1100 64 GGAGCTGGGC 	anti aggtetgeag	4120 6 CATTTATAGT 	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG 	4150 6. ACCAGGCTGT 	4160 6 AGCAGGGGCC 	4170 6 ATGGCATCTA 	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA 	4190 64 CACTGGACAG 	4200 gtgagtcctg 
64 HUMAN ECHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY6 PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT C. 	1100 64 GGAGCTGGGC 	dillo 64 GGCCTTGCTC 	4120 6 CATTTATAGT 	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT 	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG 	1150 6 ACCAGGCTGT 	4160 6- AGCAGGGGCC 	4170 6 ATGGCATCTA C 6430 6 TTGGCTCAAAC	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA 	4190 64 CATTGGACAG 	4200 gtgagtcctg 
64 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C.	GGAGCTGGGC	GGCCTTGCTC	4120 6 CATTTATAGT 	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT 	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG 	1150 6. ACCAGGCTGT 	4160 6- AGCAGGGGCC 	4170 6 ATGGCATCTA C 6430 6 TTGCTCAAAC	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA 	4190 64 CACTGGACAG 	1200 gtgagtcctg 
64 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY6	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT C. C. C. C. 	GGAGCTGGGC	GGCCTTGCTC	4120 6 CATTTATAGT	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT 	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG 	4150 6 ACCAGGCTGT 5410 6 tctcctgtcc	4160 6- AGCAGGGGCC 	4170 6 ATGGCATCTA C 6430 6 TTGCTCAAAC	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA 	4190 64 CACTGGACAG 	4200 gtgagtcctg 
HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY16 PPY1 H. lar	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT C. C. C. 	1100 64 GGAGCTGGGC	1110 6- GGCCTTGCTC 5370 60 aggtctgcag	4120 6 CATTTATAGT	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT 	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG	1150 6 ACCAGGCTGT 5410 6 teteetgtee	4160 6 AGCAGGGGCC 	4170 6 ATGCATCTA C C 6430 6 TTGCTCAAAC	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA 	4190 64 CACTGGACAG 	4200 gtgagtcctg 
HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar PPY1 H. lar M. mul.	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT C. C. C. C. 	1100 64 GGAGCTGGGC AAGTGGGGC AAGTGGGGGGGGGG	1110 6- GGCCTTGCTC 	4120 6 CATITATAGT 	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT 	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG 	1150 6 ACCAGGCTGT 5410 6 tctcctgtcc	4160 6 AGCAGGGGCC 	4170 6 ATGCATCTA 	4180 6 AATTAGGAA 	4190 64 CACTGGACAG 	4200 gtgagtcctg 
HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPYG PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul.	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT C. C. C. C. G. 	1100 64 GGAGCTGGGC 	1110 64 GGCCTTGCTC 3370 64 aggtctgcag	4120 6 CATTATAGT 	4130 6 ATTGACAGT 	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG 6400 66 tttgtttttg	4150 6- ACCAGGCTGT 5410 6- teteetgtee	4160 6- AGCAGGGGCC 	4170 6 ATGCATCTA 	4180 6 AATTAGGAA 	4190 64 CACTGGACAG 	4200 gtgagtcctg 
64 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY6 PPY1 H. lar M. mul.	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT C. C. C. C. G. 	1100 64 GGAGCTGGGC 	4110 64 GGCCTTGCTC 5370 64 aggtctgcag	4120 6 CATTTATAGT 6380 6 cttctgacag 	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT 	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG 6400 66 tttgtttttg 	1150 6. ACCAGGCTGT 5410 6. teteetgtee 5530 6.	4160 6. AGCAGGGGCC 6420 6. agACTTGTGT 65540 6.	4170 6 ATGGCATCTA C 6430 6 TTGCTCAAAC  6550 6	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA 	4190 64 CACTGGACAG 6450 66 TGCAAAGGGG 5570 66	4200 gtgagtcctg 
64 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 60 HUMAN	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT C. C. C. C. G. 	1100 64 GGAGCTGGGC 	4110 64 GGCCTTGCTC 5370 60 aggtctgcag 5490 60 CGAGGCCATG	4120 6 CATTTATAGT 	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT 6390 6 actgtgtggg C	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG 6400 60 tttgtttttg 	4150 6. ACCAGGCTGT 5410 6. teteetgtee 5530 6. GAGGAAGGAA	4160 6- AGCAGGGGCC 	4170 6 ATGGCATCTA C	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA 	4190 64 CACTGGACAG 	4200 gtgagtcctg 
HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY6 PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP PPY1 H. lar M. mul. GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul.	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT C. C. C. G. 	1100 64 GGAGCTGGGC AAGCATTCGC	1110 6- GGCCTTGCTC 5370 6- aggtctgcag 5490 6- CGAGGCCATG	4120 6 CATTTATAGT 6380 6 cttctgacag 	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT 6390 6 actgtgtggg C. C. 6510 6 TGCGCGTAGG	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG 6400 64 tttgttttg 	4150 6 ACCAGGCTGT 5410 6 tctcctgtcc 5530 6 GAGGAAGGAA	4160 6- AGCAGGGGCC 	4170 6 ATGCATCTA C C C C A430 6 TTGCTCAAAC  5550 6 C.C.ATTAATT	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA 6440 6 CAATTCTTGG 	4190 64 CACTGGACAG 	4200 gtgagtcctg 
HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY6 PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 60 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT C. C. C. G. 	1100 64 GGAGCTGGGC A 3360 66 ttcactggtc 	1110 6 GGCCTTGCTC 	4120 6 CATTTATAGT 	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT 6390 6 actgtgtggg C. 	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG 	4150 6 ACCAGGCTGT 5410 6 tctcctgtcc 5530 6 GAGGAAGGAA	4160 6 AGCAGGGGCC 	4170 6 ATGCATCTA C 6430 6 TTGCTCAAAAC 	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA 	4190 64 CATTGGACAG 	4200 gtgagtcctg 
64 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY6 H. lar M. mul. 64 HUMAN CHIMP EDNOBO GOR CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY6	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT C. C. C. C. G. 	1100 64 GGAGCTGGGC 	1110 6/ GGCCTTGCTC 	4120 6 CATTTATAGT 	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT 6390 6 actgtgtggg C	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG 6400 66 tttgtttttg 	1150 6. ACCAGGCTGT 5410 6. teteetgtee 5530 6. GAGGAAGGAA	4160 6. AGCAGGGGCC 	4170 6 ATGGCATCTA C 6430 6 TTGCTCAAAC  6550 6 CCCATTAATT	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA 	4190 64 CACTGGACAG 5450 66 TGCAAAGGGG 5570 66 CGGTAGAAAA	1200 gtgagtcctg 
64 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 60 HUMAN CHIMP EDNOBO GOR CHIMP PPY1 H. lar M. mul.	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT C. C. C. C. G. 	1100 64 GGAGCTGGGC 	1110 64 GGCCTTGCTC 5370 60 aggtctgcag 5490 60 CGAGGCCATG	4120 6 CATTTATAGT 6380 6 cttctgacag 	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT 6390 6 actgtgtggg C. 6510 6 TGCGCGTAGG	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG 6400 60 tttgtttttg 	4150 6- ACCAGGCTGT 5410 6- tctcctgtcc 5530 6- GAGGAAGGAA	4160 6- AGCAGGGGCC 	4170 6 ATGCCATCTA C	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA 	4190 64 CACTGGACAG 6450 66 TGCAAAGGGG 5570 66 CGGTAGAAAA	4200 gtgagtcctg 
64 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 60 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar HUMAN CHIMP PPY1 H. lar M. mul.	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT C. C. C. G. a aa aa ac.t 6470 66 GCCTTTGTAA G. G.	1100 64 GGAGCTGGGC 	4110 64 GGCCTTGCTC 53370 64 aggtctgcag 5490 64 CGAGGCCATG 	4120 6 CATTTATAGT 6380 6 cttctgacag 	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT 	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG 6400 64 tttgttttg 6520 66 TAATGGATTT	4150 6 ACCAGGCTGT 5410 6 tctcctgtcc 5530 6 GAGGAAGGAA	4160 6- AGCAGGGGCC 	4170 6 ATGCATCTA C 6430 6 TTGCTCAAAC 5550 6 CCCATTAATT	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA 6440 6 CAATTCTTGG 	4190 64 CACTGGACAG 6450 66 TGCAAAGGGG 5570 66 CGGTAGAAAA	4200 gtgagtcctg 
HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY6 PPY1 H. lar M. mul. CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. GOR PPY1 H. lar HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT C. C. C. G. G. a act 6470 66 GCCTTTGTAA G. 	1100 64 GGAGCTGGGC 	1110 6- GGCCTTGCTC 5370 6- aggtctgcag 5490 6- CGAGGCCATG T. T. T. T.	4120 6 CATTTATAGT 6380 6 cttctgacag 	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT 6390 6 actgtgtggg C. 	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG 6400 66 tttgttttg 	4150 6 ACCAGGCTGT 5410 6 tctcctgtcc 5530 6 GAGGAAGGAA	4160 6 AGCAGGGGCC 6420 6 agACTTGTGT 6540 6 CTACTCAGGG 	4170 6 ATGCATCTA C	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA 6440 6 CAATTCTTGG 	4190 64 CATTGGACAG 5450 66 TGCAAAGGGG 5570 66 CGGTAGAAAA	4200 gtgagtcctg 
HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul.	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT C. C. C. C. G. a act 6470 66 GCCTTTGTAA G. 	1100 64 GGAGCTGGGC 	1110 64 GGCCTTGCTC 5370 64 aggtctgcag 5490 64 CGAGGCCATG T. T. T.	4120 6 CATTTATAGT 6380 6 cttctgacag 	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT 6390 6 actgtgtggg C	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG 6400 66 tttgtttttg 	1150 6. ACCAGGCTGT 55410 6. tctcctgtcc 5530 6. GAGGAAGGAA GAGGAAGGAA	4160 6. AGCAGGGGCC 6420 6. agACTTGTGT 6540 6. CTACTCAGGG CTACTCAGGG 	4170 6 ATGGCATCTA C	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA 6440 6 CAATTCTTGG 	4190 64 CACTGGACAG 5450 66 TGCAAAGGGG 5570 66 CGGTAGAAAA 	4200 gtgagtcctg 
64 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 60 HUMAN CHIMP EONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar HUMAN CHIMP CHIMP HIMP	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT C. C. C. G.	1100 64 GGAGCTGGGC 	1110 64 GGCCTTGCTC 	4120 6 CATTTATAGT 	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT 6390 6 actgtgtggg C. 6510 6 TGCGCGTAGG 	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG 	4150 6. ACCAGGCTGT 5410 6. teteetgtee 5530 6. GAGGAAGGAA GAGGAAGGAA 71690	4160 6- AGCAGGGGCC 	4170 6 ATGGCATCTA C	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA 6440 6 CAATTCTTGG 	4190 64 CACTGGACAG 	1200 gtgagtcctg 
HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 60 HUMAN CHIMP PPY1 H. lar HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar HUMAN M. mul.	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT C. C. C. C. G.	1100 64 GGAGCTGGGC 	4110 64 GGCCTTGCTC 	4120 6 CATTTATAGT 	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT 	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG 	4150 6 ACCAGGCTGT 5410 6 tctcctgtcc 5530 6 GAGGAAGGAA GAGGAAGGAA 71690 AGGTGCCACC	4160 6- AGCAGGGGCC 	4170 6 ATGCCATCTA C	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA 	4190 64 CACTGGACAG 5450 66 TGCAAAAGGGG 5570 66 CGGTAGAAAA 	4200 gtgagtcctg 
HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY6 PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. GOR PPY1 H. lar HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. GOR HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR CHIMP BONOBO GOR CHIMP CHIMP BONOBO CHIMP CHIMP BONOBO CHIMP CHIMP BONOBO CHIMP CHIMP BONOBO CHIMP CHIMP BONOBO CHIMP CHIMP BONOBO CHIMP CHIMP CHIMP BONOBO CHIMP CHI	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT C. C. C. C. G. 	1100 64 GGAGCTGGGC 	1110 6- GGCCTTGCTC 	4120 6 CATTTATAGT 6380 6 cttctgacag 	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT 6390 6 actgtgtggg c	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG 6400 64 tttgttttg 	1150 6 ACCAGGCTGT 5410 6 tctcctgtcc 5530 6 GAGGAAGGAA GAGGAAGGAA 71690 AGGTGCCACC	4160 6 AGCAGGGGCC 6420 6 aqACTTGTGT 6540 6 CTACTCAGGG 	4170 6 ATGCCATCTA C 6430 6 TTGCTCAAAA 5550 6 CCCATTAATT 	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA 	4190 64 CACTGGACAG 	4200 gtgagtcctg 
64 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. GON CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. GON CHIMP BONOBO GOR CHIMP BONOBO GOR CHIMP BONOBO GOR CHIMP BONOBO GOR CHIMP BONOBO GOR CHIMP BONOBO GOR CHIMP BONOBO GOR CHIMP BONOBO GOR CHIMP BONOBO COR CHIMP BONOBO COR CHIMP CHIMP BONOBO COR CHIMP CHIMP CHIMP CHIMP CHIMP CHIMP CHIMP CHIMP CHIMP COR COR COR COR COR COR COR COR COR COR	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT C. C. C. C. G. a aa aa aa ca GGCCTTGTAA G. 	1100 64 GGAGCTGGGC 	1110 6/ GGCCTTGCTC 	4120 6 CATTTATAGT 	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT 	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG 6400 60 tttgtttttg 	4150 6. ACCAGGCTGT 5410 6. teteetgtee 5530 6. GAGGAAGGAA GAGGAAGGAA 71690 AGGTGCCACC	4160 6. AGCAGGGGCC 6420 6. agACTTGTGT 6540 6. CTACTCAGGG 	4170 6 ATGGCATCTA C	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA 6440 6 CAATTCTTGG 	4190 64 CACTGGACAG 5450 66 TGCAAAGGGG 5570 66 CGGTAGAAAA 	4200 gtgagtcctg 
64 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 60 HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 64 HUMAN CHIMP CHIMP BONOBO GOR CHIMP C	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT C. C. C. G.	1100 64 GGAGCTGGGC 	1110 64 GGCCTTGCTC 	4120 6 CATTTATAGT 	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT 6390 6 actgtgtggg C. 6510 6 TGCGCGTAGG 6510 6 TGCGCGTAGG 	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG 6400 60 tttgtttttg 	4150 6. ACCAGGCTGT 5410 6. tctcctgtcc 5530 6. GAGGAAGGAA GAGGAAGGAA 71690 AGGTGCCACC	4160 6- AGCAGGGGCC 	4170 6 ATGGCATCTA C	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA 6440 6 CAATTCTTGG 	4190 64 CACTGGACAG 5450 66 TGCAAAGGGG 5570 66 CGGTAGAAAA A 	4200 gtgagtcctg 
HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar HUMAN CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul. 64 HUMAN CHIMP CHIMP BONOBO GOR PPY1 H. lar M. mul.	4090 64 GGGTCTCTAT C. C. C. C. G. 	1100 64 GGAGCTGGGC 	4110 64 GGCCTTGCTC 	4120 6 CATTTATAGT 6380 6 cttctgacag 	4130 6 ATTTGACAGT 6390 6 actgtgtggg C	4140 64 GCCAACGTGG 6400 64 tttgttttg 	4150 6 ACCAGGCTGT 5410 6 tctcctgtcc 5530 6 GAGGAAGGAA GAGGAAGGAA 71690 AGGTGCCACC	4160 6- AGCAGGGGCC 	4170 6 ATGCCATCTA C	4180 6 AATTTAGGAA 	4190 64 CACTGGACAG 6450 66 TGCAAAAGGGG 5570 66 CGGTAGAAAA 	4200 gtgagtcctg 

Fig. A1. Continued.

den Dunnen JT, Antonarakis SE (2000) Mutation nomenclature extensions and suggestions to describe complex mutations: a discussion. Hum Mutat 15:7–12 (Erratum: Hum Mutat 20:403, 2002)

Dennis C (2005) Chimp genome: branching out. Nature 437:17-19

- Dervent A, Gibson KM, Pearl PL, Salomons GS, Jakobs C, Yalcinkaya C (2004) Photosensitive absence epilepsy with myoclonias and heterozygosity for succinic semialdehyde dehydrogenase (SSADH) deficiency. Clin Neurophysiol 115:1417–1422
- Dorus S, Vallender EJ, Evans PD, Anderson JR, Gilbert SL, Mahowald M, Wyckoff GJ, Malcom CM, Lahn BT (2004) Accelerated evolution of nervous system genes in the origin of *Homo sapiens*. Cell 119:1027–1040
- Enard W, Przeworski M, Fisher SE, Lai CS, Wiebe V, Kitano T, Monaco AP, Paabo S (2002) Molecular evolution of FOXP2, a gene involved in speech and language. Nature 418:869–872
- Evans PD, Anderson JR, Vallender EJ, Choi SS, Lahn BT (2004a) Reconstructing the evolutionary history of microcephalin, a gene controlling human brain size. Hum Mol Genet 3:1139–1145

66

	71750	71760	71770	71780	71790	71800	71810	٦r	75690	75700	75710	75720
HUMAN	TACCCTGCTG	TGCAATGTCA	CCCAGGACAT	GCTGTGCACT	CATGAAGAGA	CTTTCGGGCC	TCTGGCACCA	GTTATCAAtt	atttg-ggaa	acaaatcaga	agaaaacaaa	actggtttcc
PONOBO										·····g	·····g···	
COR										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····g···	
DDV6						тт			····-=		g	
PP10											·····g···	
FFII U lar		w				I						
M mul		π				i				.a	·····y···	
M. mur.	·····	A							aty		····y···	
	75730	75740	75750	75760	75770	75780	75790	75800	75810	75820	75830	75840
HUMAN	tttcctctcc	cccttacatt	ttttatgacc	tatcttaact	ttggcagGTT	CGATACAGAG	GAGGAGGCTA	TAGCAATCGC	TAACGCAGCT	GATGTTGGGT	TAGCAGgtag	gtgtttgtcc
CHIMP	k									c		
BONOBO										c		
GOR										c		
PPY6		ta				.A				c		
PPY1		ta				.A				c		
H. lar	a.				c	G				c		
M. mul.		a							CA	c		
	75850	75860	75870	75880	75890	75900	75910	75920	771	20 771:	30 771	40
								• 11				•
HUMAN	ttgttcaata	ccagtcataa	teatttttet	ccageteatg	ccagatttac	CCTTTTAAAC	atcaccctgg	gttttgagag	tetttaatga	ctcttctaaa	tgecatatat	gteetttat
CHIMP	• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • •
BONOBO	• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •			• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	••••g••••	• • • • • • • • • • •
GOR	• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	a	• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • •
PP16	• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •
PPIL	• • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • •			• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
H. Iar	• • • • • • • • • • • •	· · g · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •			• • • • • • • • • • •		.g		•••••	· · · · · g · · · ·
M. mur.				• • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · y · · · · ·			a	•••••	····y·····		
771	50 771	L60 77:	L70 77:	180 77	190 77	200 772	210 77:	220 77:	230 77	240 772	250 77	260
LITMAN	·	• «СПТАТТТТТ	ACTCTCAACA	CCCACCCAC	· ATCTCCACAC	TCCCACACCA	CCTCCAACTC	·	CCCTCAACCA	ACCATTAATT	TCCTCTCTCTCC	AGTCCCCTTTT
CHIMP	-	9011111111	nererenion	000110000110	1110100110110	10001010001	00100/11010	00000100110	000101110011	1100/1111/1111	C 10010100	1010000111
BONOBO												
COR			π									
PPV6												
PPY1	c a g											
H lar	c a									G		
M. mul.	c.a									G	C	
7	7270 773	280 77:	290 77	300 77	310 77	320 77	330 77	340 77	350 77	360 77	370 77	380
	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
HUMAN	TGGTGGAGTG	AAGCAGTCCG	GCCTTGGGCG	AGAGGGGTCC	AAGTATGGCA	TTGATGAGTA	TCTGGAACTC	AAGTATGTGT	GTTACGGGGG	CTTGTAGgat	tctttggttc	tttaaaaaaa
CHIMP												
BONOBO												
GOR												
PPY6			Y					C				
PPY1			C					C				
H. lar		A									c	
M. mul.		T.							T			
77	200											

HUMAN tttaaaa CHIMP ..... BONOBO ..... GOR .... PPY6 a.... PPY1 a.... M. mul. g...

Fig. A1. Continued.

- Evans PD, Anderson JR, Vallender EJ, Gilbert SL, Malcom CM, Dorus S, Lahn BT (2004b) Adaptive evolution of ASPM, a major determinant of cerebral cortical size in humans. Hum Mol Genet 13:489–494
- Evans PD, Gilbert SL, Mekel-Bobrov N, Vallender EJ, Anderson JR, Vaez-Azizi LM, Tishkoff SA, Hudson RR, Lahn BT (2005) Microcephalin, a gene regulating brain size, continues to evolve adaptively in humans. Science 309:1717–1720
- Excoffier L, Smouse PE, Quattro JN (1992) Analysis of molecular variance inferred from metric distance among DNA haplotypes: application to human mitochondrial restriction data. Genetics 131:479–491
- Excoffier L, Novembre J, Schneider S (2000) SIMCOAL: a general coalescent program for the simulation of molecular data in interconnected populations with arbitrary demography. J Hered 91:506–509
- Fisher SE, DeFries JC (2002) Developmental dyslexia: genetic dissection of a complex cognitive trait. Nat Rev Neurosci 3:767–780
- Gimelbrant AA, Skaletsky H, Chess A (2004) Selective pressures on the olfactory receptor repertoire since the humanchimpanzee divergence. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA101:9019– 9022
- Hill RS, Walsh CA (2005) Molecular insights into human brain evolution. Nature 437:64–67

- Hudson RR, Bailey K, Skarecky D, Kwiatowski J, Ayala FJ (1994) Evidence for positive selection in the superoxide dismutase (Sod) region of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics 136:1320– 1340
- Kimura M (1980) A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J Mol Evol 16:111–120
- King MC, Wilson AC (1975) Evolution at two levels in humans and chimpanzees. Science 188:107–116
- Kitano T, Liu YH, Ueda S, Saitou N (2004) Human-specific amino acid changes found in 103 protein-coding genes. Mol Biol Evol 21:936–944
- Kouprina N, Pavlicek A, Mochida GH, Solomon G, Gersch W, Yoon YH, Collura R, Ruvolo M, Barrett JC, Woods CG, Walsh CA, Jurka J, Larionov V (2004) Accelerated evolution of the ASPM gene controlling brain size begins prior to human brain expansion. PLoS Biol 2:E126
- Kreitman M, Di Rienzo A (2004) Balancing claims for balancing selection. Trends Genet 20:300–304
- Kumar S, Tamura K, Jakobsen B, Nei M (2001) MEGA2: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis software Arizona State University, Tempe
- Londin ER, Meng H, Gruen JR (2003) A transcription map of the 6p22.3 reading disability locus identifying candidate genes. BMC Genomics 4:25–33

- Malaspina P, Roetto A, Trettel F, Jodice C, Blasi P, Frontali M, Carella M, Franco B, Camaschella C, Novelletto A (1996) Construction of a YAC contig covering human chromosome 6p22. Genomics 36:399–407
- McDonald JH, Kreitman M (1991) Adaptive protein evolution at the Adh locus in Drosophila. Nature 351:652–654
- Mekel-Bobrov N, Gilbert SL, Evans PD, Vallender EJ, Anderson JR, Hudson RR, Tishkoff SA, Lahn BT (2005) Ongoing adaptive evolution of ASPM, a brain size determinant in *Homo sapiens*. Science 309:1720–1722
- Murphy TC, Amarnath V, Gibson KM, Picklo MJ Sr (2003) Oxidation of 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal by succinic semialdehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH5A). J Neurochem 86:298–305
- Pearl PL, Novotny EJ, Acosta MT, Jakobs C, Gibson KM (2003) Succinic semialdehyde dehydrogenase deficiency in children and adults. Ann Neurol 54 (Suppl 6):S73–S80
- Plomin R, Turic DM, Hill L, Turic DE, Stephens M, Williams J, Owen MJ, O'Donovan MC (2004) A functional polymorphism in the succinate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase (aldehyde dehydrogenase 5 family, member A1) gene is associated with cognitive ability. Mol Psychiatry 9:582–586
- Rozas J, Rozas R (1999) DNAsp v.3: an integrated program for molecular population genetics and molecular evolution analysis. Bioinformatics 15:174–175
- Sabeti PC, Reich DE, Higgins JM, Levine HZ, Richter DJ, Schaffner SF, Gabriel SB, Platko JV, Patterson NJ, McDonald GJ, Ackerman HC, Campbell SJ, Altshuler D, Cooper R, Kwiatkowski D, Ward R, Lander ES (2002) Detecting recent positive selection in the human genome from haplotype structure. Nature 419:832– 837
- Saito S, Iida A, Sekine A, Ogawa C, Kawauchi S, Higuchi S, Ohno M, Nakamura Y (2002) 906 variations among 27 genes encoding cytochrome P450 (CYP) anzymes and aldehyde dehydrogenases (ALDHs) in the Japanese population. J Hum Genet 47:419–444
- Schneider S, Kueffer J-M, Roessli D, Excoffier L (1997) Arlequin ver.1.1: a software for population genetic data analysis Genetics and Biometry Laboratory, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland,
- Shi J, Xi H, Wang Y, Zhang C, Jiang Z, Zhang K, Shen Y, Jin L, Zhang K, Yuan W, Wang Y, Lin J, Hua Q, Wang F, Xu S, Ren S, Xu S, Zhao G, Chen Z, Jin L, Huang W (2003) Divergence of the genes on human chromosome 21 between human and other hominoids and variation of substitution rates among transcription units. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:8331–8336
- Sophos NA, Vasiliou V (2003) Aldehyde dehydrogenase gene superfamily: the 2002 update. Chem Biol Interact 143–144:5–22

- Stedman HH, Kozyak BW, Nelson A, Thesier DM, Su LT, Low DW, Bridges CR, Shrager JB, Minugh-Purvis N, Mitchell MA (2004) Myosin gene mutation correlates with anatomical changes in the human lineage. Nature 428:415–418
- Stephens JC, Schneider JA, Tanguay DA, Choi J, Acharya T, Stanley SE, Jiang R, Messer CJ, Chew A, Han JH, Duan J, Carr JL, Lee MS, Koshy B, Kumar AM, Zhang G, Newell WR, Windemuth A, Xu C, Kalbfleisch TS, Shaner SL, Arnold K, Schulz V, Drysdale CM, Nandabalan K, Judson RS, Ruano G, Vovis GF (2001a) Haplotype variation and linkage disequilibrium in 313 human genes. Science 293:489–493(Erratum: Science 293:1048, 2001)
- Stephens M, Smith NJ, Donnelly P (2001b) A new statistical method for haplotype reconstruction from population data. Am J Hum Genet 68:978–989
- Tajima F (1989) Statistical methods to test for nucleotide mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism. Genetics 123:585–595
- Thomas PD, Kejariwal A (2004) Coding single-nucleotide polymorphisms associated with complex vs. Mendelian disease: evolutionary evidence for differences in molecular effects. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:15398–15403
- Thomas PD, Campbell MJ, Kejariwal A, Mi H, Karlak B, Daverman R, Diemer K, Muruganujan A, Narechania A (2003) PANTHER: a library of protein families and subfamilies indexed by function. Genome Res 13:2129–2141
- Thompson JD, Gibson T, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG (1997) The ClustalX windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res 24:4876–4882
- Wang YQ, Su B (2004) Molecular evolution of microcephalin, a gene determining human brain size. Hum Mol Genet 13:1131–1137
- Wong CG, Bottiglieri T, Snead OC 3rd (2003) GABA, gammahydroxybutyric acid, and neurological disease. Ann Neurol 54 (Suppl 6):S3–S12
- Yang Z (1997) PAML: a program package for phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. CABIOS 13:555–556
- Yang Z, Nielsen R (2000) Estimating synonymous and nonsynonymous substitution rates under realistic evolutionary models. Mol Biol Evol 17:32–43
- Yang Z, Nielsen R (2002) Codon-substitution models for detecting molecular adaptation at individual sites along specific lineages. Mol Biol Evol 19:908–917
- Ziyeh S, Berlis A, Korinthenberg R, Spreer J, Schumacher M (2002) Selective involvement of the globus pallidus and dentate nucleus in succinic semialdehyde dehydrogenase deficiency. Pediatr Radiol 32:598–600