

Journal Pre-proof

An Invited Commentary on “Perioperative outcomes comparing laparoscopic with open repeat liver resection for post-hepatectomy recurrent liver cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis” (Int J Surg 2020; Epub ahead of print)

Roberta Angelico, Carlo Gazia



PII: S1743-9191(20)30327-7

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijssu.2020.04.028>

Reference: IJSU 5420

To appear in: *International Journal of Surgery*

Received Date: 6 April 2020

Accepted Date: 14 April 2020

Please cite this article as: Angelico R, Gazia C, An Invited Commentary on “Perioperative outcomes comparing laparoscopic with open repeat liver resection for post-hepatectomy recurrent liver cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis” (Int J Surg 2020; Epub ahead of print), *International Journal of Surgery*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijssu.2020.04.028>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd.

Type of article: Invited Commentary

An Invited Commentary on “Perioperative outcomes comparing laparoscopic with open repeat liver resection for post-hepatectomy recurrent liver cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis” (Int J Surg 2020; Epub ahead of print)

Repeat laparoscopic hepatectomy for recurrent tumors is safe and feasible

Authors:

Roberta Angelico¹, Carlo Gazia¹

Affiliations:

¹Department of Surgical Sciences, HPB and Transplant Unit, University of Rome Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy

ORCIDs:

Roberta Angelico (0000-0002-3439-7750); Carlo Gazia (0000-0002-3543-4170)

Corresponding author:

Roberta Angelico, MD, PhD, FEBS

Department of Surgery Science, HPB and Transplant Unit

University of Rome Tor Vergata

Viale Oxford 33

00133, Rome, Italy

Phone: 0620908294

Fax: 0620908294

Email: roberta.angelico@gmail.com

Conflicts of interest: the authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

Abbreviations:

LRH - Repeat laparoscopic hepatectomy

Key words:

Liver resection, liver tumor, laparoscopic hepatectomy, open hepatectomy

An Invited Commentary on “Perioperative outcomes comparing laparoscopic with open repeat liver resection for post-hepatectomy recurrent liver cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis” (Int J Surg 2020; Epub ahead of print)

Repeat laparoscopic hepatectomy for recurrent tumors is safe and feasible

Dear Editor,

We read with great interest the manuscript by Liang et al [1]. The authors presented a systematic review aiming to evaluate the potential benefits of repeat laparoscopic hepatectomy (LRH) in comparison with repeat open hepatectomy for recurrent liver cancer. Remarkably, the analysis of 767 patients who underwent repeat open or laparoscopic surgical liver resection for recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma, colorectal liver metastasis and cholangiocarcinoma showed that the laparoscopic approach has superior outcomes in feasibility and safety.

The lower incidence of intraoperative blood loss and major post-operative complications after LRH strongly suggest that the laparoscopic approach should be first attempted despite it might be technically challenging due to adhesions, collateral circulation and changes in anatomy. However, besides the undoubted benefits of repeat laparoscopy as the first surgical approach for patients' recovery [2], the conversion rate from laparoscopy to open procedures needs further analysis. In fact, it would offer clues to understand whether the conversion rate is affected by anatomical position of liver tumors, presence of adhesions from previous procedures, surgeon's technical skills or patients' comorbidities [3].

The heterogeneity of the considered population analyzed in the study relating to the type of tumors and underlying liver disease might lead to bias. In fact, the safety profile of the described surgical interventions, the survival rate, the cancer's position and its aggressiveness might differ. The authors found that LRH had a better R0 clearance than open approach, possibly explained by precise dissection due to high-resolution view offered by advanced optical technology and facilitation in separation of adhesions due to pneumoperitoneum. Since 40% of the available studies did not analyze the R0 clearance, the conclusions should be approached with caution, and further data are needed.

At long-term, the benefits of repeat laparoscopic liver resection are potentially more exacerbated in those patients who would require subsequent liver transplantation for tumor recurrence. In a liver transplantation setting, adhesions from previous hepatic open surgery increase intraoperative risks

of bleeding and other dangerous complications, leading to higher chances of compromising patient survival [4].

Of interest, laparoscopic surgery allows a shorter hospital stay, which potentially might provide significant economical savings, thus supporting its extensively use in the current era of spending review [5].

In conclusion, we would like to acknowledge the team for this fascinating and important study, since this study represents the largest systematic review and meta-analysis in comparing repeat laparoscopic and open hepatic surgery for recurrent liver cancer.

As LRH has only been studied retrospectively, randomized prospective clinical trials are needed to strengthen the validity of the already existing data. In future, we should aim not only to compare the feasibility and safety of repeat liver resection by laparoscopic or open technique, but also to provide recommendations for accurate selection of patients (i.e. underlying liver disease, remnant liver volume) who would highly benefit from repeat liver resection using the laparoscopic approach.

Roberta Angelico

Carlo Gazia

Department of Surgical Sciences, HPB and Transplant Unit, University of Rome Tor Vergata,
Rome, Italy

All correspondences to: Roberta Angelico, MD, PhD, FEBS

Phone: 0620908294, Fax: 0620908294; Email: roberta.angelico@gmail.com

Provenance and peer review

Invited Commentary, internally reviewed

REFERENCES

1. Liang Y, Lin C, Zhang B, Cao J, Chen M, Shen J, Feng X, Xiao G, Pan L, Chen K, Maher H, Cai X. Perioperative outcomes comparing laparoscopic with open repeat liver resection for post-hepatectomy recurrent liver cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Surg.* 2020;10.1016/j.ijsu.2020.03.052. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2020.03.052>

2. Liu F, Li Q, Wei Y, Li B. Laparoscopic Versus Open Liver Resection for Difficult Lesions: A Meta-Analysis. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A*. 2018;28(12):1428-36. <https://doi.org/10.1089/lap.2018.0227>
3. Cipriani F, Ratti F, Fiorentini G, Catena M, Paganelli M, Aldrighetti L. Effect of Previous Abdominal Surgery on Laparoscopic Liver Resection: Analysis of Feasibility and Risk Factors for Conversion. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A*. 2018;28(7):785-91. <https://doi.org/10.1089/lap.2018.0071>
4. Laurent A, Tayar C, Andreoletti M, Lauzet JY, Merle JC, Cherqui D. Laparoscopic liver resection facilitates salvage liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Journal of hepato-biliary-pancreatic surgery*. 2009;16(3):310-4. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00534-009-0063-0>
5. Gani F, Ejaz A, Dillhoff M, He J, Weiss M, Wolfgang CL, Cloyd J, Tsung A, Johnston FM, Pawlik TM. A national assessment of the utilization, quality and cost of laparoscopic liver resection. *HPB (Oxford)*. 2019;21(10):1327-1335. <https://doi.10.1016/j.hpb.2019.02.005>.